Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 (212) 317-1200 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JULIA SANCHO, *individually and on behalf of others similarly situated*,

-----X

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

CLAIRE'S NAILS & SPA INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), BEST NAIL & TANNING INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), KYONG J KANG (a.k.a. HELEN), and SANGHEE LEE,

ECF Case

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff Julia Sancho ("Plaintiff Sancho" or "Ms. Sancho"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., alleges upon information and belief, and as against each of Defendants Claire's Nails & Spa Inc. (d/b/a "Claire Nails & Spa"), Best Nail & Tanning Inc. (d/b/a Claire Nails & Spa), ("Defendant Corporations"), Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen), and Sanghee Lee ("Individual Defendants"), (collectively, "Defendants"), as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

 Plaintiff Sancho is a former employee of Defendants Claire's Nails & Spa Inc. (d/b/a "Claire Nails & Spa"), Best Nail & Tanning Inc. (d/b/a Claire Nails & Spa), Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen), and Sanghee Lee.

2. Claire Nails & Spa is a nail spa owned by Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) and Sanghee Lee, located at 448 Middle Country Road, Selden, New York 11784.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendants Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) and Sanghee Lee serve or served as owners, managers, principals or agents of Defendant Corporations and through these corporate entities operate the nail spa.

4. Plaintiff Sancho is a former employee of Defendants, who was employed as a masseuse, but was also required to clean, vacuum, and wash the manicure supplies.

5. Plaintiff Sancho regularly worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate minimum wage or overtime compensation for any of the hours that she worked.

6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate records of her hours worked, and failed to pay Plaintiff Sancho appropriately for any hours worked over 40 either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.

7. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Sancho the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which she had to work over 10 hours a day.

8. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Sancho to all other similarly situated employees.

9. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Sancho and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing them the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.

10. Plaintiff Sancho now brings this action on behalf of herself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), the New York Labor Law ("NYLL")

§§190 and 650 *et seq.*, and "overtime wage order" respectively codified at N.Y.C.R.R. Tit. 12 §§ 142-2.2, 2.4), and the "spread of hours" and overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 142-1.6 (herein the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

11. Plaintiff Sancho seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of herself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and present employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (FLSA),
 28 U.S.C. § 1531 (interstate commerce), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). Supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Sancho's state law claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 391(b) and (c) because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants operate their businesses in this district, and Plaintiff Sancho were employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff Julia Sancho

14. Plaintiff Sancho is an adult individual residing in Queens County, New York.Plaintiff Sancho was employed by Defendants from approximately July 30, 2013 until February28, 2015. At all relevant times to this Complaint, Plaintiff Sancho was ostensibly employed byDefendants as a masseuse.

3

15. Plaintiff Sancho consents to being a party pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

16. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants owned, operated, and/or controlled a nail spa located at 448 Middle Country Road, Selden, New York 11784 under the name "Claire Nails & Spa."

17. Upon information and belief, Claire's Nails & Spa Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 448 Middle Country Road, Selden, New York 11784.

18. Upon information and belief, Best Nail & Tanning Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 448 Middle Country Road, Selden, New York 11784.

19. Defendant Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) is sued individually in her capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) possesses or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations, or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) determined the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Sancho, and established the schedules of the employees, maintained employee records, and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

20. Defendant Sanghee Lee is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Sanghee Lee is sued individually in his capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Sanghee Lee possesses or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations, or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations. Defendant Sanghee Lee determined the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Sancho, and established the schedules of the employees, maintained employee records, and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

21. Defendants operate a nail spa located in Selden, New York.

22. Individual Defendants Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen) and Sanghee Lee possess operational control over Defendant Corporations, possess an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations, and control significant functions of Defendant Corporations.

23. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method and share control over the employees.

24. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Sancho's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Sancho, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

5

25. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Sancho, and all similarly situated individuals, and are Plaintiff Sancho's (and all similarly situated individuals) employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.

26. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Sancho and/or similarly situated individuals.

27. Upon information and belief, individual defendants Kyong J Kang (a.k.a. Helen), and Sanghee Lee operate Defendant Corporations as either alter egos of themselves, and/or fail to operate Defendant Corporations as legal entities separate and apart from themselves by, among other things:

- (a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate
 Defendant Corporations as separate and legally distinct entities;
- (b) defectively forming or maintaining Defendant Corporations by, among other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records;
- (c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants;
- (d) operating Defendant Corporations for their own benefit as the sole or majority shareholders;
- (e) operating Defendant Corporations for their own benefit and maintaining control over these corporations as closed corporations or closely controlled entities;
- (f) intermingling assets and debts of their own with Defendant Corporations;

(g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporations to protect their own interests; and

(h) other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

28. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Sancho's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

29. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Sancho, controlled the terms and conditions of her employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Sancho's services.

30. In each year from 2012 to 2015, Defendants, both individually and jointly, had gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprises were directly engaged in interstate commerce. For example, numerous items that were used in the nail spa on a daily basis, such as nail polish, nail cutters, and nail files were produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

32. Plaintiff Sancho is a former employee of Defendants, employed as a masseuse.

33. Plaintiff Sancho seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Plaintiff Julia Sancho

34. Plaintiff Sancho was employed by Defendants from approximately July 30, 2013 until February 28, 2015.

7

35. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Sancho was employed by Defendants as a masseuse, but was also required to clean, vacuum, and wash the manicure supplies.

36. Plaintiff Sancho regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as nail polish remover, nail files and nail cutters produced outside of the State of New York.

37. Plaintiff Sancho's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

38. Throughout her employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Sancho regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

39. From approximately July 30, 2013 until on or about February 28, 2015, Plaintiff Sancho worked from approximately 9:20 a.m. until on or about 8:00 p.m. Mondays, Wednesdays, Thursdays and Fridays, from approximately 8:10 a.m. until on or about 8:00 p.m. on Saturdays, and from approximately 8:50 a.m. until on or about 6:30 p.m. on Sundays (typically 64 hours per week).

40. Throughout her employment with defendants, Plaintiff Sancho was paid her wages entirely in cash.

41. From approximately July 30, 2013 until on or about January 2014 Plaintiff Sancho was paid \$40 per day.

42. From approximately January 2014 until on or about February 28, 2015 Plaintiff Sancho was paid \$45 per day.

43. Plaintiff Sancho's wages did not vary regardless of how many additional hours she worked in a week.

44. For example, Defendants frequently required Plaintiff Sancho to work an

additional 30 minutes to one hour past her regular departure time and did not compensate her for the additional hours worked.

45. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Sancho meal breaks or rest periods of any kind during her work hours.

46. Plaintiff Sancho was not required to keep track of her time, nor to her knowledge did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as punch cards, that accurately reflected her actual hours worked.

47. Defendants never provided Plaintiff Sancho with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Sancho's primary language), of her rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as is required by NYLL §195(1).

48. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Sancho regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.

Defendants' General Employment Practices

49. Defendants regularly required Plaintiff Sancho to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without paying her the proper minimum and overtime wages.

50. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Sancho (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without paying them appropriate minimum wage, overtime compensation and spread of hours pay, as required by federal and state laws.

51. Plaintiff Sancho was paid her wages in cash.

52. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded record keeping requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

9

53. By employing these practices, Defendants avoided paying Plaintiff Sancho the minimum wage for her regular hours and overtime compensation of time and a half for all of her hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

54. Defendants failed to post required wage and hour posters in the nail spa, and did not provide Plaintiff Sancho with statutorily required wage and hour records or statements of her pay received, in part so as to hide Defendants' violations of the wage and hour laws, and to take advantage of Plaintiff Sancho's relative lack of sophistication in wage and hour laws.

55. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Sancho (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Sancho properly for (1) her full hours worked; (2) the minimum wage; (3) for overtime due; and (4) her spread of hours pay.

56. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Sancho and other employees with wage statements at the time of payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).

57. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Sancho and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language of Spanish, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof,

whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

58. Plaintiff Sancho brings her FLSA minimum wage and overtime compensation and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons who are or were employed by Defendants, or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in her case (the "FLSA Class Period"), as employees of Claire Nails & Spa (the "FLSA Class").

59. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Sancho and other members of the FLSA Class who are and/or have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans of willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay of one and one-half times her regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA, willfully taking improper wage deductions and other improper credits against Plaintiff Sancho's wages for which Defendants did not qualify under the FLSA, and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA.

60. The claims of Plaintiff Sancho stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FLSA MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS

61. Plaintiff Sancho repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

62. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Sancho's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.

63. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

64. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r-s).

65. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

66. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

67. Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE FLSA OVERTIME PROVISIONS

68. Plaintiff Sancho repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

69. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Sancho's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for her employment.

70. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

71. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r-s).

72. Defendants, in violation of the FLSA, failed to pay Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of 29 U.S.C. $\frac{207}{a}(1)$.

73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

74. Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE RATE

75. Plaintiff Sancho repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

76. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Sancho's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and

13

fire Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members), control terms and conditions of employment, and determine the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for employment.

77. Defendants, in violation of the NYLL, paid Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) less than the minimum wage in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor.

78. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

79. Plaintiff Sancho was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE

NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

80. Plaintiff Sancho repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

81. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Sancho's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members), control terms and conditions of employment, and determine the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for employment.

82. Defendants, in violation of the NYLL and associated rules and regulations, failed to pay Plaintiff Sancho overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate

of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.* and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor.

83. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Sancho overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

84. Plaintiff Sancho was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER

OF THE NEW YORK COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

85. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

86. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Sancho one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiffs' spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 137-1.6.11.

87. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Sancho an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Sancho's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.

88. Plaintiff Sancho was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

89. Plaintiff Sancho repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

90. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Sancho with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Sancho's primary language), of her rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

91. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Sancho in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorney's fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

92. Plaintiff Sancho repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.

93. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Sancho with a statement of wages with each payment of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

94. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Sancho in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorney's fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Sancho respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

(a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them promptly to file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;

(b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Sancho(and the FLSA Class members);

(c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Sancho(and the FLSA Class members);

(d) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Sancho's (and the FLSA Class members') compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

(e) Declaring that Defendants' violation of the provisions of the FLSA was willful as to Plaintiff Sancho(and the FLSA Class members);

(f) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) damages for the amount of unpaid minimum and overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;

(g) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of their damages for the amount of unpaid minimum and overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

(h) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Sancho;

(i) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Sancho and the members of the FLSA Class;

¹⁷

(j) Declaring that Defendants violated the Spread of Hours Wage Order of the New York Commission of Labor as to Plaintiff Sancho and the members of the FLSA Class;

(k) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Sancho's compensation, hours, wages; and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

(l) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the New York Labor Law and Spread of
 Hours Wage Order were willful as to Plaintiff Sancho;

(m) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho damages for the amount of unpaid minimum and overtime wages, damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable;

(n) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);

(o) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage, spread of hours pay, and overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable;

(p) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;

(q) Awarding Plaintiff Sancho (and the FLSA Class members) the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorney's fees;

(r) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically

increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and

(s) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York January 12, 2018

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

<u>/s/ Michael Faillace</u>
 By: Michael A. Faillace [MF-8436]
 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510
 New York, New York 10165
 (212) 317-1200
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 2020 New York, New York 10165

Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

BY HAND

June 4, 2015

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre:

Julia Sancho

Legal Representative / Abogado:

Signature / Firma:

Date / Fecha:

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.
harfson (0.)
474
04 de junio de 2015

.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JULIA SANCHO, individu	ally and on behalf of o	others similarly situa	ated, CLAIRE NAIL &	TANNING ING		RE NAILS & SPA), BEST ILS & SPA), KYONG J F
(b) County of Residence of (E2)	F First Listed Plaintiff <u>C</u> CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Queens ASES)		of Residence of		Suffolk ONLY)
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Michael A. Faillace. Mich 60 East 42nd Suite 4510 New York, NY 10165			Attorne	ys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENS	HIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversit	PTF	DEF 1 Incorporated or P of Business In 2	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another	State 🗖 2	Incorporated and of Business In	1
			Citizen or Subject Foreign Country		□ 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		- 27				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Other:	of Property of Property 690 Other TY 710 Fair Labor Act 720 Labor/Man Relations 740 Railway La 751 Family and Leave Act 790 Other Labo NS 791 Employee I Income Sec MMIGR 462 Naturalizat	21 USC 881	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement				
	moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened	5 Transferre Another D (specify)		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Plaintiff seeks un Brief description of ca unpaid overtime	ause: wages	es pursuant to The	isdictional statutes	Standards Act of 1938	3, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: P: X Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 01/12/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ Michael Fail	TORNEY OF RECORD			
	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Michael Faiilace</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No
- If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? NA

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

No

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

,	I -	, j		
	(If yes,	please	explain	

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Michael Faillace

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JULIA SANCHO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,)))
Plaintiff(s)	ý
v.)
CLAIRE'S NAILS & SPA INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS)
& SPA), BEST NAIL & TANNING INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE)
NAILS & SPA), KYONG J KANG (a.k.a. HELEN), and SANGHEE LEE)
Defendant(s))

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) CLAIRE'S NAILS & SPA INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA) 448 Middle Country Road Selden, New York 11784

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	ceived by me on (date)			
	I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a pers	on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	On (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
	\Box I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on bel	nalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	\Box I returned the summer	nons unexecuted because		; or
	Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this informatio	n is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JULIA SANCHO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,)))
<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>)
V.)
CLAIRE'S NAILS & SPA INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), BEST NAIL & TANNING INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), KYONG J KANG (a.k.a. HELEN), and SANGHEE LEE)))
Defendant(s))

)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) BEST NAIL & TANNING INC. 448 Middle Country Road Selden, New York 11784

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	ceived by me on (date)			
	I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
	1 J		on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a pers	on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	On (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
	\Box I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on bel	nalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	\Box I returned the summer	nons unexecuted because		; or
	Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this informatio	n is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JULIA SANCHO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,)))
<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>)
V.)
CLAIRE'S NAILS & SPA INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), BEST NAIL & TANNING INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), KYONG J KANG (a.k.a. HELEN), and SANGHEE LEE))))
Defendant(s))

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) KYONG J KANG (a.k.a. HELEN) 448 Middle Country Road Selden, New York 11784

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	ceived by me on (date)			
	I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a pers	on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	On (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
	\Box I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on bel	nalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	\Box I returned the summer	nons unexecuted because		; or
	Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this informatio	n is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JULIA SANCHO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,)))
<i>Plaintiff(s)</i>)
V.)
CLAIRE'S NAILS & SPA INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), BEST NAIL & TANNING INC. (d/b/a CLAIRE NAILS & SPA), KYONG J KANG (a.k.a. HELEN), and SANGHEE LEE))))
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) SANGHEE LEE 448 Middle Country Road Selden, New York 11784

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	ceived by me on (date)			
	I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
	1 J		on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a pers	on of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	On (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
	\Box I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on bel	nalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	\Box I returned the summer	nons unexecuted because		; or
	Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this informatio	n is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Masseuse Claims Claire Nails & Spa Failed to Pay Proper Wages