

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SANDRA SANCHEZ, on behalf of herself
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES,
INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
AND
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff SANDRA SANCHEZ (hereinafter, “Plaintiff”), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through her attorneys, Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., against Defendant FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC. (hereinafter “Defendant”), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff’s counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff’s personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the “abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that “abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.” *Id.* Congress concluded that “existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers,” and that “the effective collection of debts” does not require “misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices.” 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to “insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged.” *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant’s actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq.* of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (“FDCPA”) which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a “Consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
9. Defendant is a collection agency with a principal place of business located in Edina, Minnesota.
10. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
11. Defendant is a “debt collector,” as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter “FRCP”)

Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the “Class”):

- Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
- The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

- Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See **Exhibit A***, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff’s privacy);
- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant’s conduct;
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are

entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and

d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.

- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

- Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT PARTICULAR TO SANDRA SANCHEZ

14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “13” herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and Internet.
16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer “debt” as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect an unpaid balance allegedly owing to Cavalry SPV 1, LLC.
17. On or around October 4, 2017, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (the “Letter”). *See Exhibit A.*
18. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
19. The Letter is a “communication” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
20. The Letter states in pertinent part: “Balance due as of October 4, 2017: \$1028.37.”
21. As a result of the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count

15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

False or Misleading Representations as to Status of Debt

22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “21” herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
23. Defendant’s debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated various

provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

24. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, a debt collector is prohibited from using false, deceptive, or misleading representation in connection with the collection of a debt.
25. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on non-enumerated practice.
26. Collection notices are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
27. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the “least sophisticated consumer.”
28. Defendant’s conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
29. The Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must be inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
30. By stating a “Balance due as of October 4, 2017: \$1028.37” Defendant falsely suggested that immediate payment of the balance would benefit Plaintiff by implying that the Balance would be subject to change, and could be subject to additional interest.¹
31. Plaintiff’s account was not subject to the accrual of interest.
32. In the alternative, Plaintiff’s account was subject to the accrual of interest, but Defendant’s communication failed to adequately disclose same.
33. Defendant’s debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated various

¹ *Islam, v. American Recovery Service Incorporated*, 17-CV-4228 (BMC), 2017 WL 4990570, at *2 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2017). Holding that *Avila* compels the conclusion that any ambiguity as to post-dated accruals in a collection notice gives rise to a claim under the general prohibition of § 1692e – even if the ambiguity does no harm or even inures to the benefit of the debtor.

provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to § 1692(e).

34. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq.* of the FDCPA, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.
Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C.
300 Cadman Plaza West. 12 Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Phone: (917) 299-6612
Fax: (718) 425-8954
Email: Joseph@Jmizrahilaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
December 14, 2017

DEPT 813 3923259817103
PO BOX 4115
CONCORD CA 94524

P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
1-866-418-9057

CURRENT CREDITOR: CAVALRY SPV I, LLC
ORIGINAL CREDITOR: CITIBANK, N.A.
REGARDING: CITIBANK, N.A.
ACCOUNT NUMBER: XXXX8120
DATE OF LAST PAYMENT: 10/02/14
CHARGE-OFF DATE: 07/07/14

TOTAL BALANCE DUE: \$1028.37
FRS FILE NUMBER: [REDACTED]E988
ON-LINE PIN NUMBER: 50969431
(Used to access and view your file on WWW.FIN-REC.COM)

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

October 4, 2017



SANDRA SANCHEZ



*****Assigned as a Pre-Legal Account*****

Please be advised that CAVALRY SPV I, LLC the current creditor-debt purchaser has purchased the account referenced above and it has been assigned to our office as a Pre-Legal account. As of the date of this letter, you owe the outstanding balance of \$1028.37.

This account meets our client's guidelines for placement with a law firm. If we cannot resolve this account, our client will place the account with a law firm. As of today, no attorney has reviewed the particular circumstances of this account to determine whether or not a lawsuit should be filed against you. We are not a law firm and we cannot provide you with legal advice or sue on any account. We do not make any decision whether to place any account with a law firm as that decision rests solely with our client.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

I respectfully ask that you contact our office and make arrangements to resolve your account with us before it is forwarded by our client to a licensed attorney in your state. Please call us at 1-866-418-9057 or utilize our online web solution at WWW.FIN-REC.COM to view and access your file using the online PIN number and FRS File number referenced above. We look forward to working with you to resolve this matter.

Sincerely,

AMBER KOSKI
Account Manager
TOLL FREE: 1-866-418-9057

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector. See reverse side for important information.

Office hours are: Monday-Thursday, 7am to 8pm; Friday 7am to 5pm; Saturday 7am to noon.

Detach and return this portion of this notice with your payment

Detach Coupon And Mail Payment

1 OF 3

FRS File # [REDACTED]E988



1-866-418-9057

Balance due as of October 4, 2017: \$1028.37

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 900

2 OF 3

FRS File # [REDACTED]E988



1-866-418-9057

Balance due as of October 4, 2017: \$1028.37

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 900

3 OF 3

FRS File # [REDACTED]E988



1-866-418-9057

Balance due as of October 4, 2017: \$1028.37

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 900

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Collection Letter Lands Financial Recovery Services in Hot Water](#)
