## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Bernabe Sanchez Gonzalez, individually and on behalf all other employees similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, Mila "Doe",

Case No.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Defendants.

Plaintiff Barnabe Sanchez Gonzalez (collectively "Plaintiffs") on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby file this complaint against the Defendants Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, Mila "Doe", (collectively "Defendants"), allege and show the Court the following:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA") and the New York Labor Law, arising from Defendants' various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek.

- 3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime compensation, (3) reimbursement for expenses relating to tools of the trade, (4) liquidated damages, (5) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (6) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4. Plaintiff further alleges pursuant to New York Labor Law § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") and New York Common law that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid minimum wages, (2) overtime compensation, (3) unpaid "Spread of Hours" premium, (4) unpaid agreed upon wages, (5) compensation for failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide paystubs in violation of the NYLL, (6) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid minimum, unpaid spread of hours premium, and unpaid overtime compensation pursuant to the NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, (7) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (8) attorney's fees and costs.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

#### **PLAINTIFFS**

7. Plaintiff Bernabe Sanchez Gonzalez is a resident of Brooklyn and was employed as a delivery worker by Defendants, with its principal place of business at 200 Clinton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201, from on or about June 1, 2017 to June 23, 2018.

#### **CORPORATE DEFENDANT**

- 8. Upon information and belief, Corporate Defendant, Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, is a domestic business corporation organization and existing under the laws of the State of New York and maintains its principal place of business at 200 Clinton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, is a business or enterprise engaged in interstate commerce employing more than two (2) employees and earning gross annual sales over Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000).
- 10. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times hereto, Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, have been and continue to be "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce", within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C § 203.
- 11. Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, constitutes an enterprise within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C § 203(r).
- 12. Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café has been Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the New York State Labor Law ("NYLL") § 2, 190, and 651.

#### **INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS**

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mila "Doe" is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café, at 200 Clinton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201 and participated in its day-to-day operations, acted intentionally and maliciously, is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated

thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Greenwich Catering Corp.

- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mila "Doe" owns the stock of Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.
- 15. At all times relevant herein Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 16. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café.
- 17. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned minimum wages and overtime compensation, and failed to provide him with a wage notice at the time of hiring in violation of the NYLL.
- 18. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or conditions have been waived.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 19. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 20. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of minimum wages, overtime pay, spread of hours premium, and failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.

- 21. From on or about June 1, 2017 to June 23, 2018, Plaintiff Bernabe Sanchez Gonzalez ("Plaintiff Sanchez") was hired by Defendants as a delivery worker for Defendants' bagel café restaurant located at 200 Clinton Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201.
- 22. Starting on or about June 1, 2017 until November 1, 2017, Plaintiff Sanchez worked six (6) days per week from 6:30 a.m. until 4:00 p.m. During this period Plaintiff Sanchez was not provided with an hour break, and worked approximately fifty-seven (57) hours per week.
- 23. Starting on or about November 2017 until March 2018, Plaintiff Sanchez worked six (6) days per week from 6:30 am until 1:00 p.m. During this period Plaintiff Sanchez was not provided with an hour break, and worked approximately thirty-nine (39) hours per week.
- 24. Starting on or about March 2018 until June 23, 2018, Plaintiff Sanchez worked four (4) days per week from 6:30 am until 1:00 p.m. During this period Plaintiff Sanchez was not provided with an hour break, and worked approximately twenty-six (26) hours per week.
- 25. Plaintiff Sanchez was paid an hourly rate of \$5.00 per hour, with no overtime compensation, regardless for the total amount of hours worked.
- 26. Plaintiff Sanchez was paid weekly in cash throughout his employment with the Defendants.
- 27. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not reimbursing Plaintiff Sanchez for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff Sanchez to make deliveries for the Defendant. Plaintiff Sanchez was required to purchase and maintain his own bicycle which was never reimbursed by Defendants. Plaintiff Sanchez spent \$300.00 to purchase the bicycle. However, Defendant's requested Plaintiff Sanchez obtain an electronic bicycle in order to make deliveries faster. Plaintiff Sanchez purchased the electronic

bicycle for \$1,550.00, spent approximately \$80.00 per month to maintain and repair the electric bicycle, and was not reimbursed by the Defendants.

- 28. Under the FLSA, the Plaintiff Sanchez is entitled to a credit for expenses for tools of trade.
- 29. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff overtime compensation according to state and federal laws.
- 30. Plaintiff was not compensated for New York State's "spread of hours" premium for shifts that lasted longer than ten (10) hours, one day each week.
  - 31. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with a wage notices at the time of his hiring.
- 32. Defendants did not reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff to make deliveries for the Defendant.
- 33. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 34. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime and minimum wage would economically injure Plaintiff and the Collective Members by their violation of federal and state laws.
- 35. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.
  - 36. Defendants failed to keep full and accurate records of Plaintiff's hours and wages.
- 37. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff and other Collective Members' pay increase(s).

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 38. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA minimum wage or the New York State minimum wage to Plaintiff or other similarly situated employees.
- 39. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State unpaid "Spread of Hours" premium to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.
- 40. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 41. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees.
- 42. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants at their restaurant location for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") and whom failed to receive minimum wages, spread-of-hours pay, and/or overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the "Collective Action Members"), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.

- 43. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than ten (10) Collective Action Members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 44. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.
- 45. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this collective that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 46. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the

members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.

- 47. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiffs and other Collective Action Members are:
  - a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;
  - b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members the minimum wage in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
  - c. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;
  - d. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
  - e. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;
  - f. Whether the Defendants failed to provide the Collective Action Members with a wage notice at the time of hiring as required by the NYLL;
  - g. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA; and,

- h. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.
- 48. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.
- 49. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

#### **STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

#### COUNT I

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Minimum Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective]

- 50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants have been, and continue to be, "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce," within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and §§207(a). Further, Plaintiffs are covered within the meaning of FLSA, U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants employed "employees" including Plaintiff, within the meaning of FLSA.
- 53. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 54. The FLSA provides that any employer engaged in commerce shall pay employees the applicable minimum wage. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

- 55. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay the statutory minimum wage to Plaintiff, and the collective action members, for some or all of the hours they worked.
- 56. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. \$206 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid minimum compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.
- 57. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by failing to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Class Members at the statutory minimum wage when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiffs and Collective Action members.

## COUNT II [Violation of New York Labor Law—Minimum Wage Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs]

- 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 60. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay the minimum wage shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 61. Defendants knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay them minimum wages in the lawful amount for hours worked.

#### **COUNT III**

## [Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective]

- 62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).
- 64. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. \$207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC \$216(b).
- 65. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.
- 66. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 67. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.

- 68. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.
- 69. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Members the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiffs and Collective Action Members.

## **COUNT IV**[Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay]

- 70. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the NYLL.
  - 73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective was not in good faith.

## COUNT V [Violation of New York Labor Law—Spread of Hour Pay]

74. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 75. The NYLL requires employers to pay an extra hour's pay for every day that an employee works an interval in excess of ten hours pursuant to NYLL §§190, et seq., and §§650, et seq., and New York State Department of Labor regulations §146-1.6.
- 76. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective spread-of-hours pay was not in good faith.

#### **COUNT VI**

## [Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act —Failure Reimburse for Expenses relating to Tools of the Trade]

- 77. Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated Collective Action Members and members of the Class repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 78. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff in order to make deliveries for Defendant's restaurant business.
- 79. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade, which includes but is not limited to purchasing a bicycle and the cost of maintaining and repairing the bicycle due to its constant use for the Defendant's restaurant. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff in order to make deliveries for Defendants.
- 80. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to reimburse Plaintiff for expenses incurred in relation to tools of the trade used by Plaintiff when Defendants knew or should have known such was due.

#### COUNT VII

#### [Violation of New York Labor Law—Time of Hire Wage Notice Requirement]

- 81. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 82. The Defendants failed to furnish to the Plaintiff at the time of hiring a notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with section one hundred ninety-one of this article; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(1).
- 83. Due to the defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(1) Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$50 for each workday that the violation occurred or continued to occur, up to \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law. N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-b).

## **COUNT VIII**[Violation of New York Labor Law—New York Pay Stub Requirement]

- 84. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 85. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide detailed paystub information to employees every payday. NYLL §198-1(d).
- 86. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the New York Labor Law with respect to compensation of Plaintiff, and did not provide the pay stub on or after

each Plaintiff's payday.

87. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$250 for each workday of the violation, up to \$5,000 for Plaintiff for costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law N.Y. Lab. Law \$198(1-d).

#### **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA collective members, respectfully requests that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing Plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and overtime wages;
  - b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiffs and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- e) An injunction against Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Café its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them

as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;

- f) An award of unpaid wages and minimum wages due to Plaintiff under the FLSA and New York Labor Law, plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after May 1, 2011 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;
- g) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law, plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after May 1, 2011 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;
- h) An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor Law;
  - i) An award of unpaid expenses relating to tools of the trade due under the FLSA;
- j) An award of damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring as required under the New York Labor Law.
- k) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' knowing and willful failure to pay minimum wages, and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;
- l) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay minimum wages, "spread of hours" premium, and overtime compensation pursuant to New York Labor Law;
- m) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;
  - n) The cost and disbursements of this action;
  - o) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;

- p) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and
- q) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

Dated: Flushing, New York July 20, 2018 HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Lorena P. Duarte</u>

Lorena P. Duarte, Esq. 136-20 38<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite #10G Flushing, New York 11354

Telephone: (718) 353-8522 Email: <u>lduarte@hanglaw.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# EXHIBIT 1

#### CONSENT TO SUE UNDER FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Atlantic Bagels Café and/or related entities.

I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

Bernabe Sanchez Gronzalez Signature Full Legal Name

7/2/7018 Date

 $_{
m JS~44~(Rev.~11/27/17)}$  Case 1:18-cv-04156 Document 1-10-VER SHEET Page 1 of 2 Page 1 with 21 Page 1 of 2 P

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FO	PRM.)	, 1				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS						
Bernabe Sanchez Gonzalez				Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Cafe, Mila "Doe"					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 136-20 38th Ave., Suite 10G Flushing, NY 11354 (718)-353-8588				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant New York  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)	II. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C	One Box fo	or Plaintij
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	•		(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State $\Box$ 1 $\Box$ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State $\Box$ 4 $\Box$ 4						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State					
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			E	DEELTHDE/DENIALTS/		here for: Nature o			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	62	DRFEITURE/PENALTY  25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881  20 Other  Description of Property 21 USC 881  Description of Property 21 USC 881  Description of Property 21 USC 881  Description of Pair Labor Standards Act Description of Labor/Management Relations Description of Relation of Pair Labor Litigation Description of Pair Labor Li	422 App   423 With 28 U   28	RTY RIGHTS  yrights  nt  nt - Abbreviated  Drug Application  emark  SECURITY  (1395ff)  k Lung (923)  C/DIWW (405(g))  Title XVI	375 False Cla   376 Qui Tam 3729(a))   400 State Rea   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and 450 Commerc   460 Deportati   470 Racketeen   480 Consume   490 Cable/Sat   850 Securities   Exchange   890 Other Sta   891 Agricultu   893 Environm   895 Freedom Act   896 Arbitratic   899 Administ Act/Revie Agency D   950 Constituti   State Stat	ims Act (31 USC) pportion d Banking e on r Influence rganizatie r Credit TV //Commode tuttory Act rarl Acts ental Matt of Inform on rative Proceed wo or App elecision ionality of	ed and ons dities/ tions ters tation
	moved from 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you are	Reop	stated or	r District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	ı - İ	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON FLSA 29 USC 216 Brief description of ca	6(b) use:							
UNPAID WAGES FOR OVERTIME OF WORK  VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.						nt:			
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 07/20/2018	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

#### 

#### **CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY**

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I. Lorena	P. Duarte, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil act
-,	gible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
Į	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
N/A	
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
to anothe substanti deemed ' "Presump	st all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "relater civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a ial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that ptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still before the court."
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffo County?  Yes  No
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?  Yes  No
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:
Suffolk	answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County? Yes No
	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
	✓ Yes □ No
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?
	Yes (If yes, please explain No
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.
	Signature:

Reset

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

**Print** 

Save As...

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern Dist	rict of New York
Bernabe Sanchez Gonzalez, individually and on behalf of all other employees similarly situated,  Plaintiff(s)  V.  Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a Atlantic Bagels Cafe, Mila	) ) ) ) ) Civil Action No.
"Doe"  Defendant(s)	) ) )
SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Atlantic Bagels LLC d/b/a 200 Clinton Street Brooklyn, NY 11201	a Atlantic Bagels Cafe, Mila "Doe"
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk
	- • • •

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nat	me of individual and title, if a	my)					
was rec	eeived by me on (date)							
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)					
	_		on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's resid	ence or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summe	I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	se	; or				
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		-	Server's signature					
		-	Printed name and title					
		-	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Delivery Worker Sues Brooklyn's Atlantic Bagels Café Over Alleged Wage Violations</u>