### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SARA SALERNO, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

v.

FLORIDA SOUTHERN COLLEGE,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Sara Salerno ("Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated against Defendant Florida Southern College ("FSC" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to herself, which are based on personal knowledge.

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION AND FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 1. This is a class action lawsuit on behalf of all people who paid tuition and fees for the Spring 2020 academic semester at FSC, and who, because of Defendant's response to the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 ("COVID-19") pandemic, lost the benefit of the education for which they paid, and/or the services or which their fees were paid, without having their tuition and fees refunded to them.
- 2. FSC is a private college with an enrollment of over 3,000 students. The university offers 50 undergraduate majors and pre-professional programs, graduate programs in nursing, business, and education, and post-graduate programs in nursing, education, and physical therapy. FSC has been named among the most beautiful college campuses in the country.
  - 3. On March 12, 2020, FSC announced that because of the global COVID-19

pandemic, in-person classes would be suspended on March 13, 2020 for ten days, with classes to resume online on March 23, 2020. FSC advised students to leave campus and return home, and it anticipated that in-person classes would resume on April 15, 2020. However, on March 18, 2020, FSC President Anne B. Kerr announced in a campus-wide email that FSC would "proceed with offering remote instruction for the remainder of the semester. Thus, students will not return to campus, as [] first planned, for classes to resume face-to-face on April 15th." The email also announced FSC's decision to altogether "close campus buildings[] ... and cancel May graduation." Noting that "at least the next two weeks, [FSC's] buildings must remain closed[,]" President Kerr provided students the empty consolation that FSC would provide "more information about making arrangements for pick-up of items[,]" but warned that "this process might be longer than two weeks."

- 4. FSC has not held any in-person classes since March 12, 2020. Classes that have continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction.
- 5. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Ms. Salerno and the putative Class contracted and paid for. The online learning options being offered to FSC students are subpar in practically every aspect, from the lack of facilities, materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education that Plaintiff and the putative class members contracted and paid for.
- 6. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of tuition and fees for in-person educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it

nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.

7. In short, although President Kerr may "regret so very much that [students] are being asked to make these difficult adjustments during spring semester[,]" as she stated in her March 18 email to the FSC student body, that is an empty platitude and it comes as no consolation to Plaintiff and the putative Class. Rather, Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring 2020 Semester when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks a return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

#### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff Sara Salerno is a citizen of New York who resides in Bronx County,
  New York. Ms. Salerno's daughter is an undergraduate student at FSC pursuing a Bachelor's
  Degree in Biology. The Biology program at FSC relies extensively on in-person instruction, lab
  work, and access to facilities. None of these resources are available to Ms. Salerno's daughter
  while in-person classes are suspended and FSC's campus is closed. Ms. Salerno paid
  approximately \$18,430 to Defendant for her daughter's tuition for the Spring 2020 Semester, a
  portion of which was covered by a scholarship. Ms. Salerno has not been provided a refund of
  any tuition monies paid, despite the fact that in-person classes have not been held since March
  12, 2020. Ms. Salerno also paid the following fees to Defendant for the Spring 2020 Semester:
  (i) a technology fee of \$315, and (ii) an activity fee of \$75. Ms. Salerno has not been provided a
  refund of any these fees, despite the fact that all students were required to leave campus on
  March 13, 2020.
  - 9. Defendant Florida Southern College is a private institution of higher education

with its principal place of business at 111 Lake Hollingsworth Drive, Lakeland, Florida 33801.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 10. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts substantial business in this State and has sufficient minimum contacts with New York.

  Defendant has solicited students residing in New York to attend its institution; has accepted money, including application fees, tuition, and other fees from students residing in New York, has websites accessible to students in New York, and has entered into contracts with New York residents.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred here. Specifically, the contract that is the subject of this action was formed in this District.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### Plaintiff And Class Members Paid Tuition And Fees For The Spring 2020 Semester

- 13. Plaintiff and Class members are individuals who paid the cost of tuition and other mandatory fees for the Spring 2020 Semester at FSC.
- 14. Spring 2020 Semester classes at FSC began on or about January 14, 2020. Final exams for the semester are scheduled for end on or around May 6, 2020.
  - 15. Plaintiff and Class members paid the cost of tuition for the Spring 2020 Semester.

They also paid other mandatory fees associated with the Spring 2020 Semester, including but not limited to a \$800 student health fee.

- 16. Approximate tuition costs for the Spring 2020 Semester at FSC are as follows:
  - Undergraduate: \$18,430
  - Adult Undergraduate: \$326 per credit hour
  - Graduate School of Education: \$430 per credit hour
  - Doctor of Education: \$490 per credit hour
  - School of Nursing: \$600 per credit hour
  - Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.): \$1,034 per credit hour
  - Master of Accountancy (M.A.C.C.): \$775 per credit hour
- 17. Fees paid by or on behalf of FSC students vary based on program of study. By way of example, undergraduate students paid Defendant a technology fee of \$315 per semester for the Spring 2020 Semester.
- 18. The tuition and fees described in the paragraphs above are provided by way of example; total damage amounts which may include other fees that are not listed herein but that were not refunded will be proven at trial.

#### In Response To COVID-19, FSC Closed Campus And Cancelled All In-Person Classes

- 19. On March 12, 2020, FSC announced that because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, in-person classes would be suspended on March 13, 2020 for ten days, with classes to resume online on March 23, 2020. Students were advised to leave campus and return home. FSC anticipated that in-person classes would resume on April 15, 2020. However, on March 19, 2020, FSC announced that online classes would continue for the remainder of the Spring 2020 Semester.
- 20. Since March 12, 2020, FSC has not held any in-person classes. The closure of FSC's campuses has been extended through the end of Spring 2020 Semester. Classes that have

continued have only been offered in an online format, with no in-person instruction. Even classes for students with concentrations in areas where in-person instruction is especially crucial (such as architecture, music, theatre, and the sciences) have only had access to minimum online education options.

- 21. As a result of the closure of Defendant's facilities, Defendant has not delivered the educational services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Plaintiff and the putative class contracted and paid for. Plaintiff and the putative class are therefore entitled to a refund of all tuition and fees for services, facilities, access and/or opportunities that Defendant has not provided. Even if Defendant claims it did not have a choice in cancelling in-person classes, it nevertheless has improperly retained funds for services it is not providing.
- 22. Plaintiff and members of the Class did not choose to attend an online institution of higher learning, but instead chose to attend Defendant's institution and enroll on an in-person basis.
- 23. Defendant markets the FSC on-campus experience as a benefit of enrollment on FSC's website:

### **CAMPUS TRADITIONS**

Central to the total Florida Southern experience, our rich traditions are among the most cherished memories for FSC students and graduates.

### FLORIDA SOUTHERN HAS A LOT TO OFFER.

At Florida Southern, you'll find a one-of-a-kind living and learning experience on the nation's most beautiful campus.

24. The online learning options being offered to FSC students are subpar in practically every aspect and a shadow of what they once were, from the lack of facilities,

materials, and access to faculty. Students have been deprived of the opportunity for collaborative learning and in-person dialogue, feedback, and critique.

- 25. The remote learning options are in no way the equivalent of the in-person education putative class members contracted and paid for. The remote education being provided is not even remotely worth the amount charged class members for Spring 2020 Semester tuition. The tuition and fees for in-person instruction at FSC are higher than tuition and fees for other online institutions because such costs cover not just the academic instruction, but encompass an entirely different experience which includes but is not limited to:
  - Face to face interaction with professors, mentors, and peers;
  - Access to facilities such as libraries, laboratories, computer labs, and study room;
  - Student governance and student unions;
  - Extra-curricular activities, groups, intramural sports, etc.;
  - Student art, cultures, and other activities;
  - Social development and independence;
  - Hands on learning and experimentation; and
  - Networking and mentorship opportunities.
- 26. Through this lawsuit Plaintiff seeks, for herself and Class members, Defendant's disgorgement of the pro-rated portion of tuition and fees, proportionate to the amount of time that remained in the Spring 2020 Semester when classes moved online and campus services ceased being provided. Plaintiff seeks return of these amounts on behalf of herself and the Class as defined below.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

27. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all people who paid FSC Spring

2020 Semester tuition and/or fees for in-person educational services that FSC failed to provide, and whose tuition and fees have not been refunded (the "Class"). Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant, Defendant's officers, directors, agents, trustees, parents, children, corporations, trusts, representatives, employees, principals, servants, partners, joint ventures, or entities controlled by Defendant, and their heirs, successors, assigns, or other persons or entities related to or affiliated with Defendant and/or Defendant's officers and/or directors, the judge assigned to this action, and any member of the judge's immediate family.

- 28. Plaintiff also seeks to represent a subclass consisting of Class members who reside in New York (the "Subclass").
- 29. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and discovery, the foregoing definition of the Class and Subclass may be expanded or narrowed by amendment or amended complaint.
- 30. **Numerosity.** The members of the Class and Subclass are geographically dispersed throughout the United States and are so numerous that individual joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff reasonably estimates that there are thousands of members in the Class and Subclass. Although the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, the true number of Class members is known by Defendant and may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant and third-party retailers and vendors.
- 31. **Existence and predominance of common questions of law and fact.** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and Subclass and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual

questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) whether Defendant accepted money from Class and Subclass members in exchange for the promise to provide services;
- (b) whether Defendant has provided the services for which Class and Subclass members contracted;
- (c) whether Class and Subclass members are entitled to a refund for that portion of the tuition and fees that was contracted for services that Defendant did not provide;
- (d) whether Defendant has unlawfully converted money from Plaintiff, the Class and Subclass; and
- (e) whether Defendant is liable to Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass for unjust enrichment.
- 32. **Typicality.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that, among other things, all Class and Subclass members were similarly situated and were comparably injured through Defendant's wrongful conduct as set forth herein. Further, there are no defenses available to Defendant that are unique to Plaintiff.
- 33. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff has retained counsel that is highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the Class and Subclass. Furthermore, Plaintiff has no interests that are antagonistic to those of the Class or Subclass.
- 34. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages or other financial detriment suffered

by individual Class and Subclass members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense of individual litigation of their claims against Defendant. It would, thus, be virtually impossible for the Class or Subclass on an individual basis, to obtain effective redress for the wrongs committed against them. Furthermore, even if Class or Subclass members could afford such individualized litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts.

Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. By contrast, the class action device provides the benefits of adjudication of these issues in a single proceeding, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court, and presents no unusual management difficulties under the circumstances.

- 35. In the alternative, the Class and Subclass may also be certified because:
  - (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant;
  - (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class and Subclass members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and/or
  - (c) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final declaratory and/or

injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

## COUNT I Breach Of Contract (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 36. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 37. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 38. Through the admission agreement and payment of tuition and fees, Plaintiff and each member of the Class and Subclass entered into a binding contract with Defendant.
- 39. As part of the contract, and in exchange for the aforementioned consideration,
  Defendant promised to provide certain services, all as set forth above. Plaintiff, Class, and
  Subclass members fulfilled their end of the bargain when they paid tuition monies due for Spring
  2020 Semester. Tuition for Spring 2020 Semester was intended to cover in-person educational
  services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class and
  Subclass members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring
  2020 Semester.
- 40. Defendant has failed to provide the contracted for services and has otherwise not performed under the contract as set forth above. Defendant has retained monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class for their Spring 2020 Semester tuition and/or fees, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.
- 41. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have suffered damage as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, including but not limited to being deprived of the education, experience, and services to which they were promised and for which they have

already paid.

- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass are entitled to damages, to be decided by the trier of fact in this action, to include but no be limited to reimbursement of certain tuition, fees, and other expenses that were collected by Defendant for services that Defendant has failed to deliver. Defendant should return the prorated portion of any Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees for education services not provided since FSC shut down on March 12, 2020.
- 43. Defendant's performance under the contract is not excused due to COVID-19. Indeed, Defendant should have refunded the pro-rated portion of any education services not provided. Even if performance was excused or impossible, Defendant would nevertheless be required to return the funds received for services it will not provide.

# COUNT II Unjust Enrichment (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 44. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 45. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 46. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass conferred a benefit on Defendant in the form of monies paid for Spring 2020 Semester tuition and other fees in exchange for certain service and promises. Tuition for Spring 2020 Semester was intended to cover in-person educational services from January through May 2020. In exchange for tuition monies paid, Class members were entitled to in-person educational services through the end of the Spring 2020 Semester.

- 47. Defendant voluntarily accepted and retained this benefit by accepting payment.
- 48. Defendant has retained this benefit, even though Defendant has failed to provide the education, experience, and services for which the tuition and fees were collected, making Defendant's retention unjust under the circumstances. Accordingly, Defendant should return the pro-rated portion of any Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees for education services not provided since FSC shut down on March 12, 2020.
- 49. It would be unjust and inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit, and Defendant should be required to disgorge this unjust enrichment.

# COUNT III Conversion (On Behalf Of The Class And Subclass)

- 50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 51. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the Class and Subclass against Defendant.
- 52. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass have an ownership right to the in-person educational services they were supposed to be provided in exchange for their Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fee payments to Defendant.
- 53. Defendant intentionally interfered with the rights of Plaintiff, the Class, and Subclass when it moved all classes to an online format and discontinued in-person educational services for which tuition and fees were intended to pay.
- 54. Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass demand the return of the prorated portion of any Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees for education services not provided since FSC shut down on March 12, 2020.

- 55. Defendant's retention of the fees paid by Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass without providing the educational services for which they paid, deprived Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members of the benefits for which the tuition and fees paid.
- 56. This interference with the services for which Plaintiff and members of the Class and Subclass paid damaged Plaintiff and Class members in that they paid tuition and fees for services that will not be provided.
- 57. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass members are entitled to the return of pro-rated portion of any Spring 2020 Semester tuition and fees for education services not provided since FSC shut down on March 12, 2020.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying the Class and Subclass under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class and Subclass:
- (b) For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass on all counts asserted herein;
- (c) For compensatory and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- (d) For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- (e) For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- (f) For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- (g) For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass her reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable of right.

Dated: June 5, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

#### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

By: <u>/s/ Max S. Roberts</u>
Max S. Roberts

Max S. Roberts 888 Seventh Avenue, Third Floor New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (646) 837-7150 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163 Email: mroberts@bursor.com

#### **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

Sarah N. Westcot (*pro hac vice* app. forthcoming) 2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220 Miami, FL 33133

Telephone: (305) 330-5512 Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 Email: swestcot@bursor.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Seeks Tuition</u>, <u>Fee Refunds from Florida Southern College for COVID-19-Shortened Semester</u>