UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

OLMEDO VASQUEZ-SAAVEDRA, FELIX PEREZ, LUIS ROBLES and OMAR MARTINEZ, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,	Case No.:		
v.			
BLUEGREEN VACATIONS UNLIMITED, INC., d/b/a THE FOUNTAINS RESORT, and KEN MORGAN, individually,			
Defendants.			

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs, OLMEDO VASQUEZ-SAAVEDRA, FELIX PEREZ, LUIS ROBLES, and OMAR MARTINEZ, on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated employees, bring this action for unpaid overtime compensation, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 216(b) (the "FLSA") against BLUEGREEN VACATIONS UNLIMITED, INC., d/b/a THE FOUNTAINS RESORT ("Bluegreen") and KEN MORGAN, individually (collectively "Defendants").

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, bring this action against Defendants seeking legal relief and compensation because Defendants violated their federally protected rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. 201, et. seq.
- 2. Plaintiffs worked in "Front Line" and "In House" sales and marketing positions at The Fountains Resort in Orlando, Florida, selling "vacation ownership" opportunities to

prospective buyers and "upgrades" to existing owners.

- 3. Typically, prospects attended group presentations touting the benefits of vacation ownership. Upon conclusion of the group presentation, Plaintiffs' job was to convince prospects to purchase vacation ownership packages, *i.e.* close the deal and sell the timeshares. Plaintiffs worked exclusively on The Fountains property and did not solicit outside sales.
- 4. Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated, were non-exempt employees and therefore entitled to overtime for all hours worked over 40 in each workweek. However, Defendants refused to pay Plaintiffs and others similarly situated the overtime wages to which they were lawfully and contractually entitled.
- 5. Defendants violated the FLSA by, *inter alia* requiring Plaintiffs and others to work "off the clock" so Defendants did not have to pay them overtime compensation. Defendants used threats, intimidation and falsified time records to ensure employees were never paid overtime. As a result, employee time records rarely, if ever, reflected more than 40 hours worked per week. However, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated regularly worked 60-80 hours per week without receiving overtime compensation.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Olmedo Vasquez-Saavedra, worked in various Front Line and In House sales positions at The Fountains Resort between March, 2011 until August, 2016.
- 7. Plaintiff Felix Perez worked in various Front Line and In House sales positions at The Fountains Resort between January, 2010 and July, 2015.
- 8. Plaintiff Luis Robles worked in various Front Line and In House sales positions at The Fountains Resort between June, 2011 and June, 2015.
- 9. Plaintiff, Omar Martinez worked in various sales representative positions at the Fountains Resort between August, 2012 and January, 2015.
 - 10. Defendant, Bluegreen Vacations Unlimited, Inc. manages and markets timeshare

resorts located throughout the United States and Caribbean. Bluegreen's corporate headquarters is located at 4960 Conference Way North, Boca Raton, Florida. The Fountains, the property at which Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were employed, is located at 12400 International Drive South, Orlando, Florida.

11. Defendant, Ken Morgan, has at all times relevant been the Sales Director of The Fountains Resort. As Sales Director, Mr. Morgan dictated the unlawful Bluegreen's unlawful policies and practices, managed and controlled Plaintiffs' daily work activities and had the authority to discipline and terminate Plaintiffs.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendants overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 13. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1337 and the FLSA.
- 14. Venue is proper in this Court because the violations of law alleged in this action occurred in Orange County, Florida.
- 15. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendants were an enterprise covered by the FLSA, as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§203(r) and 203(s).
- 16. Defendant Bluegreen markets and sells timeshares throughout the United States and Caribbean. Defendant Bluegreen has had gross revenues of at least \$500,000.00 annually for the preceding three years and has employed employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Specifically, Bluegreen's employees handle, sell and otherwise work on goods that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce, including marketing materials, pens and paper.
- 17. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were employees of Defendants as defined by the FLSA.

- 18. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were non-exempt employees pursuant to the FLSA and were therefore entitled to overtime compensation.
- 19. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiffs and others similarly situated overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 20. While employed by Bluegreen in the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were not paid time and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.
- 21. Defendants used intimidation, coercion to ensure time records were falsified. Defendant Ken Morgan and others working under his direction required employees to falsify their time records to reflect less than forty hours per week even though the employees worked more than 40 hours per week. Defendant Ken Morgan and other management personnel repeatedly threatened to terminate Plaintiffs if they did not falsify their time records to show less than 40 hours worked every week.
- 22. To keep their jobs, Plaintiffs did as instructed. Specifically, Plaintiffs were required by their superiors to clock out but continue working, often an additional 5-10 hours per day. Plaintiffs did not have a choice. If Plaintiffs did not do as they were instructed by their superiors, they would have been terminated.
- 23. By forcing Plaintiffs to punch out but continue working, Plaintiffs' time records showed less than 40 hours worked per week. In reality, Plaintiffs worked closer to an average of 60-80 hours per week. In essence, Plaintiffs were forced to falsify their own time records.
- 24. Defendants knew Plaintiffs were non-exempt employees entitled to overtime because the job descriptions and other documents drafted by Defendants designated Plaintiff's

status as "non-exempt."

- 25. Defendants know Plaintiffs were working more than 40 hours per week without receiving overtime compensation.
- 26. Additionally, Defendants adjusted Plaintiffs time records after the fact to ensure the hours work reflected in the records were less than 40 hours.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendants did not rely on any Department of Labor Wage and Hour Opinions or the advice of an attorney in creating its pay policies.
- 28. Defendants are a sophisticated employer with the resources to ensure compliance with the FLSA. Therefore, Defendants knew or should have known with reasonable diligence that their conduct violated the Fair Labor Standards Act.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 29. Plaintiffs reincorporate and readopt all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-28 above.
- 30. Plaintiffs were entitled to be paid time and one-half times their regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
- 31. During their employment with Defendants, Plaintiffs regularly worked overtime hours but were not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.
- 32. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiffs time and one-half their regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiffs have suffered damages and are incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 33. Defendants were aware Plaintiffs performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).
 - 34. Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the

FLSA for Plaintiffs.

- 35. Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 36. Defendants' conduct was in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
 - 37. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.
 - 38. Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages.
 - 39. Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours worked at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for which Defendants did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

COUNT II COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF FLSA (FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME)

- 40. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1-28as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. Defendants employed numerous other non-exempt In House and Front Line sales representatives who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 42. These employees performed the same job duties as Plaintiffs, worked under the same supervisors and were subject to the same terms and conditions of employment. Defendants failed to pay these individuals similarly situated to Plaintiffs one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each week, in violation of the FLSA.
- 43. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.
 - 44. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants unlawful acts, individuals

similarly situated to Plaintiffs have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and

attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand that similarly situated employees have judgment

entered against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular

rate of pay for the hours worked over forty for which Defendants did not properly them, liquidated

damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this

Court deems to be just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Respectfully submitted, this 25th day of October, 2016.

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman

MARC R. EDELMAN, ESQ. Fla. Bar No. 0096342 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 201 N. Franklin Street, #600

Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone 813-223-5505

Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: Medelman@forthepeople.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS	3							
OLMEDO VASQUEZ-SAAVEDRA, FELIX PEREZ, LUIS ROBLES a OMAR MARINEZ, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated the statement of the s				BLUEGREEN VACATIONS UNLIMITED, INC d/b/a THE FOUNTAIN RESORT and KEN MORGAN individually					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI I OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TI IVOLVED.	HE LOCATION O	i F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)	•				
MARC R.EDELMAN,	, ESQ. 201 N. FRAN	KLIN STREET #70	00						
MORGAN & MORGA		3602 (813) 577-473		}					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	[CTION (Place an "X" in O	Ine Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C and One Box for		
🗇 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question			P	TF DEF		una one box jor	PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This State 🕳 1 🗇 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Principal Place 🗇 4 🖻 of Business In This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citiz	en of Another State	3 2 0 2	Incorporated and F		5	5
2444444	(<i>p</i> • <i>y</i> • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cision	en or Subject of a	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		D 6	0 6
		=:::		reign Country		Poteign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an "X" in One Box Or	nly)							
CONTRACT									3
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	Y 5 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 drawal	☐ 375 False Cla		
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 69	0 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a))		
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	ł		DDADET	RTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury	1		□ 820 Copy		430 Banks ar		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	.		☐ 830 Paten		☐ 450 Commer		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1		□ 840 Trade	emark	☐ 460 Deportat ☐ 470 Racketee		ed and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR		SECURITY	Corrupt (Organizatio	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	RTY 🗗 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA		480 Consume 490 Cable/Sa		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	O 72	20 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitie		ities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		Relations	☐ 864 SSID		Exchang		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Sta		ions
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act			893 Environ		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	PRISONER PETITIO		00 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement	FEDERA	ALTAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom	or informa	ition
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	, indian	Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrati	on.	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	İ			efendant)	☐ 899 Adminis		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	•			-Third Party SC 7609	Act/Revi	iew or Appe Decision	al of
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General				20 7007	950 Constitut	tionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State Star	tutes	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration	1				
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions	1				
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	ĺ		1				
		Conditions of							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is		Confinement			<u> </u>				
	ite Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened Anothe	erred from er District	6 Multidistr Litigation			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	itute under which you a	re filing (1	(specyy) Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Unpaid overtime								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complaint:	:
VIII. RELATED CASI				<u> </u>					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DATE 10/25/2016	1/1	SIGNATURE OF AT							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		_ man	r CC	telman	-				
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 11/15)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FLSA Overtime Class Action Filed Against The Fountains Resort