UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEO RUSSO, both individually and on behalf of a class of others similarly situated,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

Civil Action Number:

v.

THE COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

INTRODUCTION

This is a class action brought to redress the deprivation by Allegheny County ("Defendant") of rights secured to Leo Russo ("Plaintiff") and the proposed Class by the Constitution of United States of America. Detainees at the Allegheny County Jail enjoy privacy protections in their health information no different than ordinary citizens. Allegheny County, through the booking procedures at the Allegheny County Jail, is ignoring those rights, and causing substantial harm to members of the proposed class. Specifically, for the past several years, Allegheny County has required detainees at the Allegheny County Jail to detail information about their health conditions, including their mental health, as part of the booking process for new detainees. While requesting health information is a normal part of the booking process at any local jail, what is not normal is for detainees to be required to detail that information to health providers in groups. At the Allegheny County Jail, detainees sit in a room with several other detainees, and are questioned about their private health information. Detainees with HIV, Hepatitis, mental

illness, venereal disease and any number of medical conditions are required to detail their health history sitting within earshot of several other detainees. Obviously, no one wants their private health information available to other detainees, who will undoubtedly share that information when being placed into a housing unit. There is no justification, much less a legitimate penological justification, for this pattern and practice. There are also a number of *de minimus* alternatives to the County's practices here. Detainees should have the right, just like anyone else, to discuss their health information with a medical provider in private; it would not be a significant imposition to allow them to do so.

This lawsuit seeks to address these illegal practices, and put an end to them. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages from Defendant for himself and each member of the Proposed Classes, a declaration that Defendant's policies are unconstitutional and an injunction precluding Defendant from continuing to violate the rights of those placed into the custody of the Allegheny County Jail. With this as a background, Plaintiff Leo Russo complains as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1341 & 1343 because it is filed to obtain compensatory damages and injunctive relief for the deprivation, under color of state law, of the rights of citizens of the United States secured by the Constitution and federal law pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court also has jurisdiction over this action under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §2201, as it is filed to obtain declaratory relief relative to the constitutionality of the policies of a local government.

2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's and Class claims occurred within this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 3. Defendant County of Allegheny is a county government organized and existing under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania. At all times relevant hereto, Allegheny County, as well as its agents, servants, and employees, was responsible for the polices, practices, supervision, implementation and conduct of all matters pertaining to the Allegheny County Jail, as well as for the appointment, training, supervision, and conduct of all Allegheny County Jail personnel.
- 4. The County of Allegheny's principal place of business is 101 County Courthouse, 436 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, PA. The Allegheny County Jail is located at 950 Second Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15219
- 5. Plaintiff Leo Russo is a citizen of the United States, and currently resides in Greene County, Pennsylvania.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Rules 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), 23(b)(3) and 23(c)(4)(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of himself and a class, including one subclass, of similarly situated individuals admitted to the custody of the Allegheny County Jail during the proposed class period.
 - 7. Specifically, the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

All detainees who have been or will be placed into the custody of the Allegheny County Jail who were also interviewed about their medical history and/or medical conditions. The class period commences on January 22, 2016 and extends to the date on which Allegheny County is enjoined from, or otherwise ceases, enforcing its policy, practice, and custom of interviewing detainees about their medical history and/or medical conditions in the absence of appropriate private interviews. Specifically excluded from the Class are Defendant and any and all of its respective affiliates, legal representatives, heirs, successors, employees, or assignees.

- 8. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a Class Action under Federal Law and satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy requirements for maintaining a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a).
- 9. The members of the Class are so numerous as to render joinder impracticable. Upon information and belief, there are thousands of citizens who are placed into custody of the Allegheny County every month most, if not all, of whom are members of the Proposed Class. Upon information and belief, the size of each of the Proposed Class totals at least tens of thousands of individuals, some of whom have had their civil rights violated on multiple occasions.
- 10. Upon information and belief, joinder of all of these individuals is impracticable because of the large number of Class Members and the fact that Class Members are likely dispersed over a large geographical area, with some members presently residing outside of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and this Judicial District. Furthermore, upon information and belief, many members of the Class are low-income persons, may not speak English, and likely would have great difficulty in pursuing their rights individually.

- 11. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class, in that all members of the class had their right to medical privacy violated by Defendant's policy, custom or practice of interviewing detainees about their medical history and/or medical condition in a non-private setting, and in the company of other detainees. All members of the Class were placed into the custody of the Allegheny County Jail, and were interviewed by Allegheny County employees (Corrections Officers, Nurses) in a public setting, where class members were required to describe their medical conditions in front of other detainees.
- 12. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and all members of the Class sustained damages arising out of Defendant's course of conduct. The harms suffered by the Plaintiff are typical of the harms suffered by the members of the Class.
- 13. The representative Plaintiff has the requisite personal interest in the outcome of this action and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class. Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the interests of the members of the Class.
- 14. Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in the prosecution of class action and civil rights litigation, including successful litigation of civil rights cases. Plaintiff's counsel has the resources, expertise, and experience to successfully prosecute this action against Allegheny County. Counsel for the Plaintiff knows of no conflicts among members of the Classes or between counsel and members of the Classes.
- 15. This action, in part, seeks declaratory and injunctive relief. As such, the Plaintiff seeks Class Certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), in that all Members of the Proposed Class were subjected to the same policies and actions of the Defendant. In

short, Allegheny County personnel acted on grounds generally applicable to all members of the Class.

- 16. In addition to certification under Rule 23(b)(2), and in the alternative, Plaintiff seeks certification under Rule 23(b)(3).
- 17. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class, and predominate over any questions that affect only individual member of the class. These common questions include: whether Defendant's written and/or *de facto* policy of conducting public interviews of detainees regarding their medical history and/or medical condition is unconstitutional; and whether such written and/or *de facto* policies existed during the Class period.
- 18. A Class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all of the individual members of the Class is impracticable given the large number of members of the Class, and the fact they are dispersed over a large geographical area. Furthermore, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the Class to redress the wrongs done to them. The cost to the federal court system of adjudicating thousands of individual cases would be enormous. Individualized litigation would also magnify the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a Class action in this District presents far fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and the court system, and protects the rights of each member of the Class.
- 19. Upon information and belief, there are no other actions pending to address the Defendant's flagrant violation of the civil rights of tens of thousands of individuals,

even though upon information and belief the Defendant has maintained its illegal practices for several years.

20. In the alternative to certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3), Plaintiff also seeks partial certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTS

Facts Applicable to the Class

- 21. The Constitution of the United States recognized an inherent right to privacy, including the right to avoid disclosure of personal matters. In case precedent from the United States Court of Appeals, this right includes protect against the disclosure of medical information, which may contain intimate facts of a personal nature.
- 22. Obviously, class members who are questioned about their medical history and/or medical conditions are often required to provide intimate details about their private life, including transsexualism, HIV status, past instances of mental illness and suicide attempts, and a discussion of debilitating medical conditions. This is obviously the sort of private information that anyone would want to keep private.
- 23. The Plaintiff acknowledges that detailing medical information and medical conditions to medical professionals at the Allegheny County Jail is important and necessary. It is completely unnecessary, however, for detainees, such as the Plaintiff and members of the proposed class, to have to detail their highly personal medical information in front of other detainees, some of whom they will ultimately be housed with. This policy, pattern and/or custom of Allegheny County is patently unconstitutional, and completely unnecessary. There is no reason why detainees at the Allegheny County Jail cannot detail their medical history and/or medical conditions to a nurse in private, so that their privacy

interest in their medical situation can be respected. Such a procedure can easily be accomplished at the Allegheny County Jail with minimal effort from jail and medical staff.

- 24. Upon information and belief, Allegheny County has instituted a written and/or de facto policy, custom or practice of conducting medical interviews of detainees asking them to detail their medical history and/or medication conditions, for all detainees admitted to the custody of the Allegheny County Jail, and in the absence of appropriate personal privacy. These interviews are, upon information and belief, conducted in the Allegheny County Jail booking area, in a common room with six chairs. The nurse then proceeds to interview 4-5 detainees at a time regarding their medical conditions and/or medical history. This room has no privacy partitions, and detainees line up at a desk to be interviewed by a nurse, who is an employee of Allegheny County, and an Allegheny County Corrections Officer. What is said by one detainee can be heard across this small room by anyone else who is in the room, including corrections officers and the other detainees who are also waiting to be questioned. This procedure is uniformly applied by Allegheny County on all detainees who are admitted to its custody, and class members can be identified by their identity on the medical forms generated upon their admission to the Allegheny County Jail.
- 25. Allegheny County knows that it may not institute, enforce, or permit enforcement of a policy or practice violating the privacy rights to detainees at the Allegheny County Jail by requiring them to detail their medical history and/or medical conditions in front of other detainees and corrections officers who have no legitimate need to know that information.
- 26. Allegheny County's policies, practices, and customs, as addressed above, are both offensive and absurd—not to mention unlawful—considering the important

privacy interests that detainees enjoy as it relates to their medical privacy. Defendant's written and/or *de facto* policies, practices and customs mandating the blanket strip searches of detainees has been promulgated, effectuated and/or enforced in bad faith and contrary to clearly established law.

- 27. Pursuant to these written and/or *de facto* policies, each member of the Class, including Plaintiff, was required to detail their private medical information to medical and/or corrections staff in the company of other detainees who could hear the questioning. Seeking medical information in this way from detainees at a local jail is patently unreasonable, as there is absolutely no justification for a detainee to have to detail their private medical history in front of other detainees. They also were unreasonable given more dignified alternatives, including allowing detainees to detail their medical history to a nurse or other medical professional in private.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the medical interviews conducted pursuant to these written and/or *de facto* policies, the victims of the unlawful practices each member of the Class, including Plaintiff has suffered or will suffer psychological pain, humiliation, suffering and mental anguish.

Facts Application to the Named Plaintiff Leo Russo

- 29. Plaintiff Leo Russo resides in Greene County, Pennsylvania. On or about December 14, 2016, Mr. Russo was admitted to the custody of the Allegheny County Jail on a parole violation.
- 33. Shortly after his admission to the Allegheny County Jail, Mr. Russo was taken into a room with four other detainees, and asked questions about his medical history and medical conditions. All five detainees, including Mr. Russo, waited in this room until

a nurse and a Corrections Officer came in to begin the questioning. Mr. Russo refused to answer these health-related questions in front of other detainees, and was later given a direct order from a Corrections Sergeant to do so.

- 34. Mr. Russo proceeded to detail his medical history to a Corrections Nurse in front of four other detainees. Mr. Russo was forced to detail his history of mental illness, and the severity of that illness, as well as other medical information, in front of other detainees. In the interest of protecting Mr. Russo's privacy in this publicly filed document, these embarrassing conditions will not be detailed.
- 35. Detailing this information in the company of other detainees, all of whom were strangers, caused Mr. Russo extreme embarrassment and distress.

CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Constitutional Right to Privacy

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation stated herein.
- 37. The United States Constitution provides citizens with an inherent right to privacy, with that privacy interest being especially pronounced in the state of one's health. A person's medical records are entitled to special protection, and can only be revealed to others with a compelling justification.
- 39. The actions of Defendant detailed above violated the Plaintiff's rights under the United States Constitution. Simply put, it was not objectively reasonable for Allegheny County Jail personnel to require detainees to detail their protected health information in front of other detainees, who have no business learning this information.

- 40. This medical interview regimen was conducted pursuant to the policy, custom or practice of Allegheny County. Both the Corrections Officers involved, and the Corrections Nurses, are employees of Allegheny County. As such, Allegheny County is directly liable for the damages of Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 41. Defendant Allegheny County is responsible for establishing the procedures utilized in the Allegheny County Jail, and is further responsible for the implementation of written policies at these facilities.
- 42. This conduct on the part of Defendant represents a violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, given that the actions of the Allegheny County Jail personnel were taken under color of state law.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the unconstitutional acts described above, Plaintiff and the members of the Class have been irreparably injured.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

The Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Leo Russo, on behalf of himself and on behalf of others

similarly situated, request that this Honorable Court grant them the following relief:

1. An order certifying this action as a Class Action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.

23.

2. A judgment against Defendant Allegheny County on the Causes of Action

detailed herein, awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff and each Member of the

Proposed Classes in an amount to be determined by a jury and/or the Court on both an

individual and a Class-wide basis.

3. A declaratory judgment against the Defendant declaring the Defendant's

policies, practices and customs to be unconstitutional, and otherwise improper.

4. A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant from

continuing to enforce its unconstitutional and unlawful policies, customs, and practices.

5. A monetary award for attorneys' fees and the costs of this action, pursuant

to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

Respectfully Submitted By:

/s Elmer Robert Keach, III

Dated: January 22, 2018

Elmer Robert Keach, III, Esquire

Member of the Bar, USDC, WDPa.

Maria K. Dyson, Esquire

Motion for Permanent Admission to Be Filed

LAW OFFICES OF ELMER ROBERT

KEACH, III, PC

One Pine West Plaza, Suite 109

Albany, NY 12205

518.434.1718

bobkeach@keachlawfirm.com

12

/s D. Aaron Rihn, Esquire

D. Aaron Rihn, Esquire ROBERT PEIRCE & ASSOCIATES, PC 707 Grant Street, Suite 2500 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.281.7229 arihn@peircelaw.com

Nicholas Migliaccio, Esquire Member of the Bar, USDC, WDPa. Jason Rathod, Esquire Member of the Bar, USDC, WDPa. MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD, LLP 412 H Street, NE, Suite 302 Washington, DC 20002 202.470.3520 nmigliaccio@classlawdc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND PROPOSED CLASS

Case 2:18-cv-00097-MPHL Deciment 3-1-Eiled 01/22/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d			HIS FORM.)		the clork of court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			i	DEFENDANTS		
Leo Russo			The County of Allegheny			
` 	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	•	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Allegheny (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
Elfer Abbert Keach affir, PC, One Pine West Plaza bobkeach@keachlawfirm	a, Suite 109, Albany, N					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question			IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Priof Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		*/	I FORFEITURE/PENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 42 USC Sec. 198 Brief description of ca Violation of Right	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi 3 uuse: to Medical Privacy by	(specify) ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state Local Jail Officials	er District Litigation Transfer tutes unless diversity):	- Litigation - Direct File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 1/22/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of attor /s Elmer Robert K				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE .	

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A	
This	case belongs on the (O Erie O Johnstown O Pittsburgh) calendar.
Fore	CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, est, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said aties.
Camb	STOWN CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, oria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of counties.
	Lete if on ERIE CALENDAR : I certify that the cause of action arose in resides inCounty.
4. Compl	Lete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty.
PART B	(You are to check ONE of the following)
ι. Ο π	his case is related to Number Short Caption
	his case is not related to a pending or terminated case.
	IONS OF RELATED CASES:
as anoth suit EM groups t HABEAS (shall be	suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions her suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another INENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual e deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be related.
PARTC	
	L CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).
1. C	Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2. O	
3. O 4. O	
5. O	
6. Ŏ	
7. 8 . 8	All other federal question cases All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious
. ^	prosecution, and false arrest
9. O 10. O	- '
	rtify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation tare true and correct /s Elmer Robert Keach, III
Data	1/22/2018
Date:	
	ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

LEO RUSSO, both individually ar others similarly)))				
Plaintiff(s)		ý				
v.) Civil Action No.				
THE COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY						
)				
Defendant	<u>(s)</u>))				
	SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION				
	SUMMONS	A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address)	THE COUNTY OF ALLEGI c/o Richard Fitzgerald, Cou 101 County Courthouse 436 Grant Street Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania					
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,						
whose name and address are:						
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
		CLERK OF COURT				
Date:						
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any) .						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	ut (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)							
	designated by law to	accept service of process on beha	ulf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because	; or					
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.					
Date:								
Duic.			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Takes Issue with Allegheny County Policy for Detainees to Disclose Medical Info in Groups</u>