Case \$:23-cv-01800-WQH-KSC Document 1-2 Filed 09/28/23 PageID.12 Page 3 of 96 ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 08/28/2023 at 02:29:21 PM 1 JAMES T. HANNINK (131747) Clerk of the Superior Court jhannink@sdlaw.com By Pierce Jeffreys Deputy Clerk ZACH P. DOSTART (255071) zdostart@sdlaw.com DOSTART HANNINK LLP 4225 Executive Square, Suite 600 La Jolla, California 92037-1484 Tel: 858-623-4200 5 Fax: 858-623-4299 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO** '23CV1800 WQHKSC 10 11 JOSE RUIZ, individually and on behalf of all CASE NO. 37-2023-00037208-CU-BT-CTL others similarly situated, 12 **CLASS ACTION** Plaintiff, 13 COMPLAINT FOR: VS. 14 (1) FALSE ADVERTISING 15 THE BRADFORD EXCHANGE, LTD., [Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17535 & 17600 et an Illinois corporation; seq.]; and 16 and DOES 1-50, inclusive, (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION 17 [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.] Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action complaint alleges that defendant The Bradford Exchange, Ltd. ("Bradford") violates California law by enrolling consumers in automatic renewal subscriptions without first providing the clear and conspicuous disclosures mandated by California law; charging consumers for automatic renewal subscriptions without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement that contains clear and conspicuous disclosure of required automatic renewal offer terms; and failing to provide an acknowledgment that includes the required clear and conspicuous disclosures. This conduct constitutes false advertising, based on violation of the California Automatic Renewal Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17600 et seq.), and it also violates the Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.). This action seeks restitution for Plaintiff and other affected California consumers.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Jose Ruiz ("Ruiz") is an individual residing in Orange County, California.
- 3. The Bradford Exchange, Ltd. is an Illinois corporation that does business in San Diego County, and throughout California, including but not limited to the online marketing and sale of a variety of merchandise.
- 4. Plaintiff does not know the names of the defendants sued as DOES 1 through 50 but will amend this complaint when that information becomes known. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that each of the DOE defendants is affiliated with the named defendant in some respect and is in some manner responsible for the wrongdoing alleged herein, either as a direct participant, or as the principal, agent, successor, alter ego, or co-conspirator of or with one or more of the other defendants. For ease of reference, Plaintiff will refer to the named defendant and the DOE defendants collectively as "Defendants."
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district because Bradford conducts business in San Diego County and because Bradford has not designated a principal office in California, such that venue is proper in any county designated by Plaintiff.

SUMMARY OF APPLICABLE LAW

Automatic Renewal Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17600 et seq.)

6. In 2009, the California Legislature passed Senate Bill 340, which took effect on December 1, 2010 as Article 9 of Chapter 1 of the False Advertising Law. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17600 et seq. ("ARL").) (Unless otherwise indicated, all statutory citations are to the California Business and Professions Code.) SB 340 was introduced because:

It has become increasingly common for consumers to complain about unwanted charges on their credit cards for products or services that the consumer did not explicitly request or know they were agreeing to. Consumers report they believed they were making a one-time purchase of a product, only to receive continued shipments of the product and charges on their credit card. These unforeseen charges are often the result of agreements enumerated in the "fine print" on an order or advertisement that the consumer responded to.

(See Exhibit 1 at p. 4.)

7. The Assembly Committee on Judiciary provided the following background for the legislation:

This non-controversial bill, which received a unanimous vote on the Senate floor, seeks to protect consumers from unwittingly consenting to "automatic renewals" of subscription orders or other "continuous service" offers. According to the author and supporters, consumers are often charged for renewal purchases without their consent or knowledge. For example, consumers sometimes find that a magazine subscription renewal appears on a credit card statement even though they never agreed to a renewal.

(See Exhibit 2 at p. 8.)

- 8. The ARL seeks to ensure that, before there can be a legally-binding automatic renewal or continuous service arrangement, there must first be clear and conspicuous disclosure of certain terms and conditions and affirmative consent by the consumer. To that end, section 17602(a) makes it unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal offer or a continuous service offer to a consumer in California to do any of the following:
- a. Fail to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer. (§ 17602(a)(1).) For this purpose, "clear and conspicuous" means "in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same

size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language." (§ 17601(c).) The statute defines "automatic renewal offer terms" to mean the "clear and conspicuous" disclosure of the following: (a) that the subscription or purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels; (b) the description of the cancellation policy that applies to the offer; (c) the recurring charges that will be charged to the consumer's credit or debit card or payment account with a third party as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known; (d) the length of the automatic renewal term or that the service is continuous, unless the length of the term is chosen by the consumer; and (e) the minimum purchase obligation, if any. (§ 17601(b).)

- b. Charge the consumer's credit or debit card or the consumer's account with a third party for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms. (§ 17602(a)(2).)
- c. Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. (§ 17602(a)(3).) Section 17602(b) requires that the acknowledgment specified in section 17602(a)(3) include a toll-free telephone number, electronic mail address, or another "cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use" mechanism for cancellation.
- 9. As a species of false advertising, violation of the ARL gives rise to equitable relief, including restitution, pursuant to the general remedies provision of the False Advertising Law, section 17535. The remedies of the FAL are cumulative to each other and to the remedies available under all other laws of California. (§ 17534.5.)
- 10. If a business sends any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to a consumer under an automatic renewal or continuous service agreement without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of all automatic renewal offer terms, such material is an "unconditional gift" to the consumer. (§ 17603.)

<u>Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.)</u>

- 11. The Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") defines unfair competition as including any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice; any unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising; and any act of false advertising. (§ 17200.)
- 12. Violation of the UCL gives rise to equitable relief, including restitution. (§ 17203.) The remedies of the UCL are cumulative to each other and to the remedies available under all other laws of California. (§ 17205.)

BACKGROUND

- 13. Through the website www.bradfordexchange.com (the "Bradford website"), as well as other websites operated by Bradford (including but not limited to www.hamiltoncollection.com), Bradford markets and sells a range of items that Bradford refers to as "collectibles," including items such as coins, jewelry, sports memorabilia, holiday decorations, and others. When multiple items share a common theme, Bradford refers to the group of items as a "collection."
- 14. The Bradford website frequently displays multiple "collection" items together, along with a displayed price. Although the Bradford website does not make it clear, the displayed price is the dollar amount Bradford charges for each single item, not for the collection. When a consumer responds by submitting an order, Bradford ships a single item to the consumer and charges the displayed price to the consumer's credit card, debit card, or third party payment account; and, *in addition*, Bradford enrolls the consumer in an ongoing "subscription" program under which Bradford subsequently ships additional items on a periodic basis and posts additional charges to the consumer's credit card, debit card, or third party payment account. In this way, many consumers make what they believe to be a one-time purchase, yet later discover subsequent charges on their credit card, debit card, or other payment account for a purported "subscription" that was never authorized.
- 15. In recent years, many consumers have reported being charged by Bradford for a purported collection subscription that was never authorized. Indeed, there are hundreds of complaints about Bradford posted on the Better Business Bureau ("BBB") website (https://www.bbb.org/us/il/niles/profile/collectibles/the-bradford-exchange-0694-1000008317

<u>Peter v. (Feb. 6, 2023).</u> When buying one collection item, the Bradford Exchange signs you up for a recurring purchase without telling you. Not clear or even mentioned in the order webpage nor the confirmation emails inform you that you are signing up for a recurring purchase. I have 6 charges on my account now adding up to \$1000! I am now in a holding pattern until the last items arrive so that I can return them. What a terrible business model!

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 3.

Elene C. (Jan. 4, 2023). Disingenuous is the adjective which jumps to mind: you order ONE item from them, and then they keep on sending you (or your chosen recipient) unauthorized items and charging you on a monthly basis. When you query this, they tell you it's a subscription service because the item you ordered happens to be part of a collection and they assume you want the whole collection mailed to you for the next 24 months?! But their original confirmation email for the order you placed for the single item does not advise about this "subscription service". Scam is what I would call it. Ridiculous way to try to make money. Appalling and distasteful practice.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 4.

Alex Z. (Nov. 29, 2022). Do not order. They are thieves. They will keep charging your credit card for things you did not order. Good luck calling them they will just argue and not help you. This place needs a nice class action law suit.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 5.

Jeffrey A. (Mar. 30, 2022). Purchased a few Christmas gifts and paid by credit card. Some months later, my credit card was billed for two items that then showed up. I called Bradford on 3/22 to ask why I was being sent this merchandise, and the *** said I signed up for a series. I don't believe I did so. If they are right, they are misleading at the very least. I told the *** that I wanted no more chargess and no more gifts sent. She agreed. I didn't want to go through the hassle of returning the stuff, so I at the charge. Now, on 3/30, another charge comes through. I called, and the *** was not helpful.Beware in dealing with this company. I wish I had never done business with them.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 6.

JT. (Dec. 25, 2021). Purchased a Christmas gift in early December for about \$60 + tax/shipping. Arrived in reasonable time. I paid in full immediately. Three weeks later, I am being charged another \$38.33 for something. I don't know why. I didn't sign up for any subscription, I just checked to make sure I didn't miss anything, and I didn't see any subscription boxes anywhere. So I figured I was just buying a this single gift. So why did I get charged for something??? Now that I'm looking at others'

reviews, there is some VERY, VERY shady wording in their description. You are AUTOMATICALLY entered into a subscription service, and they don't outright spell that out for you. You don't have a choice. You have to opt out somehow (other reviews say they are extremely difficult to contact). Very poor design. Very awful company and business practices. The default should NOT be a subscription service. It should be a box or something you have to select. Another thing is I didn't even get an email or ANYTHING telling me this next item is being charged or coming! I only know because I just happened to check my credit card statement! (I would have known when the item arrived.) But I suppose if your intent is to bilk unwitting customers out of their money and hope they don't catch on, then they are probably doing pretty well for themselves. How is this company not been fined into oblivion with their wholly unscrupulous business practices?

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Linda B. (Dec. 21, 2021). I dont know how this company has not been sued for violations of UDAAP laws. I saw an add for a set of FOUR (4) elephant figurines on ****** and decided to buy them. I received and paid for the 4 figurines I agreed to purchase. Then, out of the blue, with no contact from the merchant whatsoever, I received a FIFTH figurine and the \$50+ dollars was automatically billed to my credit card that they already had on file. I contacted the company and they advised that *sometimes*, if a collection is popular, they will add additional figurines and send them to the people who had signed up to purchase the collection. This is predatory and abusive behavior! I advised them that I wanted NO MORE ITEMS from their company and revoked their authority to charge my credit/debit card. Later, I received an invoice for a SIXTH figurine, that I never even received! Since they could no longer legally charge my card, they sent an invoice, telling me I owed them for the figurine they claimed they already sent. I finally just spoke to their customer service and had my account cleared of the charge, since I never received the item. But when I asked them to make sure I received no further items or charges, the response was that I wouldnt be receiving anything else BECAUSE THE SIXTH FIGURINE WAS THE LAST IN THE COLLECTION. Not because I asked them to stop. No. The only reason they werent queueing me up for more automatic purchases was because the collection was complete. Its infuriating and absurd. And then they tried to schmooze me into buying the sixth figurine because having the complete collection makes them more valuable. *eyeroll*

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 8.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 9.

Billing/Collection Issues. (Mar. 2, 2021). I believe this company to be acting as a fraudulent company. My credit card has been charged over \$3,000 for items that I continuously return and cancel. I have tried calling, filling out return forms and sent emails in writing that I wish to cancel any further deliveries and stop sending me merchandise. Their Customer Service wont assist over the phone and tell me I need to email and fill out cancellation on return forms. I have done this several times EACH time I get a new delivery and the problem persists. It was meant to be a ONE TIME gift for my daughters and over a year later I am still receiving items and being charged. Going through the hassle of having to cancel my credit shouldn't be my issue for their poor customer service, dysfunctional operations and unethical practices! I will NEVER order anything from this company again and STILL waiting for a REFUND for the 13 items I returned (unopened) and for items to stop arriving at my house!

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 10.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ben W. (Jan. 25, 2021). Scam, these guys sign you up for reoccurring "subscription plans". They do have the text on the page for it, but its designed to make you not pay attention to it. During the entire checkout process(once the item is in your cart) no mention of reoccurring payments, schedules, saving your credit card, or that they will ship products to you without payment and bill you for it. This is a terrible business practice designed to make people pay 2-3 times more than they wanted to products they didn't actually want. Then the hassle of the process makes people not fight with them about it.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 11.

Advertising/Sales Issues. (Jan. 15, 2021). Bradford Exchange engaged in the duplications practice of signing me up for a subscription without my permission. I purchased one product as a gift and, only by checking my credit card statement, found that the company charged me a second time two weeks later. There was no indication that I had signed up for a subscription and no email confirmation that I would be charged for more than the single product purchase. To be clear, the only email confirmation that I received from the company for for a single purchased item and, at no time did the company indicate that I signed up for a subscription. I called their customer service to complain and was provided with two types of misinformation. Firstly, the representative told me that I did sign up for a subscription. After my complaint, the representative said that he would discontinue my subscription (that I had never agreed to!). Secondly, the representative told me that the second item was being shipped to my house and that I could return the item for a full refund. This was also false. The item was shipped to the address to which I sent the initial gift. The item was opened and I was thanked. Now, I cannot return the item and I am out \$42 for something that I would never have purchased twice. The Bradford Exchange company should be punished for its illegal business practice.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 12.

Erin C. (Jan. 14, 2021). I ordered ornaments for Christmas. I was charged again around 12/21/2020 for a 'new order'. I called to place a complaint. They told me I signed-up for a monthly subscription; must've been small writing, tricky way to subscribe. They told me it's too late to cancel the last order, I can send it back, refuse it, etc but she has cancelled the subscription going forward. So, I just paid for what they sent and figured I would not get another charge. Here we are 1/14/2021 and there's ANOTHER Charge on my account. Do not trust this company.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 13.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Julie H. (Jan. 5, 2021). I ordered two collector ornaments on October 19 from the The Bradford Exchange, Ltd. https://www.bradfordexchange.com/ and I received them. The issue was afterwards. The Bradford Exchange signed me up without my knowledge for a subscription of regular shipments of the same ornaments I received 3 more shipments (Nov 13, Dec. 10, and Dec. 11, 2020) of which they charged me \$38.54 each time. After I finally reached their customer service on Dec. 21 by phone (the rep was located in Jamaica), the rep said she would cancel the subscription and refund me the three orders they sent without my knowledge. I returned all three on Dec. 21 and I have only received a refund for one of them. Plus they never stopped the subscription and I just got charged for a 4th shipment (Jan 4, 2021). So they still owe me \$115.62. I called the customer service back again on Jan 5, 2020 and the rep said he can't refund me the 4th one and would "try" to refund the other two that I had already returned on December 21. He said he would also "try" to stop the subscription. I asked to speak to a supervisor and twice he put me on hold, both times for over 30 minutes and I still never got the supervisor. I also asked on both my calls for customer service to send me emails on all of their correspondence, to confirm cancelation of the false subscription and for any refunds. I have never received even one email from them directly, even for my initial legitimate order. The only emails I got were from PayPal which is how I paid for them. If I had not gotten notices from PayPal of my charges, I would not have been alerted to their scam charges! They still owe me for three shipments I never ordered (totaling \$115.62) and I still have not gotten a confirmation that they have canceled the false subscription. I am not able to reach the Brradford Exchange management and the reps on the phone said they couldn't refund me even though I returned the additional ornaments that I never ordered. Will they ever refund me and will they ever cancel me out of this ongoing scam? Help!

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 14.

Ricky C. (Nov. 11, 2020). If I could give negative stars I would. "Fraudulent, Scammers, Misleading in their advertising", as highlighted in the reviews are all true. I'm embarrassed I fell for their deceptive marketing. In Feb 2020, I purchased 2 Rudolph Christmas trees @ \$59.99 ea plus shipping. Throughout the summer, they continued to charge my credit card and send additional items (I never ordered). At some point (Aug/Sep) they changed tactics and sent me a bill for ~\$139.00 for additional stuff. So here we are close to \$500.00 for 2 \$59.99 items. Now they are turning me over to a collection agency for not paying the ~\$139.00 for stuff I didn't order. So here I am now wondering how much I will be charged for stuff I didn't order and when it will STOP. I will send the ~\$139.00 and hope this is my last interaction with them. I wish I would have looked them up on the BBB before I made my purchase. I recommend the BBB reassess this company's A+ rating. My experience with them has not been A+. Rest assured, I will never purchase anything from them and I will warn my friends and family to avoid doing any business with The Bradford Exchange.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 15.

Thomas P. (Nov. 8, 2020). Misleading, fraudulent, and unauthorized reoccurring charges: I purchased what was described as a complete train set from the Bradford Exchange website after seeing a cool ad. Well, it turned out to be just one part of a series of 20 parts of a train collectible, which I ultimately found out by having to call their customer service to see what the heck was going on when I just received on tiny part of the train in the mail even though I was charged what I thought was a fair price

for an entire set. I then called to cancel all future orders and close my account. The following next two months I was charged \$20, each on the 3rd of the month, and received nothing (even though I called to cancel!). So I called to get a refund, and to cancel my account and all reoccurring charges...again. I also requested a confirmation of cancelation and wasn't given one. The customer service agent was nice, but seemed to have no idea what was going on or what those charges were for and wouldn't refund me. So I filed a claim with my credit card company for fraudulent charges and they took care of it and are sending me a new card. My entire experience with the Bradford Exchange has been ridiculous. I feel bad for other people, like the elderly, who are likely getting taken advantage with these illegal acts of unauthorized reoccurring charges that are likely the only thing keeping this corrupt business afloat. This is wrong. The Bradford Exchange needs to be investigated and shut down

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 16.

Libby S. (Oct. 15, 2020). I ordered what I thought was a single item from The Bradford Exchange in May for my mom for Mother's Day. It did not ship til a couple of months later - ok, no big deal. Mom loved it. A month after the first shipped, SURPRISE! I get a notification from my credit card company that another charge has been made. I went back to website and could find NO mention of my order being part of a series. None. Ok, I accept the 2nd shipment and then contact the company by email asking to cancel the order and send no more shipments. Sept brings another charge. I email them on Sept 18th and have a reply from a representative confirming my order has been cancelled. Then today (Oct 15th) I get yet another notification from my credit card company that ANOTHER charge has been made by The Bradford Exchange. At this point I am angry. I email the company again but then decide to work with my credit card company and dispute the charges since this company can't seem to figure out how not to keep taking advantage of their customers.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 17.

Marylou P. (Oct. 10, 2020). I bought one piece from an ad in the paper. I paid using credit card. One month later I got a charge for half of total. I wrote them with documents saying I paid for the piece in full. They wrote back and said it was a series of 10 pieces. There is not one place in the ad for the piece that says it is a series, and at a total of \$74 each there is no way I signed up for 9 more pieces. I contacted them 4 times. From August 16th to September 28th when I received a package from them. I returned it unopened refused. I had received an email September 26, 2020 saying all future editions are cancelled. I never ordered any just one period. Today October 10, 2020 I get a letter asking me to give them an address to send the piece to. I have written them 5 times, returned piece unopened, talked on the phone to a Malik who informed me the series were cancelled and two months later I am still hearing from them. I will never deal with this company again and I am returning the piece I originally bought I hate looking at it.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 18.

Angie L. (Sept. 13, 2020). I ordered a dream catcher in April. I did not get charged or receive the item until a few months later. I was not notified there would be future charges and items sent as it was part of some collection and they automatically enroll you in a subscription plan. The item I ordered was overpriced as it was. I did not consent to further items. They just recently again without my consent or give notice,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pull \$86 from my bank account automatically for an item I did not ask for. The item shipped without a word from the company warning me I was about to be charged and had unwittingly enrolled in a subscription plan. I feel this company preys on the elderly because they might not notice charges on their account. I wish I would have read the reviews before I ordered. I am now \$90 short in my bank account and I do not have a disposable income. I do not make a lot of money and I'm not sure when I will get it back. I not only filed fraud charges with my bank I told them I wanted to press charges. The company is utterly evil. Do not buy anything from them they are overpriced and items are not that good quality for the price. Not only that once you buy an item they will have your card info so they can charge whatever you whenever.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 19.

Ray W. (July 30, 2020). Do not do bushiness with this company. They sneakily tricked me into a subscription without my knowledge. Trying to cancel the subscription is a nightmare! I tried to call and after waiting on hold for a long time I finally got through to a person who barely spoke English. Then, before the call was complete or my problem resolved we were disconnected. I have tried email multiple times. I received only one response saying that if I don't want the subscription item to return it. I still have not been able to cancel my unwanted subscription.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 20.

Kerri B. (July 17, 2020). I ordered 3 lanterns for gifts from Bradford Exchange back in November of 2019. I received them and was happy with them. However, in December 2019 I received 3 more lanterns, none of which I ordered. My credit card on file was charged totaling almost \$300 for the 3 extra lanterns. I called to tell them this and had to dispute it with my credit card company who thankfully were wonderful about. Bradford Exchange claimed I was enrolled in this monthly program where every month I received 3 new lanterns. I did not ever agree to this. So the customer service representative assured me I was off the list, and that I wouldn't receive anything again. Then I received a 4th random lantern and was charged again. So I called to dispute this and was unhappy why I received and was charged for yet another lantern that I did not authorize. I was then again assured it would not happen again, and that once I sent back the lantern they would remove the charge. So I mailed and sent back this 4th lantern. Now I have just received a past due notice dated 6/30/20, and the charge has not been removed! I asked specifically when I was on the phone if this would be reported as a delinquency because of this outstanding bill. I was told it would not be with the situation, and I asked the customer service representative to add that to the notes so this would not happen. So apparently now I will have to call and dispute this yet again when all 4 extra lanterns I received were sent back to them and not even opened. I refuse to pay for something I never authorized. This is unacceptable that it keeps happening, and I keep being charged for something I never ordered. They assure you everything is taken care of when clearly it is not. Ironically the people who received the lanterns liked them, but I would never buy from this company again and would caution customers against it.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 21.

26

27

Billing/Collection Issues (June 18, 2020). I placed an order for 2 items back on 6/1/20. I was charged once the items were (finally) shipped out. I was correctly charged for both items and assumed my experience was done. I was just charged another 24.41 today, 6/18/20. Not idea why. I checked my PayPal and it states it's an "automatic payment". I NEVER approved ANY automatic payment. I simply ordered 2 items and paid for them. Their website it totally deceiving. When it says "39.99" each issue I assume Each issue is for EACH ISSUE I ORDER...not the multiple "issues" you list in fine print!! The photos show ONE item! The item I was ordering. I have no clue whether or not I will be receiving anything further but I did not intend to order or receive anything more than what I placed my order for: 2 separate coins. Nothing more. This is a great scam on behalf of this company. I better get my money back for this!!

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 22.

Billing/Collection Issues (June 16, 2020). This is my 2nd compliant I couldn't find the 1st one. I purchased an item using an account from Bradford Exchange. I received the item but was not informed at purchase it was a subscription. I found this out when my account was charged for the next installment. I immediately went to the Bradford site to cancel the order and get a refund since the 1st item was not what I expected and I had never intended on starting a subscription. The site showed no order information only that there was a subscription which I couldn't cancel since the site does not provide me with that option. I also couldn't cancel the order since the site shows no order. I tried contacting the vendor 3 times via their form mail without response. I tried calling twice, 1 time I was on hold for 35 minutes with no response, the 2nd time 10 minutes no response. After my 1st complaint I suddenly received 2 emails stating they cancelled the subscription and that I just needed to return the item. I replied back asking them for a tracking number since there was no order without getting a reply. I have no item to return, no order to cancel, no refund, and no way to get the company to respond other than lodging a complaint here.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 23.

LCCVA49. (May 5, 2020). Deceptive marketing and billing practices. Poor customer service. It took 20 min for customer service to answer the phone. There is no way to cancel your SUBSCRIPTION online (that basically unbeknownst to you they enrolled you in). You have to call in and wait forever. In this day and age there should be a way to cancel you order and subscription online without having to wait forever for someone to answer. Your account page mentions nothing about being enrolled a subscription plan.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 24.

<u>John B.</u> (Mar. 21, 2020). I would score them 0 stars if available. I ordered a christmas ornament and they just kept sending me additional ornaments I did not order. I paid for the last 2 through collection agencies. It took long time for me to talk to human being at Bradford exchange to stop shipments. This is pathetic way to do business, I have never received so many unordered shipments from any business in my life.

A true and correct printout of that complaint is attached as Exhibit 25.

- 16. The frequency of consumer complaints to BBB about Bradford's subscription practices prompted BBB to post a "Pattern of Complaint" alert stating that customers alleged that Bradford's advertisements were not "clear and conspicuous that they would be billed separately the same amount for each item in the collection." A true and correct copy of that "Alert" from the BBB website is attached hereto as Exhibit 26.
- 17. The foregoing BBB complaints aptly characterize what happened to Plaintiff when he made a purchase from Bradford in May 2020, as described below.

PLAINTIFF'S PURCHASE FROM BRADFORD

- 18. On or about May 6, 2020, using his mobile phone, Plaintiff made an online purchase through the Bradford website for "The Nightmare Before Christmas Musical Glitter Globe Train."
- 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 27 are four exemplar screenshots of "The Nightmare Before Christmas Glitter Globe Train" as displayed on the Bradford website during 2022. The four screenshots (designated as Screens 1-4) reflect what would be displayed to a consumer when progressively scrolling from the top of the page to the bottom. On information and belief, other than the price, these screens are the same as or substantially similar to the screens as they appeared on the Bradford website in 2020 when Plaintiff made his purchase. The actual website screens as they existed on May 6, 2020, are in Bradford's exclusive possession and will be sought as part of discovery in this action.
- 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 28 is an exemplar screenshot as displayed on the Bradford website during 2022, which reflects what would be displayed to a consumer after selecting "The Nightmare Before Christmas Glitter Globe Collection" for purchase. On information and belief, other than the price, this screen is the same as or substantially similar to the screen as it appeared on the Bradford website in 2020 when Plaintiff made his purchase. The actual website screen as it existed on May 6, 2020, is in Bradford's exclusive possession and will be sought as part of discovery in this action.
- 21. After selecting the "Checkout" button, the consumer is presented with a sequence of screens to insert billing and shipping information, followed by a screen for entry of payment information. Attached hereto as Exhibit 29 is a screenshot of the payment screen as displayed on

the Bradford website during 2022. On information and belief, this screen is the same as or substantially similar to the payment screen as it appeared on the Bradford website in 2020 when Plaintiff made his purchase. The actual website payment screen as it existed on May 6, 2020, is in Bradford's exclusive possession and will be sought as part of discovery in this action.

- 22. On May 6, 2020, Plaintiff paid for the purchase in the amount of \$40.49, using his PayPal account. Plaintiff believed this was a one-time transaction and that this payment was the end of his dealings with Bradford. After the online purchase process was complete, Plaintiff received an email from Bradford with the subject line "Thank You for Your Purchase." A true and correct copy of that email is attached hereto as Exhibit 30.
- 23. When Plaintiff made the purchase on May 6, 2020, Plaintiff was not aware that Bradford would contend that he had given consent to be enrolled in a subscription for which Bradford would post subsequent charges to Plaintiff's PayPal account. In the months following the May 6, 2022 transaction, Bradford made a series of unauthorized charges to Plaintiff's PayPal account, as follows:

Date	Amount
May 27, 2020	\$20.24
June 24, 2020	\$20.25
June 26, 2020	\$20.24
July 24, 2020	\$20.25
August 4, 2020	\$20.38
August 25, 2020	\$20.39
September 2, 2020	\$20.38
September 30, 2020	\$20.39
November 23, 2020	\$20.38
December 18, 2020	\$20.39
September 9, 2021	\$ <u>20.38</u>
Total	\$223.67

24. If Plaintiff had known that Bradford was going to enroll him in an automatic renewal or continuous service subscription that would result in subsequent charges, Plaintiff would not have purchased anything from Bradford.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 25. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action under Code of Civil Procedure § 382 on behalf of the following Class: "All California residents who were both (1) enrolled in a Bradford collection subscription on or after December 1, 2010 and (2) charged for one or more items as part of such subscription within the applicable statute of limitations. Excluded from the Class are all employees of Defendants, all employees of Plaintiff's counsel, and the judicial officers to whom this case is assigned."
- 26. <u>Ascertainability</u>. The members of the Class may be ascertained by reviewing records in the possession of Defendants and/or third parties, including without limitation Defendants' customer, order, and billing records.
- 27. Common Questions of Fact or Law. There are questions of fact or law that are common to the members of the Class, which predominate over individual issues. Common questions regarding the Class include, without limitation: (1) whether Defendants present all statutorily-mandated automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, within the meaning of § 17601(b); (2) whether Defendants present automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms in a manner that is "clear and conspicuous," within the meaning of § 17601(c); (3) whether Defendants obtain consumers' affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms before charging a credit card, debit card, or a third party payment account; (4) whether Defendants provide consumers with an acknowledgment that includes clear and conspicuous disclosure of all statutorily-mandated automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, the cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel; (5) Defendants' record-keeping practices; and (6) the appropriate remedies for Defendants' conduct.
- 28. <u>Numerosity</u>. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class members would be impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Class consists of at least 100 members.

- 29. Typicality and Adequacy. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants enrolled Plaintiff and Class members in automatic renewal subscriptions without disclosing all terms required by law, and without presenting such terms in the requisite "clear and conspicuous" manner; charged Class members' credit cards, debit cards, or third party payment accounts without first obtaining Class members' affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic renewal offer terms; and failed to provide the requisite acknowledgment. Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to those of the other Class members. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members.
- 30. <u>Superiority</u>. A class action is superior to other methods for resolving this controversy. Because the amount of restitution to which the Class members may be entitled is low in comparison to the expense and burden of individual litigation, it would be impracticable for Class members to redress the wrongs done to them without a class action forum. Furthermore, on information and belief, many Class members do not know that their legal rights have been violated. Class certification would also conserve judicial resources and avoid the possibility of inconsistent judgments.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

False Advertising (Based on Violation of the California Automatic Renewal Law)
(Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17535 & 17600 et seq.)

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates the previous allegations as though set forth herein.
- 32. During the applicable statute of limitations period, Defendants enrolled consumers, including Plaintiff and Class members, in automatic renewal and/or continuous service subscriptions and have (a) failed to present the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer, in violation of § 17602(a)(1); (b) charged the consumer's credit or debit card or the consumer's third-party payment account for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of all automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, in violation

of § 17602(a)(2); and (c) failed to provide an acknowledgment that includes clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, the cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel, in violation of § 17602(a)(3) and § 17602(b).

- 33. Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Defendants' violations alleged herein.
- 34. Pursuant to § 17535, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to restitution of all amounts that Defendants charged for subscriptions during the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint and continuing until Defendants' statutory violations cease.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Unfair Competition

(Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq.)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates the previous allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. The Unfair Competition Law defines unfair competition as including any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice; any unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising; and any act prohibited by Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code. (§ 17200.)
- 37. During the applicable statute of limitations, Defendants committed acts of unfair competition by, inter alia and without limitation: (a) failing to present automatic renewal and/or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before a subscription is fulfilled, in violation of § 17602(a)(l); (b) charging the consumer's credit card, debit card, or third party payment account for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement containing clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, in violation of § 17602(a)(2); and (c) failing to provide an acknowledgment that includes clear and conspicuous disclosure of automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel, in violation of § 17602(a)(3). Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other business practices that constitute unfair competition.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>The Bradford Exchange Under Fire Over Allegedly Illegal Subscription Auto-Renewal Practices</u>