UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PITTSBURGH DIVISION

DAVID RUBERTO,	S	Docket No
individually and on behalf	S	
of all others similarly situated,	S	
	S	
Plaintiff,	S	
	S	
v.	S	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	S	
STRAD ENERGY SERVICES	S	CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION
USA LTD	S	
	S	
Defendant.	S	PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) /
	\$	FED. R. CIV. P. 23

ORIGINAL CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

I. SUMMARY

- 1. David Ruberto ("Plaintiff") bring this lawsuit to recover unpaid overtime wages and other damages from Strad Energy Services USA Ltd. ("Defendant") under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 333.104.
 - 2. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Solids Control Technician.
- 3. Plaintiff and the other workers like them regularly worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours each week.
- 4. But these workers never received overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek.
- 5. Instead of paying overtime as required by the FLSA and PMWA, Defendant improperly classified Plaintiff and those similarly situated workers as independent contractors and paid them a daily rate with no overtime compensation.
 - 6. This class and collective action seeks to recover the unpaid overtime wages and other

damages owed to these workers.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves a federal question under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 8. The Court has federal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the jurisdictional provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law sub-class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and Division.
- 10. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in this District and Division and Defendant conducts substantial business operations in this District and Division, including maintaining its headquarters for the Appalachian District in this Division.

III. THE PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Solids Control Technician in 2016.
- 12. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in Pennsylvania.
- 13. Throughout his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was paid a day-rate with no overtime compensation and was classified as an independent contractor.
 - 14. Plaintiff's consent to be a party plaintiff is attached as Exhibit A.
- 15. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated workers who were classified as independent contractors and paid by Defendant's day-rate system. Defendant paid each of these workers a flat amount for each day worked and failed to pay them overtime for all hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek in accordance with the FLSA and PMWA.
- 16. The class of similarly situated employees or putative class members sought to be certified is defined as follows:

- ALL CURRENT AND FORMER SOLIDS CONTOL TECHNICIANS THAT WORKED FOR OR ON BEHALF OF STRAD ENERGY USA LTD. WHO WERE CLASSIFIED AS INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS AND PAID A DAYRATE DURING THE LAST THREE (3) YEARS. ("Putative Class Members")
- 17. Plaintiff also seeks class certification of such a class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 under the PMWA.
- 18. Defendant **Strad Energy Services USA Ltd.** is corporation doing business throughout the United States, including Pennsylvania. Defendant may be served by serving its registered agent for service of process, **CT Corporation System**, 600 **N** 2nd **Street**, **Suite 401**, **Harrisburg**, **Pa. 17110-1071**.

IV. COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA

- 19. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 20. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been part of an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 21. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials such as tools, cell phones, and personal protective equipment that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that Defendant has had and has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$1,000,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).
- 22. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

- 23. As will be shown through this litigation, Defendant treated Plaintiff (and indeed all of its workers that it classified as independent contractors and paid a daily rate to without overtime compensation) as employees and uniformly dictated the pay practices of Plaintiff and its other workers including its so-called "independent contractors".
- 24. Defendant's misclassification of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members as independent contractors does not alter their status as employees for purposes of the FLSA or the PMWA.

V. FACTS

- 25. Defendant is "the leading energy services company" operating throughout the United States, including Pennsylvania.¹ To complete their business objectives, Defendant employed independent contractors.
- 26. Many of the individuals who worked for Defendant, were paid on a day-rate basis, misclassified as independent contractors, and make up the proposed Putative Class. While the exact job titles and job duties may differ, the Putative Class Members are and were subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work. These so-called independent contractors were paid them a flat sum for each day worked, regardless of the number of hours that they worked that day (or in that workweek) without any overtime pay for hours that they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 27. For example, Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Solids Control Technician in 2016. Throughout his employment with Defendant, he was classified as an independent contractor and paid on a day-rate basis.

4

¹ https://www.stradenergy.com/company/purpose-mission-principles (Last Visited March 12, 2018)

- 28. As a Solids Control Technician, Plaintiff spent his time separating drilling fluid from the solids crushed by the drill bit and carried up to the surface in the drilling fluid.
- 29. The work Plaintiff performed was an essential and integral part of Defendant's core business.
- 30. While he was classified as an independent contractor, Defendant exercised control over all aspects of his job.
- 31. Defendant did not require any substantial investment by Plaintiff or the Putative Class Members for them to perform the work that was required.
- 32. Defendant determined Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members opportunity for profit and loss. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were not required to possess any unique or specialized skillset (other than that maintained by all other employees in their respective position) to perform their job duties.
- 33. Defendant and its clients controlled all the significant or meaningful aspects of the job duties performed by Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members.
- 34. Defendant and its clients determined the hours and locations Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members worked, tools used, and rates of pay received.
- 35. Even though Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members often worked away from Defendant's offices without the presence of a direct supervisor employed by Defendant, Defendant still controlled all aspects of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members job activities by enforcing mandatory compliance with Defendant and its client's policies and procedures.
- 36. No real investment was required of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members to perform their job.

- 37. More often than not, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members utilized equipment provided by Defendant and/or its clients to perform their job duties. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members did not provide the equipment he worked with on a daily basis.
- 38. Defendant and/or its clients made the large capital investments in buildings, machines, equipment, tools, and supplied in the business in which Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members worked.
- 39. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members did not incur operating expenses like rent, payroll, marketing, and insurance.
- 40. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were economically dependent on Defendant during their employment.
- 41. Defendant set Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members rates of pay, their work schedules, and prohibited them from working other jobs for other companies while they were working on jobs for Defendant.
- 42. Defendant directly determined Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members opportunity for profit and loss. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members earning opportunities were based on the number of days Defendant scheduled them to work.
- 43. Moreover, the job functions of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were primarily manual labor/technical in nature, requiring little to no official training, much less a college education or other advanced degree.
- 44. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members did not have any supervisory or management duties.
- 45. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were not employed by Defendant on a project-by-project basis. In fact, while Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were classified as

6

independent contractors, they were regularly on call for Defendant and/or its clients and were expected to drop everything and work whenever needed.

- 46. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members perform the same or similar job duties and are subjected to the same or similar policies and procedures which dictate the day-to-day activities performed by each person.
- 47. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members also worked similar hours and were denied overtime as a result of the same illegal pay practice.
- 48. Defendant's policy of failing to pay their independent contractors, including Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members, overtime violates the FLSA and PMWA because these workers are, for all purposes, employees performing non-exempt job duties.
- 49. Because Plaintiff (and Defendant's other independent contractors) were misclassified as independent contractors by Defendant, they should receive overtime for all hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours in each workweek.

VI. FLSA VIOLATIONS

- 50. As set forth herein, Defendant has violated, and is violating, Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating such employees for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours per week at rates no less than 1 and ½ times the regular rates for which they were employed.
- 51. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members overtime compensation. Defendants failure to pay overtime compensation to these employees was neither reasonable, nor was the decision not to pay overtime made in good faith.

52. Accordingly, Plaintiff and all those who are similarly situated are entitled to overtime wages under the FLSA in an amount equal to 1 and ½ times their rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs.

VII. PMWA VIOLATIONS

- 53. Plaintiff brings this claim under the PMWA as a Rule 23 class action.
- 54. The conduct alleged violates the PMWA (43 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 333.104).
- 55. At all relevant times, Defendant was subject to the requirements of the PMWA.
- 56. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members as an "employee" within the meaning of the PMWA.
- 57. The PMWA requires employers like Defendant to pay employees at one and one-half (1.5) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any one week. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members are entitled to overtime pay under the PMWA.
- 58. Defendant has and had a policy and practice of misclassifying Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members as independent contractors and failing to pay these workers overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.
- 59. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members seek unpaid overtime in amount equal to 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, prejudgment interest, all available penalty wages, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- 60. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members also seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by Defendant, as provided by the PMWA.

VIII. CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates all previous paragraphs and alleges that the illegal pay practices

 Defendant imposed on Plaintiff were likewise imposed on the Putative Class Members.
- 62. Numerous individuals were victimized by this pattern, practice, and policy which is in willful violation of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 63. Numerous other individuals who worked with Plaintiff indicated they were improperly classified as independent contractors, paid in the same manner, performed similar work, and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by state and federal wage laws.
- 64. Based on his experiences and tenure with Defendant, Plaintiff is aware that Defendant's illegal practices were imposed on the Putative Class Members.
- 65. The Putative Class Members were all improperly classified as independent contractors and not afforded the overtime compensation when they worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 66. Defendant's failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by state and/or federal law result from generally applicable, systematic policies, and practices which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of the Putative Class Members.
- 67. Plaintiff's experiences are therefore typical of the experiences of the Putative Class Members.
- 68. The specific job titles or precise job locations of the Putative Class Members do not prevent class or collective treatment.
- 69. Plaintiff has no interest contrary to, or in conflict with, the Putative Class Members. Like each Putative Class Member, Plaintiff has an interest in obtaining the unpaid overtime wages owed to them under state and/or federal law.
- 70. A class and collective action, such as the instant one, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit.

- 71. Absent this action, many Putative Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendant will reap the unjust benefits of violating the FLSA and applicable state labor laws.
- 72. Furthermore, even if some of the Putative Class Members could afford individual litigation against Defendant, it would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system.
- 73. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.
- 74. The questions of law and fact common to the Putative Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:
 - a. Whether Defendant employed the Putative Class Members within the meaning of the applicable state and federal statutes, including the FLSA and PMWA;
 - b. Whether the Putative Class Members were improperly misclassified as independent contractors;
 - c. Whether Defendant's decision to classify the Putative Class Members as independent contractors was made in good faith;
 - d. Whether Defendant's decision to not pay time and a half for overtime to the
 Putative Class Members was made in good faith;
 - e. Whether Defendant's violation of the FLSA and PMWA was willful; and
 - f. Whether Defendant's illegal pay practices were applied uniformly across the nation to all Putative Class Members.
- 75. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Putative Class Members. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members sustained damages arising out of Defendant's illegal and uniform employment policy.

- 76. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a collective or class action.
- 77. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude collective and class action treatment.

IX. JURY DEMAND

78. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

X. Relief Sought

- 79. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:
 - a. An Order designating this lawsuit as a collective action and permitting the issuance of a notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated individuals with instructions to permit them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
 - b. For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendant liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members for liquidated damages equal in amount to their unpaid compensation;
 - c. For an Order designating the state law classes as class actions pursuant to Fed.R. Civ. P. 23;
 - d. For an Order appointing Plaintiff and his counsel as Class Counsel to represent the interests of the both the federal and state law classes;
 - e. For an Order awarding attorneys' fees, costs and pre- and post-judgment interest; and
 - f. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Michael A. Josephson
Michael A. Josephson
Texas Bar No. 24014780
Andrew W. Dunlap
Texas Bar No. 24078444
JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM
11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050
Houston, Texas 77046
713-352-1100 – Telephone
713-352-3300 – Facsimile
mjosephson@mybackwages.com
adunlap@mybackwages.com

AND

Joshua P. Geist PA. I.D. No. 85745 GOODRICH & GEIST, P.C. 3634 California Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15212 Tel: (412) 766-1455 Fax: (412)766-0300 josh@goodrichandgeist.com

AND

Richard J. (Rex) Burch
Texas Bar No. 24001807 **BRUCKNER BURCH, P.L.L.C.**8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500
Houston, Texas 77046
713-877-8788 – Telephone
713-877-8065 – Facsimile
rburch@brucknerburch.com

ATTORNEYS IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF

Case 2:18-cv-00377-MPH Decument 1 SHEFI 03/22/18 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de				1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
DAVID RUBERTO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Beaver County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
Michael A. Josephson, Jo Plaza, Suite 3050, 713-3	osephson Dunlap Law					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	<u> </u>	one Box Only)	 . CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
		ne Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Not a Party)	Citizen of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
W. MATEURE OF GUY			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR ▼ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 BILA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from a 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you are file b)	(specify,	er District Litigation		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 03/22/2018	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Michael A. Josephson					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPI YING IFP	IUDGE	MAG IIII	OGF	

Print Save As..

Reset

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A	
This case b	pelongs on the ($igcirc$ Erie $igcirc$ Johnstown $igcirc$ Pittsburgh) calendar.
	DAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Kean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said
	CALENDAR - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of ies.
	on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in that theresides inCounty.
4. Complete if	on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose inCounty and that theresides inCounty.
PART B (You ar	re to check ONE of the following)
_	se is related to Number Short Caption
	se is not related to a pending or terminated case.
	F RELATED CASES:
as another sui suit EMINENT I groups which v HABEAS CORPUS	or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions it or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual ed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be d.
PARTC	
I. CIVIL CATE	GORY (Select the applicable category).
	trust and Securities Act Cases
_	or-Management Relations
	eas corpus
- A	l Rights ent, Copyright, and Trademark
<u> </u>	nent Domain
7.	other federal question cases personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, es Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious
	osecution, and false arrest
<u> </u>	urance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases. ernment Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education),
10.0	V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)
_	that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation crue and correct
Date: 03/22	/2018 Michael A. Josephson
	ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH ÔŠÞRU MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is IV. sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes. V.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print	Name: David Ruberto
1.	I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against Strad Energy to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
2.	I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
3.	I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
4.	I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.
Signa	ture: Devid Ruberto (Mar 15, 2018) Date Signed: Mar 15, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

DAVID RUBERTO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated))))		
Plaintiff(s))		
v.	Civil Action No.		
STRAD ENERGY SERVICES USA LTD)))		
Defendant(s)))		
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) STRAD ENERGY SERVICE By and through its registere CT Corporation System 600 N 2nd Street, Suite 401 Harrisburg, PA 17110-1071			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Josephson Andrew W. Dunlap JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050 Houston, TX 77046 713-352-1100 – Telephone			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)			
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	lividual at (place)			
	on (\overline{date}) ; or \square I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with $(name)$					
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,		
	on (date)	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) , where the summons on the summons of the summons on the summons on the summons of the summon					
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sum	; or				
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.					
Date:		_				
			Server's signature			
		_	Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Strad Energy Services Drilled with Worker's Wage and Hour Suit</u>