

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Esther Rottenstein, individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

-v.-

Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC *d/b/a ERC*

Defendant.

Civil Action No: _____

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Esther Rottenstein (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or "Rottenstein"), a New York resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through her attorneys, RC Law Group, PLLC, against Defendant Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC *d/b/a ERC* (hereinafter "Defendant" or "ERC"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re

inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate~ *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendant jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers under § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and

6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Rockland, residing at 237 Saddle River Road, Airmont, NY 10952.

8. Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC *d/b/a ERC* is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 8041 Bayberry Road, Jacksonville, FL 32256.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

10. Defendant is a "debt collector", as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

11. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

13. The Class consists of:

- a. all individuals with addresses in the State of New York;
- b. to whom Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
- c. regarding collection of a Barclays Bank Debt;
- d. without clearly identifying the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
- e. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

14. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.

15. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.

16. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibits A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.

17. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

18. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- a. **Numerosity:** The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.

- b. **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 § 1692e and §1692g.
- c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. **Adequacy:** The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

19. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

20. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

22. Some time prior to May 18, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Barclays Bank ("Barclays").

23. The Barclays obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.

24. The alleged Barclays Bank Delaware obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

25. Barclays Bank is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).

26. Barclays or a subsequent owner of the Barclaycard debt contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.

27. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

Violation I – May 18, 2017 Collection Letter

28. On or about May 18, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter (the “Letter”) regarding the alleged debt owed to Barclays Bank Delaware. See Exhibit A.

29. When a debt collector solicits payment from a consumer, it must, within five days of an initial communication, provide the consumer with a written validation notice which must include the following information:

- (1) the amount of the debt;
- (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
- (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;
- (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
- (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address

of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

30. The FDCPA further provides that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty day period . . . that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed . . . the debt collector shall cease collection . . . until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt . . . and a copy of such verification is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).

31. This letter did not contain all the requirements of “G Notice.”

32. Specifically this letter fails to identify the Plaintiff’s current creditor.

33. Defendant’s letter merely states, “Creditor: Barclays Bank Delaware”.

34. The letter fails to indicate whether the “Creditor” refers to the original or current creditor.

35. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to convey such information clearly.

36. Mere allusions to the creditor's identity are insufficient. The Letter must specifically and clearly identify the creditor of the collection account.

37. The letter fails to identify any party as “Present or Current” creditor.

38. Furthermore the letter defines the Original Creditor as “Barclays Rewards.”

39. Barclays Rewards is neither a bank nor a lender of money.

40. It is unclear if Barclays Bank Delaware is a different creditor from Barclays Rewards and who the current creditor is.

41. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g, a debt collector is required in the initial communication with a consumer, to identify the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

42. The obligation is not only to identify the name of the creditor, but to convey the name of the creditor clearly and explicitly.

43. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. §1692e
et seq.

44. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

45. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

46. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

47. Defendant violated said section by:

a. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).

48. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II
VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C.
§1692g *et seq.*

49. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

50. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.

51. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692g, a debt collector:

52. Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing –

1. The amount of the debt;
2. The name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
3. A statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt-collector;
4. A statement that the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
5. A statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name

and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

53. The Defendant violated 1692g(a)(2) by failing to clearly name the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

54. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692g et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Esther Rottenstein, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated demands judgment from Defendant Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC d/b/a ERC, as follows:

1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Daniel Kohn, Esq. as Class Counsel;
2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Hackensack, New Jersey
October 27, 2017

 /s/ Daniel Kohn
By: Daniel Kohn

RC Law Group, PLLC
285 Passaic Street
Hackensack, NJ 07601
Phone: (201) 282-6500
Fax: (201) 282-6501
Attorneys For Plaintiff



Creditor: Barclays Bank Delaware
Product: Barclaycard Rewards
Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX1408
Amount of Debt: \$6,667.36
Reference Number: [REDACTED] 5957

May 18, 2017
Original Creditor: Barclaycard Rewards
Original Balance: \$6,667.36
Interest Accrued: \$0.00
Non-interest Charges & Fees: \$0.00
Payments: -\$0.00

COLLECTION NOTICE

ESTHER ROTTENSTEIN

Our records indicate that your balance with Barclays Bank Delaware remains unpaid; therefore your account has been placed with ERC for collection efforts.

Upon receipt of your payment and clearance of funds in the amount of \$6,667.36, your account will be considered paid in full and collection efforts will cease.

Unless you dispute the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, within thirty (30) days after your receipt of this notice, the debt will be assumed to be valid by us.



View statements, pay your balance, and manage your account online at www.payerc.com.



Telephone: (800) 631-6702 Toll Free. All calls are recorded and may be monitored for training purposes.



Send correspondence to: ERC, P.O. Box 57610, Jacksonville, FL 32241.



Office Hours (Eastern Time): Mon-Thurs: 8:00 am-11:00pm, Fri: 8:00 am-10:00 pm, Sat: 8:00 am-6:00 pm

PAP-1608-B-D

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

NOTICE - SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT NOTICES AND CONSUMER RIGHTS

Please do not send correspondence to this address.

P.O. BOX 1259, Dept 98696
Oaks, PA 19456



May 18, 2017

Reference Number
[REDACTED] 5957

Amount of Debt
\$6,667.36

ERC
P.O. Box 23870
Jacksonville, FL 32241-3870



ESTHER ROTTENSTEIN
[REDACTED]

001200



ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Enhanced Recovery Company Failed to Name Current Creditor, FDCPA Complaint Alleges](#)
