UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

FRANKLIN ROSS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

CASE NO. 21-cv-749

Plaintiff,

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

v.

MASON COMPANIES, INC.,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Franklin Ross, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to himself and his counsel, which are based on personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

1. Unsatisfied with traditional sales revenue alone, Defendant Mason Companies, Inc. ("Mason") sold and rented, and continues to sell and rent, mailing lists containing Plaintiff's and all of its other customers' names and addresses (as well as age, gender, income, religion, age of children, and information pertaining to their purchase of products from one or more of Mason's companies (hereinafter, "Personally Identifying Transactional Data")) on the open market to anyone interested in purchasing them, including data miners, data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, list brokers, aggressive marketing companies, and various other parties. Prior to monetizing Plaintiff's and its other customers' Personally Identifying Transactional Data in this way, Mason did not ask for much less obtain consent from any of these individuals.

2. Documented evidence confirms these facts. For example, Mason, either directly or through one or more intermediary acting on its behalf and at its direction (including through NextMark and/or one or more "list manager" and/or "list broker"), and during the time period

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 2 of 12

relevant to this action, sold and rented to various parties the mailing list titled "Mason Companies Enhanced Masterfile Mailing List", which contains the names, addresses, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data of all individuals who purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands (including the types of products purchased), including Plaintiff (a California resident) and each of Mason's other customers (including those who reside in California), at a base price of "\$105.00/M [per thousand]," (i.e., 10.5 cents apiece), as shown in pertinent part in the screenshot below from list broker NextMark, Inc.'s website:

Mason Companies Enhanced Masterfile Mailing List				
Macon has been in business for more than 115 years. They	oll eventhi	a from choos	and apparel t	~
Mason has been in business for more than 115 years. They sell everything from shoes and apparel to				
bedding and electronics, with some businesses offering the	ir own propr	ietary credit	payment optic	on.
Get Count Get Pricing G	et More Infor	mation		
			100	
	MENTS COUNTS THROUGH 10/31/2021 POP 88,861 TOTAL UNIVERSE / BASE RATE \$105.00/M MAR		CONSUMER	
215,458 1 MONTH BUYERS		M CHANNELS:		
621,423 3 MONTH BUYERS	+ \$25.00/M			
1,106,849 6 MONTH BUYERS	+ \$15.00/M	SOORCE.	CATALOG	
2.032.267 12 MONTH BUYERS	+ \$10.00/M	PRIVACY:	UNKNOWN	
FUNDRAISERS / PUBLISHERS (RECENCY FEE WAIVED)	\$65.00/M	DITING	YES - MEMBER	
INSURANCE RATE \$65.00/I		314103.	STANDARD PROVIDER	
FOOD MAILERS (RECENCY FEE WAIVED)	\$75.00/M	0000		
***** RENTAL FILE ONLY *****	\$75.00/14	GENDER:	70% FEMALE 20	0% MALE
		SELECTS		
DESCRIPTION		\$100+ BUYERS		\$30.00/M
Mason Companies, Inc. is a family-owned,		\$150+ BUYERS		\$35.00/M
multi-channel retailer that has been in	\$200+ BUYERS		\$40.00/M	
	\$50+ BUYERS		\$20.00/M	
business for more than 115 years. They own	\$75+ BUYERS		\$25.00/M	
and operate 10 businesses that sell everything Comp	12 MONTH HOTLINE		\$10.00/M	
	3 MONTH HOTLINE		\$20.00/M	
from shoes and apparel to bedding and	6 MONTH HOTLINE		\$15.00/M	
electronics through their E-Commerce websites and direct mail		ADULT AGE COUNTS		
catalogs, with some businesses offering their own proprietary credit		AGE		\$15.00/M
payment option.		AGE OF CHILDREN		\$15.00/M
		AILMENTS		\$15.00/M
		AVERAGE PURCHASE, LAST PURCHASE		\$15.00/M
		CHILDRENS AGE COUNTS		
Titles Included in the Masterfile:		CREDIT PROGRAM		\$10.00/M
		CREDIT PROGRAM COUNTS		
		DONOR COUNTS		
Stoneberry, Masseys, Mason Easy-Pay, K. Jordan, Figi's Gall	ETHNIC/RELIGION/LANGUAGE			
		GENDER/SEX		\$8.00/M
and Gifts, Maryland Square, Auditions, BA Mason, Shoemal	GEO SELECT		\$8.00/M	
		HOTLINE		\$25.00/M
		INCOME SELECT		\$15.00/M
		INTERNET ONLY		\$5.00/M
Product Selects		LIFESTYLE		\$15.00/M
		LIFESTYLE COUNTS		
		MALE BUYER COUNTS		
Shoe Style: Casual, Dress, Work, Athletic, Outdoor, Slipper, Western		NEW TO FILE COUNTS		
		NEW TO FILE/MULTI-BUYERS		\$5.00/M
Shoe Size Length		POLITICAL COUNTS		
		PRODUCT		\$20.00/M
	RELIGIOUS/ETHNIC \$15.00/M			
Shoe Size Width		ADDRESSING		
				\$5.00/F
Apparel		EMAIL	\$56.00/F	
		FTP \$56.00/		\$56.00/F
Accessories RELATED LISTS JI-BEHAVIO DATABASE PROFILE AMERICA POSTAL AND MASTERFILE				

See Exhibit A hereto.

3. California's Right of Publicity Law clearly prohibits what Mason has done. *See* Cal. Civ. Code § 3344, *et seq.* (the "CRPL"). Generally speaking, the CRPL prohibits using a person's name or likeness on or in connection with a product, good, piece of merchandise, or a service without the person's prior consent. Mason directly violated the CRPL by selling and

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 3 of 12

renting, on the open market to any member of the public interested in purchasing, mailing lists that contained Plaintiff's and all of its other customers' names, addresses, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data.

4. Mason's practices of monetizing its customers' names and likenesses for commercial purposes without their consent is not only unlawful, but also dangerous because it allows any member of the public willing to purchase or rent this data to target particular customers, including vulnerable members of society, using their identities, interests and other demographic data. For example, anyone could buy or rent a list that contains the names, addresses, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data of all Christian women in California over the age of 60 who earn over \$100,000 per year, have children over the age of 30, and purchased a pair of shoes from Mason in the past year. Such a list is available for sale or rental on the open market for approximately \$173.00 per thousand customers listed.

5. So while Mason profits handsomely from the use of its customers' names, likenesses, and other personally identifying attributes in this way, it does so at the expense of its customers' statutory rights of publicity. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this Class Action Complaint against Mason for its plainly unlawful use of its customers' names and likenesses in reckless disregard of their statutorily protected rights under the CRPL.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant to this action has been, a living, natural person and a domiciled resident and citizen of California. During the time period relevant to this action, Plaintiff purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands while residing in, a citizen of, and physically present in California.

7. Defendant Mason Companies, Inc. is a Wisconsin corporation that maintains its headquarters and principal place of business in Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin. Mason is a retail company that owns and operates several multi-channel brands, including without limitation B.A. Mason, Inc., ShoeMall, Stoneberry, Masseys, Mason Easy-Pay, K. Jordan, Maryland Square, Figi's Gallery, Auditions, Fifth & Glam, and Wissota Trader, each of which specializes in selling

shoe, apparel, electronics, and bedding products through websites and direct mail catalogs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because there are more than 100 class members and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, and at least one Class member is a citizen of a state different from Mason.

9. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Mason because Mason maintains its corporate headquarters and principal place of business in Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin.

10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Mason is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial District, because Mason resides in this judicial District, and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims took place within this judicial District.

THE CRPL

11. The CRPL prohibits any person from, *inter alia*, using "an individual's name . . . or likeness, in any manner, on or in products, merchandise, or goods." Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a). Specifically, Section (a) of the CRPL states, in pertinent part:

Any person who knowingly uses another's name, voice, signature, photograph, or likeness, in any manner, on or in products, merchandise, or goods, or for purposes of advertising or selling, or soliciting purchases of, products, merchandise, goods or services, without such person's prior consent, or, in the case of a minor, the prior consent of his parent or legal guardian, shall be liable for any damages sustained by the person or persons injured as a result thereof.

Id.

12. Notably, California Civil Code Sec. 3344 was amended in 1984 to include the phrase (appearing in the statutory text quoted above) "on or in products, merchandise, or goods" as an additional way in which an unauthorized use of a person's name or likeness violates the statute. *See* Stats.1984, ch. 1704, § 2, p. 6172. In making this amendment, the California legislature sought to prohibit the use of a person's name on or in a product, good, or piece of merchandise,

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 5 of 12

rather than simply in an advertisement for another product or service. *Comedy III Prod's., Inc. v. Gary Saderup, Inc.*, 21 P.3d 797, 801-02 (Cal. 2001).

13. "In any action brought under [the CRPL], the person who violated the section shall be liable to the injured party or parties in an amount equal to the greater of seven hundred fifty dollars (\$750) or the actual damages suffered by him or her as a result of the unauthorized use, and any profits from the unauthorized use that are attributable to the use and are not taken into account in computing the actual damages." Cal. Civ. Code. § 3344(a).

MASON DIRECTLY VIOLATES THE CRPL

14. Mason maintains a vast digital database comprised of its customers' information, including their names, addresses, likenesses, and various other forms of personally identifying and highly sensitive Personally Identifying Transactional Data.

15. Mason, either directly or through one or more intermediary acting on its behalf and at its direction (including through NextMark and/or one or more "list manager" and/or "list broker"), sold and rented during the relevant time period, and continues to sell and rent to this day, lists on or in which all of its customers' names, addresses, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data appear. Mason has sold and rented (and continues to sell and rent) these lists on the open market to anyone willing to pay for them, including on a regular basis to data miners, aggregators, appenders, and cooperatives, aggressive marketing companies, and others.

16. As a result of Mason's data compiling and sales practices, any member of the public can purchase or rent customer mailing lists from Mason on or in which Plaintiff's and the other Class members' names, addresses, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data appear. Mason's practices of selling and renting these mailing lists puts consumers, especially the more vulnerable members of society, at risk of serious harm from scammers.

17. Mason does not seek its customers' prior consent (written or otherwise) to any of these practices, and its customers remain unaware that their names, addresses, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data (as well as various other categories of sensitive personally identifying information) are used by Mason on or in the mailing lists that the company has sold

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 6 of 12

and rented (and continues to sell and rent) on the open market to any member of the public interested in purchasing them.

18. Mason uniformly fails to obtain consent from—or even provide effective notice to—its customers before engaging in the practices described herein.

19. By and through these actions, Mason has used Plaintiff's and all of its other California customers' names and likenesses, which have commercial value, on or in, or in connection with products, merchandise, goods, or services, or the sale or rental of such things, in direct violation of the CRPL.

20. Plaintiff suffered mental anguish as a result of Mason's practices of selling mailing lists containing his name and likeness, as well as his address and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data, on the open market and without his consent. Upon learning that his name, likeness, and other personal details were being trafficked by Mason on the open market for its own financial gain, resulting in, *inter alia*, disclosures of his purchasing habits and the proliferation of other highly sensitive and intimate personal details about him to anyone interested in purchasing its lists (including aggressive advertisers, marketing companies, possible scammers, and other parties), Plaintiff became worried, frustrated, and concerned, disturbing his peace of mind in a meaningful way – just as would occur to any reasonable person (including members of the Class) under the same or similar circumstances.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class comprised of, and defined as:

All California residents who, at any point in the relevant statutory period, had their names appear on or in a mailing list sold or rented, or offered for sale or rental, by Mason (the "Class").

22. Members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. On information and belief, the members of the Class number in the millions. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the records of Mason.

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 7 of 12

23. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Legal and factual questions common to the Class include, but are not limited to: (a) whether the mailing lists that Mason sells are "products, merchandise, or goods" within the meaning of the CRPL; (b) whether Mason used Plaintiff's and the Class members' "names" or "likenesses" "on or in" such mailing lists; (c) whether Mason obtained consent prior to using Plaintiff's and the Class members' "names" or "likenesses" "on or in" such mailing lists; (d) whether Mason's practices of selling such mailing lists violated the CRPL; and (e) the appropriate amount of damages to which Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled as a result of Mason's violations of the CRPL.

24. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class in that the named Plaintiff and the members of the Class were injured and sustained damages by Mason's uniform wrongful conduct, based upon Mason's practices of using Plaintiff's and Class members' names, likenesses, and other personally identifying attributes on or in connection with the mailing lists it sold (and its sales and rentals of such lists) to third parties on the open market.

25. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because none of the Plaintiff's interests conflict with the interests of the other members of the Class, he has retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and he intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of the members of the Class will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and his counsel.

26. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Class members. Each individual Class member may lack the resources to undergo the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessary to establish Mason's liability. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system presented by the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized litigation also presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer

management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Mason's liability. Class treatment of the liability issues will ensure that all claims and claimants are before this Court for consistent adjudication of such issues.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of California Right of Publicity Law, Cal. Civ. Code § 3344, *et seq.* (By Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Class)

27. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein the allegations in paragraphs 1-26 above.

28. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of members of the abovedefined Class against Mason.

29. Plaintiff is a natural person and therefore a "person" within the meaning of the CRPL. See Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a).

30. Mason is a corporation and thus a "person" within the meaning of the CRPL. *See id.*

31. Plaintiff, while residing in California, purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands. Each member of the Class likewise resides in California and purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands.

32. At no time before or at the time Plaintiff purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands did Mason notify Plaintiff that it would use his name or likeness "on or in products, merchandise, or goods" by selling and renting mailing lists on or in which Mason used his name, address, and other Personally Identifying Transactional Data, as well as myriad other categories of personal and demographic information. *See* Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a). Plaintiff has never consented to Mason using his name or likeness "on or in products, merchandise, or goods" in this way. *See id.*

33. Mason likewise failed to notify any of its other customers, including the members of the Class, that it would use their names or likenesses "on or in products, merchandise, or goods" by selling and renting mailing lists on or in which their names, addresses, and other Personally

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 9 of 12

Identifying Transactional Data, as well as myriad other categories of personal and demographic information, all appeared. *See id.* And none of the members of the Class has consented to Mason using their name or likeness "on or in products, merchandise, or goods" in this way. *See id.*

34. After Plaintiff purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands, and during the relevant statutory period, Mason, either directly or through one or more intermediary acting on its behalf and at its direction (including through NextMark and/or one or more "list manager" and/or "list broker"), knowingly sold mailing lists containing Plaintiff's name and likeness (among other identifying and highly sensitive Personally Identifying Transactional Data, which, *inter alia*, identified him as an individual who had purchased products from one or more of Mason's brands) to various third parties, including to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, and others, without first obtaining Plaintiff's consent or even giving him prior notice of its use of his name and likeness in this way. Likewise, during the statutory period relevant to this action, Mason knowingly sold mailing lists containing the names and likenesses of the members of the Class (among other identifying and highly sensitive Personally Identifying Transactional Data) to various third parties, including to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, and others, without first obtaining consent to these practices from, or even providing prior notice to, any of these individuals.

35. The mailing lists that Mason knowingly sold and continues to sell, on the open market to anyone interested in purchasing them, constitute "products, merchandise, or goods" within the meaning of the CRPL. *See* Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a).

36. Mason knowingly used and continues to "use" Plaintiff's and the other Class members' names and likenesses "on or in" such mailing lists. *See id*.

37. Significant commercial value exists in the aspects of Plaintiff's and the Class members' names and likenesses that Mason used and continues to use on or in its mailing lists.

38. Mason's unauthorized use of Plaintiff's and the Class members' names and likenesses on its mailing lists, as alleged herein, did not constitute "use[s] of . . . name[s] . . . or likeness[es] in connection with any news, public affairs, or sports broadcast or account, or any

political campaign[.]" Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(d).

39. By and through these actions, Mason, either directly or through one or more intermediary acting on its behalf and at its direction (including through NextMark and/or one or more "list manager" and/or "list broker"), knowingly "use[d] another's name . . . or likeness . . . on or in products, merchandise, or goods," without such person[s'] prior consent," in direct violation of the CRPL. *See* Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a).

40. Mason knowingly used Plaintiff's and the other Class members' names and likenesses on its mailing lists without prior consent in violation of the CRPL. During the time period relevant to this action, Mason, either directly or through one or more intermediary acting on its behalf and at its direction (including through NextMark and/or one or more "list manager" and/or "list broker"), directed and oversaw the compilation and assembly of the subject mailing lists from its customer database, the advertising of such mailing lists on the open market, and the actual sales of such mailing lists to various third parties. Mason reaped significant monetary profits through its sales of mailing lists on or in which Plaintiff's and the other Class members' names and likenesses appeared.

41. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have been injured, in California, from the violations of their rights of publicity that they suffered as a result of Mason's nonconsensual use of their names and likenesses in the manner described herein.

42. On behalf of himself and the Class, Plaintiff seeks: (1) \$750.00 in statutory liquidated damages or actual damages, whichever is greater, as well as any profits from Mason's unauthorized uses of his and the Class members' names and likenesses that are attributable to such uses and are not taken into account in computing any actual damages, for himself and each Class member pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a); (2) an award of punitive damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a); (3) a declaration that Mason's conduct described herein violates Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a); (4) an injunction prohibiting Mason from further using Plaintiff's and the Class members' names or likenesses on or in the mailing lists that it sells, and requiring Mason to obtain prior consent from persons in California prior to doing so in the future; and (5) costs and reasonable

attorneys' fees pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks a judgment against Defendant Mason Companies, Inc. as follows:

A. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class;

B. For a declaration that Mason's conduct described herein violates the CRPL;

C. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all counts asserted herein;

D. For an injunction prohibiting Mason from further using Plaintiff's name or likeness or the names or likenesses of the members of the Class on or in the mailing lists that it sells, as described above, and requiring Mason to obtain prior consent from its California customers prior to doing so in the future;

E. For an award of \$750.00 in statutory liquidated damages or actual damages, whichever is greater, as well as any profits from Mason's unauthorized uses of Plaintiff's and the Class members' names and likenesses that are attributable to such uses and are not taken into account in computing any actual damages, to Plaintiff and each Class member pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a);

F. For an award of punitive damages to Plaintiff and the Class members pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a);

G. For an order awarding counsel for the Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 3344(a); and

H. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded.

Case: 3:21-cv-00749 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/29/21 Page 12 of 12

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and members of the Class, demands a trial by jury on all causes of action and issues so triable.

Dated: November 29, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Frank S. Hedin</u>

Frank S. Hedin fhedin@hedinhall.com Arun G. Ravindran* aravindran@hedinhall.com **HEDIN HALL LLP** 1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1140 Miami, Florida 33131 Tel: (305) 357-2107 Fax: (305) 200-8801

* Petition for Admission Forthcoming

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class