AUG 1 6 2018

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES W. MOCOFMACK, CLERK By:

BRANDON ROONEY, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 4:18-cv-534--5m

KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION

This case assigned to District Judge north and to Magistrate Judge Hoori S

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Brandon Rooney ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Sean Short, Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, for his Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action against Defendant Kimberly-Clark Corporation ("Defendant"), and in support thereof he does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is a class and collective action brought by Plaintiff Brandon Rooney, individually and on behalf of all other hourly-paid workers employed by Defendant at any time within a three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as a result of

Page 1 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers lawful overtime

compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of

this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA

and AMWA as described, infra.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because

this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

5. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or controversy

and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this Complaint.

6. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

7. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect

within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper

within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

8. Defendant does business in this District and a substantial part of the events

alleged herein occurred in this District.

9. The witnesses to overtime wage violations alleged in this Complaint reside

in this District.

10. The records and other documents related to the payroll practices that

Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

Page 2 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

III. THE PARTIES

11. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

12. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of Pulaski County.

13. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the three (3) years preceding

the filing of this Original Complaint.

14. At all material times, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection and

benefits provided under the FLSA and AMWA.

15. Defendant is a foreign for-profit corporation, registered and licensed to do

business in the State of Arkansas.

16. Defendant's registered agent for service of process in Arkansas is The

Corporation Company, 124 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 1900, Little Rock, Arkansas

72201.

17. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the FLSA and

AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's

employer, as well as the employer of the members of the class and collective.

18. Defendant is an American corporation that produces mostly paper-based

consumer products.

19. Defendant operates manufacturing facilities worldwide, including two

facilities in Arkansas, and has one corporate headquarters that centralizes all pay, time

and human resource policies so that they are the same across all United States facilities.

20. Defendant's two Arkansas facilities are located in Conway and Maumelle.

21. During the time period relevant to this case, Plaintiff was employed at

Defendant's manufacturing facility in Maumelle.

22. Defendant's incentive pay policy described infra is the same at both the

Conway and Maumelle facilities.

23. Defendant has employees engaged in commerce and has employees

handling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced

for commerce by others, such as raw material used to manufacture paper products,

machinery and tools.

24. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not

less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately

stated) for each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

25. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

26. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff

worked for Defendant as an hourly-paid employee.

27. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers regularly worked in excess of forty

(40) hours per week throughout their tenure with Defendant.

28. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers were classified as hourly employees

and paid an hourly rate.

29. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers also received non-discretionary

incentive pay.

Page 4 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-__
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00534-JM Document 1 Filed 08/16/18 Page 5 of 18

30. Defendant established set safety and quality criteria known as a "Safety

Gate" and a "Quality Gate." When Plaintiff and any hourly-paid worker completed all of

the criteria required to "pass through the gate," they received the money available on a

prepaid debit card.

31. In addition, Defendant paid Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers one-and-

one-half (1.5) times their base hourly rate for each hour they worked over forty (40) in a

workweek.

32. However, Defendant did not include the incentive pay that was paid to

Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers in their regular rates when calculating their

overtime pay.

33. Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations requires that

non-discretionary bonuses, such as shift and hour-based premiums, "must be totaled in

with other earnings to determine the regular rate on which overtime pay must be based."

34. Defendant violated the FLSA and AMWA by not including the non-

discretionary bonuses of Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers in their regular rate when

calculating their overtime pay.

35. Plaintiff worked for Defendant at Defendant's facility in Maumelle

(hereinafter the "Maumelle facility") and Defendant's pay practices were the same for all

hourly workers at the Maumelle facility.

36. The pay practices that violate the FLSA and AMWA alleged herein were the

same at all of Defendant's U.S. facilities because the policy was a centralized human

resources policy implemented uniformly from the corporate headquarters.

Page 5 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-__
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

37. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid

Plaintiff and other hourly-paid workers violated the FLSA and AMWA.

38. Defendant's hourly-paid workers were classic manual laborers, working with

machinery and equipment to produce Defendant's products in a factory setting.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. <u>FLSA § 216(b) Class</u>

39. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

40. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

41. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly-paid workers

employed by Defendant at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who

were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA

and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

A. Payment for all hours worked, including payment of a lawful overtime

premium for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek;

B. Liquidated damages; and

C. Attorneys' fees and costs.

42. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action was filed and continues

forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

43. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

Page 6 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

A. They were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA;

B. They were paid hourly rates;

C. They received non-discretionary incentive pay;

D. They recorded their time in the same manner; and

E. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of improperly calculating

overtime pay for hours worked over forty (40) per work week.

44. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the

FLSA Collective but believe that the group exceeds 500 persons.

45. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) Collective.

The names, physical addresses, electronic mailing addresses and phone numbers of the

FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved

Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email

and text message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell

phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information

descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

46. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were

employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for

violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure.

Case 4:18-cv-00534-JM Document 1 Filed 08/16/18 Page 8 of 18

47. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly-paid workers who

are/were employed by Defendant within the relevant time period within the State of

Arkansas.

48. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed

class, such as whether as a result Defendant's failure to include non-discretionary

incentive pay in its calculation of overtime pay, Defendant paid members of the proposed

class a lawful overtime wage in accordance with the AMWA.

49. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions

affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other

available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the

proposed AMWA class.

50. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order to

safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well as

their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from wage

levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-202.

51. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the

AMWA class but believe that the class exceeds 500 persons. Therefore, the class is so

numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

Page 8 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00534-JM Document 1 Filed 08/16/18 Page 9 of 18

52. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's

counsel know of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class

concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

53. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because

Defendant's Maumelle facility is based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because

Plaintiff and all proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

54. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class

action.

55. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in that

Plaintiff worked as hourly employees for Defendant and experienced the same violations

of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

56. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the

class.

57. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other

complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the extent,

if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate additional

counsel.

58. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed

class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to

individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards of

conduct for Defendant.

Page 9 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Individual Claim for Violation of FLSA)

56. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

57. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees one and one-half

(1.5) times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of

forty (40) per week. 29 U.S.C.S. § 207.

58. Defendant violated Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal

Regulations by not including non-discretionary bonuses paid to Plaintiff in his regular rate

when calculating his overtime pay.

59. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

60. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable

to Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs,

including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

61. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of FLSA)

62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

63. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of all hourly-paid workers

employed by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and

Page 10 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

members of the putative collective for all the overtime compensation for all the hours he and they worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

64. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually and all other

similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by

Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

65. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees one and one-half

(1.5) times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess of

forty (40) per week. 29 U.S.C.S. § 207.

66. Defendant violated Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal

Regulations by not including non-discretionary bonuses paid to Plaintiff and those

similarly situated in their regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

67. In the past three years, Defendant has employed hundreds of hourly-paid

workers.

68. Like Plaintiff, these hourly-paid workers regularly worked more than forty

(40) hours in a week.

69. Defendant failed to pay these workers at the proper overtime rate.

70. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed

overtime for the same reasons, the opt-in class may be properly defined as:

Each hourly employee within the three years preceding the filing of the Complaint to whom Defendant paid a nondiscretionary bonus pursuant to its Safety Gate and/or Quality

Gate incentive plan for at least one week in which the employee

worked more than forty hours.

71. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

Page 11 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-__
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

72. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable

to Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated

seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

73. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff

and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the

applicable legal rate.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

74. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

75. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, et seq.

76. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

77. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all

employees one and one-half times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40)

hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. §

213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

78. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages owed, as required under

the AMWA.

Page 12 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

79. Defendant's failure to include non-discretionary bonuses in Plaintiff's

overtime pay resulted in a failure to pay Plaintiff full and complete overtime during weeks

in which Plaintiff worked more than forty (40) hours.

80. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

81. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable

to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's

fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three (3)

years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

82. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

83. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

84. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were

employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, asserts this claim for damages and

declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 et seq.

85. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

"employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the

AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

86. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all

employees one and one-half times their regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40)

Page 13 of 17

hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. §

213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

87. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all

overtime wages owed, as required under the AMWA.

88. Defendant's failure to include non-discretionary bonuses in Plaintiff and

members of the proposed class's overtime pay resulted in a failure to pay Plaintiff and

members of the proposed class full and complete overtime during weeks in which Plaintiff

and members of the proposed class worked more than forty (40) hours.

89. Plaintiff proposes to represent a class of individuals who are owed overtime

wages and other damages for the same reasons as Plaintiff, which may be defined as

follows:

Each hourly employee in Arkansas within the three years preceding the filing of the Complaint to whom Defendant paid a non-discretionary bonus pursuant to its Safety Gate and/or Quality Gate incentive plan for at least one week in which the

employee worked more than forty hours.

90. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

91. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff

and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a

reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within

the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

92. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA,

Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment

interest at the applicable legal rate.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Brandon Rooney respectfully prays

that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein as follows:

(A) That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the class and collective

members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the class and collective

members and all monies paid to them:

(B) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices violate the Fair Labor

Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 et

seq.;

(C) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices violate the Arkansas

Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the related regulations;

(D) Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to

participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;

(E) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the Fair

Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §

516 et seq.;

(F) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the

Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the related

regulations;

(G) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act,

29 US.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq., in an amount

Page 15 of 17
Brandon Rooney v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

equal to all unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the class

and collective during the applicable statutory period;

(H) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage

Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the relating regulations; in an amount equal

to all unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the class and

collective during the applicable statutory period;

(I) An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the class and

collective pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with

this action; and

(J) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and

proper.

Respectfully submitted,

BRANDON ROONEY, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Seak Short

Ark. Bar No. 2015079 sean@sanfordlawfirm.com

Chris Burks

Ark. Bar No. 2010207 chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

BRANDON ROONEY,	Individually and	
on Behalf of All Other	rs Similarly Situat	ed

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 4:18-cv-____

KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION

DEFENDANT

CONSENT TO JOIN COLLECTIVE ACTION

I am/was employed as an hourly employee for Kimberly-Clark Corporation within the past three (3) years. I understand this lawsuit is being brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act for overtime compensation and other relief. I consent to becoming a party-plaintiff in this lawsuit, to be represented by Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature:

BRANDON ROONEY

brandon rooney

Date: August 13, 2018

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
BRANDON ROONEY, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similar Situated			nilarly	KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Faulkner				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
Œ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)		-	(IN U.S. P	LAINTIFF CASES C			
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI OF LAND IN	ION CASES, USE T NVOLVED.	HE LOCATION ()F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Josh Sanford, SANFORI 650 South Shackleford, 5 501-221-0088; josh@sai	D LAW FIRM, PLLC, (Suite 411, Little Rock,	One Financial Cente	er,	Attorneys (If Known)					
II RASIS OF HIDISDI	ICTION (DISCUSSION)	2 P (2.1.)	ш с	TIZENCIUD OF D	DINCIDA	I DADTIEC			
				TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	IL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box fo	One Box fo or Defenda	>r Plaintiff int)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz		F DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		PTF □ 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Oi	nly)			Click	here for: Nature of	of Suit Code De	scriptions	<u>s</u> .
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	1 310 Airplane		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability Liability □ 368 Asbestos Person □ 340 Marine Injury Product □ 345 Marine Product Liability			v.		□ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark		☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	50 Motor Vehicle 55 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 60 Other Personal Injury 62 Personal Injury 65 Property Damage 66 Personal Injury 66 Personal Injury 66 Personal Injury 67 Product Liability 68 Product Liability 68 Product Liability		0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information 		
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	① 79 ① 46	0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		☐ 899 Administ Act/Revi- Agency I ☐ 950 Constitut	Act 3 896 Arbitration 3 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 5 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	D The Baselion	550 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		_							
		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop		rred from r District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigatior Direct File	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 29 USC 201 Brief description of ca	nuse:	e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless div	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Unpaid Overtime CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION	DI	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				T NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY	ORD		I HOWIDER			
08/16/2018									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			V		· · · · · ·				
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: Kimberly-Clark Corporation Improperly Calculated AR Manufacturing Workers' Overtime Pay</u>