1	Matthew D. Benedetto (SBN 252379) Vivek A. Banerjee (SBN 340685)	
2	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	
3	HALE AND DORR LLP	
4	350 South Grand Ave., Suite 2400	
	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 443-5300	
5	Facsimile: (213) 443-5400	
6	matthew.benedetto@wilmerhale.com	
7	vivek.banerjee@wilmerhale.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America	<i>l</i> ,
9	N.A.	
10		
11		
12		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
13		
14	FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, an	Case No. 2:24-cy-00136
15	individual; KIENSANG HUYNH, an	Case No. 2.24-cv-00130
	individual; ROGELIO RAMIREZ, an	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
16	individual; MIKHAIL GERSHZON, an	
17	individual; VISHAL SHAH, an individual; and PATRICIA	State Court Docket: Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles
18	COBARRUVIAZ, an	Camornia, County of Los Angeles
19	individual, on behalf of themselves and	Case No. 23STCV28840
20	all others similarly situated,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	v.	
23	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national association; and DOES 1 through 100,	
24		
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		1 E DEMOVAL
28	NOTICE OF	FREMOVAL

8

6

12 13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25 26

27

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-TITLED COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, for the reasons stated below, Defendant Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America") hereby removes the above-captioned action from the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

As grounds for removal, Bank of America states as follows:

SUMMARY

- 1. A defendant may remove an action from state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) if the federal district court has original jurisdiction over the action.
- This Court has original jurisdiction over this action as an alleged class 2. action in which "any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant" and in which "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). Such actions may be removed from state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(b).

PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND

- On November 21, 2023, Plaintiffs Francisco Roldan, III, Kiensang 3. Huynh, Rogelio Ramirez, Mikhail Gershwin, Vishal Shah, and Patricia Cobarruviaz filed a putative class action complaint captioned Francisco Roldan, III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al., Case No. 23STCV28840, in the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles. A copy of the Complaint, together with all process, pleadings, and orders served on Bank of America in the state court action, are attached hereto.
- In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that Bank of America's Online 4. Banking Service Agreement ("Agreement") "require[s] users to agree that they shall not make any statements" that expose the bank to "liability, reputational harm or brand damage" and "threaten[s] to suspend or terminate a user's access" to the

5

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

26

24 25

27

28

services provided in the Agreement if users do so. Compl. ¶¶ 5, 6. Plaintiffs allege they "applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services" provided for in the Agreement. Id. ¶¶ 11-16. The Complaint alleges, on behalf of a putative class, violations of California Civil Code § 1670.8 and the California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. Id. ¶¶ 47-60. Plaintiffs seek statutory civil penalties, restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs. *Id.* at 10-11.

- Bank of America disputes the allegations in the Complaint and disputes 5. that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.
- Plaintiffs served Bank of America with the original Complaint and 6. summons on December 6, 2023. Bank of America's time to respond to the Complaint and summons has not expired, and Bank of America has not served or filed an answer.
- This notice of removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) 7. because it is filed less than 30 days from December 6, 2023, the date on which Bank of America was served with a copy of the Complaint and summons. No previous notice of removal has been filed or made to this Court for the relief sought herein.
- 8. This action is removable to this Court because Los Angeles County is located in the Central District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL

- A defendant may remove an action from state court if the federal district 9. court has original jurisdiction over the action. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the Class 10. Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- CAFA vests federal district courts with "original jurisdiction of any 11. civil action" (A) that "is a class action," (B) in which "the number of members of all

proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate is [not] less than 100," (C) in which "any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant"; and (D) in which "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). This action satisfies these requirements.

A. This Action is a Putative Class Action

- 12. Original jurisdiction under CAFA applies to any civil action that "is a class action." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). A "class action" means "any civil action filed under rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or similar State statute or rule of judicial procedure authorizing an action to be brought by 1 or more representative persons as a class action." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(1)(B).
- 13. California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 provides in part that "when the question is one of a common or general interest, of many persons, or when the parties are numerous, and it is impracticable to bring them all before the court, one or more may sue or defend for the benefit of all." Section 382 is the California state analog to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. *See Huckleby v. Manpower, Inc.*, 2010 WL 11552970, at *3 n.1 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 7, 2010).
- 14. Plaintiffs bring their action as a class action "[p]ursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382" on behalf of "all persons residing in California who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services" provided for in the Agreement. Compl. ¶ 27. This action is therefore a putative class action removable under CAFA under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)

B. The Putative Class is Sufficiently Numerous

15. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B), the number of members of all proposed plaintiff classes must equal or exceed 100 in the aggregate for the action to be removable under CAFA.

16. Plaintiff estimates that "members of the Class number in at least the tens of thousands or hundreds of thousands." Compl. ¶ 31. The proposed class is thus sufficiently numerous under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).

C. There is Diversity of Citizenship Between The Parties.

- A putative class action is removable if "any member of a class of 17. plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).
- Plaintiff Francisco Roldan, III is a "citizen of the State of California 18. and a resident of Los Angeles." Compl. ¶ 11. All other listed Plaintiffs allege that they are citizens of the State of California. Compl. ¶¶ 12-16.
- Bank of America, N.A. is a national bank headquartered in Charlotte, 19. North Carolina. See Robinson v. Bank of Am., N.A., 2022 WL 837073, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2022) ("Defendant Bank of America, N.A., is a national bank headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina").
- 20. Because Plaintiff Roldan is a citizen of California and Bank of America is a citizen of North Carolina, this is a putative class action in which "any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Diversity of citizenship accordingly exists between the parties.

D. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$5,000,000

"In any class action, the claims of the individual class members shall 21. be aggregated to determine whether the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(6). "The amount in controversy is simply an estimate of the total amount in dispute, not a prospective assessment of defendant's liability." Lewis v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc., 627 F.3d 395, 400 (9th Cir. 2010).

26

27

28

injunctive relief, pre- and post- judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.

Plaintiffs seek statutory civil penalties, restitution, disgorgement,

22.

8 9

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

24

23

22

25 26

27

28

- Compl. at 10-11. 23. Violators of Cal. Civ. Code § 1670.8 are subject to \$2,500 fines for their first violations, and \$5,000 for the second and each subsequent violation. § 1670.8(c).
- Bank of America vigorously denies that it is liable to Plaintiffs or the 24. putative class in any way whatsoever. But if a court were to order the specific relief that Plaintiff demands, based on the size of the putative class alleged, the amount would exceed \$5,000,000. Plaintiff's requested equitable relief would also impose substantial additional burdens on Bank of America. Thus, the amount-incontroversy requirement for removal under CAFA is satisfied.

OTHER PROCEDURAL MATTERS

- 25. Promptly upon its filing, a true copy of this Notice of Removal will be provided to all adverse parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(d), Bank of America will file with this Court a Certificate of Service of notice to the adverse party of removal to federal court.
- Upon the filing of this Notice of Removal, Bank of America will 26. promptly file a Notification of Filing of Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 27. By filing this Notice of Removal, Bank of America does not waive any defenses that may be available to it, including without limitation any defenses relating to service, process, and jurisdiction, and does not concede that the allegations in the complaint state a valid claim under any applicable law.

28. Bank of America reserves the right to submit additional factual support, evidence, and affidavits to support the basis for federal jurisdiction as necessary at the appropriate time.

NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND PLAINTIFF

Counsel for Bank of America certifies that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), copies of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, and given to Plaintiff's counsel promptly. WHEREFORE, the case now pending in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. 23STCV28840, is hereby removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1453.

Dated: January 5, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew D. Benedetto
Matthew D. Benedetto (SBN 252379)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2400
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 443-5300
Facsimile: (213) 443-5400
matthew.benedetto@wilmerhale.com

Attorney for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.

Exhibit A

CASE INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Proceedings Held

Case Number: 23STCV28840

FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, ET AL. VS BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Filing Courthouse: Spring Street Courthouse

Filing Date: 11/21/2023

Case Type: Other Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) (General Jurisdiction)

Status: Pending

Click here to access document images for this case

If this link fails, you may go to the Case Document Images site and search using the case number displayed on this page

FUTURE HEARINGS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Proceedings Held

03/18/2024 at 2:30 PM in Department 10 at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Initial Status Conference

PARTY INFORMATION

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Proceedings Held

BANK OF AMERICA N.A. - Defendant

COBARRUVIAZ PATRICIA - Plaintiff

GERSHZON MIKHAIL - Plaintiff

HUYNH KIENSANG - Plaintiff

RAMIREZ ROGELIO - Plaintiff

RODRIGUEZ CHRISTOPHER R. - Attorney for Plaintiff

ROLDAN FRANCISCO III - Plaintiff

SHAH VISHAL - Plaintiff

DOCUMENTS FILED

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Proceedings Held

Documents Filed (Filing dates listed in descending order)

12/14/2023 Certificate of Mailing for ((Court Order Re: Initial Status Conference Order) of 12/14/2023, Initial Status Conference Order)

Filed by Clerk

12/14/2023 Minute Order ((Court Order Re: Initial Status Conference Order))

Filed by Clerk

1/3/24, 11:56 AM Case 2:24-cv-00136 Document 1-1 Filed ASD/05/324cc Rage 3 of 59 Page ID #:10

12/12/2023 Initial Status Conference Order

Filed by Clerk

12/06/2023 Proof of Service Summons

Filed by Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff)

12/04/2023 Summons (on Complaint)

Filed by Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); Kiensang Huynh (Plaintiff); Rogelio Ramirez (Plaintiff) et al.

11/21/2023 Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case

Filed by Clerk

11/21/2023 Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulation Packet

Filed by Clerk

11/21/2023 First Amended General Order re: Mandatory Electronic Filing

Filed by Clerk

11/21/2023 Alternate Dispute Resolution Packet

Filed by Clerk

11/21/2023 Civil Case Cover Sheet

Filed by Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); Kiensang Huynh (Plaintiff); Rogelio Ramirez (Plaintiff) et al.

11/21/2023 Complaint

Filed by Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); Kiensang Huynh (Plaintiff); Rogelio Ramirez (Plaintiff) et al.

PROCEEDINGS HELD

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Proceedings Held

Proceedings Held (Proceeding dates listed in descending order)

12/14/2023 at 09:01 AM in Department 10, William F. Highberger, Presiding Court Order

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

Case Information | Register Of Actions | FUTURE HEARINGS | PARTY INFORMATION | Documents Filed | Proceedings Held

12/14/2023 Initial Status Conference scheduled for 03/18/2024 at 02:30 PM in Spring Street Courthouse at Department 10

12/14/2023 The case is placed in special status of: Deemed Complex

12/14/2023 The case is placed in special status of: Stay - Deemed Complex

12/14/2023 Minute Order (Court Order Re: Initial Status Conference Order)

12/14/2023 Certificate of Mailing for (Court Order Re: Initial Status Conference Order) of 12/14/2023, Initial Status Conference Order; Filed by: Clerk

12/14/2023 The case is removed from the special status of: Provisionally Complex ? Case Type

12/12/2023 Initial Status Conference Order; Signed and Filed by: Clerk

12/12/2023 Updated -- Initial Status Conference Order: Result: Granted ; Result Date: 12/12/2023

12/06/2023 Proof of Service Summons; Filed by: Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); As to: Bank of America, N.A. (Defendant);

Service Cost: 180.40; Proof of Mailing Date: 12/06/2023; Service Cost Waived: No

1/3/24, 11:56 AM Case 2:24-cv-00136 Document 1-1 Filed ASD/05/8-4cc Rage 4 of 59 Page ID #:11

12/04/2023 Summons on Complaint; Issued and Filed by: Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); Kiensang Huynh (Plaintiff); Rogelio Ramirez (Plaintiff) et al. As to: Bank of America, N.A. (Defendant)

11/27/2023 Case assigned to Hon. William F. Highberger in Department 10 Spring Street Courthouse

11/21/2023 The case is placed in special status of: Class Action

11/21/2023 The case is placed in special status of: Provisionally Complex? Case Type

11/21/2023 Notice of Case Assignment - Unlimited Civil Case; Filed by: Clerk

11/21/2023 Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulation Packet; Filed by: Clerk

11/21/2023 First Amended General Order re: Mandatory Electronic Filing; Filed by: Clerk

11/21/2023 Alternate Dispute Resolution Packet; Filed by: Clerk

11/21/2023 Civil Case Cover Sheet; Filed by: Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); Kiensang Huynh (Plaintiff); Rogelio Ramirez (Plaintiff) et al. As to: Bank of America, N.A. (Defendant)

11/21/2023 Complaint; Filed by: Francisco Roldan, III (Plaintiff); Kiensang Huynh (Plaintiff); Rogelio Ramirez (Plaintiff) et al. As to: Bank of America, N.A. (Defendant)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SINGLETON SCHREIBER, LLP CHRISTOPHER R. RODRIGUEZ, SB# 2122 E-Mail: crodriguez@singletonschreiber.con ANDREW D. BLUTH, SB# 232387 E-Mail: abluth@singletonschreiber.com JOHN R. TERNIEDEN, SB# 330343 E-Mail: jternieden@singletonschreiber.com TRENT J. NELSON, SB# 340185 E-Mail: tnelson@singletonschreiber.com YUQING "EMILY" MIN, SB# 347239 E-Mail: emin@singletonschreiber.com 1414 K Street, Suite 470 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 248-8478 Facsimile: (619) 255-1515	County of Los Angeles 11/21/2023 8:01 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By G. Carini, Deputy Clerk
9 10	LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS LEARY, APO THOMAS A. LEARY, SB# 123792 3023 First Avenue	C
11	San Diego, California 92103 Phone: (619) 291-1900	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	SUPERIOR COURT FOR	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY O	F LOS ANGELES
15		
16 17	FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, an individual; KIENSANG HUYNH, an	No. 23STCV28840
18	individual; ROGELIO RAMIREZ, an individual; MIKHAIL GERSHZON, an individual; VISHAL SHAH, an individual;	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
19 20	and PATRICIA COBARRUVIAZ, an individual, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
20	Plaintiffs,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
22	v.	
23	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national association; and DOES 1 through 100,	
24 25	Defendants.	
26		Į.
27		
28		
	DI AINTIEES' CLAS	1 SS ACTION COMPLAINT
- 1	rlaintiffo CLA	OD ACTION CONFERINT

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Francisco Roldan, III; Kiensang Huynh; Rogelio Ramirez; Mikhail Gershzon; Vishal Shah; and Patricia Cobarruviaz, by and through their undersigned counsel, on their own behalf and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated (residents of California only) (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), sue Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank") and DOES 1 through 100 ("Doe Defendants") (Bank and Doe Defendants are collectively referred to herein as the "Defendants") and for this Complaint, allege upon information and belief, and based on the investigation to date of their counsel, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a class action brought for the benefit and protection of Plaintiffs, and all other similarly situated consumers who are residents of California and who have used any online or mobile banking services maintained by Bank and accessible through bankofamerica.com, or any mobile banking application of Bank, to obtain financial products and services, access and view account information, and, for certain accounts, move money electronically and perform authorized transactions (the "Services").
- 2. By way of this action, Plaintiffs, and all others similarly situated, seek damages, restitution, injunctive relief, public injunctive relief, and other relief necessitated by Defendants' unlawful and unfair actions in violation of California Civil Code section 1670.8 and California Business and Professions Code section 17200. Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated seek an order permanently enjoining Defendants from engaging in these ongoing unlawful and unfair practices, and civil penalties and damages available under California law.
- 3. Because of the current power of the internet and social media platforms to publicize a company's offerings of goods or services—and the potential harm to corporate interests when negative consumer statements "go viral"—Defendants have a significant incentive to minimize the negative publicity they receive, including in the form of negative online reviews and comments. Some companies have gone so far as to attempt to prohibit customers and potential customers from making negative statements about the goods or services they offer, to the detriment of consumers, potential consumers, and the public of the State of California. Fortunately, California Civil Code

section 1670.8 was enacted to protect the right of California consumers to voice their opinions, observations, and experiences about the products and services delivered or offered to California consumers, as well as the citizens of the State of California. The California Legislature reasonably and correctly determined that such freedom is important to keep the public informed and keep large corporations honest about the quality of the goods or services they offer to consumers.

- 4. Section 1670.8(a) provides as follows: "(1) A contract or proposed contract for the sale or lease of consumer goods or services may not include a provision waiving the consumer's right to make any statement regarding the seller or lessor or its employees or agents, or concerning the goods or services" and "(2) It shall be unlawful to threaten or seek to enforce a provision made unlawful under this section, or to otherwise penalize a consumer for making any statement protected under this section." Section 1670.8's protections are so important that the statute expressly provides that "any waiver of the provisions of this section is contrary to public policy, and is void and unenforceable."
- 5. In order to use and benefit from the Services, users of the Services are informed that they must agree to the Bank's Online Banking Service Agreement (the "Agreement"). In fact, the Bank asserts that whenever a user applies for, enrolls in, activates, downloads, or uses any of the Services, they are deemed to have agreed to be bound by the Agreement.
- 6. While conducting substantial business with California consumers, the Agreement's terms that Defendants imposed upon their customers and prospective customers clearly violate Section 1670.8. Pursuant to the Agreement that Defendants impose upon their customers for the privilege of accessing the goods and/or services offered, Defendants require users to agree that they shall not make any statements "that expose [Defendants] . . . to liability, reputational harm or brand damage" and further threaten to suspend or terminate a user's access to the Services if user does so. Defendants maintain that they possess sole discretion to determine what user conduct amounts to reputational harm or brand damage.
- 7. Defendants' conduct is unlawful, including among other reasons, because it is aimed to stifle California consumers' right to free speech, and the right of the California public to hear lawful discourse. Defendants' strong-arm tactics to silence injured parties were and continue to be

intentionally exercised to protect Defendants' self-promoting public image for commercial and other benefits. Defendants' unlawful business practices, purposefully designed to maintain and increase their consumers and prop up their stock price, all while denying the public, consumers, and potential consumers accurate information so that they may make informed decisions as consumers.

8. By way of these provisions, Defendants seek to have users waive their right as consumers to make negative statements regarding Defendants or their goods or services, and further threaten to penalize consumers for making such statements. These unlawful restrictions—imposed by Defendants against their own customers and prospective customers—is an important component of Defendants' business strategy, which relies upon the popularity of their product offerings nationwide to generate significant revenues and profits. But Defendants' efforts to silence their customers and prospective customers is clearly prohibited by California law, thereby subjecting Defendants to significant penalties, as described herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims and causes of action asserted herein because such claims arise solely and specifically out of Defendants' unlawful business practices within the State of California, and relate to at least one statute—California Civil Code section 1670.8—that was designed to protect California's citizens, the application of which is exclusively a matter for the courts of this State.
- 10. Venue is proper in this Court because: Defendants transact business in California and in the County of Los Angeles based on Plaintiffs' use of the Services in this County; Defendants have committed unlawful acts in the County by and through the Services and associated business transactions within the County; and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this County, where at least one of the Plaintiffs resides.

THE PARTIES

11. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Francisco Roldan, III was and has been a citizen of the State of California and a resident of Los Angeles. Roldan, III is an individual who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services within the applicable limitations

period in Los Angeles County in the State of California.

12. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Kiensang Huynh was and has been a citizen of the State of California. Huynh is an individual who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services within the applicable limitations period in the State of California.

13. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Rogelio Ramirez was and has been a citizen of the State of California. Ramirez is an individual who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services within the applicable limitations period in the State of California.

14. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Mikhail Gershzon was and has been a citizen of the State of California. Gershzon is an individual who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services within the applicable limitations period in the State of California.

15. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Vishal Shah was and has been a citizen of the State of California. Shah is an individual who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services within the applicable limitations period in the State of California.

16. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Patricia Cobarruviaz was and has been a citizen of the State of California. Cobarruviaz is an individual who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services within the applicable limitations period in the State of California.

17. Defendant Bank is an entity that transacts business in the state of California with California citizens. The Bank develops, markets, and sells a variety of goods or services, including financial services. Defendant Bank operates in California and generates sales through the Services.

18. The true names and/or capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate, governmental, or otherwise, of the Doe Defendants, inclusive, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, who therefore sues said Doe Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each defendant designated herein as a Doe Defendant caused injuries and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiffs as hereafter alleged, and that each Doe Defendant is liable to Plaintiffs for the acts and omissions alleged herein below, and the resulting injuries to Plaintiffs, and damages sustained by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of said Doe Defendants when that same is

ascertained.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLASS MEMBERS

- 19. At all relevant times, Defendants were and currently are in the business of designing, researching, manufacturing, testing, advertising, promoting, marketing, selling, and distributing consumer financial products and services through the Services, which are targeted to, and accessible by, the citizenry of California.
- 20. Defendants are well-aware that their public image is vital to maintaining and gaining customers. If the public sees content posted by users that may be insulting to the Bank, and/or concerning any of its goods or services, and/or any of the Defendants, then current customers and/or prospective customers may shift to a competitor, ultimately resulting in loss of business and loss of revenue. The need for financial institutions, like the Bank, to maintain a positive public imagine has grown exponentially more important since the 2008 financial crisis and corresponding public distrust of financial institutions.
- 21. Thus, in order to maintain a positive public image, Defendants have engaged in an intentional business strategy to silence each and every customer or potential customer who uses their Services by purporting to bind users to the Agreement—immediately upon accessing any of the Services. Specifically, the Agreement provides that by applying for, activating, downloading, or using any of the Services, users are deemed to have agreed to be bound by the Agreement, including the provision prohibiting users from using the Services in any manner that exposes Defendants to "liability, reputational harm or brand damage." Defendants maintain that they possess sole discretion to determine what user conduct amounts to reputational harm or brand damage.
- 22. The Agreement, moreover, threatens to penalize users for making any statements that Defendants consider to be "reputationally harmful" or "brand damaging" in providing that Defendants will "suspend or terminate" users' use of the Services.
- 23. Defendants have sold and continue to sell millions of financial products and services to California consumers through the Services.
 - 24. Defendants purport to forbid any California consumers who have used, will use, or

are even considering using financial products and services from Defendants through the Services from making any so-called "reputationally harmful" or "brand damaging" statements about the Bank, Defendants themselves, or any of their goods or services.

- 25. In doing so, Defendants have and continue to engage in unlawful and unfair conduct, that is contrary to public policy and in violation of California Civil Code section 1670.8 and California Business and Professions Code section 17200.
- 26. Each of the Plaintiffs specifically identified herein, and millions more similarly situated persons in the State of California, have used the Services—either as consumers or potential consumers—and thus have ostensibly been subjected to the unlawful Agreement.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, Plaintiffs bring this class action on their own behalf and on behalf of all other similarly situated consumers in California. The proposed class is defined as follows:
- a. During the fullest period allowed by law, all persons residing in California who applied for, enrolled in, activated, downloaded, or used any of the Services ("Class").
- 28. Like Plaintiffs, all Class members are California residents who used the Services and who were subject to the Agreement that limits their right as consumers to make statements regarding Bank, or any of the Defendants, or their goods or services.
- 29. Excluded from the Class are assigned judges and members of their families within the first degree of consanguinity; Defendants; and Defendants' subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, and directors.
- 30. The requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are satisfied for the proposed Class.
- 31. The proposed Class is so numerous that individual joinder of all the members is impracticable because members of the Class number in at least the tens of thousands or hundreds of thousands. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time but are objectively ascertainable and will be determined through appropriate discovery and other readily available means.

- 32. Defendants possess objective evidence as to the identity of each Class member and, to a reasonable degree of certainty, the harm suffered by each Class member, including without limitation web and mobile data evidencing access to and use of the Services, sales receipts, phone numbers, names, rewards accounts data, credit card data, customer service complaint forms/emails/date, and other evidence which objectively identifies Class members.
- 33. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, publication and/or through the records of Defendants.
- 34. There are common questions of law and fact affecting Plaintiffs and Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to:
- a. Whether each imposition of Defendants' Agreement upon members of the Class constitutes a violation of the provisions of California Civil Code section 1670.8 and, if so, whether each such violation is a "willful, intentional, or reckless" violation;
- b. Whether Defendants' Agreement is unlawful, contrary to public policy, void and/or unenforceable;
- c. Whether by the misconduct set forth in this Complaint, Defendants engaged and continue to engage in unfair, fraudulent, or unlawful business practices;
 - d. Whether the Class is entitled to recover statutory attorney's fees;
 - e. Whether Class members are entitled to civil penalties; and
- f. Whether, as a result of Defendants' misconduct alleged herein, Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to restitution, injunctive, public injunctive, and/or monetary relief and, if so, the amount and nature of such relief.
- 35. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the proposed Class because the rights of Plaintiffs and Class members were violated in the same manner by the same conduct.
- 36. Plaintiffs and Class members are all entitled to recover statutory penalties and other relief arising out of Defendants' violations of statutory law alleged herein.
 - 37. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class.
- 38. Plaintiffs' interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class they seek to represent. Plaintiffs have retained counsel competent and experienced in prosecuting class actions,

and Plaintiffs intend to vigorously prosecute this action.

- 39. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Plaintiffs and Class members.
- 40. Given the relative value of statutory penalties available to any of the individual Class members, individual litigation is not practicable.
- 41. Individual Class members will not wish to undertake the burden and expense of individual cases.
- 42. In addition, individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system. Individualized ligation also presents the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments.
- 43. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 44. Questions of law and fact common to all Class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. Injuries sustained by Plaintiffs and Class members flow, in each instance, from a common nucleus of operative facts as set forth above.
- 45. In each case, Defendants' actions caused harm to all Class members as a result of such conduct. The resolution of these central issues will be the focus of the litigation and predominate over any individual issues.
- 46. Proposed Class counsel possesses the knowledge, experience, reputation, ability, skill, and resources to represent the Class and should be appointed lead counsel for the Class.

COUNT I— VIOLATION OF CIVIL CODE SECTION 1670.8

- 47. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 46 of their Complaint. Plaintiffs assert this first cause of action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons residing in California who used the Services to complete transactions.
 - 48. Defendants are in the business of selling consumer financial goods or services.
- 49. Plaintiffs and Class members accessed, and/or used, and/or purchased goods or services from Defendants via the Services.

- 50. Pursuant to the Agreement that Defendants impose upon their customers for the privilege of accessing the goods and/or services offered, Defendants require users to agree that they shall not make any statements "that expose [Defendants] . . . to liability, reputational harm or brand damage" and further threaten to suspend or terminate a user's access to the Services if user does so. Defendants maintain that they possess sole discretion to determine what user conduct amounts to reputational harm or brand damage.
- 51. By simply accessing or using the Services, Defendants purport to have charged Plaintiffs and Class members with having read, understood, and agreed to be bound by the Agreement's terms.
- 52. By way of this restriction, Defendants intentionally, willfully, or recklessly seek to have Plaintiffs and the Class members waive their right as consumers to make statements regarding Defendants and/or punish consumers for making such statements, which restrictions are prohibited under California Civil Code 1670.8 and are contrary to public policy.
- 53. Defendants have repeatedly violated California Civil Code 1670.8 in relation to each of the Plaintiffs and Class members and their respective online or mobile banking transactions.
 - 54. Defendants' conduct has caused Plaintiffs and Class members to suffer harm.
- 55. Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to restitutionary and injunctive relief, including public injunctive relief.
- 56. Plaintiffs and Class members are also entitled to civil penalties for Defendants' violations of Civil Code 1670.8.

COUNT II—VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200

- 57. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 56 of their Complaint. Plaintiffs assert this second cause of action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons residing in California who used the Services.
- 58. By engaging in the above-described conduct, Defendants, and each of them, acted in a manner that is unlawful and unfair—including by virtue of the fact that their conduct violates California Civil Code section 1670.8—and have thus engaged in unfair business practices to the extreme detriment of Plaintiffs, which conduct is prohibited under California Business &

1	Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.
2	59. Defendants' unlawful and unfair conduct has allowed for Defendants to enrich
3	themselves at the expense of Plaintiffs, including through Plaintiffs' payment of monies to
4	Defendants, including without limitation through the mobile and online banking transactions.
5	60. Plaintiffs are thus entitled to restitutionary and injunctive relief, including without
6	limitation public injunctive relief and disgorgement of any unlawful gains that Defendants obtained
7	as a result of their unlawful and unfair conduct at the expense of Plaintiffs.
8	PRAYERS FOR RELIEF
9	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the putative Class members, pray
10	for judgment as follows:
11	a. Determining that this action is a proper class action and certifying the Class, as defined
12	herein;
13	b. Appointing Plaintiffs as Class representatives;
14	c. Appointing the undersigned as Class counsel;
15	d. Finding Defendants liable to Plaintiffs and Class members for damages in such amount(s)
16	as the Court or Jury may determine;
17	e. Awarding statutorily provided damages to Plaintiffs and Class members as appropriate;
18	f. Awarding restitutionary disgorgement and all other forms of equitable monetary relief to
19	Plaintiffs and Class members;
20	h. Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest;
21	i. Awarding injunctive relief, including public injunctive relief, as claimed herein or as the
22	Court may deem proper;
23	j. Awarding Plaintiffs and Class members attorney fees and all litigation costs as allowed
24	by law; and
25	k. Awarding such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
26	
27	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
28	Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.
	PLAINTIFFS' CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	Dated: November 21, 2023	SINGLETON SCHREIBER, LLP
2 3		By:
4		Christopher R. Rodriguez Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5	2	LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS LEARY,
6		APC THOMAS LEAKT,
7		Min Leavy
8		By: Mas C. Thomas A. Leary Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10		
11		
12	8	
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		12
	PLA	INTIFFS' CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

ATTORNEY OR PARTY MTHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar nur Christopher R. Rodriguez SBN 212274, Andrew Singleton Schreiber, LLP, 1414 K Street, Suite TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 248-8478 E-MAIL ADDRESS: crodriguez@singletonschr ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs, Francisco Rolda SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, 90012	FOR COURT USE ONLY Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 11/21/2023 8:01 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By G. Carini, Deputy Clerk	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		
CASE NAME: Francisco Roldon, III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A	., et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET X Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount demanded demanded is	Complex Case Designation Counter Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	CASE NUMBER: 23STCV28840 JUDGE: DEPT.:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) Items 1–6 bel	 ow must be completed (see instructions o	
1. Check one box below for the case type that	Power of the Control	
Auto Tort Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Product liability (24) Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)	Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other collections (09) Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37) Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33) Other real property (26) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) X Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
2. This case x is is not comfactors requiring exceptional judicial mana a. Large number of separately repreb. Extensive motion practice raising issues that will be time-consuming c. x Substantial amount of documenta 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. Number of causes of action (specify): 2 5. This case x is is not a climater of the company of the com	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Rule gement: sented parties d. Large number difficult or novel e. Coordination courts in other court f. Substantial p x monetary b. x nonmonetary; descriptions.	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the r of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more er counties, states, or countries, or in a federal ostjudgment judicial supervision eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
6. If there are any known related cases, file a Date: November 21, 2023 Christopher R. Rodriguez		
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the funder the Probate Code, Family Code, or vin sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover lf this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule	NOTICE irst paper filed in the action or proceeding Velfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules er sheet required by local court rule. seq. of the California Rules of Court, you r	of Court, rule 3,220.) Failure to file may result nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
Contract the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31) Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

	Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (Column C)			
1.	Class Actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.	7.	Location where petitioner resides.	
2.	Permissive filing in Central District.	8.	Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.	
3.	Location where cause of action arose.	9.	Location where one or more of the parties reside.	
4.	Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.	10.	Location of Labor Commissioner Office.	
5.	Location where performance required, or defendant resides.	11.	Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlawful detainer, limited	
6.	Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.		non-collection, limited collection).	

Auga Sila	A	В	
	Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	Type of Action (check only one)	Applicable Reasons (see Step 3 above)
Tort	Auto (22)	☐ 2201 Motor Vehicle – Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ 4601 Uninsured Motorist – Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4
Çı,	Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful	2301 Premise Liability (e.g., dangerous conditions of property, slip/trip and fall, dog attack, etc.)	1, 4
ıer Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death	Death (23)	☐ 2302 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, battery, vandalism, etc.)	1, 4
Injur rongf		☐ 2303 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1, 4
sonal e/ W		☐ 2304 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4
Other Personal Injury/ Damage/ Wrongful		☐ 2305 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse/Claims Against Skilled Nursing Facility	1, 4
0		☐ 2306 Intentional Conduct – Sexual Abuse Case (in any form)	1, 4

SHORT TITLE CASE NUMBER Francisco Roldan III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	B Type of Action (check only one)	C Applicable Reasons (see Step 3 above)
		☐ 2307 Construction Accidents	1, 4
		☐ 2308 Landlord – Tenant Habitability (e.g., bed bugs, mold, etc.)	1, 4
<u>}</u>	Product Liability (24)	☐ 2401 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/ environmental)	1, 4
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death		☐ 2402 Product Liability — Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act (CA Civil Code §§1790-1795.8) (Lemon Law)	1, 3, 5
r Perspecty	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ 4501 Medical Malpractice – Physicians & Surgeons	1, 4
Othe Pro	(43)	☐ 4502 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	☐ 0701 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud or breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
arty ul D	Civil Rights (08)	☐ 0801 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
Non-Personal injury/Property age/Wrongful D Tort	Defamation (13)	☐ 1301 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
-Pers y/Pro Nrony Tort	Fraud (16)	☐ 1601 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Non ijur ge/∖	Professional	☐ 2501 Legal Malpractice	1, 2, 3
lr mag	Negligence (25)	☐ 2502 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3
Da	Other (35)	☐ 3501 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage Tort	1, 2, 3
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ 3601 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☐ 1501 Other Employment Complaint Case	1, 2, 3
Em	\ <i>\</i>	☐ 1502 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10
	Breach of Contract /		2, 5
	(not insurance)	☐ 0602 Contract/Warranty Breach — Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2, 5
		☐ 0603 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1, 2, 5
4		☐ 0604 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud/ negligence)	1, 2, 5
Contract		☐ 0605 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (COVID-19 Rental Debt)	2, 5
Con	Collections (09)	□ 0901 Collections Case − Seller Plaintiff	5, 6, 11
-		☐ 0902 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	5, 11
		☐ 0903 Collections Case — Purchased Debt (charged off consumer debt purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11
		☐ 0904 Collections Case — COVID-19 Rental Debt	5, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ 1801 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8

SHORT TITLE CASE NUMBER Francisco Roldan III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.

	A	В	С
	Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	Type of Action (check only one)	Applicable Reasons (see Step 3 above)
1 C	Other Contract (37)	☐ 3701 Contractual Fraud	1, 2, 3, 5
ract nuec		☐ 3702 Tortious Interference	1, 2, 3, 5
Contract (Continued)		☐ 3703 Other Contract Dispute (not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 8, 9
>:	Eminent Domain/ Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ 1401 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of Parcels	2, 6
Real Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ 3301 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
<u> </u>	Other Real	☐ 2601 Mortgage Foreclosure	2, 6
Re	Property (26)	☐ 2602 Quiet Title	2, 6
		☐ 2603 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2, 6
1	Unlawful Detainer – Commercial (31)	☐ 3101 Unlawful Detainer – Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
)etaine	Unlawful Detainer – Residential (32)	☐ 3201 Unlawful Detainer — Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer – Post Foreclosure (34)	□ 3401 Unlawful Detainer – Post Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
כ	Unlawful Detainer – Drugs (38)	□ 3801 Unlawful Detainer – Drugs	2, 6, 11
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ 0501 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ 1101 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
eview	Writ of Mandate	□ 0201 Writ – Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
	(02)	☐ 0202 Writ – Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
cial		□ 0203 Writ Other Limited Court Case Review	2
Judicial R	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ 3901 Other Writ/Judicial Review	2, 8
		☐ 3902 Administrative Hearing	2, 8
		☐ 3903 Parking Appeal	2, 8
nally ex on	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ 0301 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Asbestos (04)	☐ 0401 Asbestos Property Damage	1, 11
P. O.		☐ 0402 Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11

LASC CIV 109 Rev. 01/23 For Mandatory Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

SHORT TITLE
Francisco Roldan III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	B Type of Action (check only one)	C Applicable Reasons (see Step 3 above)
×	Construction Defect (10)	☐ 1001 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
mple)	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ 4001 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
ionally Co Litigation (Continued)	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ 2801 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
Provisionally Complex Litigation (Continued)	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ 3001 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ 4101 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Enforcement of	□ 2001 Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
nt of nt	Judgment (20)	☐ 2002 Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
forcement Judgment		☐ 2004 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
Enforcement of Judgment		☐ 2005 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment Unpaid Tax	2, 8
ш		2006 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
₹	RICO (27)	☐ 2701 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
is Civ	Other Complaints	☐ 4201 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
neou olain	(not specified above) (42)	☐ 4202 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints		☐ 4203 Other Commercial Complaint Case (nontort/noncomplex)	1, 2, 8
Σ		☑ 4204 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
ons	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ 2101 Partnership and Corporation Governance Case	2, 8
etiti	Other Petitions	☐ 4301 Civil Harassment with Damages	2, 3, 9
vil P	(not specified above) (43)	☐ 4302 Workplace Harassment with Damages	2, 3, 9
us Ci	usove, (43)	☐ 4303 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case with Damages	2, 3, 9
aneo		☐ 4304 Election Contest	2
Miscellaneous Civil Petitio		☐ 4305 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2, 7
Mis		☐ 4306 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
		☐ 4307 Other Civil Petition	2, 9

Francisco Roldan III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.			CASE NUMBER	
	ou have select	ed. Enter the	address, which	the numbers shown under Column is the basis for the filing location
REASON:			ADDRESS:	
☑ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □] 7. □ 8. □ 9. □	10. 🗆 11		
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		
Step 5: Certification of Assign District of the Superior Court of Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)] Dated: 11/21/2023			eles [Code of Ci	ed in the <u>Central</u> v. Proc. 392 et seq., and LASC Local ATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form LASC CIV 109 (01/23).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is a court order for waiver, partial or schedule payments.
- 6. A signed order appointing a Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court to issue a Summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the Summons and Complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.



Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

THE PLAINTIFF MUST SERVE THIS ADR INFORMATION PACKAGE ON EACH PARTY WITH THE COMPLAINT.

CROSS-COMPLAINANTS must serve this ADR Information Package on any new parties named to the action with the cross-complaint.

What is ADR?

ADR helps people find solutions to their legal disputes without going to trial. The main types of ADR are negotiation, mediation, arbitration, and settlement conferences. When ADR is done by phone, videoconference or computer, it may be called Online Dispute Resolution (ODR). These alternatives to litigation and trial are described below.

Advantages of ADR

- **Saves Time:** ADR is faster than going to trial.
- Saves Money: Parties can save on court costs, attorney's fees, and witness fees.
- **Keeps Control** (with the parties): Parties choose their ADR process and provider for voluntary ADR.
- Reduces Stress/Protects Privacy: ADR is done outside the courtroom, in private offices, by phone or online.

Disadvantages of ADR

- Costs: If the parties do not resolve their dispute, they may have to pay for ADR, litigation, and trial.
- No Public Trial: ADR does not provide a public trial or decision by a judge or jury.

Main Types of ADR

- 1. **Negotiation:** Parties often talk with each other in person, or by phone or online about resolving their case with a settlement agreement instead of a trial. If the parties have lawyers, they will negotiate for their clients.
- 2. **Mediation:** In mediation, a neutral mediator listens to each person's concerns, helps them evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of their case, and works with them to try to create a settlement agreement that is acceptable to all. Mediators do not decide the outcome. Parties may go to trial if they decide not to settle.

Mediation may be appropriate when the parties

- want to work out a solution but need help from a neutral person.
- have communication problems or strong emotions that interfere with resolution.

Mediation may <u>not</u> be appropriate when the parties

- want a public trial and want a judge or jury to decide the outcome.
- lack equal bargaining power or have a history of physical/emotional abuse.

How to Arrange Mediation in Los Angeles County

Mediation for civil cases is voluntary and parties may select any mediator they wish. Options include:

- a. The Civil Mediation Vendor Resource List
 - If all parties in an active civil case agree to mediation, they may contact these organizations to request a "Resource List Mediation" for mediation at reduced cost or no cost (for selected cases).
 - ADR Services, Inc. Assistant Case Manager Janet Solis, <u>janet@adrservices.com</u> (213) 683-1600
 - Mediation Center of Los Angeles Program Manager info@mediationLA.org (833) 476-9145

These organizations cannot accept every case and they may decline cases at their discretion. They may offer online mediation by video conference for cases they accept. Before contacting these organizations, review important information and FAQs at www.lacourt.org/ADR.Res.List

NOTE: The Civil Mediation Vendor Resource List program does not accept family law, probate, or small claims cases.

 b. Los Angeles County Dispute Resolution Programs. Los Angeles County-funded agencies provide mediation services on the day of hearings in small claims, unlawful detainer (eviction), civil harassment, and limited civil (collections and non-collection) cases. https://dcba.lacounty.gov/countywidedrp/

Online Dispute Resolution (ODR). Parties in small claims and unlawful detainer (eviction) cases should carefully review the Notice and other information they may receive about (ODR) requirements for their case. https://my.lacourt.org/odr/

- c. Mediators and ADR and Bar organizations that provide mediation may be found on the internet.
- 3. **Arbitration:** Arbitration is less formal than trial, but like trial, the parties present evidence and arguments to the person who decides the outcome. In "binding" arbitration, the arbitrator's decision is final; there is no right to trial. In "nonbinding" arbitration, any party can request a trial after the arbitrator's decision. For more information about arbitration, visit https://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm
- 4. **Mandatory Settlement Conferences (MSC):** MSCs are ordered by the Court and are often held close to the trial date or on the day of trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or settlement officer who does not make a decision but who instead assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. For information about the Court's MSC programs for civil cases, visit https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/Cl0047.aspx

Los Angeles Superior Court ADR website: https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/CI0109.aspx
For general information and videos about ADR, visit http://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm

LASC CIV 271 Rev. 03/23 For Mandatory Use

	Case 2:24-cv-00136 Document 1-1 Filed 01/05/24 Page 26 of 59 Page ID #:33 2019-GEN-014-00
-1	FILED
1	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
2	MAY 0.3 2019
3	Sherri R Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Lenderde / Rice , Deputy
4	Rizalinda Mina
5	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
6	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
7	
8	IN RE LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT) FIRST AMENDED GENERAL ORDER
9	- MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING) FOR CIVIL)
10	
11	
12	On December 3, 2018, the Los Angeles County Superior Court mandated electronic filing of all
13	documents in Limited Civil cases by litigants represented by attorneys. On January 2, 2019, the Los
14	Angeles County Superior Court mandated electronic filing of all documents filed in Non-Complex
15	Unlimited Civil cases by litigants represented by attorneys. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b).)
16	All electronically filed documents in Limited and Non-Complex Unlimited cases are subject to the
17	following:
18	1) DEFINITIONS
19	a) "Bookmark" A bookmark is a PDF document navigational tool that allows the reader to
20	quickly locate and navigate to a designated point of interest within a document.
21	b) "Efiling Portal" The official court website includes a webpage, referred to as the efiling
22	portal, that gives litigants access to the approved Electronic Filing Service Providers.
23	c) "Electronic Envelope" A transaction through the electronic service provider for submission
24	of documents to the Court for processing which may contain one or more PDF documents
25	attached.
26	d) "Electronic Filing" Electronic Filing (eFiling) is the electronic transmission to a Court of a
27	document in electronic form. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.250(b)(7).)

- e) "Electronic Filing Service Provider" An Electronic Filing Service Provider (EFSP) is a person or entity that receives an electronic filing from a party for retransmission to the Court. In the submission of filings, the EFSP does so on behalf of the electronic filer and not as an agent of the Court. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.250(b)(8).)
- f) "Electronic Signature" For purposes of these local rules and in conformity with Code of Civil Procedure section 17, subdivision (b)(3), section 34, and section 1010.6, subdivision (b)(2), Government Code section 68150, subdivision (g), and California Rules of Court, rule 2.257, the term "Electronic Signature" is generally defined as an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with an electronic record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the electronic record.
- g) "Hyperlink" An electronic link providing direct access from one distinctively marked place in a hypertext or hypermedia document to another in the same or different document.
- h) "Portable Document Format" A digital document format that preserves all fonts, formatting, colors and graphics of the original source document, regardless of the application platform used.

2) MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

- a) Trial Court Records
 - Pursuant to Government Code section 68150, trial court records may be created, maintained, and preserved in electronic format. Any document that the Court receives electronically must be clerically processed and must satisfy all legal filing requirements in order to be filed as an official court record (California Rules of Court, rules 2.100, et seq. and 2.253(b)(6)).
- b) Represented Litigants
 Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b), represented litigants are required to
 electronically file documents with the Court through an approved EFSP.
- c) Public Notice
 - The Court has issued a Public Notice with effective dates the Court required parties to electronically file documents through one or more approved EFSPs. Public Notices containing effective dates and the list of EFSPs are available on the Court's website, at www.lacourt.org.

5

6

8 9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

//

d) Documents in Related Cases

Documents in related cases must be electronically filed in the eFiling portal for that case type if electronic filing has been implemented in that case type, regardless of whether the case has been related to a Civil case.

3) EXEMPT LITIGANTS

- a) Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b)(2), self-represented litigants are exempt from mandatory electronic filing requirements.
- b) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, subdivision (d)(3) and California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b)(4), any party may make application to the Court requesting to be excused from filing documents electronically and be permitted to file documents by conventional means if the party shows undue hardship or significant prejudice.

4) EXEMPT FILINGS

- a) The following documents shall not be filed electronically:
 - Peremptory Challenges or Challenges for Cause of a Judicial Officer pursuant to Code of i) Civil Procedure sections 170.6 or 170.3;
 - Bonds/Undertaking documents; ii)
 - iii) Trial and Evidentiary Hearing Exhibits
 - Any ex parte application that is filed concurrently with a new complaint including those iv) that will be handled by a Writs and Receivers department in the Mosk courthouse; and
 - v) Documents submitted conditionally under seal. The actual motion or application shall be electronically filed. A courtesy copy of the electronically filed motion or application to submit documents conditionally under seal must be provided with the documents submitted conditionally under seal.

b) Lodgments

Documents attached to a Notice of Lodgment shall be lodged and/or served conventionally in paper form. The actual document entitled, "Notice of Lodgment," shall be filed electronically.

h) Writs and Abstracts

Writs and Abstracts must be submitted as a separate electronic envelope.

i) Sealed Documents

If and when a judicial officer orders documents to be filed under seal, those documents must be filed electronically (unless exempted under paragraph 4); the burden of accurately designating the documents as sealed at the time of electronic submission is the submitting party's responsibility.

j) Redaction

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 1.201, it is the submitting party's responsibility to redact confidential information (such as using initials for names of minors, using the last four digits of a social security number, and using the year for date of birth) so that the information shall not be publicly displayed.

7) ELECTRONIC FILING SCHEDULE

- a) Filed Date
 - i) Any document received electronically by the court between 12:00 am and 11:59:59 pm shall be deemed to have been effectively filed on that court day if accepted for filing. Any document received electronically on a non-court day, is deemed to have been effectively filed on the next court day if accepted. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b)(6); Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6(b)(3).)
 - ii) Notwithstanding any other provision of this order, if a digital document is not filed in due course because of: (1) an interruption in service; (2) a transmission error that is not the fault of the transmitter; or (3) a processing failure that occurs after receipt, the Court may order, either on its own motion or by noticed motion submitted with a declaration for Court consideration, that the document be deemed filed and/or that the document's filing date conform to the attempted transmission date.

8) EX PARTE APPLICATIONS

a) Ex parte applications and all documents in support thereof must be electronically filed no later than 10:00 a.m. the court day <u>before</u> the ex parte hearing.

b) Any written opposition to an ex parte application must be electronically filed by 8:30 a.m. the

1

7

5

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

day of the ex parte hearing. A printed courtesy copy of any opposition to an ex parte application must be provided to the court the day of the ex parte hearing.

9) PRINTED COURTESY COPIES

a) For any filing electronically filed two or fewer days before the hearing, a courtesy copy must

- a) For any filing electronically filed two or fewer days before the hearing, a courtesy copy must be delivered to the courtroom by 4:30 p.m. the same business day the document is efiled. If the efiling is submitted after 4:30 p.m., the courtesy copy must be delivered to the courtroom by 10:00 a.m. the next business day.
- b) Regardless of the time of electronic filing, a printed courtesy copy (along with proof of electronic submission) is required for the following documents:
 - i) Any printed document required pursuant to a Standing or General Order;
 - Pleadings and motions (including attachments such as declarations and exhibits) of 26
 pages or more;
 - iii) Pleadings and motions that include points and authorities;
 - iv) Demurrers;
 - v) Anti-SLAPP filings, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16;
 - vi) Motions for Summary Judgment/Adjudication; and
 - vii) Motions to Compel Further Discovery.
- c) Nothing in this General Order precludes a Judicial Officer from requesting a courtesy copy of additional documents. Courtroom specific courtesy copy guidelines can be found at www.lacourt.org on the Civil webpage under "Courtroom Information."

10) WAIVER OF FEES AND COSTS FOR ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS

- a) Fees and costs associated with electronic filing must be waived for any litigant who has received a fee waiver. (California Rules of Court, rules 2.253(b)(), 2.258(b), Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6(d)(2).)
- b) Fee waiver applications for waiver of court fees and costs pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, subdivision (b)(6), and California Rules of Court, rule 2.252(f), may be electronically filed in any authorized action or proceeding.

11) SIGNATURES ON ELECTRONIC FILING

For purposes of this General Order, all electronic filings must be in compliance with California Rules of Court, rule 2.257. This General Order applies to documents filed within the Civil Division of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

This First Amended General Order supersedes any previous order related to electronic filing,

and is effective immediately, and is to remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the Civil Supervising Judge and/or Presiding Judge. DATED: May 3, 2019

Presiding Judge

Brazile

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section



Consumer Attorneys
Association of Los Angeles



Southern California Defense Counsel





California Employment Lawyers Association The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦**
 - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section◆
 - ◆Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles◆
 - **♦**Southern California Defense Counsel**♦**
 - ◆Association of Business Trial Lawyers◆
 - **◆**California Employment Lawyers Association◆

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORN	A, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		1
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT.		
DEFENDANT:		
		CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION - EARLY ORG	SANIZATIONAL MEETING	ONCE NOMBER.

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITLE	B			CASE NUMBER:			
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute I complaint;	Resolution (A	DR) Informa	tion Package" served with the			
h.	Computation of damages, including do which such computation is based;	ocuments, not	privileged o	r protected from disclosure, on			
i.	Whether the case is suitable for the www.lacourt.org under "Civil" and the						
2.	The time for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross-complaint will be extended to for the complaint, and for the cross-complaint, which is comprised of the 30 days to respond under Government Code § 68616(b), and the 30 days permitted by Code of Civil Procedure section 1054(a), good cause having been found by the Civil Supervising Judge due to the case management benefits provided by this Stipulation. A copy of the General Order can be found at www.lacourt.org under "Civil", click on "General Information", then click on "Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations".						
3.	The parties will prepare a joint report of and Early Organizational Meeting Stip results of their meet and confer and a efficient conduct or resolution of the contract the Case Management Conference statement is due.	oulation, and advising the 0 ase. The par	if desired, a Court of any ties shall att	proposed order summarizing way it may assist the parties' ach the Joint Status Report to			
4.	References to "days" mean calendar days any act pursuant to this stipulation falls for performing that act shall be extended.	s on a Saturda	ay, Sunday c				
The fo	llowing parties stipulate:						
Date:		>					
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATT	TORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)			
		>					
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTC	DRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)			
	(TVDE OD DDINT NAME)	> _	/ATT/	DRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)			
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTC	ORNET FOR DEFENDANT)			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTC	DRNEY FOR DEFENDANT)			
Date:		>					
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNE)	Y FOR)			
		>					
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNE)	Y FOR)			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNE)	Y FOR)			

LACIV 229 (Rev 02/15) LASC Approved 04/11

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	<u> </u>	
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	X NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION - DISCOVE	RY RESOLUTION	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- 3. Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - i. Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached):
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- c. No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying *ex parte* for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
The following	parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Date:	PE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	PE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	PE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	PE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	PE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	PE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR)
(TYP	PE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNEY FOR)

Print Save Clear

NAME AND ADI	DRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
E-MAIL AD	TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Op DDRESS (Optional):	tional):	
ATTOF	RNEY FOR (Name):	ITV OF LOS ANCELES	
	RIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT USE ADDRESS:	IT OF LOS ANGELES	-
BI A INTERE			
PLAINTIFF:			
DEFENDAN	√ T:	_	
	INFORMAL DISCOVERY CON	FERENCE	CASE NUMBER:
	(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipula	ation of the parties)	
1.	This document relates to:		
	Request for Informal DiscoveryAnswer to Request for Informal		
2.	Deadline for Court to decide on Request: the Request).	•	ate 10 calendar days following filing of
3.	Deadline for Court to hold Informal Discov days following filing of the Request).	ery Conference:	(insert date 20 calendar
4		v Cantaranaa briatly da	caribo the noture of the
4.	For a Request for Informal Discovery dispute, including the facts		
	Request for Informal Discovery Confer	rence, <u>briefly</u> describe wh	ny the Court should deny
	the requested discovery, including the f	facts and legal arguments	at issue.

LACIV 094 (new)
LASC Approved 04/11
For Optional Use
Print

INFORMAL DISCOVERY CONFERENCE

(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipulation of the parties)

Save

Clear

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNI	A, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	·	
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT		
DEFENDANT:		
		CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION AND ORDER	R – MOTIONS IN LIMINE	O/OE NOMBER

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

- At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other
 parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in
 limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed
 motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
			1
The fol	lowing parties stipulate:		
Date:			
	(TVDE OD DDINT NAME)	> _	(ATTORNEY FOR BLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
		>	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:		<i>D</i>	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(· · · = 0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	(,
		_	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:		_	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(TIPE OR FRINT NAME)		(ATTORNET FOR)
		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date.		>	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR)
T II - 6 -			
THE CO	OURT SO ORDERS.		
Date:			
Dale.		_	JUDICIAL OFFICER
			005.07.12.01.102.11

Print

Save

Clear

MAY 1 1 2011

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK

N. NOVANO

BY NANCY NAVARRO, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

General Order Re)	ORDER PURSUANT TO CCP 1054(a),
Use of Voluntary Efficient Litigation)	EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND BY
Stipulations)	30 DAYS WHEN PARTIES AGREE
)	TO EARLY ORGANIZATIONAL
)	MEETING STIPULATION
	Ň	

Whereas the Los Angeles Superior Court and the Executive Committee of the Litigation Section of the Los Angeles County Bar Association have cooperated in drafting "Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations" and in proposing the stipulations for use in general jurisdiction civil litigation in Los Angeles County;

Whereas the Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section; the Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section; the Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles; the Association of Southern California Defense Counsel; the Association of Business Trial Lawyers of Los Angeles; and the California Employment Lawyers Association all "endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation, and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases;"

Whereas the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in litigation in order to achieve litigation efficiencies;

Whereas it is intended that use of the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation will promote economic case resolution and judicial efficiency;

Whereas, in order to promote a meaningful discussion of pleading issues at the Early Organizational Meeting and potentially to reduce the need for motions to challenge the pleadings, it is necessary to allow additional time to conduct the Early Organizational Meeting before the time to respond to a complaint or cross complaint has expired;

Whereas Code of Civil Procedure section 1054(a) allows a judge of the court in which an action is pending to extend for not more than 30 days the time to respond to a pleading "upon good cause shown";

Now, therefore, this Court hereby finds that there is good cause to extend for 30 days the time to respond to a complaint or to a cross complaint in any action in which the parties have entered into the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation. This finding of good cause is based on the anticipated judicial efficiency and benefits of economic case resolution that the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation is intended to promote.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, in any case in which the parties have entered into an Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, the time for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross complaint shall be extended by the 30 days permitted

by Code of Civil Procedure section 1054(a) without further need of a specific court order. Carolyn B. Kuhl Supervising Judge of the Civil Departments, Los Angeles Superior Court

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	11/21/2023 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: G. Carini Deputy
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.	CASE NUMBER: 23STCV28840

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM		ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
~	William F. Highberger	10					

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record	David W. Slayton, Executive	Officer / Clerk of Court
on 11/27/2023	By <u>G. Carini</u>	, Deputy Clerk

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within **15** days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a national association; and DOES 1 through 100,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, an individual; (Additional Parties Attachment form is attached.)

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 12/04/2023 7:31 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court. By J. Gnade, Deputy Clerk

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services. (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:	
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles County S	Superior Court

111 North Hill Street Los Angeles CA 90012

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):	
23STCV28840	

TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT				
(El nombre, la dirección	nd telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, o n <i>y el número de teléfono del abogado del d</i> nez, Singleton Schreiber, LLP, 1414 K Street	emandante, o del	demandante que no tiene abo	• '
DATE: 12/04/2023 (Fecha)	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	Clerk, by (Secretario)	J. Gnade	, Deputy (<i>Adjunto</i>)
•	this summons, use Proof of Service of Sum	•	* *	

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

[SEAL]
THE STATE OF THE S

1.	as an individual defendant.	
2.	as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
3.	on behalf of (specify):	
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) other (specify):	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
4.	by personal delivery on (date):	

Page 1 of 1

SUM-200(A)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:	
Francisco Roldan, III, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.	23STCV28840	
INCTRUCTIONS FOR USE		
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE		
→ This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit the listing of all parties on the summons.		
→ If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant box on the summons: "Additional Parties Attachment form is attached."		
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of party.):		
x Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-D	Defendant	
KIENSANG HUYNH, an individual; ROGELIO RAMIREZ, an individual; MIKHAIL GERSHZON, an individual; VISHAL SHAH, an		

Attorney or Party without Attorney: CHRISTOPHER R. RODRIGUEZ (SBN 212274) SINGLETON SCHREIBER LLP 1414 K STREET SUITE 470 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 Telephone No: 916-248-8478 Attorney For: Plaintiff Ref. No. or File No.: ROLDAN V BANK OF AMERICA Insert name of Court, and Judicial District and Branch Court: IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Plaintiff: FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, an individual, et al.		For Court Use Only Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 12/06/2023 3:53 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By J. Gnade, Deputy Clerk		
Defendant: BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a	national association			
PROOF OF SERVICE SUMMONS	Hearing Date:	Time:	Dept/Div:	Case Number: 23STCV28840
EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATION RESOLUTION 3. a. Party served: BANK OF AMER b. Person served: DIANA RUIZ, CT 4. Address where the party was served: 5. I served the party: a. by personal service. I personall service of (1) X (business) (2) (home) (3) (other):	LASS-ACTION COMP DCATION; ADR INFOR PACKET; FIRST AME CORPORATION SYS 330 N. BRAND BLV by delivered the docu process for the party	LAINT; CIVIL RMATION PA NDED GENE association TEM, REGIST D, GLENDAL Iments listed (1) on (date	CASE COVER SHOCKAGE; NOTICE RAL ORDER; NOTICE RAL ORDER; NOTICE RAL ORDER; NOTICE REPORT FOR THE REPORT OF T	OF CASE ASSIGNMENT; VOLUNTARY
6. The "Notice to the Person Served" (a. as an individual defendant. b. as the person sued under t c. as occupant. d. X On behalf of (specify): BAI under the following Code of 416.10 (corporation 416.20 (defunct compared 416.30 (joint stock 416.40 (association 416.50 (public ent other:	he fictitious name of (s NK OF AMERICA, N.A., a of Civil Procedure section) orporation) occompany/association on or partnership)	specify): a national asson:	ociation 415.95 (bu: 416.60 (mii 416.70 (wa	rd or conservatee) :horized person)



Plaintiff: FRANCISCO ROLDAN	, III, an individual, et al.	Case Number:
Defendant: BANK OF AMERICA, N	I.A., a national association	23STCV28840
7. Person who served papers a. Name: b. Address: c. Telephone number: d. The fee for service was: e. I am: (1) not a register (2) exempt from (3) a registered C (i) ow (ii) Registra	Recoverable cost Per CCP Douglas Forrest FIRST LEGAL 1000 G Street, Suite 250 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (916) 444-5111 108.40 ed California process server. registration under Business and Professions Code sectalifornia process server:	
8. I declare under penalty of per	iury under the laws of the State of California that the fo	pregoing is true and correct.
	12/06/2023	

(Date)

Douglas Forrest

FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 12/12/2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer /	Clerk of Court
Rv. R. Aspiras	Denuty

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, et al.

Plaintiff,

V.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Defendant.

Defendant.

Case No. 23STCV28840

INITIAL STATUS CONFERENCE ORDER (COMPLEX CLASS ACTIONS)

Case Assigned for All Purposes to Judge William F. Highberger

Department: SS10
Date: 03/18/2024
Time: 2:30 PM

This Initial Status Conference Order (Complex Class Actions) supplements a Minute Order served concurrently herewith. That Minute Order sets a date and time for the Initial Status Conference and includes many other important provisions which are NOT repeated in this Order. Counsel must review that Minute Order carefully to be fully informed of your obligations and the unique processes used in the Los Angeles Superior Court Complex Courtrooms.

Note: Some provisions of this Order are in reference to wage-and-hour class actions and may not be applicable to other types of class actions. Insofar as they are irrelevant to your case, say so in your Joint Initial Status Conference Response Statement.

Pending further order, the following is ordered:

Ш

The court orders counsel to prepare for the Initial Status Conference ("ISC") by identifying and discussing the central legal and factual issues in the case. Counsel for plaintiff is ordered to initiate contact with counsel for defense to begin this process. Counsel then must negotiate and agree, as much as possible, on a case management plan. To this end, counsel must file a Joint Initial Status Conference Response Statement five (5) court days before the Initial Status Conference. The Joint Response Statement must be filed on line-numbered pleading paper and must specifically answer each of the below-numbered questions. Do not use the Judicial Council Form CM-110 (Case Management Statement).

- PARTIES AND COUNSEL: Please list all presently-named class representatives and presently-named defendants, together with all counsel of record, including counsel's contact and email information.
- 2. STATUS OF PLEADINGS: Please indicate whether defendant has filed a Notice of Appearance or an Answer to the Complaint, and, if so, indicate the filing date(s).
- 3. POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL PARTIES: Indicate whether any plaintiff presently intends to add additional class representatives, and, if so, the name(s) and date by which these class representatives will be added. Indicate whether any plaintiff presently intends to name additional defendants, and, if so, the name(s) and date by which the defendant(s) will be added. Indicate whether any appearing defendant presently intends to file a cross-complaint and, if so, the names of cross-defendants and the date by which the cross-complaint will be filed.
- **4. IMPROPERLY NAMED DEFENDANT(S):** If the complaint names the wrong person or entity, please explain why the named defendant is improperly named and the proposed procedure to correct this error.
- 5. ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED CLASS REPRESENTATIVE(S): If any party believes one or more named plaintiffs might not be an adequate class representative, including reasons of conflict of

interest as described in *Apple Computer v. Superior Court* (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1253, please explain. No prejudice will attach to these responses.

- **6. ESTIMATED CLASS SIZE:** Please discuss and indicate the estimated class size.
- 7. OTHER ACTIONS WITH OVERLAPPING CLASS DEFINITIONS: Please list other cases with overlapping class definitions. Please identify the court, the short caption title, the docket number, and the case status.
- 8. POTENTIALLY RELEVANT ARBITRATION AND/OR CLASS ACTION WAIVER
 CLAUSES: Please state whether arbitration is an issue in this case and attach a sample of any relevant clause of this sort. Opposing parties must summarize their views on this issue.
- **9. POTENTIAL EARLY CRUCIAL MOTIONS:** Opposing counsel should identify and describe the significant core issues in the case, and then identify efficient ways to resolve those issues, including one or more of the following:
 - Motion to Compel Arbitration,
 - Early motions in limine,
 - Early motions about particular jury instructions and verdict forms,
 - Demurrers,
 - Motions to strike,
 - Motions for judgment on the pleadings, and
 - Motions for summary judgment and summary adjudication.
- 10. CLASS CONTACT INFORMATION: Counsel should discuss whether obtaining class contact information from defendant's records is necessary in this case and, if so, whether the parties consent to an "opt-out" notice process (as approved in *Belaire-West Landscape, Inc. v. Superior Court* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 554, 561). Counsel should address timing and procedure, including allocation of cost and the necessity of a third party administrator.

¹ See California Rule of Court, Rule 3.768.

- 11. PROTECTIVE ORDERS: Parties considering an order to protect confidential information from general disclosure should begin with the model protective orders found on the Los Angeles Superior Court Website under "Civil Tools for Litigators."
- **12. DISCOVERY:** Please discuss a discovery plan. If the parties cannot agree on a plan, summarize each side's views on discovery. The court generally allows discovery on matters relevant to class certification, which (depending on circumstances) may include factual issues also touching the merits. The court generally does not permit extensive or expensive discovery relevant only to the merits (for example, detailed damages discovery) at the initial stage unless a persuasive showing establishes early need. If any party seeks discovery from absent class members, please estimate how many, and also state the kind of discovery you propose¹.
- **13. INSURANCE COVERAGE:** Please state if (1) there is insurance for indemnity or reimbursement, and (2) whether there are any insurance coverage issues which might affect settlement.
- **14. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:** Please discuss ADR and state each party's position about it. If pertinent, how can the court help identify the correct neutral and prepare the case for a successful settlement negotiation?
- **15. TIMELINE FOR CASE MANAGEMENT:** Please recommend dates and times for the following:
 - The next status conference,
 - A schedule for alternative dispute resolution, if it is relevant,
 - A filing deadline for the motion for class certification, and
 - Filing deadlines and descriptions for other anticipated non-discovery motions.

16. REMINDER WHEN SEEKING TO DISMISS:

"A dismissal of an entire class action, or of any party or cause of action in a class action, requires court approval. . . . Requests for dismissal must be accompanied by a declaration setting forth the facts on which the party relies. The declaration must clearly state whether consideration,

direct or indirect, is being given for the dismissal and must describe the consideration in detail."² If the parties have settled the class action, that too will require judicial approval based on a noticed motion (although it may be possible to shorten time by consent for good cause shown).

17. REMINDER WHEN SEEKING APPROVAL OF A SETTLEMENT:

Plaintiff(s) must address the issue of any fee splitting agreement in their motion for preliminary approval and demonstrate compliance with California Rule of Court 3.769, and the Rules of Professional Conduct 2-200(a) as required by *Mark v. Spencer* (2008) 166 Cal.App. 4th 219.

18. NOTICE OF THE ISC ORDER:

Plaintiff's counsel shall serve this Initial Status Conference Order on all defense counsel, or if counsel is not known, on each defendant and file a Proof of Service with the court within seven (7) days of the date of this Order. If the Complaint has not been served as of the date of this Order, plaintiff(s) must serve the Complaint, along with a copy of this Order, within five (5) days of the date of this Order. Once served, each as yet non-appearing defendant shall file a Notice of Appearance (identifying counsel by name, firm name, address, email address, telephone number and fax number). The filing of a Notice of Appearance is without prejudice to (a) any jurisdictional, substantive or procedural challenge to the Complaint, (b) any affirmative defense, and (c) the filing of any cross-complaint in this action.

Dated: 12/12/2023

William F. Highberger / Judge
William F. Highberger
Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court

² California Rule of Court, Rule 3.770(a)

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 10

23STCV28840 FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, et al. vs BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. December 14, 2023 9:01 AM

Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger
Judicial Assistant: R. Aspiras

CSR: None
ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: R. Sanchez Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances
For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Court Order Re: Initial Status Conference Order

By this order, the Court determines this case to be Complex according to Rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. The Clerk's Office has assigned this case to this department for all purposes.

Pursuant to Government Code Sections 70616(a) and 70616(b), a single complex fee of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) must be paid on behalf of all plaintiffs. For defendants, a complex fee of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) must be paid for each defendant, intervenor, respondent or adverse party, not to exceed, for each separate case number, a total of eighteen thousand dollars (\$18,000.00), collected from all defendants, intervenors, respondents, or adverse parties. All such fees are ordered to be paid to Los Angeles Superior Court, within ten (10) days of service of this order.

By this order, the Court stays the case, except for service of the Summons and Complaint. The stay continues at least until the Initial Status Conference. Initial Status Conference is set for 03/18/2024 at 02:30 PM in this department. At least ten (10) days prior to the Initial Status Conference, counsel for all parties must discuss the issues set forth in the Initial Status Conference Order issued this date. Counsel must file a Joint Initial Status Conference Response Statement five (5) court days before the Initial Status Conference.

The Initial Status Conference Order, served concurrently with this Minute Order, is to help the Court and the parties manage this complex case by developing an orderly schedule for briefing, discovery, and court hearings. The parties are informally encouraged to exchange documents and information as may be useful for case evaluation.

Responsive pleadings shall not be filed until further Order of the Court. Parties must file a Notice of Appearance in lieu of an Answer or other responsive pleading. The filing of a Notice of

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 10

23STCV28840 FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, et al. vs BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. December 14, 2023 9:01 AM

Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger
Judicial Assistant: R. Aspiras

CSR: None
ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: R. Sanchez Deputy Sheriff: None

Appearance shall not constitute a waiver of any substantive or procedural challenge to the Complaint. Nothing in this order stays the time for filing an Affidavit of Prejudice pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6. Nothing in this order stays the filing of an Amended Complaint pursuant to Labor Code Section 2699.3(a)(2)(C) by a plaintiff wishing to add a Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") claim.

For information on electronic filing in the Complex Courts, please refer to https://www.lacourt.org/division/efiling/efiling2.aspx#civil. See, in particular, the link therein for "Complex Civil efiling." Parties shall file all documents in conformity with the Presiding Judge's First Amended General Order of May 3, 2019, particularly including the provisions therein requiring Bookmarking with links to primary documents and citations; that Order is available on the Court's website at the link shown above.

For efficiency in communication with counsel, the complex program requires the parties in every new case to use an approved third-party cloud service that provides an electronic message board. In order to facilitate communication with counsel prior to the Initial Status Conference, the parties must sign-up with the e-service provider at least ten (10) court days in advance of the Initial Status Conference and advise the Court which provider was selected.

The court has implemented LACourtConnect to allow attorneys, self-represented litigants and parties to make audio or video appearances in Los Angeles County courtrooms. LACourtConnect technology provides a secure, safe and convenient way to attend hearings remotely. A key element of the Court's Access LACourt YOUR WAY program to provide services and access to justice, LACourtConnect is intended to enhance social distancing and change the traditional in-person courtroom appearance model. See https://my.lacourt.org/laccwelcome for more information.

This Complex Courtroom does not use Los Angeles Superior Court's Court Reservation ("CRS") portal to reserve motion hearing dates. Rather, counsel may secure dates by calling the Courtroom Assistant at 213-310-70xx with the "xx" being the Department number, e.g. Dept. 1 is 01 and Dept. 10 is 10.

Court reporters are not provided for hearings or trials. The parties should make their own arrangements for any hearing where a transcript is desired.

If you believe a party or witness will need an interpreter, see the court's website for information on how to make such a request in a timely manner. https://www.lacourt.org/irud/UI/index.aspx

Page 2 of 3

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 10

23STCV28840 FRANCISCO ROLDAN, III, et al. vs BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. December 14, 2023 9:01 AM

Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger
Judicial Assistant: R. Aspiras

CSR: None
ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: R. Sanchez Deputy Sheriff: None

Counsel are directed to access the following link for further information on procedures in the Complex litigation Program courtrooms: https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/CI0042.aspx.

The plaintiff must serve a copy of this minute order and the attached Initial Status Conference Order on all parties forthwith and file a Proof of Service in this department within seven (7) days of service.

Certificate of Mailing is attached.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 12/14/2023
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Francisco Roldan, III et al	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: R. Aspiras Deputy
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Bank of America, N.A.	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: 23STCV28840

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Minute Order (Court Order Re: Initial Status Conference Order) of 12/14/2023, Initial Status Conference Order upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Christopher R. Rodriguez Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 1414 K Street, Suite 470 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dated: 12/15/2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: R. Aspiras
Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Says Bank of America Stifles Free Speech with Provision that Prohibits Users from Badmouthing Bank</u>