1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON						
10	FRANZISKA ROESNER, on behalf of herself						
11	and all others similarly situated,	NO.					
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION					
13	VS.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
14	CENTRAL METRO REALTY L.L.C. d/b/a						
15	CENTRAL METRO REALTY,						
16	Defendant.						
17							
18	Franziska Roesner, individually and on be	chalf of others similarly situated, alleges the					
19	following against Defendant Central Metro Realty L.L.C. d/b/a Central Metro Realty ("Central						
20	Metro Realty").						
21	I. NATURE OF ACTION						
22	1. As the Supreme Court recently explained, "Americans passionately disagree						
23	about many things. But they are largely united in their disdain for robocalls. The Federal						
24	Government receives a staggering number of complaints about robocalls—3.7 million						
25	complaints in 2019 alone. The States likewise field a constant barrage of complaints. For						
26	nearly 30 years, the people's representatives in Congress have been fighting back. As relevant						
27							
		TURKE & STRAUSS LLP 613 Williamson Street, Suite 201					

1	here, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, known as the TCPA, generally						
2	prohibits robocalls to cell phones and home phones." Barr v. Am. Ass'n of Political						
3	Consultants, No. 19-631, 2020 U.S. LEXIS 3544, at *5 (July 6, 2020).						
4	2. Central Metro Realty provides real estate listing services and uses telemarketing						
5	to promote those services.						
6	3. Those telemarketing efforts include the use of prerecorded messages to						
7	individuals, like Ms. Roesner, who have placed their residential telephone numbers on the						
8	National Do Not Call Registry.						
9	4. Plaintiff now files this lawsuit seeking injunctive relief, requiring Central Metro						
0	Realty to stop placing unsolicited calls to cellular telephone numbers and residential telephone						
1	numbers listed on the National Do Not Call Registry, as well as an award of statutory damages						
12	and costs to class members.						
13	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE						
4	5. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over this action unde						
15	28 U.S.C. § 1331, as the action arises under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act,						
16	47 U.S.C. §227 ("TCPA").						
17	6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Central Metro Realty, and venue is						
18	proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendant Central Metro Realty						
19	made the calls to Plaintiff, who is a resident of this District, in this District.						
20	III. PARTIES						
21	7. Plaintiff resides in King County, Washington, as she did at all relevant times						
22	during the conduct alleged in this Complaint.						
23	8. Defendant is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of						
24	business located at 13497 N Hwy 183, Suite 700, Austin, Texas 78750. Central Metro Realty i						
25	a real estate brokerage based in Austin, Texas, that has been in business since 2008. Central						
26							
27							

Metro Realty has hundreds of real estate agents across Texas, including Austin, Houston, San Antonio, and Dallas. IV. TCPA BACKGROUND A. The TCPA Prohibits Automated Telemarketing Calls The TCPA makes it unlawful to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service or that is charged per the call. See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). 10. The TCPA provides a private cause of action to persons who receive calls in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A) or 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B). See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3). 11. According to findings by the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC"), the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient. 12. The FCC also recognized that "wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used." In re Rules and Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 F.C.C. Rcd. 14014, 14115 ¶ 165 (2003). 13. In 2013, the FCC required prior express written consent for all autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls ("robocalls") to wireless numbers and residential lines. Specifically, it ordered that: [A] consumer's written consent to receive telemarketing robocalls must be signed and be sufficient to show that the consumer: (1) received "clear and conspicuous disclosure" of the consequences of providing the requested consent, i.e., that the consumer will

receive future calls that deliver prerecorded messages by or on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

behalf of a specific seller; and (2) having received this information, agrees unambiguously to receive such calls at a telephone number the consumer designates.[] In addition, the written agreement must be obtained "without requiring, directly or indirectly, that the agreement be executed as a condition of purchasing any good or service.[]"

In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, 27 F.C.C. Rcd. 1830, 1844 (2012) (footnotes omitted).

B. The National Do Not Call Registry

- 14. Section 227(c) of the TCPA requires the FCC to "initiate a rulemaking proceeding concerning the need to protect residential telephone subscribers' privacy rights to avoid receiving telephone solicitations to which they object." 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(1).
- 15. The National Do Not Call Registry allows consumers to register their telephone numbers and thereby indicate their desire not to receive telephone solicitations at those numbers. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).
- 16. A listing on the Registry "must be honored indefinitely, or until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator." *Id.*
- 17. The TCPA and implementing regulations prohibit the initiation of telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers to the Registry and provides a private right of action against any entity that makes those calls, or "on whose behalf" such calls are made.

 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 19. Plaintiff's telephone number, (512) XXX-3708, is assigned to a cellular telephone service.
 - 20. Plaintiff's telephone number, (512) XXX-3708, is used for residential purposes.

1	21.	Plaintiff's telephone number, (512) XXX-3708, is not associated with a
2	business.	
3	22.	Plaintiff's telephone number, (512) XXX-3708, has been registered on the
4	National Do	Not Call Registry since 2004.
5	23.	On May 4, 2021, Plaintiff received a telemarketing call on her cellular
6	telephone nui	mber, (512) XXX-3708, from, or on behalf of, Central Market Realty.
7	24.	The caller ID showed the calls was from telephone number (512) 862-0509.
8	25.	Plaintiff was not interested in Central Metro Realty's services.
9	26.	Plaintiff has never been a Central Metro Realty customer and never consented
10	to receive cal	ls from Central Metro Realty.
11	27.	Despite this, on June 14, 2021, Plaintiff received a second telemarketing call
12	from Central	Market Realty on her cellular telephone number, (512) XXX-3708.
13	28.	The caller ID showed the call was from telephone number (512) 826-0509.
14	29.	Plaintiff did not answer the June 14, 2021, call but the caller left a voicemail.
15	30.	The voicemail consisted of the following prerecorded message:
16		Some people think the best time to sell is when everyone else sells
17		their home. We know the best time is now. Please stay with me. Hey, my name is Jeff Ellis. I don't believe we've actually ever met,
18		but I work with Central Metro Realty, and I was just giving you a call today because we have a very unique situation today in our
19		real estate market. Buyers are bidding over asking price for a lot of homes. There are almost no homes for sale or left for sale in the
20		area. I haven't seen a market like this since 2006. Buyers are actually flying out to the area. So if by any chance you were
21		thinking of selling now or even in the next 6 months, it might be
22		the perfect time. Give me a call. I'm certain I can sell your home for more money than you ever thought you could in 30 days or
23		less. I can be reached on my cell 512-296-6970. Have a good day. Oh, and if by chance your home is already listed for sale with
24 25		another real estate agent, please disregard this message and best of luck. Again, I can be reached at 512-296-6970. Have a good day.
26	31.	Central Market Realty is responsible for making the above-described
27	prerecorded o	eall.
-,		TURKE & STRAUSS LLP

1	32.	Again, Plaintiff was not interested in Central Metro Realty's services.					
2	33.	The calls received by Plaintiff were sent to encourage the purchase of and					
3	investment in Central Metro Realty services.						
4	34.	These calls, therefore, qualified as telemarketing. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(12).					
5	35.	Plaintiff and all members of the class, defined below, have been harmed by the					
6	acts of Defendant because their privacy has been violated and they were subjected to annoying						
7	and harassing calls that constitute a nuisance. The calls also occupied Plaintiff's telephone line						
8	from legitimate communication.						
9	36.	Central Metro Realty utilized automated technology to make calls because it					
10	engaged in en	masse calling.					
11	37.	Indeed, Central Metro Realty made a purchase of a list for marketing purposes					
12	from Roy Castner.						
13	38.	Mr. Castner's business, realtorringless.com, boasts that it can:					
14		put your message into 10,000 homes and guaranteed to deliver					
15		your voice message to each homeowner without ringing their phone for just .01 cent each. That's just \$100 for 10,000 prospects.					
16		With our new technology, you can reach 18,000 prospects every hour with your solar or realtor message.					
17	See https://wv	ww.linkedin.com/in/roy-castner-82619561/ (last visited July 23, 2021).					
18	1	VI. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS					
19	39.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs of this Complaint as if					
20	fully stated he						
21	40.	Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and the following classes (the					
22		rsuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.					
23	41.	Plaintiff proposes the following Class definitions, subject to amendment as					
24	appropriate:	7 7					
25		Robocall Class: All persons within the United States: (1) to					
26		whose cellular telephone number; (2) Defendant (or an agent					
27		acting on behalf of Defendant) placed a telemarketing call; (3)					
	I						

using an identical or substantially similar prerecorded message used to place the telephone calls to Plaintiff; (4) within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint.

National Do Not Call Registry Class: All persons in the United States whose, (1) telephone numbers were on the National Do Not Call Registry for at least 31 days, (2) but received more than one telephone solicitation from or on behalf of Defendant (3) within a 12-month period, (4) from four years prior the filing of the Complaint.

- 42. Excluded from the Classes are counsel, Defendant, any entities in which Defendant has a controlling interest, Defendant's agents and employees, any judge to whom this action is assigned, and any member of such judge's staff and immediate family.
- 43. Plaintiff is a member of and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Classes as she has no interests that conflict with any of the class members.
- 44. Plaintiff and all members of the Classes have been harmed by the acts of Defendant, including, but not limited to, the invasion of their privacy, annoyance, waste of time, the use of their telephone power and network bandwidth, and the intrusion on their telephone that occupied it from receiving legitimate communications.
 - 45. This Class Action Complaint seeks injunctive relief and money damages.
- 46. The Class, as defined above, is identifiable through Defendant's dialer records, other telephone records, and telephone number databases.
- 47. Plaintiff does not know the exact number of members in the Classes, but Plaintiff reasonably believes each Class numbers, at minimum, in the hundreds of members.
- 48. The joinder of all Class members is impracticable due to the size and relatively modest value of each individual claim.
- 49. Additionally, the disposition of the claims in a class action will provide substantial benefit to the parties and the Court in avoiding a multiplicity of identical suits.
- 50. There are well defined, nearly identical questions of law and fact affecting all parties. The questions of law and fact, referred to above, involving the Class claims predominate over questions that may affect individual Class members.

1	51.	There are numerous questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and to the
2	proposed Cl	asses, including but not limited to the following:
3		a. Whether the Defendant made multiple calls to numbers on the National
4	Do Not Call	Registry;
5		b. Whether Defendant used prerecorded messages to send calls;
6		c. Whether Defendant made calls to Plaintiff and members of the Classes
7	without first	obtaining prior express written consent to make the calls;
8		d. Whether Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of the TCPA; and
9		e. Whether members of the Classes are entitled to treble damages based on
10	the willfulne	ess of Defendant's conduct.
11	52.	Further, Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of
12	the Classes.	Plaintiff has no interests which are antagonistic to any member of the Classes.
13	53.	Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting
14	complex liti	gation and class actions, and especially TCPA class actions. Plaintiff and her
15	counsel are	committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the other members of
16	the Classes,	and have the financial resources to do so.
17	54.	Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only
18	individual C	llass members, and a class action is the superior method for fair and efficient
19	adjudication	of the controversy. The only individual question concerns identification of Class
20	members, w	hich will be ascertainable from records maintained by Defendant and/or its agents.
21	55.	The likelihood that individual members of the Classes will prosecute separate
22	actions is re	mote due to the time and expense necessary to prosecute an individual case.
23	56.	Plaintiff is not aware of any litigation concerning this controversy already
24	commenced	by others who meet the criteria for class membership described above.
25		
26		
27		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. 227(b) on behalf of the Robocall Class)

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations from all previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 58. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, and delivering prerecorded messages to the cellular telephone numbers of Plaintiff and members of the Robocall Class.
- 59. As a result of Defendant's and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf's violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff and members of the Robocall Class presumptively are entitled to an award of \$500 in damages for each and every call made to their cellular telephone numbers using an artificial or prerecorded voice in violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 60. If the Defendant's conduct is found to be knowing or willful, Plaintiff and members of the Robocall Class are entitled to an award of up to treble damages.
- 61. Plaintiff and members of the Robocall Class are also entitled to and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant and/or its affiliates, agents, and/or other persons or entities acting on Defendant's behalf from violating the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making calls, except for emergency purposes, to any cellular telephone numbers using an artificial or prerecorded voice in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (47 U.S.C. 227(c) on behalf of the National Do Not Call Registry Class)

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. Defendant violated the TCPA and the National Do Not Call Registry regulations by making, or having their agent make, two or more telemarketing calls within a 12-month

1	period on Defendant's behalf to Plaintiff and members of the National Do Not Call Registry						
2	Class while those persons' phone numbers were registered on the National Do Not Call						
3	Registry.						
4	64. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., Plaintiff and						
5	National Do Not Call Registry Class members are entitled to an award of up to \$500 in						
6	statutory damages for each and every violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C.						
7	§ 227(c)(5).						
8	65. Plaintiff and National Do Not Call Registry Class Members are entitled to an						
9	award of treble damages if their actions are found to have been knowing or willful.						
10	66. Plaintiff and National Do Not Call Registry Class members are also entitled to						
11	and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting the Defendant from advertising their goods or						
12	services, except for emergency purposes, to any number on the National Do Not Call Registry						
13	in the future.						
14	VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF						
15	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Classes, prays for the						
16	following relief:						
17	A. Injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from calling telephone numbers						
18	advertising their goods or services, except for emergency purposes, to any number using a						
19	prerecord message to cellular telephones in the future or from calling numbers on the National						
20	Do Not Call Registry;						
21	B. That the Court enter a judgment awarding Plaintiff and all Class members						
22	statutory damages of \$500 for each violation of the TCPA and \$1,500 for each knowing or						
23	willful violation;						
24	C. An order certifying this action to be a proper class action pursuant to Federal						
25	Rule of Civil Procedure 23, establishing appropriate Classes the Court deems appropriate,						
26							
27							

1	finding that Plaintiff is a proper representative of the Classes, and appointing the lawyers and				
2	law firms representing Plaintiff as counsel for the Classes;				
3	D. Attorneys' fees and costs, as permitted by law; and				
4	E. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.				
5	VIII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
6	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.				
7	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 25th day of August, 2021.				
8	TURKE & STRAUSS LLP				
9	By: _/s/ Samuel J. Strauss, WSBA #46971				
10	Samuel J. Strauss, WSBA #46971				
11	Email: sam@turkestrauss.com 613 Williamson St., Suite 201				
12	Madison, Wisconsin 53703 Telephone: (608) 237-1775				
13	Facsimile: (608) 509-4423				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

Case 1:21-cv-01012 Document 1-1 Filed 08/26/21 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	OF THIS FC	ORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
FRANZISKA ROESNER, on behalf of herself and all others simila situated,				CENTRAL METRO REALTY L.L.C. d/b/a CENTRAL METRO REALTY,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff King County, WA				'	of First Listed Defenda	nt	
(EZ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)		j	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES ONLY)	
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Samuel J. Strauss, Turke			ite 201,	Attorneys (If Known)			
Madison, WI 53703, (608	3) 237-1775 (also mair	ntains an office in S	Seattle,				
Washington)							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PART	TIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
□ 1 U.S. Government	≯ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government 2	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State		ed and Principal Place	
				en or Subject of a preign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Na	tion	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		oly)	I E/	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: N BANKRUPTCY	lature of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 15		
☐ 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC)	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 69	90 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHT ☐ 820 Copyrights		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Patent	☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviat New Drug Application	1	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	эту	LABOR	□ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	★ 485 Telephone Consumer	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	□ 72	Act 20 Labor/Management	□ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (40		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 79	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUI	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		91 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaint	tiff	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	e	Income Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement	ļ			ł	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)						
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from \Box 3		□ 4 Rein	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	rred from		
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened Anothe (specify)		igation - Litigation - unsfer Direct File	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 47 U.S.C. § 227	tute under which you as	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use: Telephone Consum	ner Prote	ection Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YE	S only if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:							
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBE	R	
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTO				OF RECORD			
08/25/2021 /s/ Samuel J. Str			Strauss,	, WSBA #46971			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
DECEIDT # AA	(OLINIT	A DDI VINC IED		HIDCE	3.64	C HIDGE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Weshir

Western District of Washington					
)) CDANZICKA DOCCNED, on behalf of boroalf and all					
FRANZISKA ROESNER, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,					
Plaintiff(s)					
v.)	Civil Action No.				
)					
,)					
CENTRAL METRO REALTY L.L.C. d/b/a) CENTRAL METRO REALTY,)					
Defendant(s)					
SUMMONS IN A CIV	IL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) Central Metro Realty L.L.C. d/b/a Central Metro Realty 13497 N Highway 183, Suite 700 Austin, TX 78750					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Samuel J. Strauss Turke & Strauss LLP 613 Williamson St., Suite 201 Madison, WI 53703 Email: sam@turkestrauss.com					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
D					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

Was ra	This summons for (nan ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was ic	•	·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place) on (date)					
	☐ I left the summens	at the individual's residence or		-, 01				
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name) , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
		ons on (name of individual) accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)	, who is				
	designated by law to t	accept service of process on sen	on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because						
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.					
Date:								
Date.			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Central Metro Realty Hit with Class Action Over Alleged Robocalls</u>