UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SAMUEL & STEIN

Michael Samuel 38 West 32nd Street Suite 1110 New York, New York 10001 (212) 563-9884 michael@samuelandstein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Proposed FLSA Collective

Jose Rodriguez, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- vs. -

Graham Shoe Corp. d/b/a Lady Shoe Five Dollars, and Jeffrey Deutsch,

Defendants.

DOCKET NO. 17-cv-833

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Jose Rodriguez, by and through his undersigned attorneys, for his complaint against Defendants Graham Shoe Corp. d/b/a Lady Shoe Five Dollars, and Jeffrey Deutsch, alleges as follows, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff Jose Rodriguez, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), alleges that he is entitled to: (i) compensation from Defendants for wages paid at less than the statutory minimum wage required by the FLSA; (ii) unpaid wages from Defendants for overtime work for which he did not receive

overtime premium pay as required by the FLSA; and (iii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, because Defendants' violations lacked a good faith basis.

2. Plaintiff further complains that he is entitled to (i) compensation for wages paid at less than the statutory New York minimum wage; (ii) back wages for overtime work for which Defendants willfully failed to pay overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations; (iii) compensation for Defendants' violations of the "spread of hours" requirements of New York Labor Law; (iv) compensation for Defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act; and (v) liquidated damages pursuant to New York Labor Law for these violations.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is an adult individual residing in New York, New York.
- 4. Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); his written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Graham Shoe Corp. is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 2015 Coney Island Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11223.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Graham Shoe Corp. has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Graham Shoe Corp. has had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.

- 8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, Defendant Graham Shoe Corp. has used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and has employed individuals who handled such goods and materials.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Graham Shoe Corp. has constituted an "enterprise" as defined in the FLSA.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jeffrey Deutsch is an owner or part owner and principal of Graham Shoe Corp.; he has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.
- 11. Mr. Deutsch was involved in the day-to-day operations of Graham Shoe Corp. and played an active role in managing the business.
- 12. Defendants constituted "employers" of Plaintiff as that term is used in the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 14. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendants' business is located in this district.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

15. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206 and § 207, Plaintiff seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a Collective Action on behalf of himself and a collective defined as follows:

All persons who are or were formerly employed by Defendants in the United States at any time since February 14, 2013, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA, and who were not paid minimum wage, or overtime compensation at rates not less than one-and-one-half times the proper minimum wage for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

- 16. The Collective Action Members are similarly situated to Plaintiff in that they were employed by Defendants as non-exempt shoe salespeople, and were denied the correct minimum wage and/or premium overtime pay for hours worked beyond forty hours in a week.
- 17. They are further similarly situated in that Defendants had a policy and practice of knowingly and willfully refusing to pay them overtime.
- 18. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members perform or performed similar or the same primary duties, and were subjected to the same policies and practices by Defendants.
- 19. The exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but is known by Defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

FACTS

- 20. At all relevant times herein, Defendants owned and operated a shoe store in Brooklyn.
- 21. Plaintiff has been employed by Defendants from approximately May 2009 until the present.
- 22. Plaintiff was employed as a shoe salesman, but he was also required to receive deliveries, move shoes from other store locations, open the store in the morning and close it in the evening, paint when necessary, and wash the floors.

- 23. Plaintiff's work was performed in the normal course of Defendants' business and was integrated into the business of Defendants, and did not involve executive or administrative responsibilities.
- 24. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was an employee engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined in the FLSA and its implementing regulations.
- 25. From the beginning of his employment through the end of 2016, Plaintiff worked seven days per week, from 9:30 A.M. to 7:30 P.M in the months from May through October.
- 26. From the beginning of his employment through the end of 2016, Plaintiff worked six days per week, from 9:30 A.M. to 7:30 P.M. in the months from January through April, and the months of November and December.
- 27. As a result, from the beginning of his employment through the end of 2016, Plaintiff worked approximately 60 hours per week from January through April and November through December; while he worked approximately 70 hours per week from May through October.
- 28. Starting in January 2017 and continuing through the present, Plaintiff has been working five days a week, from Sunday through Thursday, from 11:00 A.M. to approximately 7:00 P.M. Plaintiff does not work on Fridays or Saturdays.
- 29. As a result, Plaintiff has been working roughly 40 hours per week since January 2017.
- 30. For most of Plaintiff's employment, Defendants did not provide a time clock, sign in sheet, or any other method for employees to track their time worked.

However, Defendants began using a punch-in timekeeping system in approximately September of 2016.

- 31. Plaintiff was paid by check throughout his employment, although his pay stubs usually did not conform to the specific requirements of the New York Labor Law.
- 32. From the beginning of his employment through April 2014, Plaintiff was paid \$360 per week, regardless of how many hours he worked.
- 33. From approximately May 2014 through December 2014, Plaintiff was paid \$400 per week, regardless of how many hours he worked.
- 34. From January 2015 through March 2016, Plaintiff was paid \$440 per week regardless of how many hours he worked.
- 35. From approximately April 2016 until the present, Plaintiff has been paid at the correct minimum wage and overtime rates, but throughout 2016, his paystubs understated the number of overtime hours he worked.
- 36. As a result, Plaintiff's effective rate of pay from the beginning of his employment through approximately March 2016 fell below the statutory minimum wages in effect at relevant times.
- 37. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff an amount at least equal to the FLSA and New York state minimum wages was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.
- 38. In addition, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime "bonus" for the hours he worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek from the beginning of his employment through approximately March 2016, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

- 39. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff the overtime bonus for some of his overtime hours worked was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.
- 40. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff worked six or seven shifts per week that exceeded ten hours from start to finish, yet Defendants willfully failed to pay him one additional hour's pay at the minimum wage for each such day he worked shifts lasting more than ten hours, in violation of the New York Labor Law and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.
- 41. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with written notices providing the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act including, *inter alia*, Defendants' contact information, Plaintiff's regular and overtime rates, and intended allowances claimed and failed to obtain Plaintiff's signature acknowledging the same, upon his hiring or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act in effect at the time.
- 42. Upon information and belief, throughout the period of Plaintiff's employment, both before that time (throughout the Collective Action Period) and continuing until today, Defendants have likewise employed other individuals like Plaintiff (the Collective Action Members) in positions that required little skill, no capital investment, and with duties and responsibilities that did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.
- 43. Defendants applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Collective Action Members, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to the payment of wages and overtime.

- 44. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have not been paid at the proper statutory rates under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.
- 45. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have worked in excess of forty hours per week, yet Defendants have likewise failed to pay them overtime compensation of one-and-one-half times the regular hourly rate in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.
- 46. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not provided with required annual or accurate weekly wage notices as specified in New York Labor Law §§ 195(1), 195(3), and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.
- 47. Upon information and belief, while Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, and through all relevant time periods, Defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records or provide accurate records to employees, and failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA or New York Labor Law.

COUNT I: Fair Labor Standards Act – Minimum Wage

- 48. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all Collective Members, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 49. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 50. Defendants failed to pay a salary greater than the minimum wage to Plaintiff and the Collective Members for all hours worked.

- 51. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Members at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage for each hour worked, Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 206.
- 52. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 206.
- 53. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff and the Collective Members are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid compensation plus liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II: Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime

- 54. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all Collective Members repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 55. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 56. Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to their employees for some of the hours they worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 57. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Members at a rate at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for some of their work performed in excess of forty hours per workweek, Defendants have

violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

- 58. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.
- 59. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff and the Collective Members are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT III: New York Labor Law - Minimum Wage

- 60. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 61. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 62. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay his compensation in excess of the statutory minimum wage in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 190-199, 652 and their regulations.
- 63. Defendants' failure to pay compensation in excess of the statutory minimum wage was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 64. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, interest,

reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT IV: New York Labor Law - Overtime

- 65. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 66. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 67. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay him overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times his regular rate of pay for some of the hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and its supporting regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146.
- 68. Defendants' failure to pay overtime was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 69. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT V: New York Labor Law - Spread of Hours

- 70. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 71. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

- 72. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay him an additional hour's pay at the minimum wage for each day he worked a shift lasting longer than ten hours, in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 650 *et seq.* and its regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146-1.6.
- 73. Defendants' failure to pay the "spread of hours" premium was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 74. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants his unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT VI: New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act

- 75. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 76. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 77. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to provide him with the wage notices required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act when he was hired, or at any time thereafter.
- 78. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to provide him with weekly wage statements that conformed to the specific requirements of the Wage Theft Prevention Act at any time during his employment.

- 79. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide accurate weekly wage statements, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of \$100 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$250 per day from February 27, 2015 through the end of his employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.
- 80. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage notices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of \$50 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$50 per day from February 27, 2015 to the termination of his employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action members;
- b. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

- c. An injunction against Defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, and patterns set forth herein;
- d. A compensatory award of unpaid compensation, at the statutory overtime rate, due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- e. Compensatory damages for failure to pay the minimum wage pursuant to the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- f. An award of liquidated damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- g. Compensatory damages for failure to pay the "spread of hours" premiums required by New York Labor Law;
- h. Liquidated damages for Defendants' New York Labor Law violations;
- i. Statutory damages for Defendants' violations of the New York Wage
 Theft Prevention Act;
- j. Back pay;
- k. Punitive damages;
- 1. An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest;
- m. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and

n. Such other, further, and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York February 14, 2017

/s/ Michael Samuel
Michael Samuel, Esq.
SAMUEL & STEIN
38 West 32nd Street
Suite 1110
New York, New York 10001
(212) 563-9884

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Proposed FLSA Collective **EXHIBIT A**

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of Graham Shoe Corp. and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Con mi firma abajo, autorizo la presentación y tramitación de reclamaciones en mi nombre y de mi parte para impugnar el fallo de Graham Shoe Corp. mi y sus propietarios y afiliados a me pagan, entre otras cosas, el salario mínimo y pago de horas extras, requerida en el estado y / o la ley federal y también autorizan la presentación de este consentimiento en la demanda contra ese tipo de conducta, y el consentimiento para ser nombrado como demandante representante en esta acción para tomar decisiones en nombre de todos los demás demandantes en relación con todos aspectos de esta demanda. Se me ha proporcionado una copia de un acuerdo de retención con la firma de abogados de Samuel y Stein, y estoy de acuerdo en estar obligado por sus términos.

Date: February 3, 2017

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Jose Rodriguez,				DEFENDANT Graham Shoe Co Deutsch	S orp. d/b/a L	ady Shoe Five	Dollars, and	Jeffr	еу
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff NEW YORK COUNTY, NY (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Samuel & Stein, 38 Wes Tel: 212-563-9884/ Fax:	st 32nd Street, Suite 1	^{per)} 110, New York, NY	10001	Attorneys (If Known	<i>1)</i>				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	ш. ст	I TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place on "V" in	Ona Pa	u Con Blainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	₹ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases Only) I	PTF DEF		and One Box J		idant) DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensi)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	D 2 D 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		O 5	5 5
				n or Subject of a Creign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	D 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI									
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY		NKRUPTCY	OTHER		***************************************
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	423 With 28 U	eal 28 USC 158 idrawal USC 157	375 False C 376 Qui Tal 3729(a 400 State R	m (31 US)) eapportie	SC
& Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Trad	rights nt	☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme ☐ 460 Deports ☐ 470 Rackete	and Bank erce ation	
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TY 25 710	LABOR Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL	SECURITY (1395ff)		Organiz	ations
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 720 ☐ 740	Act Dabor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 862 Black	k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricul	at TV les/Comr lge tatutory tural Act	nodities/ Actions
WARNES AND COMPANY	Medical Malpractice		1 790	Other Labor Litigation			☐ 893 Environ ☐ 895 Freedor		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 42 Employment 43 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detaince ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General		Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxe: or De ☐ 871 IRS—	AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)Third Party SC 7609		strative I riew or A Decision	appeal of
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	535 Death Penalty Other:	1 462 1 465	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions			State Sta		<i>,</i> 01
	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ened Anothe	r District	☐ 6 Multidistri	-	Multidi Litigati	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	iuse:		(specify) not cite jurisdictional stat		Transfer versity):		Direct l	File
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		nimum wage and ov IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		MAND S		HECK YES only i	f demanded in	compla	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions);	JUDGE				Γ NUMBER	A 0.5	2,10	
DATE 08/02/2016		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE.	***************************************	

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBI

exclusiv	e of intere	CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, est and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a econtrary is filed.
I, Micha	el Samuel, E ble for c	ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
n/a		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
1174		
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because same jud case: (A)	that "A c the cases a lge and man involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) ivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the ci	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk
2.)	a) Did t	nswered "no" above: he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	b) Did t District	he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern
Suffolk	County, lk Count	question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau y? n/a ote: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	(14	BAR ADMISSION
_		
I am cur	rently ad	mitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No
Are you	currently	the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
I certify	the accur	racy of all (information provided above.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York					
Jose Rodriguez, on behalf of himself and all others similarly stiuated,)))				
Plaintiff(s))				
V.	Civil Action No.				
Graham Shoe Corp. d/b/a Lady Shoe Five Dollars, and Jeffrey Deutsch)))				
Defendant(s))				
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) Graham Shoe Corp d/b/a Lady Shoe Five Dolla 2015 Coney Island Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11223					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael Samuel, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suite 1110 New York, NY 10001					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be a You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Data					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				
	Signature of Cierk of Deputy Cierk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if a	any)					
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides th					
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)							
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	se	; or				
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
ъ.								
Date:		-	Server's signature					
		-	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York					
Jose Rodriguez, on behalf of himself and similarly situated,	all others))))				
Plaintiff(s)					
V.	Civil Action No.				
Graham Shoe Corp. d/b/a Lady Shoe Five and Jeffrey Deutsch	Dollars,)))				
Defendant(s)					
S	UMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
d/b/a Lady	s Shoe Corp. Shoe Five Dollars y Island Avenue				
A lawsuit has been filed against yo	1.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael Samuel, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suite 1110 New York, NY 10001					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)					
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·					
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)							
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summon							
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,				
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or I served the summons on (name of individual)							
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	returned the summons unexecuted because						
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		_						
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Graham Shoe Corp. Tied Up in Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit</u>