

**JS-6: CV 20-74; CV 20-1034; CV 20-1168**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 JOHN BAKER ORANGE, *on behalf of*  
6 *himself and all others similarly situated,*

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 RING LLC,

10 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO

11  
12 ASHLEY LEMAY, DYLAN BLAKELEY,  
13 TODD CRAIG, AND TANIA AMADOR, *on*  
14 *behalf of themselves and all others similarly*  
*situated,*

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 RING LLC,

18 Defendant.

Case No.2:20-cv-00074 MWF-RAO

19  
20 JOHN AND JENNIFER POLITI,  
21 *individually and on behalf of all others*  
22 *similarly situated,*

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 RING LLC,

26 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01034-AB-KS

1 BRANDON HAGAN,  
2 individually and on behalf of all others  
3 similarly situated,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 RING LLC,

7 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01168-SVW-JPR

9 **ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO CONSOLIDATE**

10 **ACTIONS AND SET SCHEDULING DEADLINES**

11  
12 There are currently four (4) related proposed class actions pending in the U.S.  
13 District Court for the Central District of California alleging that Defendant Ring  
14 LLC (“Ring”) failed to, *inter alia*, protect its customers’ accounts and security  
15 cameras from unauthorized access by third parties. These cases include *Orange v.*  
16 *Ring LLC*, Case No. 2:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO (“*Orange*”), filed December 26,  
17 2019 and pending before this Court; *LeMay et al. v. Ring LLC*, Case No. 2:20-cv-  
18 00074-MWF-RAO (“*LeMay*”), filed January 3, 2020 and pending before this Court;  
19 *Politi et al. v. Ring LLC*, Case No. 2:20-cv-01034-AB-KS (“*Politi*”), filed January  
20 21, 2020 and pending before the Honorable Andre Birotte Jr.; and *Hagan v. Ring*  
21 *LLC*, Case No. 2:20-cv-01168-SVW-JPR (“*Hagan*”), filed February 5, 2020 and  
22 pending before the Honorable Stephen V. Wilson (together, the “Related Actions”).

23 On February 11, 2020, Plaintiffs in the Related Actions (“Plaintiffs”) and Ring  
24 filed a stipulation seeking to consolidate the Related Actions and set scheduling  
25 deadlines. For good cause shown, the Court grants the stipulation and orders as  
26 follows:  
27  
28

1           1.     The *Orange*, *LeMay*, *Politi*, and *Hagan* actions currently pending in  
2 this District and any other action arising out of the same or similar operative facts  
3 now pending or hereafter filed in, removed to, or transferred to this District shall be  
4 consolidated for pre-trial purposes pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) before this Court  
5 (hereafter the “Consolidated Action”).

6           2.     All papers filed in the Consolidated Action shall be filed under Case  
7 No. 0:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO, the number assigned to the first-filed case, and  
8 shall bear the following caption:

9                                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10                                   **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11  
12  
13           *IN RE: RING LLC PRIVACY*  
14           *LITIGATION*

Master File No.: CV 19-10899 MWF (RAOx)

15  
16           This Document Relates To:

17           \_\_\_\_\_ /  
18

19           3.     The case file for the Consolidated Action will be maintained under  
20 Master File No.: 0:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO. When a pleading is intended to apply  
21 to all actions to which this Order applies, the words “All Actions” shall appear  
22 immediately after the words “This Document Relates To:” in the caption described  
23 above. When a pleading is not intended to apply to all actions, the docket number  
24 for each individual action to which the paper is intended to apply and the last name  
25 of the first-named plaintiff in said action shall appear immediately after the words  
26 “This Document Relates To:” in the caption identified above, for example, “CV 19-  
27 10899 MWF (RAOx) (LeMay).”  
28

1           4. Any action subsequently filed, transferred or removed to this Court that  
2 arises out of the same or similar operative facts as the Consolidated Action will be  
3 consolidated with it for pre-trial purposes. The parties shall file a Notice of Related  
4 Action pursuant to C.D. Cal. L.R. 83-1.3 whenever a case that should be  
5 consolidated into this action is filed in, or transferred to, this District. If the Court  
6 determines that the case is related, the clerk shall:

- 7           a. place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
- 8           b. serve on Plaintiffs' counsel in the new case a copy of this Order;
- 9           c. direct that this Order be served upon defendants in the new case; and
- 10           d. make the appropriate entry in the Master Docket.

11           5. Ring does not waive its right to enforce the Terms of Service and to  
12 oppose consolidation or any representative action in this or any other forum, and to  
13 oppose class certification or the appointment of regular class counsel on any  
14 grounds, including adequacy of representation under Fed. R. Civ. P 23(a) and (g).

15           6. Initial deadlines for the Consolidated Action are as follows:

- 16           a. Any attorney who has filed an action in this litigation may file an  
17 application for appointment as interim class counsel or other  
18 designated counsel either individually or as part of a proposed  
19 leadership structure. All applications must be e-filed in the Master  
20 File No. 0:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO, no later than 5 p.m. Pacific  
21 Time, seven (7) calendar days from the date of this Order. Each  
22 attorney's application shall not exceed five pages double-spaced  
23 addressing the factors set forth in Rule 23(g) and may include a  
24 resume no longer than three pages. Counsel may file a two-page  
25 response (including any attachments) no later than 5 p.m. Pacific  
26 Time, three (3) business days from the filing deadline of the initial  
27 applications. The Court may hold a hearing on the applications or  
28

1 appoint interim counsel or other designated counsel based on timely  
2 written submissions only;

3 b. Plaintiffs shall file a Consolidated Complaint no later than thirty  
4 (30) days following entry of an order appointing interim class  
5 counsel or other designated counsel;


6 c. Defendant shall file a responsive pleading no later than thirty (30)  
7 days following the filing of the Consolidated Complaint; and

8 d. The Parties shall file a Joint Report Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)  
9 no later than forty-five (45) days following the filing of the  
10 Consolidated Complaint.

11 7. Following submission of the Parties' Joint Report pursuant to Fed. R.  
12 Civ. P. 26(f), the Court will hold an Initial Scheduling Conference at First Street  
13 Courthouse, 350 West First Street, Courtroom 5A, Los Angeles, California 90012,  
14 at a date and time when the Court has availability.  
15

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 Dated: February 11, 2020

19  
20  
21 

22 Michael W. Fitzgerald  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28