## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

	CASE NO	CIV	/	
	R REYES, on behalf of himilarly situated,	himself		
Plair	ntiff,			
v.				
Florida Lim	AST CONSTRUCTION ited Liability Company, on NTING SERVICES, and	d/b/a		

Defendants.

VIRGINIA ORTIZ, individually,

### **COMPLAINT**

- 1. Plaintiff, SALVADOR REYES (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), is an individual residing in Palm Beach County, Florida.
- 2. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant, A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUCTION LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company d/b/a AA&A PAINTING SERVICES (hereinafter referred to as "AA&A"), has based its principal office in Lake Worth, Florida in Palm Beach County, within the jurisdiction of this Court, and has provided commercial painting and related services to customers throughout the State of Florida and in other locations and foreign States including but not necessarily limited to in Tennessee.
- 3. Defendant, VIRGINIA ORTIZ, has at all times material to this Complaint owned, managed, and/or operated AA&A and Defendant ORTIZ has regularly exercised the authority to hire and fire Plaintiff and Defendants' other employees, determined the manner in which Plaintiff and Defendants' other employees are compensated, determined how Plaintiff's and Defendants'

other employees' hours worked are tracked or recorded, set the rates of pay of Plaintiff and Defendants' other employees, and controlled the finances and operations of AA&A. By virtue of such control and authority, Defendant ORTIZ is an employer of Plaintiff and the other similarly situated employees within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

- 4. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and other current and former employees of AA&A and VIRGINIA ORTIZ (collectively referred to as "Defendants") for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees of this action under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. §216(b)¹ and Florida law.
- 5. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants, AA&A and ORTIZ have acted in the interest of an employer within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §203(d), toward Plaintiff and the other similarly situated employees, including without limitation directly or indirectly controlling the terms of employment and compensation of Plaintiff and the other employees similarly situated to him.
- 6. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and 28 U.S.C. §1337 and §1367.
- 7. A substantial part of the events giving rise to this action, occurred in Palm Beach County, within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.
- 8. At all times material to this Complaint, including but not necessarily limited to during the years 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016, AA&A has had two (2) or more employees who have regularly sold, handled, or otherwise worked on goods and/or materials that had been moved in or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Attached hereto is a signed Consent to Join from Plaintiff REYES.

produced for commerce. In this regard, Plaintiff alleges based upon information and belief and subject to discovery, that at all times material to this Complaint, AA&A has employed two (2) or more employees who, *inter alia*, have regularly (a) handled and worked with painting tools such as paint brushes, rollers, spray paint guns, wall scrapers, putty knives, caulking gun, wire brush, pails and roller grid, disposable coveralls, specialty paint pads, ladders, scaffolds, all of which were goods and/or materials that were moved in or produced for commerce; (b) handled and worked with sandpaper, caulking, putty, spackling compound, primer, paint, masking tape, and plastic or canvas drop cloths, all of which were goods and/or material moved in or produced for commerce; (c) transacted business with customers across State lines, including but not limited to between the States of Florida and Tennessee; and (d) traveled in company vehicles to Defendants' customers' locations, which vehicles constitute goods and/or materials moved in or produced for commerce and which operated on gasoline that also constitute goods and/or materials moved in or produced for commerce.

- 9. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross sales volume of AA&A has been in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum at all times material to this Complaint, including but not necessarily limited to during the years 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.
- 10. At all times material to this Complaint, including but not necessarily limited to during the years 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016, AA&A has constituted an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(s).
- 11. At all times material to this Complaint between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, Plaintiff was himself individually engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1), because, *inter alia*, Plaintiff regularly and directly

participated in the actual movement of persons and things in interstate commerce including but not necessarily limited to Plaintiff traveling from Florida to Tennessee with and for Defendants in order to complete painting work and related work assignments for Defendants at job sites in Nashville, Tennessee such as residential apartments.

- During the three (3) year statute of limitations period between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, Plaintiff's primary duties for Defendants at various projects in Boynton Beach, Florida and Nashville, Tennessee were non-exempt in nature, consisting of: (a) cleaning and smoothing the surface of the area to be painted; (b) filling nail holes, cracks, and joints with caulk, putty, plaster, or other filler, using caulking gun and putty knife; (c) spreading drop cloths/plastic over floors or other surfaces with tape to protect surfaces during painting; (d) mixing primer, thinners, oils and paint; and (e) applying primer and paint with brushes, rollers or spray guns to the areas or surfaces to be painted.
- 13. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants had knowledge that Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee within the meaning of the FLSA, not an independent contractor. More specifically, during the three (3) year statute of limitations period between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, the facts and circumstances of the work Plaintiff performed for Defendants in both Florida and Tennessee confirm that Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants under the law, including but not limited to under the FLSA, because:
  - (a) Plaintiff worked on a full-time, permanent basis for Defendants carrying out non-exempt painting duties and related manual labor for Defendants each work week;
    - (b) Plaintiff was wholly dependent on Defendants for earning his livelihood;
  - (c) Plaintiff had no opportunity for profit or loss dependent upon any managerial skill of Plaintiff;
  - (d) Defendants exercised direct and extensive control over the manner in which and times at which Plaintiff was required to perform work each day for Defendants:
    - (e) the duties Plaintiff carried out for Defendants on daily basis did not

require specialized skills; and

(g) the painting and related services Plaintiff carried out for Defendants' customers were an integral part of Defendants' business.

Accordingly, at all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(e)(1).

- 14. During the three (3) year statute of limitations period between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week for Defendants in numerous work weeks without compensation from Defendants at a rate of time and one-half of Plaintiff's applicable regular rates of pay for all of Plaintiff's overtime hours worked.
- 15. More specifically, during numerous work weeks between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, Plaintiff regularly worked Five (5) days per week for Defendants with start times ranging between approximately 5:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m. and with stop times ranging between approximately 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. while working on various job sites for Defendants in Boynton Beach, Florida, regularly working approximately Fifty-Five (55) hours per week and subsequently while in Nashville, Tennessee, Plaintiff regularly worked Six (6) to Seven (7) days per week for Defendants with a start time of approximately 7:00 a.m. and a stop time of approximately 8:00 p.m.
- 16. Between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, Defendants paid Plaintiff (a) on a piece rate basis of \$180.00 to \$250.00 for each apartment painted by Plaintiff; and (b) on smaller projects, on an hourly basis at the rate of \$15.00 per hour, with average weekly compensation of approximately \$1,100.00/week but without time and one-half wages for all of Plaintiff's hours worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week.
- 17. Likewise, not only did Defendants also fail to pay Plaintiff overtime wages required by law for each and every hour Plaintiff worked for Defendants during numerous work weeks

between approximately July 2014 and September 2015, but for the last two weeks of July 2015, and during the months of August 2015 and September 2015, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for any of the hours he worked for Defendants in Nashville, Tennessee, as Defendants issued checks totaling approximately \$12,000.00 which were rejected by the bank for insufficient funds and which were never replaced by Defendants despite Plaintiff's repeated requests.

- 18. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are the current and former painters and other similarly situated non-exempt employees, however variously titled, who have worked for Defendants in one or more weeks between December 2013 and the present at any locations without being paid time and one-half wages for all of their actual hours worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week during one or more work weeks within the three (3) year statute of limitations period. It is the intent of this collective action to apply to all similarly situated employees of Defendants regardless of location.
- 19. Defendants have not complied with the requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act by, *inter alia*: (a) failing to maintain accurate time records of the actual start times, actual stop times, and actual total hours worked each week by Plaintiff and other similarly situated non-exempt employees, however variously titled, between December 2013 and the present as required by 29 C.F.R. §516.2(a); and (b) failing to pay time and one-half wages for *all* of the actual hours worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week by Plaintiff and other similarly situated non-exempt employees in one or more weeks between December 2013 and the present.
- 20. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants had knowledge of the actual hours worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week by Plaintiff and other similarly situated non-exempt employees in multiple work weeks between December 2013 and the present, all of which work was for the benefit of Defendants. Nonetheless, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed

to compensate Plaintiff and the other similarly situated employees with time and one-half wages for all of their actual overtime hours worked, instead accepting the benefits of the work performed by Plaintiff and the others similarly situated to him without paying the overtime compensation required by the FLSA.

- 21. Based upon information and belief, Defendants have failed to maintain records of all of the actual start times, stop times, number of hours worked each day, and total hours actually worked each week by Plaintiff and the other similarly situated non-exempt employees, between December 2013 and the present.
- 22. The complete records concerning the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff and the other similarly situated employees between December 2013 and the present are in the possession, custody, and/or control of Defendants.

# COUNT I OVERTIME VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

Plaintiff, SALVADOR REYES, readopts and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 above.

- 23. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid time and one-half of his applicable regular rate(s) of pay for each and every hour he worked for Defendants in excess of Forty (40) hours per work week during the three (3) year statute of limitations period between approximately July 2014 and September 2015.
- 24. During the three (3) year statute of limitations period, Plaintiff regularly worked:
  (a) an average of Fifty-Five (55) hours per week between approximately July 2014 and mid-May 2015; and (b) an average of Eighty-One (81) hours per week between mid-May 2015 and September 2015.

- 25. However, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff time and one-half of his applicable regular rate(s) of pay for all of his actual hours worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week and instead paid Plaintiff average weekly compensation of: (a) \$1,100.00/week and (b) no compensation at all for the work Plaintiff performed for Defendants between approximately mid-July 2015 and September 2015.
  - 26. Subject to discovery, based upon Defendants owing Plaintiff:
  - (a) an average of Fifteen (15) overtime hours per week during Forty-Six (46) work weeks between July 2014 and mid-May 2015 at the half-time rate of \$10.00/hour [\$1,100.00/55 hours = \$20.00/2 = \$10.00/hour] Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages during this time period total \$6,900.00 [\$10.00/hour x 15 OT hours/week x 46 weeks = \$6,900.00];
  - (b) an average of Forty-One (41) overtime hours per week during Eight (8) work weeks between mid-May and mid-July 2015 at the half-time rate of 6.79/hour [\$1,100.00/81 hours = \$13.58/2 = \$6.79/hour], Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages during this time period total \$2,227.16 [\$6.79/hour x 41 OT hours/week x 8 weeks = \$2,227.16]; and
  - (c) an average of Forty-One (41) overtime hours per week during Eleven (11) weeks between mid-July 2015 and September 2015 at the time and one-half rate of \$10.88/hour [Minimum Wage \$7.25/hour x 1.5 = \$10.88/hour], Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages during this time period total \$4,904.63 [41 Unpaid OT hours/week x \$10.88/hour x 11weeks = \$4,904.63];

Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages overall total \$14,031.79 [\$6,900.00 + \$2,227.16 + \$4,904.63 = \$14,031.79].

27. All similarly situated current and former non-exempt employees who have worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week for Defendants in one or more weeks between December 2013 and the present are also entitled to be paid time and one-half of their applicable regular rates of pay for each and every overtime hour they worked for Defendants but were not properly compensated for working on Defendants' behalf during any work weeks within the three (3) year statute of limitations period between December 2013 and the present.

- 28. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have had actual notice, including Defendant ORTIZ having personal knowledge, that Defendants' compensation practices did not provide Plaintiff and the other similarly situated non-exempt employees with time and one-half wages for all of their actual overtime hours worked between December 2013 and the present based upon, *inter alia*: (a) Defendants facilitating the creation, oversight, and administration of compensation practices, timekeeping practices, and employment policies governing Plaintiff and the other employees similarly situated to him which knowingly and willfully did not provide time and one-half compensation for all hours worked in excess of Forty (40) hours per week; and (b) Defendants' failure to maintain accurate records of the start times, stop times, and all of the actual hours worked by Plaintiff and the other similarly situated employees for Defendants as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act for each work week within the three (3) year statute of limitations period between December 2013 and the present.
- 29. By reason of the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendants, all Plaintiffs (the named Plaintiff and those similarly situated to him) have suffered damages plus incurring costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 30. Defendants did not have a good faith basis for their failure to pay time and one-half wages for all of the actual overtime hours worked by Plaintiff and Defendants' other non-exempt employees, as a result of which Plaintiff and the other similarly situated employees are entitled to the recovery of liquidated damages from Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 31. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel to represent him in this action, and pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA.
  - 32. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SALVADOR REYES, and any current or former non-exempt employees similarly situated to him who join this action as Opt-In Plaintiffs, demand judgment, jointly and severally, against Defendants, A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUCTION LLC, d/b/a AA&A PAINTING SERVICES, and VIRGINIA ORTIZ, for the payment of all unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all proper relief including prejudgment interest.

## COUNT II RECOVERY OF UNPAID WAGES UNDER FLORIDA LAW

Plaintiff, SALVADOR REYES, readopts and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 above.

- 33. During the months of approximately July 2015, August 2015, and September 2015, Plaintiff performed work for Defendants in Nashville, Tennessee for which he was not compensated, despite Defendants accepting the benefits of Plaintiff's work. More specifically, Defendants agreed to pay Plaintiff: (a) on a piece rate basis of \$180.00 to \$250.00 for each apartment painted by Plaintiff; and (b) on smaller projects, on an hourly basis at the rate \$15.00 per hour.
- 34. However, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff at all for the work he performed during the last two weeks of July 2015, as well as during the months of August 2015 and September 2015 as a result of Defendants issuing checks totaling approximately \$12,000.00 which were rejected by the bank for insufficient funds and which were never replaced by Defendants despite Plaintiff's repeated requests for payment.
- 35. Pursuant to Florida law, Plaintiff is owed earned but unpaid wages and has suffered damages as a result of Defendants' refusal to pay all of Plaintiff's earned wages.

36. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and pursuant to F.S. §448.08, Plaintiff is entitled to recover all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff's earned wages.

37. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SALVADOR REYES, demand judgment, jointly and severally, against Defendants, A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUCTION LLC, d/b/a AA&A PAINTING SERVICES, and VIRGINIA ORTIZ, for the payment of all unpaid wages and related damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and for all proper relief including prejudgment interest.

### **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 16, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>s/KEITH M. STERN</u>

Keith M. Stern, Esquire Florida Bar No. 321000

E-mail: employlaw@keithstern.com

Hazel Solis Rojas, Esquire Florida Bar No. 91663

E-mail: hsolis@workingforyou.com

LAW OFFICE OF KEITH M. STERN, P.A.

One Flagler

14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 800

Miami, Florida 33132

Telephone: (305) 901-1379 Facsimile: (561) 288-9031 Attorneys for Plaintiff

#### **CONSENT TO JOIN FORM**

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s). AA & A Fast Construction LLC. and Virginia Ortiz, as well as any related entities and individuals, to seek recovery for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) et seq.
- 2. I hereby designate the Law Office of Keith M. Stern, P.A. to represent me in bringing my FLSA claims and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement of these claims. I agree to be bound by any adjudication by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.
- 3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s), or any other potentially responsible parties, to assert my FLSA claims and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.

Salvador Reyes
Printed Name Salvador Ruyes

### JS 44 (Rev. 1 @ase i 9 i 16-cv-82009-RLR Document 1-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 12/16/2016 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

of initiating the civil docket shee	et. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON	NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.	NOTICE: Attorneys MUST	Indicate All Re-filed Cases I	Below.
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SALVADOR REYES, on behalf of himself			DEFENDANTS		
and others similarly situated		A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUCTION LLC d/b/a			
	-		+ AA&A PAINTIN	NG SERVICES and VIR	GINIA ORTIZ
(b) County of Residence of			County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
$(E\lambda$	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)	NOTE	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	
			NOTE:	THE TRACT OF LAND INVOL	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF VED.
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A			Attorneys (If Known)		
LAW OFFICE OF KEI	, ,	,	ite		
800, Suite 800, Miami,	FL 33132, Telephone	No.: (305) 901-13/9			
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose:   MIAMI- DADE	☐ MONROE ☐ BROWARD ✔	PALM BEACH   MARTIN   ST. LU	JCIE INDIAN RIVER OKEECHO	OBEE  HIGHLANDS
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF PE	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff,
			(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	√☐3 Fede (U.S. Government N	eral Question Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		PTF DEF ncipal Place ☐ 4 ☐ 4
			_	of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government	_	ersity	Citizen of Another State		1
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	nother State
			Citizen or Subject of a	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Dl	I\	Foreign Country		
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881  ☐ 690 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729 (a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury		820 Copyrights	430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal		830 Patent 840 Trademark	450 Commerce 460 Deportation
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY	▼ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange  890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	Leave Act	003 KSI (403(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	Product Liability	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.		893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act  896 Arbitration
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		or Defendant)	899 Administrative Procedure
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	Sentence Sentence		USC 7609 Party 26	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Other:			Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of State
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION  ☐ 462 Naturalization Application		Statutes Statutes
250 Am Other Real Floperty	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	465 Other Immigration		
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Actions		
		560 Civil Detainee – Conditions of			
		Confinement			Appeal to
<b>-</b> 1 0 · · · 1	an "X" in One Box Only)	ed (See ☐ 4 Reinstated o	or 5 Transferred from		District Judge from 8 Remanded from
- Description - Kell	noved from		another district (specify)	Litigation 7	Magistrate Judgment  Appellate Court
VI. RELATED/	a) Re-fi	iled Case □YES 🗹 N		,	, dagment
RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions):		,		
	JUDGE Cita the U.S. Civil Ste	tuta undar urhiah uau ara fi	ling and Write a Brief Statemer	DOCKET NUMBER	4:
VII. CAUSE OF ACTIO		ction for Unpaid Over			ionai statutes uniess aiversity).
VIII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.			JURY DEMAND:	✓ Yes □ No
ABOVE INFORMATION IS	FRUE & CORRECT TO T		WLEDGE TORNEY OF RECORD		
December 16, 2016	1	s/Keith M. Stern	TOTALET OF ILLCORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

SALVADOR REYES, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated  Plaintiff(s)  V.  A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUCTION LLC d/b/a AA&A PAINTING SERVICES and VIRGINIA ORTIZ  Defendant(s)	) ) ) ) Civil Action No. ) ) )			
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUC/O Registered Agent, Virg 2880 Kirk Rd Lake Worth, FL 33461				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  Law Office of Keith M. Stern, P.A.  14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 800  Miami, Florida 33132  Telephone: (305) 901-1379  Facsimile: (561) 288-9031  E-mail: employlaw@keithstern.com				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an			
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the indi		; or	
	☐ I left the summon		on (date)  nce or usual place of abode with (name)		
	on (date) , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
		nons on (name of individual) accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)	, who is	
		nmons unexecuted because			
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penal	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.		
Date:		_	Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

SALVADOR REYES, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated  Plaintiff(s)  V.  A.A. & A FAST CONSTRUCTION LLC d/b/a AA&A PAINTING SERVICES and VIRGINIA ORTIZ	) ) ) ) Civil Action No. )			
Defendant(s)	)			
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Virginia Ortiz 2880 Kirk Rd Lake Worth, FL 33461				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  Law Office of Keith M. Stern, P.A.  14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 800  Miami, Florida 33132  Telephone: (305) 901-1379  Facsimile: (561) 288-9031  E-mail: employlaw@keithstern.com				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

		ne of individual and title, if any)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individu	al at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons		or usual place of abode with (name)			
	on (date), a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
		ons on (name of individual)	1.10.0	, who is		
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or		
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this informat:	ion is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
			Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Florida Construction Company Hit with Lawsuit from Employee