IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

AMANDA REID, individually and on behalf of similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROHOHO, INC. d/b/a PAPA JOHNS PIZZA, Case No.: 2:21-cv-3604-BHH

Collective Action Complaint

Jury Demanded

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

Plaintiff, Amanda Reid ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated delivery drivers, brings this Complaint against Defendant Rohoho, Inc. d/b/a Papa Johns Pizza and alleges as follows:

1. Defendant operates numerous Papa John's Pizza franchise stores. Defendant employs delivery drivers who use their own automobiles to deliver pizza and other food items to their customers. However, instead of reimbursing delivery drivers for the reasonably approximate costs of the business use of their vehicles, Defendants use a flawed method to determine reimbursement rates that provides such an unreasonably low rate beneath any reasonable approximation of the expenses they incur that the drivers' unreimbursed expenses cause their wages to fall below the federal minimum wage during some or all workweeks.

2. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* to recover unpaid minimum wages and overtime hours owed to Plaintiff and similarly situated delivery drivers employed by Defendant at its Papa John's Pizza stores.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. The FLSA authorizes court actions by private parties to recover damages for violation of its wage and hour provisions. Jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claim is based on 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question).

4. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant resides in this District.

Parties

5. Defendant, Rohoho, Inc. d/b/a Papa John's Pizza is a South Carolina corporation maintaining its principal place of business at 1479 Tobias Gadson Blvd, Charleston, SC 29407. Rohoho, Inc. may be served via its registered agent, Philip L Horn Jr. at 1479 Tobias Gadson Blvd, Charleston, SC 29407, or wherever he may be found.

6. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from December 2019 to April 2020 as a delivery driver at Defendant's Papa John's Pizza store located in Fort Myers, Florida. Plaintiff's consent to pursue this claim under the FLSA is attached to this Original Complaint as "Exhibit 1."

General Allegations

Defendant's Business

7. Defendant operates numerous Papa John's Pizza franchise stores including stores within this District and this Division.

8. Defendant's Papa John's Pizza stores employ delivery drivers who all have the same primary job duty: to deliver pizzas and other food items to customers' homes or workplaces.

Defendant's Flawed Automobile Reimbursement Policy

9. Defendant requires their delivery drivers to maintain and pay for safe, legallyoperable, and insured automobiles when delivering pizza and other food items.

10. Defendant's delivery drivers incur costs for gasoline, vehicle parts and fluids, repair and maintenance services, insurance, depreciation, and other expenses ("automobile expenses") while delivering pizza and other food items for the primary benefit of Defendant.

11. Defendant's delivery driver reimbursement policy reimburses drivers on a perdelivery or per-mile basis, but the reimbursement equates to below the IRS business mileage reimbursement rate or any other reasonable approximation of the cost to own and operate a motor vehicle. This policy applies to all of Defendant's delivery drivers.

12. The result of Defendant's delivery driver reimbursement policy is a reimbursement of much less than a reasonable approximation of its drivers' automobile expenses.

13. During the applicable FLSA limitations period (2018 - 2021), the IRS business mileage reimbursement rate ranged between \$.545 and \$.58 per mile. Likewise, reputable companies that study the cost of owning and operating a motor vehicle and/or reasonable reimbursement rates, including the AAA, have determined that the average cost of owning and operating a vehicle ranged between \$.589 and \$.637 per mile during the same period for drivers who drive 15,000 miles per year. These figures represent a reasonable approximation of the average cost of owning and operating a vehicle for use in delivering pizzas.

14. However, the driving conditions associated with the pizza delivery business cause even more frequent maintenance costs, higher costs due to repairs associated with driving, and more rapid depreciation from driving as much as, and in the manner of, a delivery driver.

2:21-cv-03604-BHH Date Filed 11/02/21 Entry Number 1 Page 4 of 10

Defendant's delivery drivers further experience lower gas mileage and higher repair costs than the average driver used to determine the average cost of owning and operating a vehicle described above due to the nature of the delivery business, including frequent starting and stopping of the engine, frequent braking, short routes as opposed to highway driving, and driving under time pressures.

15. Defendant's reimbursement policy does not reimburse delivery drivers for even their ongoing out-of-pocket expenses, much less other costs they incur to own and operate their vehicle, and thus Defendant uniformly failed to reimburse its delivery drivers at any reasonable approximation of the cost of owning and operating their vehicles for Defendant's benefit.

16. Defendant's systematic failure to adequately reimburse automobile expenses constitutes a "kickback" to Defendant such that the hourly wages it pays to Plaintiff and Defendant's other delivery drivers are not paid free and clear of all outstanding obligations to Defendant.

17. Defendant failed to reasonably approximate the amount of their drivers' automobile expenses to such an extent that its drivers' net wages are diminished beneath the federal minimum wage requirements.

18. In sum, Defendant's reimbursement policy and methodology fail to reflect the realities of delivery drivers' automobile expenses.

Defendant's Failure to Reasonably Reimburse Automobile Expenses Causes Minimum Wage Violations

19. Regardless of the precise amount of the per-delivery or per-mile reimbursement at any given point in time, Defendant's reimbursement formula has resulted in an unreasonable underestimation of delivery drivers' automobile expenses throughout the recovery period, causing systematic violations of the federal minimum wage.

2:21-cv-03604-BHH Date Filed 11/02/21 Entry Number 1 Page 5 of 10

20. Plaintiff was paid the applicable minimum wage while employed by Defendant, including a tip credit applicable to the time she performed deliveries.

21. During the time Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a delivery driver, she was reimbursed just \$1.50 per delivery and drove an average of 10 or more miles per delivery. This means plaintiff was getting paid approximately \$.150 per mile (\$1.50 divided by 10 miles respectively).

22. During the relevant time period, the IRS business mileage reimbursement rate ranged between \$.545 and \$.58 per mile, which reasonably approximated the automobile expenses incurred delivering pizzas. <u>http://www.irs.gov/Tax-Professionals/Standard-Mileage-Rates</u>. Using the lowest IRS rate and the highest rate per mile plaintiff was making per mile driven (\$.125 per mile) in effect during that period as a reasonable approximation of Plaintiff's automobile expenses, every mile driven on the job decreased her net wages by at least \$.385 (\$.535 - \$.150) per mile.

23. During employment by Defendant, Plaintiff regularly made 3 or more deliveries per hour. Thus using even a conservative under-estimate of Plaintiff's actual expenses and damages, every hour on the job decreased Plaintiff's net wages by at least 1.16 (3.385×3 deliveries).

24. All of Defendant's delivery drivers had similar experiences to those of Plaintiff. They were subject to the same reimbursement policy; received similar reimbursements; incurred similar automobile expenses; completed deliveries of similar distances and at similar frequencies; and were paid at or near the federal minimum wage before deducting unreimbursed business expenses.

25. Because Defendant paid their drivers a gross hourly wage below the federal minimum wage, and because the delivery drivers incurred unreimbursed automobile expenses, the delivery drivers "kicked back" to Defendant an amount sufficient to cause minimum wage violations.

26. While the amount of Defendant's actual reimbursements per delivery may vary over time, Defendant is relying on the same flawed policy and methodology with respect to all delivery drivers at all of their other Papa John's Pizza stores. Thus, although reimbursement amounts may differ somewhat by time or region, the amounts of under-reimbursements relative to automobile costs incurred are relatively consistent between time and region.

27. The net effect of Defendant's flawed reimbursement policy is that Defendant has willfully failed to pay the federal minimum wage to their delivery drivers. Defendant thereby enjoys ill-gained profits at the expense of its employees.

Collective Action Allegations

28. Plaintiff brings this FLSA claim as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of similarly situated delivery drivers pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

34. The FLSA claims may be pursued by those who opt-in to this case pursuant to 29U.S.C. § 216(b).

29. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated employees, seeks relief on a collective basis challenging Defendant's practice of failing to pay employees federal minimum wage. The number and identity of other plaintiffs yet to opt-in may be ascertained from Defendant's records, and potential class members may be notified of the pendency of this action via mail and electronic means.

30. Plaintiff and all of Defendant's delivery drivers are similarly situated in that:

a. They have worked as delivery drivers for Defendant delivering pizza and other food items to Defendant's customers;

b. They have delivered pizza and food items using automobiles not owned or maintained by Defendant;

c. Defendant required them to maintain these automobiles in a safe, legallyoperable, and insured condition;

d. They incurred costs for automobile expenses while delivering pizzas and food items for the primary benefit of Defendant;

e. They were subject to similar driving conditions, automobile expenses, delivery distances, and delivery frequencies;

f. They were subject to the same pay policies and practices of Defendant;

g. They were subject to the same delivery driver reimbursement policy that under-estimates automobile expenses per mile, and thereby systematically deprived of reasonably approximate reimbursements, resulting in wages below the federal minimum wage in some or all workweeks;

h. They were reimbursed similar set amounts of automobile expenses per delivery; and

i. They were paid at or near the federal minimum wage before deducting unreimbursed business expenses.

Count I: Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938

31. Plaintiff reasserts and re-alleges the allegations set forth above.

32. The FLSA regulates, among other things, the payment of minimum wage by employers whose employees are engaged in interstate commerce, or engaged in the production of

2:21-cv-03604-BHH Date Filed 11/02/21 Entry Number 1 Page 8 of 10

goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. 29 U.S.C. §206(a).

33. Defendant is subject to the FLSA's minimum wage requirements because it is an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce, and its employees are engaged in commerce.

34. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff and all other similarly situated delivery drivers have been entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*

35. Section 13 of the FLSA, codified at 29 U.S.C. § 213, exempts certain categories of employees from federal minimum wage obligations. None of the FLSA exemptions apply to Plaintiff or other similarly situated delivery drivers.

36. Under Section 6 of the FLSA, codified at 29 U.S.C. § 206, employees have been entitled to be compensated at a rate of at least \$7.25 per hour since July 24, 2009.

37. As alleged herein, Defendant has reimbursed delivery drivers less than the reasonably approximate amount of their automobile expenses to such an extent that it diminishes these employees' wages beneath the federal minimum wage.

38. Defendant knew or should have known that their pay and reimbursement policies, practices and methodology result in failure to compensate delivery drivers at the federal minimum wage.

39. Defendant, pursuant to their policy and practice, violated the FLSA by refusing and failing to pay federal minimum wage to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.

40. Plaintiff and all similarly situated delivery drivers are victims of a uniform and employer-based compensation and reimbursement policy. This uniform policy, in violation of

2:21-cv-03604-BHH Date Filed 11/02/21 Entry Number 1 Page 9 of 10

the FLSA, has been applied, and continues to be applied, to all delivery driver employees in Defendant's stores.

41. Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are entitled to damages equal to the minimum wage minus actual wages received after deducting reasonably approximated automobile expenses within three years from the date each Plaintiff joins this case, plus periods of equitable tolling, because Defendant acted willfully and knew, or showed reckless disregard for, whether its conduct was unlawful.

42. Defendant has acted neither in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result, Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid minimum wages under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Alternatively, should the Court find Defendant is not liable for liquidated damages, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

43. As a result of the aforesaid willful violations of the FLSA's minimum wage provisions, minimum wage compensation has been unlawfully withheld by Defendant from Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees. Accordingly, Defendant is liable under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), together with an additional amount as liquidated damages, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class demand judgment against Defendant and pray for: (1) compensatory damages; (2) liquidated damages, (3) costs of litigation and attorney's fees as provided by law; (4) pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law; and (5) such other relief as the Court deems fair and equitable.

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury of all issues triable by jury.

Dated this 1st day of November, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

LE CLERCQ LAW FIRM, P.C.

By: /s/ Ben Whaley Le Clercq Ben Whaley Le Clercq, Esq. Fed. Bar # 7453 708 S. Shelmore Suite 202 Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464 (843) 722-3523 Ben@leclercqlaw.com

And

<u>/s/ Jolie Pavlos</u> Jolie N. Pavlos, Esq. (*Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming*) FBN 0125571 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 20 N. Orange Ave., 14th Floor P.O. Box 4979 Orlando, FL 32802-4979 Telephone: (407) 245-3517 Facsimile: (407) 204-2206 Email: JPavlos@forthepeople.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

AMANDA REID, individually and on behalf of similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROHOHO, INC. d/b/a PAPA JOHNS PIZZA, Case No. _____

Collective Action Complaint

Defendant.

Jury Demanded

CONSENT TO BECOME A PARTY PLAINTIFF

Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff seeking unpaid wages and compensation in this case.

By joining this lawsuit, I designate the Named Plaintiff to make all decisions on my behalf concerning the method and manner of conducting the case including settlement, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiff's counsel regarding payment of attorneys' fees and court costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.

For the purposes of this lawsuit, I choose to be represented by Morgan & Morgan, P.A.

9/28/2021 | 12:40 PM EDT Date:_____

Sign:

Amanda Reid

Print Name:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Florida Papa John's Delivery Drivers</u> <u>Owed Unreimbursed Vehicle Expenses, Lawsuit Alleges</u>