

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT MYERS DIVISION

2017 APR 20 PM 12:45

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT MYERS FLORIDA

JULIE REICHENBACH, on behalf of herself
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.:

vs.

2:17-cv-212-FM-JRM

HEALTHCARE CONSULTANTS
ALLIANCE LLC, a Florida Limited
Liability Company, and LARRY BEUER.
Individually,

Defendants.

_____ /

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, JULIE REICHENBACH, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") on behalf of herself, other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this Complaint against Defendants.

HEALTHCARE CONSULTANTS ALLIANCE LLC (hereinafter "HCA") and LARRY BEUER (hereinafter collectively "Defendants") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., hereinafter called the "FLSA") to recover unpaid back wages, minimum wages, overtime wages, an additional equal amount of liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

3. This Court has the authority to grant declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act (“DJA”), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02.

PARTIES

4. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, JULIE REICHENBACH, is and was a resident of Lee County, Florida.

5. At all times material hereto, HEALTHCARE CONSULTANTS ALLIANCE LLC was and continues to be a Florida Limited Liability Company. Further, at all times material hereto, HCA was, and continues to be, engaged in business in Florida, with a principle place of business in Lee County, Florida.

6. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant LARRY BEUER is believed to be a resident of Lee County Florida.

7. At times relevant hereto, Defendant LARRY BEUER owned and operated HCA.

8. At times relevant hereto, Defendant LARRY BEUER regularly held and/or exercised the authority to hire and fire employees of HCA.

9. At times relevant hereto, Defendant LARRY BEUER regularly held and/or exercised the authority to determine the work schedules for the employees of HCA.

10. At times relevant hereto, Defendant LARRY BEUER regularly held and/or exercised the authority to control the finances and operations of HCA.

11. By virtue of having regularly exercised that authority to: (a) hire and fire employees of HCA; (b) determine the work schedules for the employees HCA; and/or (c)

control the finances and operations of HCA, LARRY BEUER is an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. 201, *et seq.*

12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was “engaged in commerce” within the meaning of §7 of the FLSA.

13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an “employee” of the Defendants within the meaning of FLSA.

14. At all times material hereto, Defendants were the “employers” within the meaning of FLSA.

15. Defendants were and continue to be “employers” within the meaning of FLSA.

16. At all times material hereto, Defendants were and continue to be an “enterprise engaged in commerce” within the meaning of FLSA.

17. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant is in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.

18. At all times material hereto, Defendants have two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce.

19. At all times material hereto, the Plaintiff was “engaged in commerce” and subject to individual coverage of the FLSA.

20. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are/were “non-exempt” employees of Defendants, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who (a) worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more weeks during the relevant

time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

21. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

22. On or about December 26, 2013 until on or about December 20, 2016, Plaintiff worked for Defendants. At the time of her termination she was performing non-exempt work as an accountant manager was paid between \$11.50 and \$14.00 per hour.

23. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.

24. At various material times hereto, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to her, for all hours worked.

25. Specifically, Plaintiff was paid a flat fee for on call work, \$25.00 for on call shifts during the week and \$100.00 for on call for performed over a weekend.

26. Plaintiff alleges during the week she performed between one to three hours of work and on the weekend performed between six to twelve hours of work answering calls, finding replacement caregivers and setting up new clients.

27. As a result of performing on call work she has not been properly compensated for overtime wages.

28. Plaintiff also alleges she performed overtime work during her regular forty hour workweek and was only paid for forty hours regardless of the hours she actually worked.

29. From at least April 2014 and continuing through December 20, 2016, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week.

30. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.

31. Defendants have violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 and §207 from at least April 2014 and continuing through December 20, 2016, in that:

- a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendants;
- b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendants to properly compensate Plaintiff for overtime wages, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as provided by the FLSA;
- c. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendants to properly compensate Plaintiff for minimum wages for every hour worked; and
- d. Defendants have failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

32. Plaintiff has retained the BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent her in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

COUNT I
VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. §207 OVERTIME COMPENSATION

33. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to her, realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 32 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

34. From at least April 2014 and continuing through December 20, 2016, Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

35. Plaintiff was and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

36. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed and continue to fail to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

37. Defendants' actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.

38. Defendants have failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of her rights under the FLSA.

39. Due to intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, suffered and continue to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.

40. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

41. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for the compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime.

42. Based upon information and belief, the employees and former employees of Defendants similarly situated to Plaintiff were not paid proper overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in one or more workweeks because Defendants failed to properly pay Plaintiff proper overtime wages at time and a half the regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in her favor against Defendants:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to her for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Issue an Order, as soon as is practicable, authorizing Plaintiff to send notice of the instant lawsuit to all similarly situated non-exempt employees employed by Defendants within the past three years.

Respectfully submitted on this 17th day of April 2017.

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.



By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 0558011
berkelaw@yahoo.com
4423 Del Prado Blvd. S.
Cape Coral, FL 33904
Telephone: (239) 549-6689
Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
JULIE REICHENBACH, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee
 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A., 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S., Cape Coral, Florida 33904 (239) 549-6689

DEFENDANTS
HEALTHCARE CONSULTANTS ALLIANCE LLC,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
 (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 U.S. Government Plaintiff

3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)

2 U.S. Government Defendant

4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	GILV RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark
			SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Constitutionalality of State Statutes
			FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party	<input type="checkbox"/> 26 USC 7609
		IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions		

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) _____ 6 Middle District Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq.

Brief description of cause:
Unpaid overtime wages

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **DEMAND \$** _____ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE: 04/17/2017 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: /s/ Bill B. Berke

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # FHM01 AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

2:17-cv-212-FHM-29MRM

RECEIVED
 2017 APR 20 PM 12:44
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
 FORT MYERS, FLORIDA

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Employee Files Unpaid OT Suit Against Healthcare Consultants Alliance](#)
