

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

IN RE: REALPAGE, INC.,	)	Case No. 3:23-md-3071
RENTAL SOFTWARE ANTITRUST	)	MDL No. 3071
LITIGATION (NO. II)	)	
	)	This Document Relates to:
	)	ALL CASES
	)	

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING THE “SET 2” SETTLEMENTS

Plaintiffs Jason Goldman, Jeffrey Weaver, Billie Jo White, Brandon Watters, Priscilla Parker, Patrick Parker, Barry Amar-Hoover, Joshua Kabisch, Meghan Cherry, and Maya Haynes (“Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class (as defined below), have entered into 11 Settlement Agreements¹ (“Set 2 Settlements”) with the 14 Defendants listed in Exhibit A to the Counsel Declaration (“Set 2 Settling Defendants,” and together with Plaintiffs, the “Set 2 Settling Parties”).

The Set 2 Settlements, which are subject to review under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, set forth the terms for resolving all claims against the Set 2 Settling Defendants through dismissal of the Action as to such Defendants and the release and discharge of all related claims, all subject to the conditions set forth in the Set 2 Settlements.

The Court has considered the Set 2 Settlements and the related submissions and finding that substantial and sufficient grounds exist for entering this Order, and the Set 2 Settling Parties having consented to the entry of this Order as it relates to the Set 2 Settlements.

¹ The Set 2 Settlements are attached as Exhibits A-27 through A-37 to the Joint Declaration of Interim Co-Lead Counsel in Support of Second Set of Class Settlements (“Counsel Decl.”) and are incorporated herein by reference. Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms contained in this Order shall have the same meanings as they have in the Set 2 Settlements.

The Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

1. Pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and for the purposes of settlement only, the Set 2 Settlements are hereby preliminarily certified as a class action on behalf of a Class (the “Settlement Class”) consisting of:

All persons and entities in the United States and its territories who paid rent on at least one multifamily residential real estate lease directly to any owner, manager and/or owner-operator (including to any division, subsidiary, predecessor, agent or affiliate of any owner, manager and/or owner-operator) on a property subject to a license for RealPage’s Revenue Management Solutions, Lease Rent Options (“LRO”), YieldStar (“YS”), and/or AI Revenue Management (“AIRM”) (“RealPage’s RMS”) at any time during the period of October 18, 2018 through November 21, 2025 (the “Settlement Class Period”). Specifically excluded from this Class are Opt-Outs; Defendants; any entity licensing RealPage’s RMS, the officers or directors of any entity licensing RealPage’s RMS and any entity in which any entity licensing RealPage’s RMS has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of any entity licensing RealPage’s RMS; federal, state, or local governments; states and their subdivisions, agencies, and instrumentalities; any judicial officer presiding over this Action and the members of his/her immediate family and judicial staff; and any juror assigned to this Action.

2. This Court finds, preliminarily and for purposes of settlement only, that the prerequisites for class certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23(a) have been satisfied in that:

(a) the number of Settlement Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all members of the Settlement Class is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) Plaintiffs’ claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class they seeks to represent; and (d) Plaintiffs and Interim Co-Lead Counsel have, and will continue to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class.

3. In addition, the Court finds, preliminarily and for purposes of settlement only, that this Action satisfies the requirements for class certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23(b)(3) in that common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and

efficiently adjudicating the controversy among the Settling Parties.

4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rules 23(c)(1) and 23(g), preliminarily and for purposes of settlement only, Plaintiffs are recertified as class representatives (“Class Representatives”) of the Settlement Class and Patrick Coughlin of Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law, LLP; Stacey Slaughter of Robins Kaplan LLP; and Swathi Bojedla of Hausfeld, LLP are reappointed as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

5. The Court preliminarily finds that: (a) the Set 2 Settlements resulted from good faith, arm’s length negotiations during which the Settling Parties were represented by experienced counsel; and (b) the terms of the Set 2 Settlements are fair, reasonable, and adequate, falling within the range of possible approval and warranting preliminary approval pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(2).

6. The Court finds that Class Counsel have the authority to act on behalf of the Settlement Class as to all acts or consents that are required by or may be given pursuant to the Set 2 Settlements, or that are reasonably necessary to consummate the settlements.

7. The contents of the Settlement Funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be *in custodia legis* of the Court and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as they shall be distributed pursuant to the Set 2 Settlements and/or further order(s) of the Court.

8. Angeion Group, LLC is reappointed as the Settlement Administrator to carry out the duties and responsibilities set forth in the Set 2 Settlements.

9. The Settlement Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to establish and maintain a dedicated website for these settlements that shall: (a) provide information about the settlements, including but not limited to case background, settlement terms, and important dates

and deadlines; (b) make available for download copies of this Order, the Set 2 Settlement Agreements, and other relevant court documents; (c) include a portal allowing Class Members to preregister to receive future updates and communications regarding the settlements; (d) include contact information for the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel; and (e) remain accessible and regularly updated throughout the notice period and claims administration process, and for such additional time as the Court may direct.

10. In connection with the settlements preliminarily approved on November 21, 2025 (Doc. No. 1313), the Court authorized Notice and Administration Expenses up to \$100,000.00 to be paid from the Settlement Funds; such authorization is extended to the Notice and Administration Expenses arising from Set 2 Settlements. Any such expenses exceeding \$100,000.00 require prior Court approval before payment from the Settlement Funds. If the settlements are not finally approved or otherwise fail to become effective, neither Plaintiffs nor Class Counsel shall be obligated to repay any Notice and Administration Expenses to the Set 2 Settling Defendants, and such Notice and Administration Expenses shall be allocated on a *pro rata* basis against each Set 2 Settling Defendant's respective contribution to the Settlement Fund.

11. Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator are authorized and directed to prepare any tax returns and any other tax reporting form for or in respect to the Set 2 Settlement Funds, to pay from the Set 2 Settlement Funds any taxes owed with respect to the Settlement Funds, and to otherwise perform all obligations with respect to taxes and any reporting or filings in respect thereof without further order of the Court in a manner consistent with the Settlement Agreements.

12. Neither this Order, the Set 2 Settlements, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the settlements:

(a) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission, concession, or evidence of, the validity or invalidity of any Released Claims, the truth or falsity of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs, the sufficiency or deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action, or of any deception, wrongdoing, liability, negligence, or fault of Set 2 Settling Defendants, or that any Plaintiff or Settlement Class Member was harmed or damaged by any conduct by any Set 2 Settling Defendant;

(b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or misrepresentation or omission with respect to any statement or written document attributed to, approved or made by any Set 2 Settling Defendant in any arbitration proceeding or any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal;

(c) is or may be deemed to be or shall be used, offered or received against the Plaintiffs, Set 2 Settling Defendants, or Settlement Class Members as an admission, concession, or evidence of the validity or invalidity of the Released Claims, the infirmity or strength of any claim raised in the Action, the truth or falsity of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or Settlement Class Members, or the availability or lack of availability of meritorious defenses to the claims raised in the Action; and

(d) is or may be deemed to be or shall be construed as or received in evidence as an admission or concession against Plaintiffs, Set 2 Settling Defendants, or Settlement Class Members that any of Plaintiffs' or Settlement Class Members' claims are with or without merit, that a litigation class should or should not be certified, or that damages recoverable in the Action would have been greater or less than

the Settlement Amounts agreed to in the Set 2 Settlements.

13. In the event a settlement is terminated in accordance with its terms, then the applicable Set 2 Settlement Agreement and this Order, solely to the extent applicable to that Settlement Agreement, shall be null and void, of no further force or effect, and without prejudice to any Party, and may not be introduced as evidence or used in any action or proceeding by any person against Set 2 Settling Defendants, and each Plaintiff and each Set 2 Settling Defendant shall be restored to its respective litigation positions as of the date specified in the applicable Settlement Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any provisions of any Set 2 Settlement Agreement that expressly or necessarily must survive termination of the Settlement Agreement shall remain in effect as to the parties to such Settlement Agreement and their counsel only, including any provisions concerning the return of the applicable Settlement Fund.

14. No later than fourteen (14) days before the Fairness Hearing (to be set by the Court by separate order at a later date), Set 2 Settling Defendants shall file with the Court a notice of their compliance with the notice requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1715, et seq. (“CAFA”).


15. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over the Action to consider all further matters arising out of, or relating to, the Set 2 Settlements including, by way of illustration and not limitation, any disputes arising under the Set 2 Settlement Agreements and the enforcement of any Set 2 Settlement Agreement.

16. Pending the Court’s final determination of whether to approve the Set 2 Settlements, Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members (and any person or entity purporting to act or bring claims on behalf of Settlement Class Members) are enjoined from prosecuting,

pursuing, maintaining, or enforcing any Released Claims against Set 2 Defendants in any forum. This injunction will remain in force until the Court's final approval Settling of the Settlements, or until such time as the Parties notify the Court that the Settlements have been terminated. This injunction is necessary to protect, effectuate, and aid the Settlements, this Order, and the Court's jurisdiction and judgments.

17. By May 15, 2026, Plaintiffs shall submit a motion for notice, including proposed forms of notice and plan of allocation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [\\$359.9M+ RealPage Settlements Partially Resolve Litigation Over Alleged Price Fixing](#)
