UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

MARYBETH RAYMONDO, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,		
v.	Case No.:	
BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC.,		
Defendant.	,	

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, MARYBETH RAYMONDO ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. ("Defendant"), and in support of her claims states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., for failure to pay overtime wages under 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3). This Complaint is filed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- 3. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, because all of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Polk County, Florida.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of Polk County, Florida.
- 5. Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. operates a retail store in Winter Haven, in Polk County, Florida.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent, or they have been waived.
- 7. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned attorneys and agreed to pay them a fee.
- 8. Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Named Plaintiff MARYBETH RAYMONDO was employed by Defendant as a Store Manager.
- 10. The similarly situated employees consists of all other Managers employed by Defendant within the last three years.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were "engaged in the production of goods" for commerce within the meaning of Sections 6 and 7 of the FLSA, and as such were subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were "employees" of Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 14. Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

- 15. At all times material hereto, Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. was and continues to be an enterprise covered by the FLSA, as defined under 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).
- 16. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).
- 17. At all times relevant to this action, the annual gross sales volume of Defendant BEALL'S OUTLET STORES, INC. exceeded \$500,000 per year.
- 18. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

FACTS

- 19. Named Plaintiff MARYBETH RAYMONDO began working for Defendant as a Store Manager in October 2014, and she worked in this capacity until March 29, 2017.
 - 20. For the relevant time period, Plaintiff was paid on an hourly basis.
- 21. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week for Defendant, and they were entitled to be compensated for these overtime hours at a rate equal to one and one-half times their individual regular hourly rates.

3

- 22. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees an overtime premium for all of the overtime hours that they worked, in violation of the FLSA.
- 23. By failing to accurately record, report, and/or preserve records of hours worked by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees, Defendant has failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to each of its employees in a manner sufficient to determine their wages, hours, and other conditions of employment, including Defendant's employment practices, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- 24. Defendant's actions were willful, and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 25. Plaintiff brings this case as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of similarly situated employees of Defendant pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The collective action is composed of Managers whom Defendant failed to compensate for all overtime hours worked in accordance with the FLSA.
- 26. Therefore, Notice is properly sent to: "All Managers whom Defendant failed to compensate for all of the overtime hours that they worked from May 2014 to the present."
- 27. The total number and identities of the similarly situated employees may be determined from the records of Defendant, and the similarly situated employees may easily and quickly be notified of the pendency of this action.

- 28. Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees have been unlawfully denied full payment of their overtime wages as mandated by the FLSA.
- 29. Plaintiff's experience with Defendant's payroll practices is typical of the experiences of the similarly situated employees.
- 30. Defendant's failure to pay all overtime wages due at the rates required by the personal circumstances each employee is common to Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees.
- 31. Overall, Plaintiff's experience as a Store Manager who worked for Defendant is typical of that of the similarly situated employees.
- 32. Specific job titles or job duties of the similarly situated employees do not prevent collective treatment.
- 33. Although the issues of damages can be individual in character, there remains a common nucleus of operative facts concerning Defendant's liability under the FLSA in this case.

COUNT I – FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATIONS

34. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.

- 35. During the statutory period, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were paid on an hourly basis and worked overtime hours while employed by Defendant, and they were not properly compensated for all of these hours under the FLSA.
- 36. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees for all of the overtime hours that they worked.
- 37. The similarly situated employees are similarly situated because they were all employed as Managers by Defendant, were compensated in the same manner, and were all subject to Defendant's common policy and practice of failing to pay its Managers for all of the overtime hours that they worked in accordance with the FLSA.
- 38. This reckless practice violates the provisions of the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1). As a result, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees who have opted into this action are each entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 39. All of the foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 40. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees have suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action demand:

(a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees that she seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;

- (b) Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA collective action, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (c) Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (d) Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by this Court;
- (e) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages of Plaintiff and of opt-in similarly situated employees at the applicable overtime rate;
- (f) A declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;
- (g) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid back wages of Plaintiff and of opt-in similarly situated employees at the applicable overtime rate as liquidated damages;
- (h) Judgment against Defendant, stating that its violations of the FLSA were willful;
- (i) To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;

- (j) All costs and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims; and
- (k) For such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated this /2 day of June, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

DONNA V. SMITH

Florida Bar Number: 661201

WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A.

1110 North Florida Avenue, Suite 300

Tampa, Florida 33602

Main Number: 813-224-0431 Direct Dial: 813-386-0995 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: dsmith@wfclaw.com Email: rcooke@wfclaw.com SJ\$ 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			D	EFENDANT	TS					
MARYBETH RAYMONI others similarly situated		self and on behalf	fofall E	BEALL'S OU	JTLE	r stof	RES, INC.			
(E	e of First Listed Plaintiff FXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)	c		LAND C	(IN U.S. P	d Defendant LAINTIFF CASES ATION CASES, U		ON OF TH	<u>—</u>
Donna V. Smith, Wenze	el Fenton Cabassa, P	P.A. 1110 N. Florid	da							
A <u>venue, #300, Tampa,</u>			, +							
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		ZENSHIP O		INCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in 0 and One Box f		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of	-	PTF 25 1	DEF.	Incorporated or Prof Business In Th	rincipal Place	PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of	Another State	D 2	O 2	Incorporated and		O 5	5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)					of Business In	Another State		
				Subject of a Country	3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)	ruiviga	country				-		
	THE COURT OF STREET			warthyddyf.					SIRVINI	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease Æ Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		ner Food & Drug Ig Related Seizure Property 21 USC 8: Iguor Laws L. & Truck Jine Regs. Eupational fetry/Health Her Labor Standards Foor/Mgmt. Relation Foor/Mgmt. Reportin Foor/Mgmt. Repo		423 With 28 US 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Trade 861 HIA 4 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 870 Taxes or Do 871 IRS—	rights t mark [1395ff] Lung (923) C/D/IWW (405(g)) Title XVI	470 Racketer Corrupt 480 Consurt 490 Cable/S 810 Selectrit Exchan 875 Custorr 12 USC 891 Agricul 892 Econorr 893 Enviro 894 Energy 895 Freedot Act 900Appeal	st and Banking erce ation eer Influence t Organization to Credit Sat TV ve Service ies/Commod ge ter Challeng C 3410 statutory Ac- liural Acts nic Stabiliza nic Stabiliza m of Inform of Fee Deter Equal Acces ce utionality of	ed and ons diffies/ se stions attion Act atters Act attion
■ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court	Appellate Court	J 4 Reinstate Reopened	j an	nother d pecify)		6 Multidistr Litigation	rict 🗇 7	Appeal to I Judge from Magistrate Judgment	1
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		itute under which you ar	re filing (Do n	ot cite jurisdict	tional s	tatutes u	nless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION) DEMA	IND S			HECK YES only		complaint	t:
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE	,		-		T NUMBER			
DATE 7 06/06/2017	V	SIGNATURE	TO NEY OF R	ECORD		_				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		-								
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	iΕ		MAG. JU	DGE		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Beall's Outlet Stores Named in Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit</u>