UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA OCALA DIVISION

CHARLEY RADER, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant.

- /

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, CHARLEY RADER ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION ("Defendant"), and in support of his claims states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This is an action for damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29
 U.S.C. § 201 <u>et seq.</u> for failure to pay overtime wages under 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3). This
 Complaint is filed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. §
 201 et seq.

3. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, because all of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Citrus County, Florida, which lies within the Middle District of Florida.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a resident of Citrus County, Florida.

5. Defendant is authorized to do business, and doing business in Citrus County, Florida.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

6. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent, or they have been waived.

7. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned attorneys and agreed to pay them a fee.

8. Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.

9. At all times material hereto, Named Plaintiff CHARLEY RADER was employed by Defendant as sales transaction support.

10. The similarly situated employees consist of all other sales transaction support personnel employed by Defendants within the last three years.

11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were "engaged in the production of goods" for commerce within the meaning of Sections 6 and 7 of the FLSA, and as such were subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were "employees" of Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION within the meaning of the FLSA.

13. At all times material hereto, Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

14. Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

15. At all times material hereto, Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION was and continues to be an enterprise covered by the FLSA. See 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).

16. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA. See 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).

17. At all times relevant to this action, the annual gross sales volume of Defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION exceeded \$500,000 per year.

18. Specifically. Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees were not employed by Defendant in a bona fide administrative, executive, or professional capacity. In particular, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees had no authority to hire or terminate any other employee of Defendant; they had no special or professional qualifications and skills for the explicit use of which they were employed by Defendant; and they had no control whatsoever over Defendant's business operations, even from an administrative standpoint.

19. Thus, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees are "non-exempt employees" who are covered by the FLSA.

20. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

FACTS

21. Named Plaintiff CHARLEY RADER began working for Defendant as sales transaction support in June 2001.

22. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week for Defendant, and they were entitled to be compensated for these overtime hours at a rate equal to one and one-half times their individual regular hourly rate.

23. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees an overtime premium for all of their overtime hours, in violation of the FLSA.

24. By failing to accurately record all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees, Defendant has failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to each of its employees in a manner sufficient to determine their wages, hours, and other conditions of employment, in violation of the FLSA. See 29 C.F.R. § 516.2.

25. Defendant's actions were willful, and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff brings this case as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated employees of Defendant pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join as plaintiffs.

27. Therefore, notice is properly sent to: "All sales transaction support personnel whom Defendant failed to compensate for all of the overtime hours that they worked from March 2014 to the present."

28. Specific job titles or job duties of the similarly situated employees do not prevent collective treatment.

29. Although the issue of damages can be individual in character, there remains a common nucleus of operative facts concerning Defendant's liability under the FLSA in this case.

COUNT I – FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATIONS

30. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.

31. During the statutory period, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees worked overtime hours while employed by Defendant, and they were not properly compensated for all of these hours under the FLSA.

32. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees for all of the overtime hours that Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees worked.

33. The similarly situated employees are similarly situated because they were all employed by Defendant as sales transaction support personnel, were compensated in the same manner, and were all subject to Defendant's common policy and practice of failing to pay its sales transaction support personnel for all of the overtime hours that they worked.

34. This reckless practice violates the provisions of the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. \$ 207(a)(1). As a result, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees who have opted into this action are each entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.

35. All of Defendant's conduct, as alleged and described above, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

36. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees have suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action demand:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees that he seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;
- (b) Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (c) Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (d) Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by this Court;
- Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages of Plaintiff and all opt-in similarly situated employees at the applicable overtime rate;
- (f) A declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;

- (g) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid back wages of Plaintiff and all opt-in similarly situated employees at the applicable overtime rate, as liquidated damages;
- Judgment against Defendant stating that its violations of the FLSA were willful;
- (i) To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;
- (j) All costs and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims; and
- (k) For such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated this Literation day of May, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER J. SABA Florida Bar Number: 0092016 WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A. 1110 North Florida Avenue, Suite 300 Tampa, Florida 33602 Main Number: 813-224-0431 Direct Dial: 813-321-4086 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: csaba@wfclaw.com Email: tsoriano@wfclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12(12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *INSECTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM*,

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
CHARLEY RADER, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other similarly situated,				INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	CITRUS
IENCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIEF CASES			-		AN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE I OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Numbe	7)		Attorneys (If Known)		
CHRISTOPHER J. SABA North Florida Avenue, St. 813-321-4086, Main No.:	iite 300, Tampa, Florid					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION iPlace an "X" in C	Ine Box Only		TIZENSHIP OF P For Diversity Cases Only	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintif,
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			ר י י אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אי	TF DEF DEF of Business In 3	
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citize	Citizen of Another State 🛛 2 🗇 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 🗍 5 🗔 5 of Business In Another State		
				n or Subject of a 👘 🗇	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					-	
CONTRACT		NRTS		DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR □ 365 Personal Injury -	1 19.62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment
130 Miller Act 140 Negetiable Leatures	C 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	T 69	0 Other	28 USC 157	🗇 410 Antitrast
 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability 🗇 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			820 Copyrights	1 460 Deportation
 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			 830 Patent 840 Trademark 	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine	Injury Product				□ 480 Consumer Credit
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	🗍 490 Cable/Sat TV
I 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability I 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	UTY 8 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 	 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
[7] 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	ר <u>ר</u>	0 Labor Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal		Relations	1 864 SSID Title XVI	391 Agricultural Acts
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	□ 865 R\$I (405(g))	 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
	D 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Aci		Act
DEAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	Dationer Pratrice		0 Other Labor Litigation		□ 896 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	<u> 19</u> 19 19	Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 7 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
I 220 Foreclosure	D 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		mediae accurry set	or Defendant)	Agency Decision
□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	T 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	:		7 871 IRS—Third Party	950 Constitutionality of
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	3 443 Housing Accommodations	Sentence			26 USC 7609	State Statutes
1 290 All Other Real Property	I 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -			IMMIGRATION	•	
	Employment	Other:	—	2 Naturalization Application		
	D 446 Amer, w Disabilities - Other	□ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights	er D 40	5 Other Immigration Actions	1	
	☐ 448 Education	I 555 Prison Condition				
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "N" n	i One Box Oulyj					
	moved from D 3 te Court	Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop		r District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	ttute under which you ar	e filing <i>(</i> 1	Do not cite jurisdictional stat		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		et seq. under 29 U.S	S.C. § 2	15(a)(3)		
	Brief description of ca FLSA OVERTIME					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.CV.P.			; D	DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in comptaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes I No		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY C	RECORD		
$m_{\rm i}$	1 Art	(1	Λ^{-}	1		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			r []	<i></i>		
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPI VING IFP	-	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGF

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Sales Worker Hits IBM with Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit</u>