- 1									
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514) shaun@setarehlaw.com Thomas Segal (SBN 222791) thomas@setarehlaw.com SETAREH LAW GROUP 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907 Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone (310) 888-7771 Facsimile (310) 888-0109 Attorneys for Plaintiff JULIAN QUINONES								
8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT							
9	NORTHERN DISTR	LICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10									
11									
12	JULIAN QUINONES, on behalf of himself,	Case No.							
13	all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION							
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT							
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	STUART WEITZMAN, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; STUART WEITZMAN RETAIL STORES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; STUART WEITZMAN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, **Defendants.**	 Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A) (Fair Credit Reporting Act); Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)(1) and 1681g(c) (Fair Credit Reporting Act); Violation of California Civil Code § 1786 et seq. (Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act); Violation of California Civil Code § 1785 et seq. (Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act); Unfair Competition (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 							
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT								

3

4

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

COMES NOW, Plaintiff JULIAN QUINONES ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, complains and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this class action against defendants STUART WEITZMAN, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; STUART WEITZMAN RETAIL STORES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; STUART WEITZMAN HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusively (collectively referred to as "Defendants") for alleged violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") and similar California laws.
- 2. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants routinely acquire consumer, investigative consumer and/or consumer credit reports (referred to collectively as "credit and background reports") to conduct background checks on Plaintiff and other prospective, current and former employees and use information from credit and background reports in connection with their hiring process without providing proper disclosures and obtaining proper authorization in compliance with the law.
- 3. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated current, former and prospective employees, seeks compensatory and punitive damages due to Defendants' systematic and willful violations of the FCRA (15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seg.), the California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act ("ICRAA") (Cal. Civ. Code § 1786 et seq.); and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA") (Cal. Civ. Code § 1785, et seq.).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 4. 28 U.S.C. section 1331 because these claims seek redress for violation of Plaintiff's and the putative class' federal statutory rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq.).
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's and the putative class' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1367(a) because these claims are so closely related to Plaintiff's and the putative class' FCRA claims that they form parts of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
 - 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. section 1391(b)(2) and (c) because a

11

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28 th

substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims alleged in this complaint occurred in this District.

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over this matter because Defendants conduct substantial business activity in this District, and because many of the unlawful acts described in this complaint occurred in this District and gave rise to the claims alleged.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the State of California.
- Defendant STUART WEITZMAN, LLC is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and doing business in the State of California.
- 10. Defendant STUART WEITZMAN RETAIL STORES, LLC is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and doing business in the State of California.
- 11. Defendant STUART WEITZMAN HOLDINGS, LLC is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and doing business in the State of California.
- 12. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names, capacities, relationships, and extent of participation in the conduct alleged herein, of the defendants sued as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, but is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the defendants are legally responsible for the wrongful conduct alleged herein and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that, at all relevant times mentioned herein, all defendants were the agents, employees and/or servants, masters or employers of the remaining defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such agency or employment, and with the approval and ratification of each of the other defendants.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

14. Plaintiff alleges that each and every one of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by and/or attributable to all defendants, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control of each of the other defendants, and that the alleged acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of the agency, employment and/or direction and control.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 15. This action is brought and may be maintained as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23 because there is a well-defined community of interest among the persons who comprise the readily ascertainable classes defined below and because Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties likely to be encountered in managing this case as a class action.
 - 16. **Class Definitions:** The classes are defined as follows:

FCRA Class: All of Defendants' current, former and prospective applicants for employment in the United States who applied for a job with Defendants at any time during the period for which a background check was performed beginning five years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered in this action.

ICRAA Class: All of Defendants' current, former and prospective applicants for employment in California, at any time during the period beginning five years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered into this action.

CCRAA Class: All of Defendants' current, former and prospective applicants for employment in California, at any time during the period beginning seven years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered in this action.

- 17. **Reservation of Rights:** Pursuant to Rule of Court 3.765(b), Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or modify the class definitions with greater specificity, by further division into subclasses and/or by limitation to particular issues.
- 18. **Numerosity:** The class members are so numerous that the individual joinder of each individual class member is impractical. While Plaintiff does not currently know the exact number of class members, Plaintiff is informed and believes that the actual number exceeds the minimum required for numerosity under California law.
- Commonality and Predominance: Common questions of law and fact exist as to 19. all class members and predominate over any questions which affect only individual class members. These questions include, but are not limited to:

- A. Whether Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. §
 7001 section 101(c)(1);
- B. Whether Defendants willfully failed to provide the class with stand-alone written disclosures before obtaining a credit or background report in compliance with the statutory mandates;
- C. Whether Defendants willfully failed to identify the name, address, telephone number, and/or website of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation;
- Whether Defendants willfully failed to identify the source of the credit report to be performed;
- E. Whether Defendants willfully failed to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA and/or the CCRAA.
- 20. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other class members' claims.

 Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants have a policy, practice or lack of a policy or practice which resulted in Defendants failing to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA and CCRAA as alleged herein.
- 21. Adequacy of Class Representative: Plaintiff is an adequate class representative in that she has no interests that are adverse to, or otherwise in conflict with, the interests of the absent class members. Plaintiff is dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of class members. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of class members.
- 22. Adequacy of Class Counsel: Plaintiff's counsel are adequate class counsel in that they have no known conflicts of interest with Plaintiff or absent class members, are experienced in class action litigation and are dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of Plaintiff and absent class members.
- 23. <u>Superiority</u>: A class action is vastly superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of class members' claims and would be beneficial to the parties and the Court. Class action treatment will allow a number of similarly situated persons to be simultaneously and efficiently prosecute their common claims in a single forum without the unnecessary duplication of

effort and expense that numerous individual actions would entail. In addition, the monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small and would therefore make it difficult, if not impossible, for individual class members to both seek and obtain relief.

Moreover, a class action will serve an important public interest by permitting class members to effectively pursue the recovery of monies owed to them. Further, a class action will prevent the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments inherent in individual litigation.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 24. Plaintiff was employed with Defendants beginning on or about October 2016 and was terminated on or about June 28, 2017.
- 25. When Plaintiff applied for employment, Defendants performed a background investigation on Plaintiff.
- 26. Based upon information and belief, Defendants did not provide legally compliant disclosure and authorization forms to Plaintiff and the putative class.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF THE FCRA

 $(15 \text{ U.S.C. } \S 1681b(b)(2)(A))$

(Plaintiff and FCRA Class Against All Defendants)

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
 - 28. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1681a(b) of the FCRA.
- 29. Plaintiff and class members are "consumers" within the meaning of Section 1681a(c) of the FCRA because they are "individuals."
 - 30. Section 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA defines "consumer report" as:
 - "The term "consumer report" means any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for—
 - (A) credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes;

- (B) employment purposes; or
- (C) any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title."

Accordingly, a credit and background report qualifies as a consumer report.

31. Section 1681a(e) of the FCRA defines "investigative consumer report" as:

"The term 'investigative consumer report' means a consumer report or portion thereof in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through personal interviews with neighbors, friends, or associates of the consumer reported on or with others with whom he is acquainted or who may have knowledge concerning any such items off information. However, such information shall not include specific factual information on a consumer's credit record obtained directly from a creditor of the consumer or from a consumer reporting agency when such information was obtained directly from a creditor of the consumer or from the consumer."

Accordingly, a credit and background report qualifies as an investigative consumer report.

32. Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA provides:

Conditions for furnishing and using consumer reports for employment purposes Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment purposes with respect to any consumer, unless—

- (i) A *clear and conspicuous* disclosure has been made in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be procured, in a document that *consists solely of the disclosure*, that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes; and
- (ii) The consumer has authorized in writing (which authorization may be made on the document referred to in clause (i)) the procurement of the report by that person. (Emphasis added.)
- 33. Section 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i) requires that a clear and conspicuous disclosure be made in writing.
- 34. Because Defendants' disclosures do not meet the requirement of 15 U.S.C. section 7001(c), the disclosures do not satisfy the written requirement.
- 35. Plaintiff alleges, upon information and belief, that in evaluating her and other class members for employment, Defendants procured or caused to be procured credit and background reports (i.e. a consumer report and/or investigative consumer report as defined by 15 U.S.C. section 1681a(d)(1)(B) and 15 U.S.C. section 1681a(e)).
 - 36. The purported disclosures do not meet the requirements under the law because they

are embedded with extraneous information, and are not clear and unambiguous disclosures in standalone documents.

- 37. Under the FCRA, it is unlawful to procure or caused to be procured, a consumer report or investigative consumer report for employment purposes unless the disclosure is made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure and the consumer has authorized, in writing, the procurement of the report. (15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(@0(A0(i)-(ii).) The inclusion of a release and other extraneous information therefore violates section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA.
- 38. Although the disclosure and authorization may be combined in a single document, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has warned that the form should not include any extraneous information or be part of another document. For example, in response to an inquiry as to whether the disclosure may be set forth within an application for employment or whether it must be included in a separate document, the FTC stated:

"The disclosure may not be part of an employment application because the language [of 15 U.S.C. section 1681b(b)(2)(A) is] intended to ensure that it appears conspicuously in a document not encumbered by any other information. The reason for requiring that the disclosure be in a stand-alone document is to prevent consumers from being distracted by other information side-by-side within the disclosure."

39. The plain language of the statute also clearly indicates that the inclusion of a liability release in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements of the FCRA, because such a form would not consist "solely" of the disclosure. In fact, the FTC expressly warned that the FCRA notice may not include extraneous information such as a release. In a 1998 opinion letter, the FTC stated:

"[W]e note that your draft disclosure includes a waiver by the consumer of his or her rights under the FCRA. The inclusion of such a waiver in a disclosure form will violate section 604(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA, which requires that a disclosure consist 'solely' of the disclosure that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes."

- 40. In a report dated July 2011, the FTC reiterated that "the notice [under 15 U.S.C. section 1681b(b)(2)(A))] may not include extraneous or contradictory information, such as a request for a consumer's waiver of his or her rights under the FCRA."
 - 41. By including a release and other extraneous information, Defendants willfully

disregarded the FTC's regulatory guidance and violated section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA. Additionally, the inclusion of the extraneous provisions causes the disclosure to fail to be "clear and conspicuous" and "clear and accurate" and therefore violates sections 1681b(b)(2)(A0 and 1681d(a).

- 42. Defendants' conduct in violation of section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA was and is willful. Defendants acts in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
 - A. Defendants are a large corporation with access to legal advice;
 - B. Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit and background checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations;
 - C. The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that inclusion of a liability release and other extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements; and
 - D. The FTC's express statements, pre-dating Defendants' conduct, which state that it is a violation of section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA to include a liability waiver in the disclosure form.
- 43. Defendants required a liability release in the disclosure form, along with other extraneous information, that releases all parties involved from any liability and responsibility for releasing information they have about the Plaintiff to Defendants.
- 44. Based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity to further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of procuring investigative consumer reports or causing investigative consumer reports to be procured for applicants and employees without informing them of their right to request a summary of their rights under the FCRA at the same time as the disclosure explaining that an investigative consumer report may be made. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants

procured investigative consumer reports or caused investigative consumer reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members, as described above, without informing class members of their rights to request a written summary of their rights under the FCRA.

- 45. Accordingly, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the FCRA, including but not limited to, sections 1681b(b)(2)(A) and 1681d(a). Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the facts set forth above.
- 46. As a result of Defendants' unlawful procurement of credit and background reports by way of their inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured, including but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the FCRA.
- 47. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seek all available remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. section 1681n, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 48. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under 15 U.S.C. section 1681o, including statutory damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO GIVE PROPER SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF THE FCRA

(15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a)(1) and 1681g(c))

(Plaintiff and FCRA Class Against All Defendants)

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
 - 50. Section 1681d(a) states:
 - (a) Disclosure of fact of preparation A person may not procure or cause to be prepared an investigative consumer report on any consumer unless—
 - (1) it is *clearly and accurately disclosed to the consumer* that an investigative consumer report including information as to his character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living, which are applicable, may be made, and such disclosure

26

27

28

subsection (a) from each consumer reporting agency;

- (ii) the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report without charge under section 1681j of this title;
- (iii) the right of a consumer to dispute information in the file of the consumer under section 1681i of this title;
- (iv) the right of a consumer to obtain a credit score from a consumer reporting agency, and a description of how to obtain a credit score;
- (v) the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without charge, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 211(c) of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003; and
- (vi) the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described in section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 1681j(a)(1)(C) of this title.
- 55. Defendants did not comply with 1681g(c)(B)(1) because the disclosures did not state the right of a consumer to obtain a copy of a consumer report from each consumer reporting agency.
- 56. Defendants did not comply with 1681g(c)(B)(2) because the disclosure did not state the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report without charge.
- 57. Defendants did not comply with 1681g(c)(B)(3) because the disclosure did not state the right of a consumer to dispute information in the file of the consumer.
- 58. Defendants did not comply with 1681g(c)(B)(4) because the disclosure did not state the right of a consumer to obtain a credit score from a consumer reporting agency and a description of how to obtain a credit score.
- 59. Defendants did not comply with 1681g(c)(B)(5) because the disclosure did not state the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without charge.
- 60. Defendants did not comply with 1681g(c)(B)(6) because the disclosure did not state the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described in section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 1681j(a)(1)(C) of this title.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	1
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF THE ICRAA

(Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1786 et seq.)

(Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Against All Defendants)

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs in the Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
 - 62. Defendants are "persons" as defined by section 1786.2(a) of the ICRAA.
- 63. Plaintiff and **ICRAA Class** members are "consumers" within the meaning of section 1786.2(b) of the ICRAA because they are "individuals."
 - 64. Section 1786.2(c) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer report" as:

 "The term investigative consumer report means a consumer report in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through any means."
- 65. Accordingly, a background check qualifies as an investigative consumer report under the ICRAA.
 - 66. Section 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA provides:
 - (2) If, at any time, an investigative consumer report is sought for employment purposes other than suspicion of wrongdoing or misconduct by the subject of the investigation, the person seeking the investigative consumer report may procure the report, or cause the report to be made, only if all of the following apply:
 - (A) The person procuring or causing the report to be made has a permissible purpose, as defined in Section 1786.12.
 - (B) The person procuring or causing the report to be made provides a *clear and conspicuous* disclosure in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be made *in a document that consists solely of the disclosure*, that:
 - (i) An investigative consumer report may be obtained.
 - (ii) The permissible purpose of the report is identified.
 - (iii) The disclosure may include information on the consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living.
 - (iv) Identifies the *name*, *address*, *and telephone number of the investigative* consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
 - (v) Notifies the consumer in writing of the nature and scope of the

investigation requested, including a summary of the provisions of Section 1786.22.

- (vi) Notifies the consumer of the Internet Web site address of the investigative consumer reporting agency identified in clause (iv), or, if the agency has no Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency, where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether the consumer's personal information will be sent outside the United States or its territories and information that complies with subdivision (d) of Section 1786.20. This clause shall be operative on January 1, 2012.
- (C) The consumer has authorized in writing the procurement of the report.(Emphasis added.)
- 67. As previously alleged, because Defendants' disclosures do not meet the requirements of section 101(c)(1) of 15 U.S.C. section 7001, the disclosures do not satisfy section 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA requirement that the disclosures be made in writing.
- 68. As described above, Plaintiff alleges that in evaluating her and other class members for employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared investigative consumer report (e.g. background checks) as described by Civil Code section 1786.2(c).
- 69. Because the purported disclosures are embedded with extraneous information and are not clear and unambiguous disclosures in stand-alone documents, they do not meet the requirements under the law.
- 70. Under the ICRAA, it is unlawful to procure or caused to be procured, a consumer report or investigative consumer report for employment purposes unless the disclosure is made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure and the consumer has authorized, in writing, the procurement of the report. Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2)(B)-(C). The inclusion of the Release and other extraneous information therefore violates section 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA.
- 71. The plain language of the statute clearly indicates that the inclusion of a liability release in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements of the ICRAA because such a form would not consist "solely" of the disclosure.
- 72. By including the Release and other extraneous information, Defendants willfully violated section 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA. Additionally, the inclusion of the extraneous provisions causes the disclosure to fail to be "clear and conspicuous" and thus violates section

1786.16(a)(2)(B).

5

10

8

11 12

13 14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

73. Based upon facts that are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable

- opportunity for investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to provide adequate written disclosure to applicants and employees, before procuring background checks or causing background checks to be procured, as described above. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured background checks or caused background checks to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first providing a written disclosure in compliance with section 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA, as described above.
- 74. Defendants' conduct in violation of Section 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA was and is willful and/or grossly negligent. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
 - (a) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice;
 - (b) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit and background checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations; and
 - (c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that inclusion of a liability release and other extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements, and that the disclosure form must contain the name, address, phone number, and/or website address of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
- 75. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of background reports by way of their inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA.
- 76. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Civil Code section 1786.50, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

- 85. Based upon facts that are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to provide adequate written disclosures to applicants and employees, before procuring credit reports or causing credit reports to be procured, as described above. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured credit reports or caused credit reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first providing a written notice in compliance with Section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA, as described above.
- 86. Defendants' conduct in violation of Section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA was and is willful and/or grossly negligent. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
 - (a) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice;
 - (b) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations; and
 - (c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that failure to include the provisions identified above violates the CCRAA's notice requirements, and that the notice must identify the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the credit report and must identify the source of any credit report.
- 87. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of credit reports by way of their inadequate notice, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the CCRAA.
- 88. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Civil Code section 1785.31, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.
 - 89. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under Civil Code section 1785.31(a)(1), including but not limited to actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

UNFAIR COMPETITION

(Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)

(Plaintiff and FCRA, ICRAA and CCRAA Class Against All Defendants)

- 90. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 91. Business and Professions Code section 17200 defines "unfair competition" to include any unlawful business practice.
- 92. Business and Professions Code sections 17203–17204 allow a person who has lost money or property as a result of unfair competition to bring a class action in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382 to recover money or property that may have been acquired from similarly situated persons by means of unfair competition.
- 93. Federal and California laws require certain disclosures and proper authorization before conducting background checks and obtaining information from credit and background reports in connection with a hiring process.
- 94. Plaintiff and the FCRA, ICRAA and CCRAA Class re-alleges and incorporates by reference the FIRST, SECOND, THIRD and FOURTH causes of action herein.
- 95. Plaintiff lost money or property as a result of the aforementioned unfair competition.
 - 96. Defendants have, or may have, acquired money by means of unfair competition.
- 97. Defendants have violated Federal and California laws through their policies and practices of, inter alia, routinely acquiring consumer, investigative consumer and/or consumer credit reports (referred to collectively as "credit and background reports") to conduct background checks on Plaintiff and other prospective, current and former employees and use information from credit and background reports in connection with their hiring process without providing proper

disclosures and obtaining proper authorization in compliance with the law.

- 98. The unlawful conduct of Defendants alleged herein amounts to and constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq*. Business and Professions Code section 17200, *et seq*., protects against unfair competition and allows a person who has suffered an injury-in-fact and has lost money or property as a result of an unfair, unlawful, or fraudulent business practice to seek restitution on his own behalf and on behalf of other similarly situated persons in a class action proceeding.
- 99. Plaintiff is informed and believes that other similarly situated persons have been subject to the same unlawful policies or practices of Defendants.
- 100. Due to its unfair and unlawful business practices in violation of Federal and California laws as alleged herein, Defendants have gained a competitive advantage over other comparable companies doing business in the State of California that comply with their legal obligations.
- 101. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the other members of the FCRA, ICRAA and CCRAA Class, seeks declaratory relief and restitution of all monies rightfully belonging to them that Defendants did not pay them or otherwise retained by means of its unlawful and unfair business practices.
- 102. California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") permits civil recovery and injunctive for "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice," including if a practice or act violates or is considered unlawful under any other state or federal law.
- 103. Accordingly, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17200 and 17203, Plaintiffs request the issuance of temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendants, and each of them, and their agents and employees, from further violations of the FCRA, ICRAA and CCRAA; and upon a final hearing seek an order permanently enjoining Defendants, and each of them, and their respective agents and employees, from further violations of the FCRA, ICRAA and CCRAA.
- 104. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine and/or the common fund doctrine, Plaintiff and the other members of the FCRA, ICRAA and

Case 4:18-cv-00053-YGR Document 1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 20 of 20

1 CCRAA Class are entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees in connection with their unfair 2 competition claims. 3 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, prays for 5 relief and judgment against Defendants as follows: An order that the action be certified as a class action; 6 A. 7 B. An order that Plaintiff be appointed class representative; 8 C. An order that counsel for Plaintiff be appointed class counsel; 9 D. Statutory penalties; 10 E. Civil penalties; 11 F. Punitive damages; 12 G. Injunctive relief; 13 H. Costs of suit; I. Interest: 14 15 J. Restitution; Reasonable attorneys' fees; and 16 K. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. 17 L. 18 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 19 Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, hereby demands a jury trial 20 on all issues so triable. 21 22 Dated: January 3, 2018 SETAREH LAW GROUP 23 24 25 SHAUN SETAREH Attorneys for Plaintiff 26 JULIAN QUINONES 27 28

Case 4:18-cv-00053-YGR Document 1-1 Filed 01/04/18 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

JULIAN QUINONES, or									
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Riverside (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514) SETAREH LAW GROUP, 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907 Beverly Hills, California 90212, (310) 888-7771				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD			III CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIDA	I DADTIES			
		one Box Only)	iii. Ci	(For Diversity Cases Only)		LIAKILES	and One Box fo		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize		TF DEF	Incorporated or Proof Business In		PTF	DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and I of Business In	Principal Place Another State	1 5	X 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FO	DESCRIPTION OF STATE					
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 76 Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 76 Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 76 Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 448 Education TORTS PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury 9 Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 367 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Personal 1 Property Damage Product Liability 9 PRSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Personal 1 Property Damage Product Liability 9 PRSONAL PROPERT 1 368 Asbestos Personal 1 Injury Product 1 Liability 9 PERSONAL PROPERT 1 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 9 PERSONAL PROPERT 1 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 9 PERSONAL PROPERT 1 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 9 PERSONAL PROPERT 1 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 9 PERSONAL PROPERT 1 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 1 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 1 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 1 370 Oth		□ 62. □ 690 Y □ 710 □ 720 □ 740 □ 791 □ 791			RRUPTCY al 28 USC 158 trawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t - Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant) Third Party	Corrupt Organizations * 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. section Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you are f s 1681 et seg.		ened Another (specify) o not cite jurisdictional state	District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	- L	Aultidistr itigation irect File	1 -
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 23	IS A CLASS ACTION		MAND \$		IECK YES only i	f demanded in c	omplaint	:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			_ DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE 01/03/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEY OF	RECORD			- Ho		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE .		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

 PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Stuart Weitzman Stores Accused of Conducting Unlawful Background Checks</u>