FILED

16 OCT 26 PM 2: 09

CLERK U.S. SISTACT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

FT. MYERS, FLORIDA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

WILLIAM QUINN, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO.

WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC, a Florida Profit Corporation, and ERIC R. ZEISLOFT, Individually,

2:16-CV-793-Ftm-99CM

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, WILLIAM QUINN, on behalf of himself and other employees and

former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this

Complaint against Defendants, WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC and ERIC R.

ZEISLOFT, Individually, (collectively, "Defendants") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, minimum wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(h).

PARTIES

3. At all limes material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Lee County, Florida.

4. At all times material hereto Defendant, WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC, is a Florida Limited Liability Company, with a principle place of business located at 2408 Surfside Blvd, Unit 101, Cape Coral, FL 33991 and was engaged in business in Lee County, Florida.

5. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant, ERIC R. ZEISLOFT, was an individual resident believed to be residing in Lee County, Florida.

6. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant, ERIC R. ZEISLOFT, managed and operated WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC

7. At all times relevant to this action, ERIC R. ZEISLOFT regularly exercised the authority to hire and fire employees of WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC

8. At all times relevant to this action, ERIC R. ZEISLOFT determined the work schedules for the employees of WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC

9. At all times relevant to this action, ERIC R. ZEISLOFT controlled the finances and operations WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC

10. At all times relevant to this action, ERIC R. ZEISLOFT was an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. 201 et. seq.

11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants within the meaning of FLSA.

12. At all times material hereto, Defendants were the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.

13. Defendants were, and continue to be "employers" within the meaning of FLSA.

14. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.

•

15. At all times material hereto, Defendants were, and continue to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

16. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendants were in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.

17. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce including but not limited to: restaurant supplies, equipment, food, beverages and ordering and receiving goods that are moving or will move in interstate commerce.

18. At all relevant times, Defendants have been, and continue to be, employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.

19. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

20. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were nonexempt employees of Defendants, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours and/or who worked for Defendants in one or more work weeks and were not paid at least minimum wage for all hours worked.

21. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.

•

STATEMENT OF FACTS

22. From on or about July 2012 through October 2016, Defendants hired Plaintiff to work as a nonexempt cook.

23. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.

24. From at least October 2013 and continuing through October 2016, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.

25. Defendants have violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 and 207 from at least October 2013 and continuing through October 2016 in that:

- a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendants;
- b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendants to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times
 Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours
 per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
- c. Defendants have failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

26. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent him in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

.•

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION</u>

27. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 —
26 as if incorporated herein.

28. From at least October 2013 and continuing through October 2016, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

29. Rather, throughout his employment Defendants paid Plaintiff, and all employees similarly situated, a hourly rate but they were not paid for all hours worked including overtime wages.

30. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiffs regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

31. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.

32. Defendants' actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.

33. Defendants have failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.

34. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.

35. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

36. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.

37. Based upon information and belief, Defendants have failed to properly pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, proper overtime wages at time and a half their regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendants:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour and minimum wage provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to him for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing him to send Notice

• • '

of this action, pursuant to 216(b) and/or FRCP 23, to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and

g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II RECOVERY OF UNPAID MINIMUM WAGES

38. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 —
26 as if incorporated herein.

39. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

40. At all relevant times, Defendants employed, and/or continue to employ Plaintiff and similarly situated non-exempt cooks.

41. At various times, cooks preformed work for the benefit of their employer without receiving pay for the work they performed.

42. As stated above, Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to pay their nonexempt cooks minimum wages for all hours worked.

43. Such a policy and practice violates the FLSA.

44. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and other non-exempt cooks the full minimum wage is a violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendants:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour and minimum wage provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff compensation in the amount due to him for all Plaintiff's

hours worked;

• • •

- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the minimum wages award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing him to send Notice of this action, pursuant to 216(b) to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 24th day of October 2016.

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

PALLA

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689 Attorneys for Plaintiff JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM QUINN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,				DEFENDANTS WOODY'S WATERSIDE, LLC and ERIC ZEISLOFT 16 ULT 26 PM 2:08		
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A., 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S., Cape Coral, Florida 33904 (239) 549-6689 				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed D OLTER (IN U.S. PLAIN HOOLS INDEMNATION CASES JUSE OF LAND INVOLVED.	IS. DISTINCT ODURT Intert of Florida Heldsafilogida
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in ()ne Box ()n[v]	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State Citizen of This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				2 (1) 2 Incorporated and 1 of Business In .	Another State
NATION OF CHIL	-			n or Subject of a 🛛 🗇	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0 606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	nly) DRTS and a second second		REITUREPENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL-RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	PERSONAL INJUR Description Product Liability Description Product Liability Description Personal Injury Product Liability Description Bersonal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER Description PERSONAL PROPER PERSONAL PROPER Description PERSONAL PROPER Description PERSONAL PROPER PERSONAL PROP	Y 0 62 0 69 TY 2 710 0 740 0 75 0 791	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Management Relations D Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act D Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act		 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other:	☐ 46: 7 ☐ 46:	2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions		
🕱 1 Original 🛛 2 Rer	noved from	Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop		rred from D 6 Multidistr r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	I Fair Labor Stands	ards Act, as amende	e filing <i>(D</i> ed 29 U.	o not cite jurisdictional statu S.C. §201., et seq.	utes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: COMP			DE	EMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10/24/2016	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SIGNATURE OF ATT /s/ Bill B. Berke	ORNEY O	FRECORD		· · ·
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # <u>IFP</u> AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE
		2:16	-CV-'	193-FEM-	-99CM	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Woody's Waterside Facing FLSA Class Action</u>