## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_

CHANA R. QUINN on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

#### NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC.

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### Introduction

1. Plaintiff Chana R. Quinn seeks redress for the illegal practices of Northstar Location Services, LLC., concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a defaulted consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Cheektowaga, New York.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

#### Allegations Particular to Chana R. Quinn

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about January 23, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter seeking to collect a balance allegedly incurred for personal purposes.
- 11. The said collection letter was confusing to the Plaintiff and is likely to be misconstrued by the "least sophisticated consumer" since it is open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate. The Second Circuit stated in *Avila v*.

  \*\*Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 74 (2d Cir. 2016)

"The question presented is whether a collection notice that states a consumer's "current balance," but does not disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees, complies with this provision. We hold that Section 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees."

12. The holding of the Second Circuit is that Section 1692e of the FDCPA requires every debt collector in every collection letter "to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees". See <u>Islam v. Am. Recovery Serv.</u>, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 180415 ("If a collection letter is ambiguous as to interest, Avila holds, then it violates §1692e. I

recognize that ambiguity can be indicative of a misleading or deceptive communication. But Avila compels the conclusion that any ambiguity as to post-dated accruals in a collection notice gives rise to a claim under the general prohibition of § 1692e — even if the ambiguity does no harm or even inures to the benefit of the debtor." Language such as the "current balance" or "as of the date of this letter" is insufficient disclosure to a debtor that her balance is either dynamic or static and such ambiguity violates the framework of Avila.)

13. However if the "account balance" will never increase and the holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt then the Second Circuit alternatively stated:

"We hold that a debt collector will not be subject to liability under Section 1692e for failing to disclose that the consumer's balance may increase due to interest and fees if the collection notice *either* accurately informs the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time, *or* clearly states that the holder of the debt will accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt." Id. at 817.

The Second Circuit in Avila did not "hold that a debt collector must use any particular disclaimer" *Id*.

14. However the Second Circuit did address all the possible scenarios: 1) If the "current balance" could increase over time, then the collection notice must disclose that the "balance might increase due to interest and fees". *Id.* 2) If the "current balance" is currently increasing, then the collection notice must disclose that the amount of the debt stated, "in the letter will increase over time". *Id.* 3) If the "current balance" will never increase and the debt collector is always willing to accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt, then the debt collector must state so clearly. However, if a

debt collector is willing to accept a "specified amount" in full satisfaction of the debt only if payment is made by a specific date, then the debt collector must simplify the consumer's understanding by so stating, while advising that the amount due <u>could</u> increase by the accrual of additional interest or fees if payment is not received by that date.

- 15. In this case, the "Balance" was increasing due to interest per the creditor's contract.

  Nevertheless, the collection notice did not disclose that the amount of the debt stated in the letter "could" or "will" increase over time.
- 16. The Plaintiff, as well as the "least sophisticated consumer" was unsure as to whether or not the said account was accruing interest.
- 17. The said letter fails to include the safe harbor language set out by the Second Circuit.
- 18. The "**Balance**" in this case was for an amount that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest.
- 19. The Plaintiff was left uncertain as to whether the "**Balance**" was accruing interest as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- 20. The Plaintiff was left unsure whether the "Balance" would accrue any type of fees, costs and/or disbursements as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- 21. A reasonable consumer could read the notice and be misled into believing that he or she could pay her debt in full by paying the amount listed on the notice.
- 22. In fact, however, since contractual interest is automatically accruing daily, and since there will be undisclosed fees that will accrue, a consumer who pays the "Balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full.
- 23. The debt collector could still seek the automatically accrued contractual interest that

accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and undisclosed fees from the consumer.

- 24. The statement of a "**Balance**", without notice that the amount <u>may</u> increase or is already increasing due to automatically accruing contractual interest, would mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 25. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance <u>may</u> increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.
- 26. The amount of the contractual interest automatically increases each day that the defaulted debt remains unpaid due to the automatically accrued interest.
- 27. Collection notices that state only the "Balance," but do not disclose that the balance might increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 28. To the extent that the Creditor or Defendant intended to waive the automatically accrued and accruing interest, it was required to disclose that in the most conspicuous of terms.
- 29. If the "Balance," will never increase and the debt collector is always willing to accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt, then the debt collector must clearly state that the holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set

forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.

- 30. Defendant was required to include a disclosure that the automatically accrued interest was accruing, or in the alternative, the Defendant was required to disclose that the creditor has made an intentional decision to waive the automatically accruing interest and will always accept this "specified amount" in "full satisfaction" of the debt nonetheless it did not make any of those disclosures in violation of 1692e.
- 31. If interest was waived, the letter would need to contain that disclosure and clearly state that no interest is accruing on this account in order to provide full and fair disclosure to consumers of the actual balance as is embodied in Section 1692e.
- 32. The Second Circuit adopted a safe harbor disclaimer stating "that requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts."
- 33. Because the statement of the "Balance" that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest, without notice that the accruing interest was expressly waived can mislead the least sophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear her account, the FDCPA requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to expressly disclose that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time, or clearly state that the holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. Id. at 817.
- 34. Requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)

disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable, but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it protects them from other debt collectors seeking further interest on this debt in the future.

- 35. According to the Second Circuit's finding that the "**Balance**" must contain a full and fair disclosure, if a credit card account was being charged interest, pursuant to a contract and the interest was intended to be waived, disclosure of such a waiver is necessary or the consumer would not know what the balance is. "[i]n fact, however, if interest is accruing daily, [or was not expressly waived] a consumer who pays the 'current balance' stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full. The debt collector could still seek the [accruing or unwaived] interest and fees that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer." <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC</u>, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)
- 36. The 8th Circuit in <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016) clearly explains that merely not including interest in post charge off statements is not express waiver of interest, and the debt collector or creditor can seek the interest in the future.
- 37. In fact, in this case the Plaintiff is still not sure whether there was any intent to waive the interest. There was definitely no express waiver and disclosure of waiver is mandatory if interest was originally accruing per the contract. The consumer could not know what the real balance is.
- 38. The intent to waive a contractual right must be unmistakably manifested and may not be

- inferred from doubtful or equivocal acts.<sup>2</sup> A waiver of a contract right does not occur by negligence, oversight or thoughtlessness and cannot be inferred from mere silence.<sup>3</sup>
- 39. The 8th Circuit Court of Appeals found that the fact that the debt was charged off and that the creditor or debt buyer did not charge interest in its statements post charge off that alone does not constitute an express waiver and interest is still continuing to accrue and may be charged at a future time.
- 40. According to the Second Circuit in *Avila*, any debt that <u>was</u> accruing interest and fees would need full and complete disclosure which would either clearly state that the balance "may" or "will" increase over time or clearly state that the debt is "static" and holder of the debt will always accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.<sup>4</sup>
- 41. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e since Courts have held that even if the right to collect interest was waived by the creditor, debt collectors could still charge interest and they would not violate the FDCPA for charging interest if the original credit card agreement permitted the charging of interest on late payments.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Navillus Tile, Inc. v. Turner Const. Co., 2 A.D.3d 209, 770 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2003)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>Acumen Re Management Corp. v. General Sec. Nat. Ins. Co.</u>, 2012 WL 3890128, at \*6 (S.D. N.Y. 2012), reconsideration denied, motion to certify appeal granted, 2012 WL 6053936 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016). ("Nothing inherent in the process of charging off a debt precludes a claim for statutory interest, and [the states] prejudgment interest statute does not expressly preclude statutory prejudgment interest following a waiver of contractual interest...[The debtor] received monthly periodic statements from the original creditors prior to charge-off, and at least as to the Wal-Mart account, the charge-off statement itself is attached to the pleadings. [The debtor] received a demand for payment of his accounts when due. We conclude any demand requirement that exists as a precondition to the accrual of statutory prejudgment interest was satisfied by the original creditors' demands upon [the debtor].")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Rice v. Resurgent Capital Servs., L.P.</u>, No. 15 CV 6319 (KAM)(CLP), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20932, at \*19-20 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 13, 2017). ("[C]ourts in other districts have held that <u>even</u> if the right to collect interest was waived by the creditor, debt collectors do not violate Section 1692(f)(1) if the original credit card agreement permitted the charging of interest on late payments.), <u>Simkus v. Cavalry Portfolio Servs., LLC</u>, 12 F. Supp. 3d 1103, 1110 (N.D. Ill. 2014). (granting summary judgment on the Section 1692(f)(1) claim and holding that, "even if *BOA* waived its right to collect [\*20] interest, Defendants cannot have violated 1692f(1) if the original agreement between *Mr. Simkus* and *BOA* allowed for charging interest on late payments"), <u>Wilder v. J.C. Christensen & Assocs., Inc.</u>, No. 16 CV 1979, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168440, 2016 WL 7104283, at \*7 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 6, 2016). (granting the motion to dismiss and holding that, "Plaintiff's allegation that Defendant engaged in 'unfair' or 'unconscionable' conduct by claiming that interest 'may' be added to Plaintiff's account is not a violation of Section 1692f(1) even if she could demonstrate that *Credit One* waived its contractual right".), See also <u>Terech v. First Resolution Mgmt. Corp.</u>, 854 F. Supp. 2d 537, 544 (N.D. Ill. 2012). (granting the motion to dismiss the 1692(f)(1) claim and holding that, "[t]he Court agrees that § 1692f(1) appears to be

- 42. The Defendant knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 43. Since the "Balance" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest, the collection notice must accurately inform the consumer that interest may accrue or that interest has stopped accruing. "Applying these principles, we hold that Plaintiffs have stated a claim that the collection notices at issue here are misleading within the meaning of Section 1692e... a consumer who pays the "current balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full."
- 44. The "Balance" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and contractual interest. If interest was waived or stopped accruing the collection notice must disclose that the debt is "static" and that the holder of the debt would accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt. McNamee v. Debski & Assocs., P.A., No. 8:16-cv-2272-T-33TBM, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131912, at \*8-9 (M.D. Fla. Sep. 27, 2016). ("The letters did not inform [the debtor] that Capital One had instructed [the debt collector] to cease collecting[] interest... i.e., that Capital One was willing to accept \$3,129.05 in full satisfaction of the debt. Because the letters did not "clearly state[] that the holder of the debt [would] accept payment of the amount set forth in full satisfaction of the debt . . .," Avila, 817 F.3d at 77, [the debtors] Complaint plausibly states a claim to relief under the FDCPA.") (citations omitted)
- 45. Since interest was accruing per the creditor's contract the collection notice must inform the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time.
- 46. Collection letters failing to reference the accrual of interest or waiver of interest are

directed at debt collectors who charge fees not contemplated by the original agreement, not debt collectors who seek to charge fees contemplated by the agreement but arguably waived thereafter".)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC</u>, Nos. 15-1584(L), 15-1597(Con), 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 5327, at \*10-11 (2d Cir. Mar. 22, 2016)

subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10). See <u>Sperber v. Central Credit Services LLC</u> No. CV 16-cv-05222 (ARR) (RLM), 2017 U.S. Dist. (E.D.N.Y. May. 1, 2017). ("This matter presents the question of whether failing to disclose [] interest, or failing to explicitly waive the right to collect it, constitutes a "false, deceptive, or misleading" practice under § 1692e... Plaintiff contends that the collection notice he received, which neither stated that [] interest was accruing nor waived the creditor's right to collect it, is deceptive or misleading under *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. 2016)... Having alleged that interest was accruing on his debt and that *CCS* failed to either disclose this interest or otherwise disclaim its right to collect it, *Sperber* has stated a plausible claim that the collection notices he received from *CCS* were misleading under Section 1692e of the FDCPA. *See Avila*, 817 F.3d at 76.")

47. "None of the letters provided further detail regarding when or how the balance had been calculated, whether it included interest, or whether interest continued to accrue. The court finds that the "least sophisticated consumer" could have read these letters in at least two different ways. *On one hand*, an unsophisticated consumer could reasonably conclude that the balance was a fixed amount that would not be subject to further interest, late fees, or other charges. *On the other*, an unsophisticated consumer could just as reasonably determine that the balance would continue to grow over time as interest accrued. *One of those meanings would necessarily be inaccurate*. Therefore, the court finds that Defendants' letters were deceptive as a matter of law. Courts in other districts have reached the same conclusion on similar facts. The court grants Ms. Snyder's motion for summary judgment on this issue." *Snyder v. Gordon*, No. C11-1379 RAJ, 2012 U.S.

Dist. LEXIS 120659, at \*8-9 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 24, 2012), <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs.</u>, <u>LLC</u>, 817 F.3d 72, 75 (2d Cir. 2016), ("[I]n considering whether a collection notice violates Section 1692e, we apply the "least sophisticated consumer" standard...**Under this standard, a collection notice is misleading if it is "open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate.")** 

- 48. "The Court therefore finds that [the debt collectors] letters to [the debtor] are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10) ... The logic [applies] to stated outstanding debt and the need for consumers to be aware that this debt may be dynamic or static. They are concerned with a consumer's inability to discern whether an amount owed may grow with time, regardless of whether offers to settle are on the table or not. As [plaintiff] states, this information is relevant in a consumer's payment calculus, especially when some debts must be paid at the expense of others. And, of course, the existence of settlement offers would be entirely irrelevant to these considerations for the many consumers who are unable to take advantage of them...Plaintiff's claim is not that the stated balance was not itemized, but that it was unclear whether it was subject to future interest" Michalek v. ARS Nat'l Sys., No. 3:11-CV-1374, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at \*16-17 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 13, 2011)
- 49. The Plaintiff and the least sophisticated consumer could conclude from the said collection letter, that the "Balance" is static and that his or her payment of the amount due would satisfy the debt irrespective of when payment was remitted. However, absent a disclosure by the holder of the debt that clearly stated that the holder of the debt would accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt then even if the

debtor pays the "Balance" Defendant and or creditor <u>could</u> still seek the automatic interest that accumulated after the breach of contract, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the automatic interest and from the consumer. (Avila, at \*10-11.)

- 50. A waiver of interest, even when made explicitly, has not prevented debt collection agencies from continuing to illegally charge the waived interest. At the bare minimum a debt collection agency must clearly convey, even to the least sophisticated consumer that it intends to waive the automatically accruing interest, or clearly state that the holder of the debt would always accept payment of the amount set forth in "full satisfaction" of the debt.
- 51. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, Barclays Bank charged the Plaintiff interest on balances carried on the alleged account.
- 52. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, Barclays Bank charged the Plaintiff late fees on any and all payments due, but which were not timely made by the Plaintiff.
- 53. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, Barclays Bank charged Plaintiff other fees on the account.
- 54. At no point did Barclays Bank waive its right to collect from the Plaintiff, interest, late fees or other charges on any balance carried on the account.
- 55. At no point did the assignee or successor-in-interest waive its right to collect from the Plaintiff, interest, fees or other charges on any balance carried on the account.
- 56. At no point was the Plaintiff ever informed by Barclays Bank or the Defendant, that the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement had been changed.

- 57. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, interest, late fees and other charges continued to accrue on any unpaid balance.
- 58. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest had the legal right to collect from Plaintiff interest, late fees, and other charges on any balance carried on the account.
- 59. As per the terms and conditions of the credit card agreement, the legal right of Barclays Bank and any assignee or successor-in-interest to collect from the Plaintiff interest on any balance carried on the account is not waived by Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest as a result of a failure by either Barclays Bank or any assignee or successor-in-interest at any point in time to attempt to collect from Plaintiff the aforementioned interest, late fees or other charges.
- 60. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due.
- 61. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes accrued interest, late fees or other charges.
- 62. The letter fails to advise Plaintiff what portion of the amount listed is principal.
- 63. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff that the amount listed will increase.
- 64. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, what the amount of the accrued interest will be.
- 65. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, when such interest will be applied.
- 66. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, what the interest rate is.
- 67. The letter fails to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued interest, the amount of money the

- amount listed will increase per any measurable period.
- 68. The letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of the letter.
- 69. The letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the letter.
- 70. The letter fails to provide information that would allow the Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.
- 71. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 72. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the amount listed was accurate only on the date of the letter.
- 73. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer whether the amount listed will increase.
- 74. Defendant's conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 75. The letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must is inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 76. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 77. Section 1692e of the FDCPA states:

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

- (2) The false representation of --
- (A) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt; or
- (10) the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.
- 78. The said letter is a standardized form letter.
- 79. Upon information and belief, the Defendant's letters, such as the said collection letter, number in the hundreds.
- 80. Said letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by Plaintiff.
- 81. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 82. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 83. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 84. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 85. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 86. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 87. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of

their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of hers right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.

- 88. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 89. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Northstar Location Services, LLC., Plaintiff has suffered, including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment for which she should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

#### AS AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 90. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through eighty nine (89) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 91. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 92. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about January 23, 2017; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt purportedly owed to Barclays Bank Delaware; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; (c) and the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of

- 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 93. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
  - A. Based on the fact that a form collection letter is at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
  - B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.
  - C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such collection letters (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of Defendant.
  - D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
  - E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 94. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally

unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.

- 95. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 96. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

#### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 97. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 98. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- A. Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York November 2, 2017

> /s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508) Attorney At Law

**Attorney for the Plaintiff** 

735 Central Avenue Woodmere, New York 11598 Telephone: (516) 668-6945

Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508) 4285 Genester Street 00487 Document 1 Filed 01/23/18 Page 26 CATION SERVICES, PLC Cheektowaga, NY 14225-1943 1-855-211-4583

Hours Mon-Thurs 8AM-10PM ET, Fri 8AM-8PM ET, Sat 8AM-12PM ET

Creditor: BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE

Account #: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*7946

Balance: \$2,614.35 Amount Remitted: \$\_\_\_\_\_

January 23, 2017

**CHANA R QUINN** 

To ensure proper credit, return this portion with your payment.

Creditor	Account #	Balance	Amount Remitted
BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE	********7946	\$2,614.35	

Northstar Location Services, LLC, an authorized representative for BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE / ADVANTAGE AVIATOR RED MASTERCARD, would like to work with you in an effort to resolve the balance on the above account.

We would like to discuss a settlement option, to pay less than the full balance due, with you. Please contact our office toll free at 1-855-211-4583 to make arrangements.

This settlement may have tax consequences. If you are uncertain of the tax consequences, consult a tax advisor.

Once your account is settled for less than the full balance, Barclays Bank Delaware will send notification to the credit reporting agencies to reflect the settlement. Please allow Barclays Bank Delaware at least 30 days for the change to be updated on your credit bureau.

This letter is contingent on the clearance of all payments made towards the settlement. If any payments made as part of the settlement fail to clear, this offer will be null and void.

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

To make paying your account more convenient we offer the following payment options:

- Check-by-phone at 1-855-211-4583
- MoneyGram ExpressPayment
- Debit Card

- Web Pay at <u>www.gotonls.com</u>
- Pay in person at our office
- Enclose your payment in the envelope

You may contact a Northstar Account Representative toll free at 1-855-211-4583 to make your payment.



# Case 1:18-cv-00487 Document 1-1 NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC CHANA R. QUINN AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action Filed 01/23 NOAVHSEASTA NOS98-225 fishbeinadami@gmail.com

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the
Eastern Dist	trict of New York
Plaintiff(s) $V.$ $Defendant(s)$	) ) ) ) (Civil Action No. ) ) ) )
SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you ficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. inswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date	

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)					
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·					
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	lividual at (place)					
		; or						
	☐ I left the summon							
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,				
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)							
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or				
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		_						
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ON 1200/120 1 Pish bein, P.C. introduced in the language of th

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose or minimum une errir u	oener sneet. (SEE II STITE C	110110 011 11211 11102 01	1 11110 1 010/11)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	TS .			
· ·	of First Listed Plaintiff  XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA  Address, and Telephone Numbe		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)				
(-)		,					
II. DACIC OF HIDICDI	ICTION		III CITIZENCIUD OE	DDINGIDAL DADTIES			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintify and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		PTF DEF  □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In □	PTF DEF rincipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and of Business In .			
			Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	$\Gamma_{(Place\ an\ "X"\ in\ One\ Box\ One\ C)}$	lyNature of Suit Code D	Descriptions		<u> </u>		
CONTRACT	TO	ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Marine 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	Y	28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	<ul><li>□ 450 Commerce</li><li>□ 460 Deportation</li><li>□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and</li></ul>		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	RTY LABOR	☐ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations  3 480 Consumer Credit		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 555 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 560 Other Personal Injury 52 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	□ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal □ Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage □ Product Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act		
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:  ☐ 463 Alien Detainee  ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  ☐ 530 General	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<ul> <li>870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)</li> <li>871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609</li> </ul>	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Othe □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	IMMIGRATION  ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	ion	State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)						
<b>X</b> 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from $\Box$ 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		sferred from ther District ify) G Multidistrict Litigation Transfer			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		<u> </u>	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional s	tatutes unless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only  JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint: : ※ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE			TORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

None Adam J. Fishhbein Kings Plaintiff

Case 1:18-cv-00487 Document 1-2 Filed 01/23/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 24

#### CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000,

	e of interest and costs, as		pulsory arbit	ration. The amount of damages is	presumed t	to be below the thresh	nold amount unless a	
[,			, c	ounsel for		, do hereby c	ertify that the above captione	d civil action
is inelig	ible for compulsory a	rbitration for th	e following	reason(s):				
Ļ		damages sough	nt are in exc	ess of \$150,000, exclusive of in	nterest and	d costs,		
	the comp	laint seeks injun	ctive relief,					
	the matte	r is otherwise in	eligible for th	ne following reason class action	Ì			
	Г	OISCLOSUR	RE STAT	EMENT - FEDERAL R	ULES	CIVIL PROCE	EDURE 7.1	
	_			on and any publicly held corpor				
	_	RELATED (	CASE ST	ATEMENT (Section \	/III on t	the Front of t	<u>his Form)</u>	
to anothe substantia deemed " 'Presump	er civil case for purposes al saving of judicial resou 'related" to another civil o	of this guideline where of this guideline where of the contract of the contrac	nen, because sult from assig se the civil cas	of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section of the similarity of facts and legal is ning both cases to the same judge se: (A) involves identical legal issue otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d)	sues or bec and magist s, or (B) inv	cause the cases arise trate judge." Rule 50.3 volves the same partie	from the same transactions or events. 1 (b) provides that "A civil case ses." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provide	ents, a shall not be s that
			NY-E	DIVISION OF BUSINESS	RULE	50.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the civil action County?	n being filed i	in the Eas	tern District removed from	m a Nev	v York State Co	ourt located in Nassau o	r Suffolk
2.)	If you answered a) Did the event County?			ise to the claim or claims No	s, or a su	ubstantial part t	hereof, occur in Nassau	or Suffolk
	b) Did the event District?	s or omission Yes	ns giving r	ise to the claim or claims No	s, or a su	ubstantial part t	hereof, occur in the Eas	tern
	c) If this is a Fair received:	Debt Collecti	on Practice	Act case, specify the Cour	nty in wh	ich the offending	g communication was	
Suffolk				fendant (or a majority of the ne claimant (or a majority of				
<b>-</b>		ion shall be co	nsidered a	resident of the County in w	hich it ha	as the most signit	ficant contacts).	
				BAR ADMIS	SSION			
	I am currently add	mitted in the Ea	astern Dist	rict of New York and curren	tlv a men	nber in good star	nding of the bar of this cou	rt.
					.,	_	iaming of the bar of this coal	
			Yes		ш	No		
	Are you current	ly the subject	of any di	sciplinary action (s) in thi	s or any	other state or f	federal court?	
			Yes	(If yes, please explain	V	No		
	I certify the acco	uracy of all in	formation	provided above.				

**Print** 

Signature:

Save As...

Reset

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Northstar Location Services Facing FDCPA Lawsuit Over Debt Collection Letter