U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

SEP 1 4 2018 JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK .By:_ DEP CLERK

MICHAEL PRINCE, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

VS.

No. 4:18-cv- 673 - BRW

SLEEP MANAGEMENT, L.L.C., d/b/a VIEMED This case assigned to District Judge <u>Wilson</u> DEFENDANT and to Magistrate Judge <u>Kearney</u> ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Michael Prince ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action against Defendant Sleep Management, L.L.C., d/b/a Viemed ("Defendant"), he does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. This is a class action and a collective action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other salaried Clinical Liaison employees who were employed by Defendant at any time within a three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint.

2. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages,

liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other salaried Clinical Liaison employees lawful overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA and the AMWA as described, *infra*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

 The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §
 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

 Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this Complaint.

 Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

7. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

8. Defendant does business in this District and a substantial part of the events alleged herein occurred in this District.

The witnesses to overtime wage violations alleged in this Complaint reside in this District.

۲

III. THE PARTIES

10. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

11. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Pulaski County.

12. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a salaried Clinical Liaison within the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

13. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff and those similarly situated who worked in Arkansas have been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provided under the FLSA.

14. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff and those similarly situated who worked in Arkansas have been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provided under the AMWA.

15. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the FLSA and the AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the class and collective.

16. Defendant is a provider of in-home medical services and equipment, operating in more than twenty (20) states, including Arkansas, and has its principal place of business in Lafayette, Louisiana.

17. Defendant is a foreign limited liability company, registered and licensed to do business in the State of Arkansas.

18. Defendant's registered agent for service of process in Arkansas is InCorp Services, Inc., 4250 Venetian Lane, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72703.

> Page 3 of 18 Michael Prince v. Sleep Management, L.L.C. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

19. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

20. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

21. At all relevant times, Defendant continuously employed at least four(4) employees.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

23. Defendant operates a medical equipment sales and in-home medical services business throughout numerous states, including Arkansas.

24. When Defendant hires salaried Clinical Liaisons, Defendant requires no minimum education have been obtained by the employees. These Clinical Liaison employees provide both medical therapy services and sales services for Defendant.

25. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Plaintiff and all other Clinical Liaisons were misclassified by Defendant as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and the AMWA and were not paid overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per work week. 26. Defendant regularly requires employees to work more than forty (40) hours in a work week, and has knowledge of its employees working more than (40) hours in each work week.

27. Defendant directly hired Plaintiff and other Clinical Liaisons, paid them wages and benefits, controlled their work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions, and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

28. Plaintiff and other Clinical Liaisons regularly worked in excess of forty(40) hours per week during their tenure with Defendant.

29. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and other Clinical Liaisons overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at any time.

30. As a result, Defendant did not pay Plaintiff or other Clinical Liaisons a lawful overtime premium of one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a week.

31. Plaintiff and other Clinical Liaisons were and are entitled to a lawful overtime compensation in the amount of one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each week during their tenure in which they worked more than forty (40) hours.

32. Defendant also paid to Plaintiff and each of its Clinical Liaisons nondiscretionary bonuses twice per year during their tenure with Defendant.

33. In performing services for Defendant, Plaintiff was not required to utilize professional as that term is defined in the law, but instead relied on the training that was provided to him by Defendant.

34. Plaintiff did not select any employees for hire nor did he provide any training for any employee during his training period. Plaintiff had no ability to hire and fire any employee during his training period.

35. Plaintiff did not maintain or prepare production reports or sales records for use in supervision or control of the business. Similarly, Plaintiff did not have any responsibility for planning or controlling budgets during his training period.

36. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid Plaintiff and other Clinical Liaisons violated the FLSA and the AMWA.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Collective

37. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

38. Plaintiff brings his claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

39. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims on behalf of all salaried Clinical Liaison employees who were employed by Defendant at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who were paid a salary instead of an hourly wage by Defendant and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

A. Proper payment for a lawful overtime premium based on a regular hourly rate which includes increases due to non-discretionary bonus income for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek; and

> Page 6 of 18 Michael Prince v. Sleep Management, L.L.C. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

B. Liquidated damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

40. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 216(b), Plaintiff has attached hereto as Exhibit "A" his written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

41. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward through the date of judgment, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

42. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in that they suffered these maltreatments:

A. Defendant's uniform failure to compensate employees pursuant to the requirements of the FLSA; and

B. Defendant's failure to pay members of the collective all overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

38. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of potential members of the FLSA Collective but believes that the group exceeds forty (40) persons.

39. In the modern era, most working-class Americans have become increasingly reliant on email and text messages, and generally use them just as often, if not more so, than traditional U.S. Mail.

40. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 216(b) Collective. The names, mailing addresses, phone numbers and email addresses of the FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Courtapproved Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text message to their last known mailing and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

B. <u>AMWA Rule 23 Class</u>

41. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the AMWA, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

42. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of salaried Clinical Liaison employees who are/were employed by Defendant within the relevant time period within the State of Arkansas.

43. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed class, such as whether Defendant paid the members of the proposed class lawful overtime wages, based on a regular rate inclusive of increases due to non-discretionary bonus income, in accordance with the AMWA.

44. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the proposed AMWA class.

45. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a brightline rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-202.

46. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the AMWA class but believes that the class exceeds forty (40) persons. Therefore, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

47. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel know of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

48. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because Defendant does business in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

49. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.

50. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in that Plaintiff worked as a Clinical Liaison and was paid a salary instead of an hourly wage during his employment with Defendant and experienced the same violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

51. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

52. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and

to the extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate additional counsel.

53. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.

VI. <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

54. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

55. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA.

56. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

57. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

58. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to pay one and one-half (1.5) times their regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

59. Defendant intentionally misclassified Plaintiff as exempt from overtime compensation during his employment.

60. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime premium of one and one-half (1.5) times his regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week during his employment, despite his entitlement thereto.

61. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

62. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, as provided by the FLSA.

63. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. <u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

64. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

65. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of all Clinical Liaisons employed by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative collective for unpaid overtime compensation during their employment. 66. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

67. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer" of Plaintiff and all those similarly situated within the meaning of the FLSA.

68. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

69. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to pay one and one-half (1.5) times their regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

70. Defendant intentionally misclassified Plaintiff and other salaried Clinical Liaison employees as exempt from overtime compensation.

71. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated a lawful overtime premium of forty (40) per week and one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week, despite their entitlement thereto.

72. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed overtime for the same reasons, the proposed collective is properly defined as follows:

All Clinical Liaisons and those holding similar positions who were employed within the past three years.

73. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

74. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and those similarly situated seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, as provided by the FLSA.

75. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff and those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. <u>THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

76. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

77. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA.

78. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the AMWA.

79. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to pay one and one-half (1.5) times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption

requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

80. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant classified Plaintiff as exempt from the overtime requirements of the AMWA during his employment.

81. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to a lawful overtime premium under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a lawful overtime premium of one and one-half (1.5) times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in each one-week period during his employment.

82. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

83. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee, as provided by the AMWA, for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

84. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

IX. <u>FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

85. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

86. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed class, asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA.

87. At all relevant times, Defendant has been an "employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

88. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to pay one and one-half (1.5) times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

89. Defendant classified Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as exempt from the overtime requirements of the AMWA during their employment.

90. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class to lawful overtime payments under the AMWA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class a lawful overtime premium of one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) during their employment.

91. Plaintiff proposes to represent the AMWA liability class of individuals defined as follows:

All Clinical Liaisons and those holding similar positions who were employed in Arkansas within the past three years.

92. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

Page 15 of 18 Michael Prince v. Sleep Management, L.L.C. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action 93. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee, as provided by the AMWA, for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

94. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Michael Prince, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein and for the following relief:

A. That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the collective and class members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the collective and class members and all monies paid to them;

B. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the FLSA and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 *et seq.;*

C. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the AMWA and the related regulations;

D. Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;

•

E. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the FLSA and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 *et seq.*;

F. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the AMWA and the related regulations;

G. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 *et seq.*, in an amount equal to all unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the collective and class members during the applicable statutory period;

H. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the AMWA and the relating regulations;

I. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the collective and class pre-judgment interest, a reasonable attorney's fee and all costs connected with this action; and

J. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

٠

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL PRINCE, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Christopher Burks Ark. Bar No. 2010207 chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Jøsk Sanford Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

Page 18 of 18 Michael Prince v. Sleep Management, L.L.C. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:18-cv-____ Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Ca	se 4:18-cv-0067	3-BRW Doc	ument 1-1 Filed 0			
JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)		CIVIL CO	VER SHEET	H:18-0V-	-673-BRV	
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court. purpose of initiating the civil do	the information contained h This form, approved by th cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	erein neither replace nor e Judicial Conference of TONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	supplement the filing and servic the United States in September THIS FORM.)	ce of pleadings or other papers 1974, is required for the use o	as required by law, except as f the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL PRINCE, Indiv Situated	dually and on Behalf o	of All Others Similar	DEFENDANTS SLEEP MANAGE	S MENT, L.L.C., d/b/a VIE	MED	
(b) County of Residence o (EX	First Listed Plaintiff Plaintiff CAR	ulaski SES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known))		
Josh Sanford, SANFORD South Shackleford, Suite 501-221-0088; josh@san	411, Little Rock, Arka		, 650			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
□ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	lot a Party)		1 1 Incorporated or P of Business In	Principal Place 🖸 4 🗇 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	
			Citizen or Subject of a foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		hy) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine 120 Million Ant	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	315 Airplane Product Liability	□ 367 Health Care/		28 030 157	□ 400 State Reapportionment	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		□ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent	□ 450 Commerce	
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	□ 368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	 340 Marine 345 Marine Product 	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 	485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	490 Cable/Sat TV	
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
D 190 Flanchise	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical		890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	Leave Act S D 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	□ 791 Employee Retirement	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 895 Freedom of Information	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	or Defendant)	Act	
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	 442 Employment 443 Housing/ 	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure 	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	□ 530 General		20 030 7003	Act/Review or Appeal of	
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	□ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe	 definition 462 Naturalization Application definition 465 Other Immigration 	n	State Statutes	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions			
	448 Education	 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - 				
		Conditions of				
V OBICIN -		Confinement	l	.1		
	moved from 3	Remanded from	Reopened Anot	ferred from G 6 Multidis her District Litigation	on - Litigation -	
			speci) filing (Do not cite jurisdictional su		Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	29 U.S.C. 201 et		tining (Do not cue jurisaicuonai si	unnes uniess urversny/.		

	Unpaid Ove				
VII. REQUESTED	IN Z CHECK IF	THIS IS A CLASS ACTION D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only if d	emanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT		ULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
VIII. RELATED C IF ANY	ASE(S) (See instructio	ns): JUDGE	D	OCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD		
09/14/2018					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	

MAG. JUDGE

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>'Misclassified' Viemed Employee Seeks to Recover Allegedly Unpaid Overtime</u>