	Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 File	ed 06/09/17 Page 1 of 21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP Jahan C. Sagafi (Cal. Bar No. 224887) One Embarcadero Center, 38 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 638-8800 Facsimile: (415) 638-8810 Email: jsagafi@outtengolden.com SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C. Kevin J. Stoops (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Charles R. Ash, IV (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) One Towne Square, 17th Floor Southfield, Michigan 48076 Telephone: (248) 355-0300 Facsimile: (248) 746-4001 Email: kstoops@sommerspc.com Email: crash@sommerspc.com	
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Proposed Collective and Class Members	
13 14	UNITED STATES D FOR THE NORTHERN DIS SAN FRANCISCO/OA	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	JENNIE POYE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.:
17 18	Plaintiff, vs.	COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND
	Plaintiff,	
18 19 20	Plaintiff, vs. YELP, INC.,	
 18 19 20 21 22 	Plaintiff, vs. YELP, INC.,	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Plaintiff, vs. YELP, INC.,	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Plaintiff, vs. YELP, INC.,	

Plaintiff, Jennie Poye, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and
 through her attorneys, hereby brings this Collective and Class Action Complaint against Defendant,
 Yelp, Inc., and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

5 1. This is a collective and class action brought pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 6 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 by Plaintiff, Jennie Poye ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all similarly 7 situated persons (Jennie Poye and the putative Collective and Class are hereinafter referred to as 8 "Plaintiffs") employed by Defendant, Yelp, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Yelp"), arising from Defendant's 9 willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA," or 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.), Arizona 10 Wage Law (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-350 et seq.), the Arizona Minimum Wage Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 11 23-362 et seq.), the Arizona Administrative Code (R-20-5-1201 et seq.), and common law breach of contract. 12

13 2. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a non-exempt call center sales agent
14 ("Agent").

3. The U.S. Department of Labor recognizes that call center jobs, like those held by
Defendant's Agents, are homogenous; in July 2008, it issued Fact Sheet #64 to alert call center
employees to some of the abuses which are prevalent in the industry.

4. One of those abuses, which is at issue in this case, is the employer's refusal to pay
for work "from the beginning of the first principal activity of the workday to the end of the last
principal activity of the workday." *Id*.

5. More specifically, Fact Sheet #64 condemns an employer's non-payment of an
employee's necessary pre-shift activities: "An example of the first principal activity of the day for
agents/specialists/representatives working in call centers includes starting the computer to
download work instructions, computer applications and work-related emails." *Id.* Additionally,
the FLSA requires that "[a] daily or weekly record of all hours worked, including time spent in preshift and post-shift job-related activities, must be kept." *Id.*

27

4

28

6. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees for their pre-,
 mid-, and post-shift time spent booting up their computers, logging into required computer software
 applications, and reviewing work-related e-mails and other information.

4 7. Additionally, as more fully described below, Defendant pressured Plaintiff and all
5 similarly situated employees to perform off the clock work by discouraging them from recording
6 more than forty (40) hours in any single work week.

7

JURISDICTION

8 8. This court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claim pursuant to 28
9 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiff's claim raises a federal question under 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*

9. Additionally, this court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claim pursuant to 29
U.S.C. § 216(b), which provides that suit under the FLSA "may be maintained against any
employer . . . in any Federal or State court of competent jurisdiction."

- 13 10. Defendant's annual sales exceed \$500,000 and it has more than two employees, so
 14 the FLSA applies in this case on an enterprise basis. Defendant's employees, including the
 15 Plaintiffs in this case, engage in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for commerce
 16 and therefore they are also covered by the FLSA on an individual basis.
- 17 11. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law class claims pursuant to the
 18 Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The aggregate claims of the individual
 19 class members exceed the sum value of \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, there are
 20 believed to be in excess of 100 Class members, and this is a case in which at least some members
 21 of the proposed classes have a different citizenship from Defendant.

12. The court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to
23 28 U.S.C. §1367 because the state law claims and the federal claim are so closely related that they
24 form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

25 13. The court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
26 §§ 2201 and 2202.

27 14. The court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant does business
28 within the state of California, is headquartered at 140 New Montgomery St, 9th Floor, San

Francisco, California, and is registered with the California Department of the Secretary of State 1 2 (Business ID: C2677032).

3 15. Personal jurisdiction also applies to Defendant because Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting activities in the state of California and established 4 5 minimum contacts sufficient to confer jurisdiction over Defendant, and the assumption of jurisdiction over Defendant will not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice 6 7 and is consistent with the Constitutional requirements of due process.

8

VENUE

9 16. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California because Defendant employs 10 Agents in this district, and a substantial portion of the events forming the basis of this suit 11 (including the creation and implementation of the pay policies in question) occurred in the Northern District of California. 12

13

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

17. 14 A substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claims occurred 15 in San Francisco County, and therefore this action is properly assigned to the San Francisco or 16 Oakland Division. N.D. Cal. Local Rule 3-2(c)-(d). Defendant's headquarters are in San Francisco 17 County, and the pay policies at issue in the case were formulated and instituted by Defendant in 18 San Francisco County.

19

PARTIES

18. 20 Plaintiff Jennie Poye is a resident of Denver, Colorado, and was employed by 21 Defendant as an Agent in the Scottsdale, Arizona call center from February 2, 2015 until March 31, 22 2017. Plaintiff Poye signed a consent form to join this lawsuit, which is attached as *Exhibit A*.

23 19. Defendant operates and has operated "call centers" in Arizona and other locations 24 across the country where telephone-dedicated employees, hereinafter referred to as "Agents," 25 handle phone calls and attempt to sell advertising services to Defendant's customers.

20. 26 Defendant is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters located in San Francisco, 27 California. Defendant's registered agent for service of process in this case is National Registered 28 Agents, Inc., 818 W. Seventh St., Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017.

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 5 of 21

1

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

2 21. Defendant employed Plaintiff Jennie Poye as a full-time Agent at its brick-and-3 mortar call center location in Scottsdale, Arizona from February 2, 2015 until March 31, 2017. 4 Plaintiff's most recent pay rate was \$52,000.00 annually or \$25.00 per hour, plus commissions.

5 22. Plaintiffs and other Agents perform the following duties and tasks: responding to client inquiries regarding advertising space, conducting inbound and outbound sales calls, 6 7 completing sales applications for clients, and preparing and submitting work orders for approval by management. 8

9 23. In order to perform their jobs, Plaintiff and other Agents were required to boot up 10 and log in to various computer programs, software programs, and applications in order to access 11 required information and software. The boot-up/log-in process took substantial time on a daily 12 basis ranging from 5 to 10 minutes per day, and up to 30 minutes on days where their computers 13 were not working properly.

14 24. However, Defendant's policy required Plaintiff and all other similarly situated 15 Agents to perform this pre-shift work before the start of their scheduled shift, so that they were 16 prepared to start calls at the moment their scheduled shift began.

17 25. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Agents recorded and submitted their own 18 hours in a program called *WorkDay*. However, Defendant trained and expressly instructed Agents 19 not to record more than forty (40) hours a week in WorkDay. Defendant also used its scheduling 20 and adherence policies against Agents to force them to only record forty (40) hours per work week, 21 but, at the same time also required Agents to be prepared to take calls at the moment their scheduled shift started. As a result, Agents were not actually "clocked in" for their shifts until after 22 23 the computer boot-up/log-in process was complete, meaning that Plaintiff and all other Agents 24 worked at least 5 to 10 minutes each per shift that they were never compensated for.

25 26. The off-the-clock time Plaintiffs spend booting up computers and logging into 26 software applications directly benefitted Defendant.

27 27. This boot-up/log-in process was an essential part of Plaintiffs' job responsibilities as 28 Agents.

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 6 of 21

28. Additionally, Defendant also required Plaintiff and other Agents to attend pre-shift
 sales meetings where they received work instructions. These meetings often occurred up to thirty
 (30) minutes before the Agents scheduled shift. Additionally, on some days, Plaintiffs were
 required to watch mandatory training and instructional videos before the start of their scheduled
 shift. Plaintiff and other similarly situated Agents were not compensated for all hours worked
 during these pre-shift sales meetings and for time spent watching training videos.

Defendant's scheduling policies permitted Plaintiff and other Agents a one (1) hour
unpaid lunch break. However, Plaintiff and other Agents were not completely relieved of all work
activities during their lunch breaks. Defendant used its schedule adherence policies against
Plaintiff and other Agents to ensure that their work hours did not exceed forty (40) hours in a single
work week, and this often meant reporting an hour lunch when, in fact, their lunch period was
shorter.

30. Plaintiff and other similarly situated Agents were often on sales calls well past the
end of their scheduled shift. Additionally, the one (1) to two (2) minutes spent shutting down and
closing computer applications and networks after the scheduled shift ended was not recorded by
Plaintiff and other Agents due to Defendant's schedule adherence policies.

31. Defendant used its schedule adherence policies against Plaintiff and other similarly
situated Agents to discourage them from recording time worked beyond the end of their scheduled
shift. In the event that Plaintiff or another similarly situated Agent wanted to submit time in excess
of forty (40) hours per week, they were required to stay even later and complete an overtime
approval form that explained the reason they worked over forty (40) hours in a week. Often times,
even after being made aware of the overtime worked, Defendant denied the request, which meant
the time worked over forty (40) was not compensated at all.

24 32. Plaintiff and other similarly situated Agents were instructed by management to
25 adjust the hours they submitted in *WorkDay* to match forty (40) hours each workweek. As a result,
26 to avoid scrutiny from management, Plaintiff and other Agents generally used their scheduled start
27 time and end time, minus one hour to reflect an unpaid lunch, as the time submitted via *WorkDay*.

28

. -

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 7 of 21

1 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant utilizes a program called *ShorTel* that 2 monitors the times and lengths of calls made by Plaintiff and other similarly situated Agents. 3 Accordingly, where an Agent's time records illustrated a clock out time in *WorkDay* before the end 4 of the Agent's call as documented by *ShorTel*, the Agent would unquestionably have performed 5 uncompensated work.

6 34. At all relevant times, Defendant controlled Plaintiff's and other Agents' work
7 schedule, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions.

8 35. Despite seeing and knowing that Plaintiffs and other Agents performed work at their
9 work stations prior to their scheduled shift time, during lunch, or after their scheduled shift time,
10 Defendant and its managers on the floor of the call center did not make any effort to stop or
11 otherwise disallow this pre-, mid-, and post-shift off-the-clock work and instead allowed and
12 permitted it to happen.

36. Defendant possesses, controls and/or has access to information and electronic data
that shows the times Plaintiff and other Agents booted up and logged into and out of their
computers and the time they logged into and out of their telephone systems each day.

At all relevant times, Defendant was able to track the amount of time that Plaintiffs
and other Agents spent in connection with the preliminary boot-up/log-in and boot-up/log-out
processes; however, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the putative Classes and Collective for
the pre-shift boot-up/log-in process and the post-shift shut-down/log-out process in connection with
each shift.

38. At all relevant times, Defendant used its adherence and attendance policies against
Plaintiff and other Agents in ways that resulted in systematic under-compensation of Plaintiff and
other Agents. Further, Defendant expressly instructed Plaintiffs to not record all hours worked in *WorkDay*.

25 39. Defendant disciplined or criticized Plaintiffs if they were not logged into their
26 phones and ready to handle calls by the start of their scheduled shift time.

40. These policies discussed herein coerced Agents, including Plaintiffs, into coming in
early to boot up their computers, initialize their software programs and read company e-mails and

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 8 of 21

instructions, watch mandatory training videos, and attend sales meetings prior to their start of their
 scheduled shift time. Additionally, these polices discouraged Agents from recording all hours
 worked and instead encouraged and permitted off-the-clock work.

4 41. Defendant did not instruct Plaintiffs and other Agents to not log into their computers
5 or telephone, or to not read company e-mails, prior to the start of their scheduled shift time. Rather,
6 Defendant required, permitted and/or allowed Plaintiffs and other Agents to work prior to their
7 scheduled shift time.

8 42. At all relevant times, Defendant's policies and practices deprived Plaintiffs and
9 other Agents of wages owed for the pre-, mid-, and post-shift work activities described above.
10 Because Plaintiffs and other Agents often worked 40 hours or more in a workweek, Defendant's
11 pay practices also deprived them of overtime pay at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate of pay.

43. As such, Defendant failed to provide accurate records of the time worked byPlaintiff and other Agents in violation of their duty under the FLSA and under state law.

44. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Agents were
paid twice a month.¹ An example of Defendant failing to pay Plaintiff Jennie Poye overtime for
hours worked in excess of 40 hours (as mandated by the FLSA) includes the following:

Pay period ending March 31, 2017:

- Plaintiff was paid for 86.67 hours at her regular hourly rate and no hours at an overtime rate. (*Exhibit B*).
- Additionally, with at least 5-10 minutes of pre-shift boot-up time per shift and 1-2 minutes in post-shift shut-down time, over twelve (12) shifts,² Plaintiff should have been paid an additional 72 to 144 minutes at her overtime rate for this twelve shift pay period.
- 24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Plaintiff's paystubs reflect that she worked 86.67 hours per pay period at her regular rate of pay. Defendant paid
 Plaintiffs twice a month, or 24 times a year. The reason Plaintiff's pay stubs state that she worked 86.67 hours per pay period at her regular rate is because Defendant simply used the total number of regular rate work hours in a year (40 hours per week x 52 weeks = 2,080 hours) and divided that by the number of pay periods in the year (2,080 hours / 24

26 || pay periods = 86.67 hours).

27 ² The number of shifts performed by Plaintiff varied by pay period and are evident in her paystubs, some of which are attached as exhibits to this complaint. For the purposes of calculating unpaid wages, Plaintiff is assumed to have worked one shift per day.

	Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 9 of 21
1	Pay period ending February 28, 2017:
2 3	Plaintiff was paid for 86.67 hours at her regular rate and 0.95 hours at her overtime rate. (<i>Exhibit C</i>).
4 5	Additionally, with at least 5-10 minutes of pre-shift boot-up time per shift and 1-2 minutes of post-shift shut-down time, over nine (9) shifts, Plaintiff should have been paid an additional 54 to 108 minutes at her overtime rate for this nine shift pay period.
6 7	45. Under the FLSA the regular rate is the "keystone" to calculating the overtime rate.
8	Walling v. Youngerman-Reynolds Hardwood Co., 325 U.S. 419 (1945). It is "the hourly rate
9	actually paid the employee for the normal, nonovertime workweek for which he is employed." 29
10	C.F.R. §778.108.
11	46. No matter how an employee is paid—whether by the hour, by the piece, on a
12	commission, or on a salary-the employee's compensation must be converted to an equivalent
13	hourly rate from which the overtime rate can be calculated. 29 C.F.R. §778.109. "The regular
14	hourly rate of pay is determined by dividing the employee's total remuneration for employment
15	(except statutory exclusions) in any workweek by the total number of hours actually worked by the
16	employee in that workweek for which such compensation was paid." Id.
17	47. Defendant's Agents were paid a base salary, plus commission, for their non-exempt
18	work.
19	48. However, Defendant's Agents' salary and commission does not fall within any of
20	the statutory exclusions from the regular rate as provided in 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(e)(1)-(8).
21	49. A salaried employee's regular rate of pay is computed by reference to the number of
22	hours the salary is intended to compensate. 29 C.F.R. §778.113. If a salary covers a period of time
23	that exceeds the typical 40-hour workweek, the salary must be converted to its workweek
24	equivalent. 29 C.F.R. §778.113(b). Thus, if an employee is paid bi-weekly, the wage is translated
25	to its equivalent weekly wage by multiplying by 26 (pay periods) and dividing by 52 (weeks). If
26	the employee is paid monthly, wage is translated to its equivalent weekly wage by multiplying by
27	12 (months) and dividing by 52 (weeks). Id. Once the applicable workweek salary is determined,
28	the regular rate is computed by dividing the derived workweek the salary was intended to cover.
	9
	COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 10 of 21

50. There is a statutory presumption that remuneration in any form must be included in
 the regular rate calculation. The burden is on the Defendant to establish that any payment should
 be excluded. *Madison v. Resources for Human Dev. Inc.*, 233 F.3d 187 (3rd Cir. 2000). Thus,
 determining the regular rate starts from the premise that all payments made to Plaintiff for work
 performed are included in the base calculation unless specifically excluded by statute.

51. Additionally, "commissions (whether based on a percentage of total sales or of sales
in excess of a specified amount, or on some other formula) are payments for hours worked and
must be included in the regular rate. This is true regardless of whether the commission is the sole
source of the employee's compensation or is paid in addition to a guaranteed salary or hourly rate,
or on some other basis, and regardless of the method, frequency, or regularity of computing,
allocating and paying the commission." 29 C.F.R. § 778.117.

12 52. Once the total amount of an employee's "regular" compensation is deduced, "the 13 determination of the regular rate becomes a matter of mathematical computation." *Walling v.* 14 *Youngerman-Reynolds Hardwood Co.*, 325 U.S. 419, 425 (1945). The regular rate must be 15 expressed as an hourly rate because, although any method of compensating an employee is 16 permitted, the FLSA imposes its overtime requirements in terms of hourly wages. Thus, if 17 necessary, an employer must convert an employee's wages to an hourly rate to determine 18 compliance with the statute.

19 53. Plaintiffs' "total remuneration" for purposes of calculating their overtime rates
20 included salary earnings *and* the payment of any commissions earned during the work week.

54. Accordingly, Defendant was required to pay their Plaintiffs at an overtime rate that
included the Plaintiffs' "total remuneration" (i.e. salary plus commissions received in the
workweek). However, Defendant failed to do so.

55. For example, for the October 15, 2016 workweek, Plaintiff's overtime rate was
incorrectly calculated as follows:

26

 \triangleright

27

On October 15, 2016, Plaintiff's base salary was \$48,000.00. That salary equated to \$2,000.00 per pay check, based on 24 pay periods, or approximately \$923.08 per work week (\$48,000.00 / 52 weeks = \$923.0769). Defendant calculated her regular

28

10

	Case 3	3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 11 of 21
1 2		base hourly rate as \$23.0769 and her base overtime rate at \$34.6154. These rates do not include her commissions received in any given workweek. <i>Exhibit D</i> .
3	\checkmark	During the workweek of October 15, 2016, Plaintiff was paid \$1,650.00 in commissions. <i>Exhibit D</i> . Accordingly, her regular hourly rate should have been
4 5		\$62.76 (\$1,650 commissions + \$923.08 workweek salary = \$2,573.08 total remuneration for the workweek; then \$2,573.08 divided by approximately 41 hours worked = \$62.76 regular rate).
6	\succ	As set forth above, Defendant patently violated the FLSA by improperly calculating
7		Plaintiffs' overtime rates because they failed to include commission payments in the calculation.
8	\blacktriangleright	Accordingly, with at least 5-10 minutes of pre-shift boot-up time per shift and 1-2
9 10		minutes of post-shift shut-down time, multiplied over five (5) shifts, Plaintiff should have been paid an additional 30 to 60 minutes at her overtime premium rate of \$94.14 per hour.
11 12	\succ	Additionally, for any other off-the-clock-work performed beyond forty hours in this workweek, such as time spent watching training videos, staying late to take calls, or
12		for participation in team sales meetings, Plaintiff should have been paid at her overtime rate of \$94.14 per hour.
14	56.	Defendant classifies Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Agents as non-exempt
15	employees v	who are entitled to overtime compensation. Exhibit E, New Hire Employee
16	Acknowledge	ement Form, p. 1.
17	57.	Defendant is a leader in its field, employs thousands of Agents, and knew or should
18	have known	that Plaintiffs and other Agents' time spent in connection with the preliminary boot-
19	up/log-in pro	cess is compensable under the FLSA and the common law.
20		COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS
21	58.	Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of the FLSA on her own
22	behalf and on	behalf of:
23		urrent and former call center agents who worked for Defendant at any time
24	after .	<i>June 9, 2014 and through the date of Judgment.</i>
25	(hereinafter r	eferred to as the "Collective"). Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this definition as
26	necessary.	
27	59.	Excluded from the proposed Collective are Defendant's executives, administrative,
28	and professio	nal employees, including computer professionals and outside sales persons.
		11
		COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
	1	

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 12 of 21

60. With respect to the claims set forth in this action, a collective action under the FLSA
 is appropriate because the employees described above are "similarly situated" to Plaintiffs under 29
 U.S.C. § 216(b). The class of employees on behalf of whom Plaintiff brings this collective action
 are similarly situated because: (a) they have been or are employed in the same or similar positions;
 (b) they were or are subject to the same or similar unlawful practices, policy, or plan; and (c) their
 claims are based upon the same factual and legal theories.

7 61. The employment relationships between Defendant and every Collective member is
8 the same and differ only by name, location, and rate of pay. The key issues – whether Defendant
9 failed to pay Agents for all hours worked, including pre-, mid-, and post-shift work activities and
10 whether such time is compensable – do not vary substantially among the Collective members.

62. Plaintiff estimates the Collective, including both current and former employees over
the relevant period, will include several thousand members. The precise number of Collective
members should be readily available from a review of Defendant's personnel and payroll records.

14

RULE 23 NATIONWIDE CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

15 63. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) on their
16 own behalf and on behalf of:

17

18

All current and former call center agents who worked for Defendant at any time after June 9, 2014 and through the date of Judgment.

19 (hereinafter referred to as the "Rule 23 Nationwide Class"). Plaintiff reserves the right to amend
20 this definition as necessary.

64. The members of the Rule 23 Nationwide Class are so numerous that joinder of all
Rule 23 Nationwide Class members in this case would be impractical. Plaintiff reasonably
estimates there are thousands of Rule 23 Nationwide Class members. Rule 23 Nationwide Class
members should be easy to identify from Defendant's computer systems and electronic payroll and
personnel records.

2665. There is a well-defined community of interest among Rule 23 Nationwide members27and common questions of law and fact predominate in this action over any questions affecting

28

individual members of the Rule 23 Nationwide Class. These common legal and factual questions, 1 include, but are not limited to, the following: 2

3 4 a. Whether the pre-, mid-, and post-shift time Rule 23 Nationwide Class members spend on work activities is compensable time; and

5

b. Whether Defendant's non-payment of wages for all compensable time amounts to a breach of contract.

6 66. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Rule 23 Nationwide Class in that they 7 and all other Rule 23 Nationwide Class members suffered damages as a direct and proximate result 8 of the Defendant's common and systemic payroll policies and practices. Plaintiff's claims arise 9 from the same pay policies, practices, promises and course of conduct as all other Rule 23 10 Nationwide Class members' claims and her legal theories are based on the same legal theories as 11 all other Rule 23 Nationwide Class members.

- 12 67. Plaintiff will fully and adequately protect the interests of the Rule 23 Nationwide 13 Class and have retained counsel who are qualified and experienced in the prosecution of 14 nationwide wage and hour class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor their counsel have interests that are 15 contrary to, or conflicting with, the interests of the Rule 23 Nationwide Class.
- 16 68. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 17 adjudication of this controversy, because, *inter alia*, it is economically infeasible for Rule 23 18 Nationwide Class members to prosecute individual actions of their own given the relatively small 19 amount of damages at stake for each individual along with the fear of reprisal by their employer. 20Prosecution of this case as a Rule 23 Class action will also eliminate the possibility of duplicative 21 lawsuits being filed in state and federal courts throughout the nation.
- 22
- 23 24

69. This case will be manageable as a Rule 23 Class action. Plaintiff and her counsel know of no unusual difficulties in this case and Defendant has advanced, networked computer and payroll systems that will allow the class, wage, and damages issues in this case to be resolved with relative ease.

26

25

70. Because the elements of Rule 23(b)(3) are satisfied in this case, class certification is 27 appropriate. Shady Grove Orthopedic Assoc., P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co., 559 U.S. 393; 130 S. Ct. 28

13

1 1431, 1437 (2010) ("[b]y its terms [Rule 23] creates a categorical rule entitling a plaintiff whose
 2 suit meets the specified criteria to pursue his claim as a class action").

71. Because Defendant acted and refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the
Rule 23 Nationwide Class and declaratory relief is appropriate in this case with respect to the Rule
23 Nationwide Class as a whole, class certification pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) is also appropriate.

6

RULE 23 ARIZONA CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

7 72. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) on her own
8 behalf and on behalf of:

9

10

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All current and former call center agents who worked for Defendant in Arizona at any time after June 9, 2014 and through the date of Judgment.

(hereinafter referred to as the "Rule 23 Arizona Class"). Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this
 definition as necessary.

73. The members of the Rule 23 Arizona Class are so numerous that joinder of all Rule
Arizona Class members in this case would be impractical. Plaintiffs reasonably estimate that
there are hundreds of Rule 23 Arizona Class members. Rule 23 Arizona Class members should be
easy to identify from Defendant's computer systems and electronic payroll and personnel records.

74. There is a well-defined community of interest among Rule 23 Arizona Class
members and common questions of law and fact predominate in this action over any questions
affecting individual members of the Rule 23 Arizona Class. These common legal and factual
questions, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Whether the pre-, mid-, and post-shift time Rule 23 Arizona Class members spend on work activities is compensable time; and
- b. Whether Defendant paid the Rule 23 Arizona Class members for all hours worked and at or above the minimum wage that is required by Arizona state law;
 - c. Whether Defendant violated its recordkeeping duties under Arizona law in regards to the employment of the Rule 23 Arizona Class members;
- d. Whether Rule 23 Arizona Class members are owed overtime (above the federally mandated overtime wages due under the FLSA) for time spent performing pre-, mid-, and post-shift work activities, and if so, the appropriate amount thereof.

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 15 of 21

1 75. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Rule 23 Arizona Class in that she and all 2 other Rule 23 Arizona Class members suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of the 3 Defendant's common and systemic payroll policies and practices. Plaintiff's claims arise from the 4 same policies, practices, promises and course of conduct as all other Rule 23 Arizona Class 5 members' claims and her legal theories are based on the same legal theories as all other Rule 23 Arizona Class members. 6

7 76. Plaintiff will fully and adequately protect the interests of the Rule 23 Arizona Class 8 and she has retained counsel who are qualified and experienced in the prosecution of nationwide 9 wage and hour class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel have interests that are contrary to, or 10 conflicting with, the interests of the Rule 23 Arizona Class.

11 77. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 12 adjudication of this controversy, because, *inter alia*, it is economically infeasible for Rule 23 13 Arizona Class members to prosecute individual actions of their own given the relatively small amount of damages at stake for each individual along with the fear of reprisal by their employer. 14 15 Prosecution of this case as a Rule 23 Class action will also eliminate the possibility of duplicative lawsuits being filed in state and federal courts throughout the nation. 16

17 78. This case will be manageable as a Rule 23 Class action. Plaintiff and her counsel 18 know of no unusual difficulties in this case and Defendant has advanced, networked computer and 19 payroll systems that will allow the class, wage, and damages issues in this case to be resolved with relative ease. 20

21 79. Because the elements of Rule 23(b)(3) are satisfied in this case, class certification is 22 appropriate. Shady Grove Orthopedic Assoc., P.A. v. Allstate Ins. Co., 559 U.S. 393; 130 S. Ct. 23 1431, 1437 (2010) ("[b]y its terms [Rule 23] creates a categorical rule entitling a plaintiff whose 24 suit meets the specified criteria to pursue his claim as a class action").

25 80. Because Defendant acted and refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the 26 Rule 23 Arizona Class and declaratory relief is appropriate in this case with respect to the Rule 23 27 Arizona Class as a whole, class certification pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2) is also appropriate.

28

	Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 16 of 21
1 2 3	<u>COUNT I</u> (29 U.S.C. § 216(b) Collective Action) VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. § 201, <i>et seq.</i> FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME
4	81. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs herein.
5	82. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employer under 29 U.S.C.
6	§ 203(d) of the FLSA, subject to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
7	83. Defendant is engaged in interstate commerce, or in the production of goods for
8	commerce, as defined by the FLSA.
9	84. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and the members of the Collective were
10	"employees" of Defendant within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1) of the FLSA.
11	85. Plaintiff and the members of the Collective were either (1) engaged in commerce; or
12	(2) engaged in the production of goods for commerce; or (3) were employed in an enterprise
13	engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.
14	86. Defendant has had, and continues to have, an annual gross business volume in
15	excess of \$500,000.
16	87. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant "suffered or permitted" Plaintiff and all
17	similarly situated current and former employees to work and thus "employed" them within the
18	meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(g) of the FLSA.
19	88. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant required Plaintiff and all similarly
20	situated current and former Collective members to perform 5 to 10 minutes of pre-shift boot-up/log-
21	in activities per shift and at least 1 to 2 minutes of post-shift shut-down/log-off activities per shift,
22	but failed to pay these employees for this time and, where applicable, failed to pay the federally
23	mandated overtime compensation for all services performed.
24	89. Defendant also used its scheduling adherence policies and practices to require or
25	pressure Plaintiff and all similarly situated current and former Collective members to only record 40
26	hours of work per week, even when they worked in excess of 40 hours in the week.
27	90. Defendant also failed to relieve Plaintiff and all similarly situated current and former
28	Collective members from all work activities while on their unpaid lunch breaks. As a result,
	16 COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff and the members of the Collective were not paid for all hours worked and those hours were
 not factored into overtime calculations.

3 91. The pre-, mid-, and post-shift off-the-clock work performed by Plaintiffs and all
4 similarly situated Collective members every session is an essential part of their jobs and these
5 activities and the time associated with these activities are not *de minimis*.

92. In workweeks where Plaintiff and other Collective members worked 40 hours or
more, the uncompensated pre-, mid-, and post-shift time should have been paid at the federally
mandated rate of 150% of each employee's regularly hourly wage (which amount would increase
during pay periods when the employee received commissions). 29 U.S.C. § 207.

93. Defendant's violations of the FLSA were knowing and willful. Defendant knew or
could have easily determined how long it took for its Agents to complete the pre-, mid-, and postshift work tasks and Defendant could have properly compensated Plaintiffs and the Collective for
all of the work they performed, but did not.

14 94. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), provides that as a remedy for a violation of the Act,
15 an employee is entitled to his or her unpaid wages (and unpaid overtime if applicable) plus an
16 additional equal amount in liquidated damages (double damages), plus costs and reasonable
17 attorneys' fees.

18

19

<u>COUNT II</u> (Rule 23 Nationwide Class Action) <u>BREACH OF CONTRACT</u>

20

95. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs herein.

21
 26. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant had contracts with Plaintiff and every
 27
 28
 29
 29
 21
 29
 29
 20
 21
 21
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 29
 29
 21
 20
 21
 21
 21
 21
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 29
 20
 20
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 22
 21
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 27
 28
 29
 20
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 22
 21
 21
 22
 21
 22
 21
 22
 22
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 21
 22
 21
 21
 22
 23
 24
 24
 25
 26
 27

24 25

97. Each Rule 23 Nationwide Class member's contractual hourly rate is identified in paystubs and other records that Defendant prepares as part of its regular business activities.

Plaintiff and every other Rule 23 Nationwide Class member accepted the terms of
 Defendant's contractual promises and performed under the contract by doing their jobs and
 Defendant's contractual promises and performed under the contract by doing their jobs and

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 18 of 21

carrying out the work they performed each shift, including the unpaid off-the-clock work that was
 required of them, that they performed, and that was accepted by Defendant, in connection with the
 pre-shift work activities described herein.

- 99. By not paying Plaintiff and every other Rule 23 Nationwide Class member the
 agreed upon hourly wage for the pre-, mid-, and post shift activities performed prior to clocking in
 or after they clocked out for each shift, Defendant systematically breached its contracts with
 Plaintiff and each member of the Rule 23 Nationwide Class.
- 8 100. This claim is appropriate to the extent Plaintiff's and the Rule 23 Nationwide Class
 9 members' remedies under the FLSA are inadequate in that Defendant paid them more than the
 10 applicable minimum wage but less than 40 hours per week (i.e., pure "gap time" claims).
- 101. Defendant also breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing by failing to keep
 track of the time Plaintiff and other Rule 23 Nationwide Class members spent performing pre-,
 mid-, and post-shift work. Such record keeping is a fundamental part of an "employer's job."
- 14 102. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of the contracts alleged
 15 herein, Plaintiffs and every other member of the Rule 23 Nationwide Class have been damaged, in
 16 an amount to be determined at trial.

17 103. These claims are appropriate for nationwide class certification under Rules 23(b)(2)
18 and (b)(3) because the law of contracts is substantially the same throughout the United States.

19

20

21

22

23

<u>COUNT III</u> (Rule 23 Arizona Class Action)

<u>VIOLATION OF ARIZONA WAGE LAW (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-350 et seq.), ARIZONA</u> <u>MINIMUM WAGE ACT (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-362 et seq.), AND ARIZONA</u> <u>ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (R-20-5-1201 et seq.) – FAILURE TO PAY WAGES AND</u> <u>OVERTIME UNDER ARIZONA LAW</u>

104. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates all previous paragraphs herein.

24 105. At all relevant times, Arizona Wage Law required Defendant to pay Plaintiff and the
25 Arizona Class for all wages due. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-351 (C).

26 106. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the Arizona Class for all wages due in violation
27 of the Arizona Wage Law by not compensating the Plaintiff and the Arizona Class for all hours
28 worked during the pay period. *"Hours worked* includes all time an employee is employed" and

18

Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 19 of 21

"Wages means nondiscretionary compensation due an employee in return for labor or services
 rendered by an employee for which the employee has a reasonable expectation to be paid whether
 determined by a time, task, piece, commission or other method of calculation." Ariz. Rev. Stat.
 § 23-350.

5 107. A viable claim for gap time exists under the provisions of the Arizona Wage Law
6 (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-350 *et seq.*), the Arizona Minimum Wage Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-362 *et seq.*), and the Arizona Administrative Code (R-20-5-1201 *et seq.*).

8 108. Plaintiff and the Arizona Class are entitled to the recovery of treble the amount of
9 wages owed. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-355 (A).

10 109. Additionally, Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-364 (G) states: "Any employer who fails to pay 11 the wages or earned paid sick time required under this article shall be required to pay the employee 12 the balance of the wages or earned paid sick time owed, including interest thereon, and an 13 additional amount equal to twice the underpaid wages or earned paid sick time."

14 110. Defendant failed to record all hours worked by Plaintiff and the Arizona class as
15 required by the Arizona Wage Law (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-350 *et seq.*).

16 111. Pursuant to Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-364 (F): "Any employer who violates
17 recordkeeping, posting, or other requirements that the commission may establish under this article
18 shall be subject to a civil penalty of at least \$250 dollars for a first violation, and at least \$1000
19 dollars for each subsequent or willful violation and may, if the commission or court determines
20 appropriate, be subject to special monitoring and inspections."

21 112. Defendant's actions discussed above were willfully oppressive, fraudulent and
22 malicious, entitling Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Arizona Class to treble damages as set forth herein.

113. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Arizona law set forth herein, Plaintiff
and the Rule 23 Arizona Class have and will continue to suffer loss of income and other damages.
Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Arizona Class are entitled to recover unpaid wages owed,
plus costs, interest, attorneys' fees, treble damages, and other appropriate relief under Arizona law.

27

RELIEF REQUESTED

28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennie Poye, requests an entry of an Order for the following

19

	Case 3	3:17-cv-03356 Document 1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 20 of 21
1	relief:	
2	a.	Certifying this case as a collective action (for the FLSA Collective) in accordance
3		with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) with respect to the FLSA claims set forth herein (Count I);
4	b.	Certifying this action as a class action (for the Rule 23 Nationwide Class) pursuant to Rule $23(b)(2)$ and $(b)(3)$ with respect to Plaintiff's breach of contract claim
5		(Count II);
6	с.	Certifying this action as a class action (for the Rule 23 Arizona Class) pursuant to $P_{\rm rule} (22(h)(2))$ and $(h)(2)$ with respect to Plaintiff's Arizona state law claims (Count
7		Rule 23(b)(2) and (b)(3) with respect to Plaintiff's Arizona state law claims (Count III);
8	d.	Ordering Defendant to disclose in computer format, or in print if no computer
9		readable format is available, the names and addresses of all Collective action class members and Rule 23 Class members, and permitting Plaintiff to send notice of this action to all those similarly situated individuals including the publishing of nation in
10		action to all those similarly situated individuals, including the publishing of notice in a manner that is reasonably calculated to apprise the class members of their rights by
11		law to join and participate in this lawsuit;
12 13	e.	Designating Plaintiff as the representative of the FLSA Collective action class, the Rule 23 Nationwide Class, and the Rule 23 Arizona Class and undersigned counsel
13 14		as Class counsel for the same;
15	f.	Declaring Defendant willfully violated the FLSA and the Department of Labor's attendant regulations as cited herein;
16	g.	Declaring Defendant breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the members of the
17 18		Rule 23 Nationwide Class by failing to pay them for each hour they worked at a pre- established (contractual) regular hourly rate;
10 19	h.	Declaring Defendant willfully violated the Arizona Wage Law (Ariz. Rev. Stat. §
20		23-350 <i>et seq.</i>), the Arizona Minimum Wage Act (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 23-362 <i>et seq.</i>), and the Arizona Administrative Code (R-20-5-1201 <i>et seq.</i>);
21	i.	Granting judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant and awarding Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, the Rule 23 Nationwide Class, and the Rule 23 Arizona
22		Class the full amount of damages, penalties, and liquidated damages available by
23		law;
24	ј.	Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff in filing this action as provided by statute;
25	k.	Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest to Plaintiff on these damages; and
26	1.	Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
27		
28		
		20 COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, Jennie Poye, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and
through her attorneys, hereby demand a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure and the court rules and statutes made and provided with respect to the above
entitled cause.

6		Respectfully Submitted
7		
8	Dated: June 9, 2017	By: <u>/s/ Jahan C. Sagafi</u> Jahan C. Sagafi
9		OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP
10		Jahan C. Sagafi (Cal. Bar No. 224887)
11		One Embarcadero Center, 38 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
12		Telephone: (415) 638-8800 Facsimile: (415) 638-8810
13		Email: jsagafi@outtengolden.com
14		SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C.
15		Kevin J. Stoops (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Charles R. Ash, IV (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)
16		One Towne Square, 17th Floor Southfield, Michigan 48076
17		Telephone: (248) 355-0300 Facsimile: (248) 746-4001
18		Email: kstoops@sommerspc.com
19		Email: crash@sommerspc.com
20		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Proposed Collective and Class members
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		21
	COLLECTIVE AND CLA	ASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Exhibit A

	Case 3:17-cv-03356 Document 1-1 Filed 06/09/17 Page 2 of 2
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND DIVISION
4 5	JENNIE POYE, individually and on behalf of all Case No.: others similarly situated,
6	Plaintiff,
7	VS.
8	YELP, INC.,
9	Defendant.
10	Consent To Join Form
11	I work or worked for Yelp, Inc. ("Yelp") as an hourly, non-exempt Agent ("Agent") and
12	worked uncompensated overtime.
13	I choose to participate in the lawsuit titled <i>Poye v. Yelp, Inc.</i> , to recover unpaid overtime wages under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and other relief
14	under federal law.
15	I choose to be represented in this action by the named plaintiff and Sommers Schwartz, P.C.
16 17	and Outten & Golden LLP (collectively, "Plaintiff's Counsel"). I agree to be bound by their decisions in the litigation and by any adjudication of this action by a court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable. I understand that reasonable costs expended by Plaintiff's Counsel on my behalf
18	will be deducted from any settlement or judgment amount on a pro-rata basis among all other plaintiffs. I understand that Plaintiff's Counsel will petition the Court to award them attorneys' fees from any settlement or judgment.
19	
20	I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claims against Yelp, and/or any related entities or persons potentially liable.
21	
22	Print Name:
23	Signature:
24	Date: 06/08/2017
25	
26	
27	
28	

Exhibit B

Yelp Inc. 140 New Montgomery St. 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

· · · · ·

		Company		ophiesie v	Employe		Pay Perio		y Period End	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Check Numbe
Jennie Poye	h	elp Inc.			01	1525	03/1	6/2017	03/31/2017	03/31/2017	
			Gross Pav	Pr	e Tax Dedu	uctions	En	nployee Taxes	Post Tax	Deductions	Net Pa
Current		· · · · ·	2,166.67			65.01		546.45		0.00	1,555.2
YTD			17,673.48		6	524.05		4,893.67		133.54	12,122.2
		Earnir		sisticant cash				Non-		lavas Tavas	
Description	Dates	Eaiiiii	Hours	Rate	Amou	int	YTD	Description	Ettib	loyee Taxes Amount	YT
Local Sales Commission			Tidato	riato	7 41100			Social Security		134.34	1,095.8
Regular		17-03/31/2017	86.67	0	2,166.6	67		Medicare		31.42	256.2
RSU			00101	•	-,	•••		Federal Withho	ldina	323.94	3,078.5
Additional Reg								State Tax - AZ		56.75	463.0
Overtime							35.63				
это							673.08	1			
Regular	03/01/20	17-03/15/2017	-62.67	0	-1,566.6	67					
Regular	03/01/20	17-03/15/2017	40.17	0	1,004.1	17					
Sales Incentive Time							50.00				
Sick	03/01/20	17-03/15/2017	22.5	25	562.5	50	612.50				
Earnings					2,166.6	67	17,673.48	Employee Taxe	es	546.45	4,893.6
	Pr	Tax Deductions		olonade)s				D	ost Tax Deduc	tione	
	epiyaansin saadi saa	Tux Deductions	Amount			1993252449				Charles and the second s	YT
			Amount 65.01			Descrip RSU	otion			Amount	
401K					524.05 F	RSU	ax Deduction	าร		0.00	133.5
401K		Inver Poid Bonofit	65.01 65.01		524.05 F	RSU		าร	Touchic Wass	0.00	133.5
401K Pre Tax Deductions	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01		524.05 F 524.05 F	RSU Post Ta	ax Deduction	าร	Taxable Wage	0.00	133.5 133.5
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount		524.05 F 524.05 F YTD L	RSU Post Ta Descrip	ax Deduction		Taxable Wage	0.00 99 Amount	133.5 133.5
Description 401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Repetits	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01		524.05 F 524.05 F YTD E 524.05 C	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI	ax Deduction otion - Taxable V	Vages	Taxable Wage	0.00 es Amount 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 <u>YTI</u> 17,674.3
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72		524.05 524.05 F YTD 524.05 1,234.32	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction otion - Taxable V re - Taxable	Vages Wages		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 133.5 YTE 17,674.3 17,674.3
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01		524.05 524.05 F YTD 524.05 1,234.32	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction otion - Taxable V re - Taxable	Vages		0.00 es Amount 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 133.5 YTE 17,674.3 17,674.3
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14		524.05 524.05 F 524.05 524.05 524.05 1,234.32 0.84 F	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction otion - Taxable V re - Taxable	Vages Wages		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 133.5 YTH 17,674.3 17,674.3
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72		524.05 524.05 F YTD 524.05 1,234.32	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction - Taxable V re - Taxable I Withholdin	Vages 9 Wages g - Taxable Way		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,150.2
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14		524.05 524.05 F 524.05 524.05 524.05 1,234.32 0.84 F	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction - Taxable W re - Taxable I Withholding Fe	Vages • Wages g - Taxable Way deral		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 YTI 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,150.2
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14		524.05 524.05 F 524.05 524.05 524.05 1,234.32 0.84 F	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction - Taxable W re - Taxable I Withholding Fe	Vages 9 Wages 9 - Taxable Way deral		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 YTI 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,150.2
A01K Pre Tax Deductions Description A01(k) Match ER paid Benefits 3TL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Allowances	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14		524.05 524.05 F 524.05 524.05 524.05 1,234.32 0.84 F	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction - Taxable W re - Taxable I Withholding Fe	Vages • Wages g - Taxable Way deral		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 YTI 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,150.2 State
Pre Tax Deductions Description 101(k) Match ER paid Benefits STL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Newances	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14		524.05 524.05 F 524.05 524.05 524.05 1,234.32 0.84 F	RSU Post Ta Descrip OASDI Medica	ax Deduction - Taxable W re - Taxable I Withholding Fe	Vages 9 Wages 9 - Taxable Way deral		0.00 25 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81	133.5 133.5 133.5 YTI 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,150.2 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Allowances Additional Withholding	Emp		65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14 270.87		524.05 524.05 F 524.05 524.05 524.05 1,234.32 0.84 F	RSU Post Ta Description Descri	ax Deduction - Taxable V re - Taxable I I Withholding Fe S	Vages 9 Wages g - Taxable Way deral ingle 0 0	ges	0.00 33 Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81 2,101.80	133.5 133.5 YTE 17,674.3 17,674.3 17,150.2 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match	Emp	loyer Paid Benefits	65.01 65.01 3 Amount 65.01 205.72 0.14 270.87		524.05 524.05 F YTD 524.05 7 1,234.32 0.84 F 1,759.21	RSU Post Ta Descrip Descrip Aspectation Post Ta Descrip Descri	ax Deduction - Taxable V re - Taxable V I Withholding Fe S	Vages 9 Wages g - Taxable Way deral ingle 0 0		0.00 PS Amount 2,166.81 2,166.81 2,101.80	133.5- 133.5- YTE 17,674.32 17,674.32 17,150.22 State () () ()

Exhibit C

Yelp Inc. 140 New Montgomerv St. 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

Name	Company		en son de la company	Employee II			Period End		Check Number
Jennie Poye	Yelp Inc.			01152	5 02/	16/2017	02/28/2017	02/28/2017	
		Gross Pay	Pr	e Tax Deductio	ins Er	mployee Taxes	Post Tax D	eductions	Net Pay
Current		2,202.30		66.	07	558.74		0.00	1,577.49
YTD		13,253.89		391.	45	3,770.99		133.54	8,957.91
	Earning	as				Tonica Contactor	Emplo	oyee Taxes	
Description	Dates	Hours	Rate	Amount	YTD	Description	Lipte	Amount	YTC
Local Sales Commission					4,345.00	Social Security		136.55	821.78
Regular	02/16/2017-02/28/2017	86.67	0	2,166.67		Medicare		31.93	192.19
RSU Overtime	02/01/2017 02/45/2017	0.95	075	25.02		Federal Withhold	ding	332.58	2,409.71
PTO	02/01/2017-02/15/2017	0.95	37.5	35.63	35.63 73.08	State Tax - AZ		57.68	347.31
Sales Incentive Time					50.00				
Sick					50.00				
Earnings				2,202.30	13,253.89	Employee Taxes	3	558.74	3,770.99
	Pre Tax Deductions					Pos	st Tax Deductio	one	
			Harris and the	ananananan karata		ΓO		We manifest an accession of a second second	YTD
Description	The Tex Deductions	Amount			cription			Amount	
Description 401K		Amount 66.07		YTD Des 391.45 RSL	cription			Amount	133.54
				391.45 RSU		ins		Amount	
401K		66.07		391.45 RSU	1			0.00	133.54
401K Pre Tax Deductions	Employer Paid Benefits	66.07		391.45 RSU 391.45 Post	Tax Deductio		axable Wages	0.00	133.54
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description		66.07 66.07 Amount		391.45 RSU 391.45 Post	Tax Deduction	1	axable Wages	0,00 Amount	133.54 133.54 YTD
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 Dess 391.45 OAS	Tax Deduction pription DI - Taxable V	1 Wages	axable Wages	0.00 Amount 2,202.44	133.54 133.54
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description		66.07 66.07 Amount		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 Dest 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V	1 Wages		0,00 Amount	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 Dest 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V	T Wages e Wages		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 Dest 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V	T Wages e Wages		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 Dest 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V	T Wages e Wages		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72 0.14		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post YTD Dess 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med 0.56 Fede	Tax Deduction pription DI - Taxable V icare - Taxable eral Withholdin	T Vages e Wages g - Taxable Wage		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45 12,863.00
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72 0.14		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post YTD Dess 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med 0.56 Fede	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V care - Taxable eral Withholdin	T Wages e Wages		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72 0.14		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post YTD Dess 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med 0.56 Fede	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V care - Taxable eral Withholdin	Nages e Wages g - Taxable Wage aderal		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45 12,863.00
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72 0.14		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post YTD Dess 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med 0.56 Fede	Tax Deduction cription DI - Taxable V care - Taxable eral Withholdin	Nages e Wages g - Taxable Wage aderal Single		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45 13,254.45 12,863.00 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Allowances		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72 0.14		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 OAS 822.88 Med 0.56 Fede	Tax Deduction pription DI - Taxable V icare - Taxable eral Withholdin Fe	T Wages e Wages g - Taxable Wage gderal Single 0		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45 13,254.45 12,863.00 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits GTL Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Allowances		66.07 66.07 Arnount 66.07 205.72 0.14 271.93		391.45 RSL 391.45 Post 391.45 Dess 391.45 BA 391.45 AC 391.45 AC 391.45 AC 391.45 AC 391.45 AC 391.45 AC 391.45 AC 404 404 404 404 404 404 404 40	Tax Deduction pription DI - Taxable V icare - Taxable eral Withholdin Fe	Vages e Wages ng - Taxable Wage sderal Single 0 0		0.00 Amount 2,202.44 2,202.44 2,136.37	133.54 133.54 YTD 13,254.45 13,254.45 12,863.00 State

Exhibit D

Yelp Inc. 140 New Montgomery St. 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

Name Jennie Poye	Company Yelp Inc.		Em	oloyee ID 011525	Pay Perlo 10/0	od Begin Pa 01/2016	ay Period End 10/15/2016		ck Date	Check Numbe
		Gross Pay	Pre Tax	Deductions	Fr	mployee Taxes	Post Tax	Deductions		Net Pay
Current		1,650.00		49.50		569.57		0.00	di tetti ya otooto	1,030.93
YTD		45,162.53		1,330.64		12,162.74		24.16		31,669.15
	Earning	18					Em	ployee Taxes	1 0000000000	
Description Dat	tes	Hours	Rate A	mount	YTD	Description			Amount	YTE
Additional Reg					37.15	Social Security	/		102.30	2,800.0
	01/2016-10/15/2016	0	0 1,	650.00	14,086.12	Medicare			23.93	654.8
Overtime						Federal Withh			400.13	7,524.3
РТО					1,672.85	State Tax - AZ			43.21	1,183.4
Referral Bonus					1,000.00	1				
Regular					26,585.28					
RSU					810.78 741.85					
Sick					-97.40					
Unpaid Time					-97.40					
Earnings	1		1,1	650,00	45,162.53	Employee Tax	es		569,57	12,162.74
	Pre Tax Deductions	A	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	D Descri		P	ost Tax Dedu		Amount	YTC
		Amount	Y.	DEDESCR	Dtion				Amount	
Description 401K		49.50	1,330.	64 RSU						524.16
				64 RSU	eimburseme	ent				
401K				64 RSU T&E R					0.00	-500.00
	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50	1,330.	64 RSU T&E R	eimburseme		Taxable Wag		0.00	-500.00
401K Pre Tax Deductions	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50	1,330.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T	eimburseme ax Deductio		Taxable Wag		0.00 Amount	-500.00
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50	1,330. 1,330.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T	eimburseme ax Deductio ption - Taxable V	ins Wages	Taxable Wa			-500.00 24.16 <u>YTC</u> 45,162.53
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49.50 Amount	1,330. 1,330. YT	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable V	ins Wages		1	Amount	-500.00 24.10 <u>YTE</u> 45,162.53 45,162.53
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49.50 Amount	1,330. 1,330. 1,000.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable V	vns Wages e Wages		1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.10 <u>YTE</u> 45,162.53 45,162.53
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49,50 Amount 0.00	1,330. 1,330. YT 1,000. 3,753.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa	eimburseme ax Deductio ption - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable V	vns Wages e Wages		1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.16 <u>YTE</u> 45,162.53 45,162.53 43,831.89
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49,50 Amount 0.00	1,330. 1,330. YT 1,000. 3,753.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable I Withholdin	Wages e Wages g - Taxable Wa ederal		1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.16 <u>YTC</u> 45,162.53 45,162.53 43,831.89
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits Employer Paid Benefits	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49,50 Amount 0.00	1,330. 1,330. YT 1,000. 3,753.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable I Withholdin	Wages e Wages e Taxable Wa		1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.10 <u>YTC</u> 45,162.53 45,162.53 43,831.89 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Allowances	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49,50 Amount 0.00	1,330. 1,330. YT 1,000. 3,753.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable I Withholdin	wns Wages e Wages ng - Taxable Wa ederal		1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.16 45,162.53 45,162.53 43,831.89 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits Employer Paid Benefits	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49,50 Amount 0.00	1,330. 1,330. YT 1,000. 3,753. 4,753.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable S are	Wages e Wages ng - Taxable Wa ederal Single 0		1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.16 45,162.53 45,162.53 43,831.89 State
401K Pre Tax Deductions Description 401(k) Match ER paid Benefits Employer Paid Benefits Marital Status Allowances	Employer Paid Benefits	49.50 49,50 <u>Amount</u> 0.00	1,330. 1,330. YT 1,000. 3,753. 4,753.	64 RSU T&E R 64 Post T D Descri 00 OASD 90 Medica Federa 90	eimburseme ax Deductio ption I - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable V are - Taxable S are	Wages e Wages ng - Taxable Wa ederal Single 0 0		1 1 1	Amount ,650.00 ,650.00	-500.00 24.16 YTD 45,162.53 45,162.53 43,831.89 State

Exhibit E



January 7th, 2015

Dear Jennie Poye

Congratulations! We are happy to offer you the position of Sales Trainee with Yelp Inc. This offer is conditioned on you passing our background check, providing proof of your identity and ability to work legally within the United States, and signing our standard Confidentiality and Invention Assignment Agreement.

Here's what you need to know if you accept:

1. Basics

Your employment will start on February 2nd, 2015 , and you will be reporting to Casey Acuna-Hohmai in our Scottsdale, AZ office. Your regular work schedule will be from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, or as otherwise determined by your manager. Your typical work schedule will be eight (8) hours per day, five (5) days per week, for a total forty (40) hour work week. You will be a non-exempt employee, and therefore entitled to an unpaid 1-hour lunch period, and two 10-minute paid breaks, per day. You may be asked to work additional hours from time to time, including paid overtime that is requested or pre-approved by your manager.

For the first 60 days you are employed with Yelp, you will be part of the Yelp Sales Training Program. During this period, we will review and monitor your performance as a Sales Trainee on a regular basis. After the first 60 days, continuation with the Yelp Sales Development Program is contingent upon meeting the expectations set forth during the training period.

Notwithstanding the above paragraph, your employment relationship with Yelp (whether as a Sales Trainee or otherwise) will be at-will. "At will" employment means that either you or Yelp may terminate your employment at any time, for any reason, without advance notice and without cause, as detailed in section 6 below.

2. Compensation

Your compensation will be set forth in, and subject to, our Compensation Plan for Local Sales Representatives (the "Plan"), a copy of which will be made available to you when you start. As detailed in the Plan, the base salary for this position is \$30,000 , less required and designated payroll deductions and withholdings, payable pursuant to our regular payroll policy. You will not be eligible to earn commissions unless and until you reach the minimum threshold of cumulative bookings specified in the Plan, which is currently \$30,000.

3. Equity Award

Yelp will recommend that its Board of Directors (or a committee appointed by the Board of Directors) grant you Restricted Stock Units of Yelp's Class A common stock valued at \$5,000 (based on the average closing price of Yelp's stock on the NYSE over the two calendar months prior to the month in which your start date occurs) (the "RSUs"). The RSUs will vest according to a four year vesting schedule, with 25% of the RSUs vesting in the open trading window occurring approximately at the end of your first year of employment, and the remaining shares vesting ratably on a quarterly basis over the next three years. Please note that vesting is conditioned on your continued service with Yelp through each vesting date. The RSUs will also



be subject to the terms of Yelp's stock plan and a separate Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between you and Yelp.

4. Benefits

We are happy to make our standard benefits package available to you, including health, dental, vision, term life insurance, long-term disability, and 401(k) plans. You will initially be eligible for fifteen (15) days of paid time off per year, prorated for the remainder of the calendar year. After two years of employment you will be eligible for eighteen (18) days of paid time off per year. Please feel free to ask HR for more details on benefits.

We are also happy to offer you relocation assistance valued at approximately \$1,218 on a pre-tax basis, consisting of (1) relocation assistance services available through UrbanBound and (2) a one-time relocation bonus of \$1,000 (less applicable taxes) to cover moving expenses, which will be paid in one lump-sum payment at the end of your first regularly-scheduled pay period as a full-time employee. Please note that, should you choose to utilize the relocation assistance services, the value of such services will constitute taxable income to you. Yelp will report the additional taxable income to the tax authorities accordingly.

Our provision of the relocation assistance described above is conditioned on your remaining with Yelp as a fulltime employee for twelve (12) months following your start date. In the event that your full-time employment with Yelp terminates for any reason before the anniversary of your start date, you will be responsible for (1) refunding a pro-rata share of the relocation bonus and (2) reimbursing Yelp for a pro-rata share of the cost associated with any relocation services you utilized, each on or before your last day of employment with Yelp. If you utilize the relocation assistance services, but do not begin your employment with Yelp, you will be responsible for reimbursing Yelp for the entire cost associated with such services.

5. Dispute Resolution and Other Policies

Like every company, we have our share of do's, don'ts and other company policies. Your continued employment at Yelp will be conditioned on your complying with these policies. In particular, you will need to comply with our Employee Handbook, which sets forth a range of important policies. Please note the dispute resolution policy in particular, which calls for disputes between you and Yelp to be adjudicated through binding arbitration rather than the courts. You may opt-out of this policy, as described therein. We will make the Employee Handbook available to you on our intranet site when you start. Please read it carefully. Your continued employment at Yelp will constitute your acknowledgement and acceptance of these policies.

6. At Will Employment

We try to hire the best candidates, but not everyone makes it. Your employment with Yelp will at all times be at-will. "At will" means that both you and Yelp may terminate your employment at any time, for any reason, without notice and without cause. This letter contains the entire agreement between you and Yelp regarding the right and ability of either you or Yelp to terminate your employment. In addition, please note that we may change your position, duties, compensation, benefits, and work location from time to time in our sole discretion.

7. Miscellaneous

By signing below, you represent that taking and performing the position Yelp is offering you will not violate the terms of any agreements you may have with others, including any former employers. You also understand that in your work for Yelp, you will be prohibited from using or disclosing any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of any former employer or other person to whom you have an obligation of confidentiality. Rather, you will be required to use only information that is generally known and used by persons with training and experience comparable to your own, is common knowledge in the industry or otherwise legally in the public



domain, or is otherwise provided or developed by Yelp. You agree that you will not bring into the office — or use in your work for Yelp — any unpublished documents or property belonging to any former employer or third party that you are not authorized to use for that purpose or disclose. You also represent that you have disclosed to Yelp any contract you have signed that might restrict your activities on behalf of Yelp.

8. Conclusion

This letter, together with the Confidentiality and Invention Assignment Agreement, will form the complete and exclusive statement of your employment agreement with Yelp ("Employment Agreement"). The Employment Agreement supersedes any other agreements, promises or representations made to you by anyone, whether oral or written, regarding the subject matter of the Employment Agreement. The Employment Agreement cannot be changed except in a written agreement signed by you and a duly authorized officer of Yelp.

We are committed to hiring employees like you who are passionate, creative, and ambitious. We look forward to you joining us! Please sign the bottom of this letter and return it to accept this offer. This offer will terminate if we do not receive confirmation of your acceptance by January 8th, 2015

Sincerely,

Casey Acuna-Hohman HIRING MANAGER Yelp Inc.

I have read and understood this offer letter and hereby acknowledge, accept and agree to the terms as set forth above and further acknowledge that no other commitments were made to me as part of my employment offer except as specifically set forth above.

Jennie Poye

Employee Acceptance/Signature

Jan 7, 2015

Date

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 3:17-cv-03356 Paguneot 1 6, 519 6, 09/17 Page 1 of 2

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Jennie Poye, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated YELP, INC. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Denver, Colorado (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) San Francisco, California IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: (C) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Jahan C. Sagafi Attorneys (If Known) Outten & Golden LLP One Embarcadero Center, 38th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 638-8800 II. **BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) DEF PTF PTF DEF 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff **X** 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 4 Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 of Business In This State Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 2 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) U.S. Government Defendant 4 of Business In Another State Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a 6 3 3 6

Foreign Country

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure of	422 Appeal 28 USC § 158	375 False Claims Act
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury – Product	Property 21 USC § 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC	376 Qui Tam (31 USC
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Liability	Liability	690 Other	§ 157	§ 3729(a))
140 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	367 Health Care/	LABOR	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment
150 Recovery of	330 Federal Employers'	Pharmaceutical Personal	× 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust
Overpayment Of	Liability	Injury Product Liability	720 Labor/Management	830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking
Veteran's Benefits	340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury	Relations	835 Patent—Abbreviated New	450 Commerce
151 Medicare Act	345 Marine Product Liability	Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act	Drug Application	460 Deportation
152 Recovery of Defaulted	350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY	751 Family and Medical	840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced &
Student Loans (Exclude Veterans)	355 Motor Vehicle Product	370 Other Fraud	Leave Act	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations
153 Recovery of	Liability	371 Truth in Lending	790 Other Labor Litigation		480 Consumer Credit
Overpayment	360 Other Personal Injury	380 Other Personal Property	791 Employee Retirement	861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat TV
of Veteran's Benefits	362 Personal Injury -Medical	Damage	Income Security Act	862 Black Lung (923)	850 Securities/Commodities/
160 Stockholders' Suits	Malpractice	385 Property Damage Product Liability	IMMIGRATION	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange
190 Other Contract		, ,	462 Naturalization	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
195 Contract Product Liabili	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Application	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
196 Franchise	440 Other Civil Rights	HABEAS CORPUS	465 Other Immigration	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	893 Environmental Matters
	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Actions	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or	895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		Defendant)	Act
210 Land Condemnation	443 Housing/	Sentence		871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC	896 Arbitration
220 Foreclosure	Accommodations	530 General		§ 7609	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		535 Death Penalty			Agency Decision
240 Torts to Land	Employment	OTHER			950 Constitutionality of State
245 Tort Product Liability	446 Amer. w/Disabilities–Other	540 Mandamus & Other			Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	448 Education	550 Civil Rights			
		555 Prison Condition			
		560 Civil Detainee-			
		Conditions of			
		Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)				
× 1 Original		Remanded from 4 Reinst	tated or 5 Transferred from	n 6 Multidistrict	8 Multidistrict
Proceeding		Appellate Court Reope			
Trocording		Appendie Court Reope		(specify) Zingaton Hand	Zinganon Direct inc
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under	which you are filing (De not	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·······	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.	which you are fining (Do not c	ue furisaictional statutes unless at	versuv).	
ACTION	Brief description of cause:				
	Failure to pay all wages ow	ad to hourly amployees.	breach of contract: penalt	tias	
	I and to pay an wages of	ted to nourry employees,		lies	
VII. REQUESTED	IN ✓ CHECK IF THIS IS A	CLASS ACTION DEM	AND \$	CHECK YES only if dem	anded in complaint:
COMPLAINT	UNDER RULE 23, Fed	I. R. Civ. P.		JURY DEMAND:	× Yes No
	-				
VIII. RELATED CA	SE(S),		DOCKETNUNDED		
IF ANY (See in:	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
IX. DIVISIONAL	ASSIGNMENT (Civil L	ocal Rule 3-2)			
(Place an "X" in One Box	,	ANCISCO/OAKLAND	SAN JOSI	E FIIRFKA	MCKINLEYVILLE
(1 lace all A III Olle DOX			SALV JUSI	E EUNENA-	

DATE 06/09/2017

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Jahan C. Sagafi

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.** a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) <u>United States defendant</u>. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) <u>Removed from State Court</u>. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) <u>Remanded from Appellate Court</u>. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) <u>Reinstated or Reopened</u>. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Transfer</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Direct File</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. <u>Brief Description</u>: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- **IX.** Divisional Assignment. If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Call Center Agent Claims Yelp Owes Unpaid Off-the-Clock Wages</u>