UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

DAVID POGGI, on his own behalf and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case Number _____

v.

HUMANA, INC.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Plaintiff, (on his own and on behalf of others similarly situated) was an employee of Defendant, a foreign for profit corporation, and brings this action for unpaid wages, retaliation, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201-216 (the "FLSA"). Plaintiff(s) worked as an hourly worker for Defendant and performed related activities for Defendant in Pinellas County, Florida. Plaintiff is employed in a labor position with Defendant and has been so employed with Defendant for approximately the past eighteen months.

2. Defendant is a for profit corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Pinellas County, Florida, and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of the Court. Defendant is an EMPLOYER as defined by the FLSA and Defendant conducts interstate commerce, using telephones, highways and byways and products and supplies (that are used in connection with services provided to Defendant's customers) which do

Case 8:17-cv-00433-SCB-MAP Document 1 Filed 02/21/17 Page 2 of 5 PageID 2

not originate from Florida. Upon information and belief, Defendant grosses far in excess of \$500,000.00 in annual revenue at all times material to this case, including the 24 months prior to February 14, 2017.

3. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant, unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This action is intended to include each and every hourly employee who worked for the Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years.

4. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff(s) claims as all material events transpired in Pinellas County, including those brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and the FLSA.

5. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s).

6. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff(s) in his capacity as an employee(s) were individually covered by the FLSA. Plaintiff used telephones, computers in connection with Defendant's business interest.

7. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiff(s) performed services for Defendant for which no provisions were made by Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff(s) for all hours worked during their employment.

8. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, were not paid for all time worked during one or more work weeks. Specifically, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated to them for work performed off the clock, including but not limited to requiring Plaintiff(s) to work

2

without compensation. In fact, in many instances, Defendant did not keep track of the hours worked by Plaintiff and simply paid Plaintiff a flat rate or salary that would have equated to far less than the minimum wage and no provisions for overtime. Routinely, Defendant would require Plaintiff to work time in excess of forty hours a week and Defendant would make no provision to pay Plaintiff for the overtime wages and, likewise, Defendant would make no attempt to even record those hours that Plaintiff worked over forty hours in a given week throughout the entirety of Plaintiff's employment. Plaintiff contacted Defendant in writing on February 6, 2017, asking to be paid for time that Plaintiff worked, but for which Defendant did not pay Plaintiff. (See, Attachment A).

9. Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to keep accurate time records pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) of Plaintiff(s), and others similarly situated to them, true hours of work. Defendant refused to respond to written demands for payment of unpaid wages and minimum wages and overtime wages (compensation) made by the named Plaintiff and on behalf of the named Plaintiff. Defendant has not objected to the quantum of hours worked by Plaintiff. Defendant did not turn over any documentation that may have assisted Plaintiff(s) in providing a more specific demand. The extent to which other similarly situated workers may be owed wages under the FLSA has yet to be determined. To the extent that relevant documents exist, such are believed to be in the exclusive possession of Defendant; however Defendant has not produced any documentation relating to this claim. At no point has Defendant ever suggested, informed or advised Plaintiff that Plaintiff was exempt or that Plaintiff did not work the time that Plaintiff has

3

claimed to have worked, but not been paid for, and Defendant has never denied owing Plaintiff wages as sought by Plaintiff's above referenced February 6, 2017 letter.

RECOVERY OF OVERTIME and MINUMUM WAGE COMPENSATION

10. Plaintiff(s) reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-9, above.

11. Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, are/were entitled to be paid their regular rate of pay for each hour worked per work week. Likewise, Plaintiff(s) and those similarly situated to them are/were entitled to be paid time and a half for each hour worked over 40 hours each week of their employment. During their employment with Defendants, Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, regularly worked hours for each week and were not paid even minimum wages for said time. Plaintiff was not a managerial employee, but was a laborer for Defendant. Plaintiff did not exercise discretion in the performance of his job duties for Defendant.

12. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to them, their correct rate of pay for each hour worked work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to them, have suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

13. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA and Article X, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, are entitled to payment of the unpaid wages under Florida law, as well as minimum wages liquidated damages under the FLSA.

14. Plaintiff(s) demands a trial by jury.

4

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s), and all other similarly situated employees, demand judgment against Defendant, for the payment of all hours at the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by them for which Defendant did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

I HEREBY AFFIRM THAT THE FACTUAL STATEMENTS MADE ABOVE ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY.

Dunt

David Poggi

DATED this \underline{N} day of February 2017.

s/W. John Gadd

W. John Gadd, Esq. Fl Bar Number 463061 **Bank of America Building** 2727 Ulmerton Rd. Ste. 250 Clearwater, FL 33762 Tel – (727)524-6300 Email – wjg@mazgadd.com

/s/ Kyle Lee

Lee Law PLLC FL Bar Number 105321 P.O. Box 4476 Brandon, FL 33509-4476 813-343-2813 Email: Kyle@kyleleelaw.com JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM* 1

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
DAVID POGGI, on his own behalf and others similarly situated,				HUMANA, INC.,		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pinellas (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF UASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Jefferson (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
W. Son Gava, Esq. 2727 Ulmerton Road, Suite 250 Clearwater, FL 33762				Attorneys ([[Known]		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O.	ne Box Oniv)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF CI T Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	.⊐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Crizenship of Parties in Item III)			itizen of Another State \Box 2 \Box 2 Incorporated and Principal Place \Box 5 X 5 of Business In Another State		
				n or Subject of a 💦 🦷 👎 reign Country	■ 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		RTS	- FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Leans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Auplane □ 315 Auplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 359 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL INJUR □ 365 Personai Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Frand □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alten Detaince □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamas & Other: □ 540 Mandamas & Other: □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Rights	Y 762 - 69 - 69 - 77 - 72 - 74 - 75 - 79 - 79 - 46 - 46	S Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor Management Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Railway Labor Latigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION S Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	 □ 375 False Claims Act □ 375 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 Stale Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 430 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable:Sat TV □ 850 Securities:Commodities Exchange □ 890 Other Statulory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionability of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Bess Onlye				· · · · · · · ·	
	te Court	Appellate Court	D 4 Rein: Reop	ened Anothe	er District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat 29 USC 201-216 Brief description of ca FLSA - WAGE AN		re filmg <i>(l.</i>	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat) tutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Image: Complexity of the complexi				EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes		
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	(See instructions):		<u>Al</u>	i FRECORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE: 02/16/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT			JUDGI.	MAG. JU	DGF

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Humana Hit with Employee's FLSA Lawsuit</u>