

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JUN 22 2018

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK
By:

PLAINTIFF

JESYCA PITTMAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

No. 4:18-cv-415 - SWW

vs.

SHARK BITES INC, NLR SHARKS INC., JACKSONVILLE SHARKS FISH AND CHICKEN INC, T & A SHARKS OF LITTLE ROCK INC, SHARKS OF ROOSEVELT & BROADWAY INC, and SHARKS OF HOT SPRINGS LLC, each d/b/a SHARKS FISH AND CHICKEN, and also MAHDI SALEH,

THAER ASSI, MOHAMMED YAFAI and

KHALID HOURANI

DEFENDANTS

This case assigned to District Judge Wright and to Magistrate Judge Volpe

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Jesyca Pittman ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys Daniel Ford, Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action against Defendants Shark Bites Inc, NLR Sharks Inc., Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken Inc, T & A Sharks of Little Rock Inc, Sharks of Roosevelt & Broadway Inc, and Sharks of Hot Springs LLC, each d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken, and also Mahdi Saleh, Thaer Assi, Mohammed Yafai and Khalid Hourani (collectively "Defendants"), she does hereby state and allege as follows:

Page 1 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, further

brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA")

and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for

declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest,

civil penalties and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee as a result of Defendants'

failure to pay Plaintiff and other hourly-paid cashiers a minimum wage for all hours

worked and proper overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40)

hours per week.

2. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of

this Complaint, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed violations of the

FLSA and AMWA as described, infra.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331

because this suit raises federal questions under the PDA and the FLSA.

4. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or

controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this

Complaint.

5. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA

claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal

effect against Plaintiff within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas;

therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

7. Defendants do business in this district and a substantial part of the events

alleged herein occurred in this District.

8. The witnesses to the minimum wage and overtime violations alleged in

this Complaint reside in this District.

On information and belief, the payroll records and other documents related

to the payroll practices that Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

III. THE PARTIES

10. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

11. Plaintiff Jesyca Pittman ("Plaintiff") is a citizen and resident of Pulaski

County.

12. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a cashier from around April of 2015 until

March of 2018.

9.

13. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protection and benefits provided under the FLSA and AMWA.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendants Mahdi Saleh, Khalid Hourani and

Thaer Assi, Mohammed Yafai individually and jointly own and operate Shark Bites, Inc.,

d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken, NLR Sharks Inc., Jacksonville Sharks Fish and

Chicken, Inc., T & A Sharks of Little Rock Inc., Sharks of Roosevelt & Broadway Inc.,

and Sharks of Hot Springs LLC, each d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken, a chain of

Page 3 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

restaurants located at several locations including but not limited to: 2000 Pike Avenue,

North Little Rock, Arkansas 72114; 4528 Camp Robinson Road, North Little Rock,

Arkansas 72118; 2512 Highway 161, North Little Rock, Arkansas 72117; 1801 T P White

Drive, Jacksonville, Arkansas 72076; 8824 Geyer Springs Road Suite 15, Little Rock,

Arkansas 72209 and 625 Albert Pike, Hot Springs, Arkansas 71913.

15. Defendant Shark Bites, Inc., d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken ("Shark Bites,

Inc.") is a for-profit corporation operating as a restaurant located in North Little Rock.

Defendant NLR Sharks Inc., d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken ("NLR Sharks

Inc.") is a for-profit corporation operating as a restaurant located in North Little Rock.

17. Defendant Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken, Inc., d/b/a Sharks Fish

and Chicken ("Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken, Inc.,"), is a for-profit corporation

operating as a restaurant located in Jacksonville, Arkansas.

18. Defendant T & A Sharks of Little Rock Inc., d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken

("T & A Sharks") is a for-profit corporation operating as a restaurant located in Little

Rock.

19. Defendant Sharks of Roosevelt & Broadway Inc., d/b/a Sharks Fish and

Chicken ("Sharks of Roosevelt") is a for-profit corporation operating as a restaurant in

Little Rock.

20. Defendant Sharks of Hot Springs LLC, d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken

("Sharks of Hot Springs") is a domestic limited liability company operating as a

restaurant in Hot Springs.

21. Individual Defendants Mahdi Saleh, Thaer Assi, Mohammed Yafai and

Khalid Hourani jointly operated the above-listed entities as a single enterprise,

Page 4 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00415-SWW Document 1 Filed 06/22/18 Page 5 of 22

managing and exchanging employees between locations establishing centralized pay

policies applicable to employees at all locations.

22. During each of the three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

each Defendant employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate

commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees

handling, selling or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or

produced for commerce by any person.

23. Defendants were at all times relevant hereto Plaintiff's employer, as well

as the employer of the members of the proposed classes, and are and have been

engaged in interstate commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA and AMWA.

24. Plaintiff in the past worked at these other restaurants as a cashier, as

employees worked between locations as part of the unified operation operated by

Defendants Mahdi Saleh, Khalid Hourani and Thaer Assi.

25. Defendant Shark Bites, Inc., annual gross volume of sales made or

business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail

level that are separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the

filing of this Complaint.

26. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

Defendant Shark Bites, Inc., employed at least two individuals who were engaged in

interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had

employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person

Page 5 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

27. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant Shark Bites, Inc., employed at least two individuals who were engaged in

interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had

employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

28. Defendant Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken, Inc., annual gross

volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of

excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) during each of the three

calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

29. Defendant Shark Bites, Inc., registered agent for service of process is

Mahdi Saleh, 2401 Lakeview Road, Apartment 301, North Little Rock, Arkansas 72116.

30. Within the past three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant Shark Bites, Inc., continuously employed at least four employees, including

Plaintiff.

31. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken, Inc., employed at least two

individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for

interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on

goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

32. Defendant Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken, Inc., principal address is

1801 T P White Drive, Jacksonville, Arkansas 72076.

33. Defendant Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken, Inc., registered agent

for service of process is Thaer Assi, 6423 West Markham, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205.

Page 6 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00415-SWW Document 1 Filed 06/22/18 Page 7 of 22

34. Within the past three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant Jacksonville Sharks Fish and Chicken continuously employed at least four

employees, including Plaintiff.

35. Defendant NLR Sharks' annual gross volume of sales made or business

done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are

separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this

Complaint.

36. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant NLR Sharks employed at least two individuals who were engaged in

interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had

employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

37. Defendant NLR Sharks' principal place of business 4528 Camp Robinson

Road, Maumelle, North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118.

38. Defendant NLR Sharks' registered agent for service of process is Mahdi

Saleh, 4528 Camp Robinson Road, North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118.

39. Defendant T & A Sharks' annual gross volume of sales made or business

done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are

separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this

Complaint.

40. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant T & A Sharks employed at least two individuals who were engaged in

interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had

Page 7 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00415-SWW Document 1 Filed 06/22/18 Page 8 of 22

employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

41. Defendant T & A Sharks' registered agent for service of process is Thaer

Assi, 6423 West Markham Street, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205.

42. Defendant Sharks of Roosevelt's annual gross volume of sales made or

business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail

level that are separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the

filing of this Complaint.

43. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant Sharks of Roosevelt employed at least two individuals who were engaged in

interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had

employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

44. Defendant Sharks of Roosevelt's principal place of business 500 West

Roosevelt Road, Little Rock, Arkansas 72206.

45. Defendant Sharks of Roosevelt's registered agent for service of process is

Khalid Houlani, 8824 Geyer Springs Road Suite 15, Little Rock, Arkansas 72209.

46. Defendant Sharks of Hot Springs' annual gross volume of sales made or

business done was not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail

level that are separately stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the

filing of this Complaint.

47. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant Sharks of Hot Springs employed at least two individuals who were engaged

Page 8 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had

employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been

moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

48. Defendant Sharks of Hot Springs' principal place of business 625 Albert

Pike, Hot Springs, Arkansas 71913.

49. Defendant Sharks of Hot Springs' registered agent for service of process

is Thaer Assi, 6423 West Markham Avenue, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205.

50. Defendants, including the above-listed entities and the individual

Defendants d/b/a Sharks Fish and Chicken, acted jointly as the employer of Plaintiff and

the proposed collective and class and are and have been engaged in interstate

commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA and AMWA.

51. Defendants have unified operational control and management, as well as

control over employees, including shared power to supervise, hire and fire, establish

wages and wage policies, and set schedules for their employees through unified

management.

52. As a result of this unified operation, control and management, through

shared employees and ownership with the authority to establish wages and wage policy,

Defendants operated as single enterprise.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

53. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

Page 9 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

54. At all relevant times herein, Defendants were the "employer" of Plaintiff

within the meaning of all applicable federal statutes and implementing regulations,

including the FLSA and AMWA.

55. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants

within the meaning of all applicable federal statutes and implementing regulations,

including the FLSA and AMWA

56. Further, Defendants classified Plaintiff and other cashiers as hourly

employees nonexempt under the FLSA and the AMWA and were supposed to be paid

an hourly rate.

57. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff

worked for Defendants as a cashier.

58. Defendants directly hired Plaintiff and other cashiers, controlled their work

schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions,

and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

59. Plaintiff and other cashiers managed cash and sales transactions for

Defendants' customers.

60. Plaintiff and other cashiers regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours

per week.

61. It was Defendants' commonly applied practice to not pay Plaintiff and

other cashiers a proper minimum wage for hours up to forty (40) in a given week or

overtime rate for all of the hours worked over forty (40) in a given week.

62. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff all wages for hours worked, either at

minimum wage or at a proper overtime rate, when applicable.

Page 10 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

63. Defendants refused to pay Plaintiff and other cashiers for all hours

worked, even though Defendants were aware of all hours worked.

64. As a result, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff or other cashiers a lawful

minimum wage.

65. Defendants also did not pay Plaintiff or other cashiers one and one-half

(1.5) times their regular rate for all hours in excess of forty (40) in a week.

66. Plaintiff and other cashiers were and are entitled to both a minimum wage

for all hours worked up to forty (40) in a given week and overtime compensation in the

amount of one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in

excess of forty (40) in a week.

67. Defendants knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way they

paid Plaintiff and other cashiers violated the FLSA and AMWA.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Collective

61. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

62. Plaintiff brings her claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

63. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly cashiers employed

by Defendants at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who were

classified by Defendants as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA

and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

Page 11 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

A. Proper payment for all hours worked, including payment of a lawful

minimum wage for hours worked up to forty (40) in a workweek and a lawful overtime

premium for all hours worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours in a

workweek;

B. Liquidated damages;

C. and Attorneys' fees and costs.

64. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action was filed herein and

continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

65. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in

that they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendants as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA;

B. They were paid hourly or were supposed to be paid hourly;

C. They performed substantially similar job duties; and

D. They were subject to Defendants' common practice of denying pay for all

hours worked, including overtime pay for hours worked over forty (40) per work week.

66. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the FLSA Collective but believe that the group exceeds fifty (50) persons.

67. In the modern era, most working-class Americans have become

increasingly reliant on email and text messages, and generally use them just as often, if

not more so, than traditional U.S. Mail.

Page 12 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

68. Defendants can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) Collective. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendants, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

- 69. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.
- 70. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendants within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 71. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly cashiers who are/were employed by Defendants within the relevant time period within the State of Arkansas.
- 72. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed class, such as whether Defendants paid the members of the proposed class for all hours worked, including minimum wage and overtime in accordance with the AMWA.
- 73. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only the individual named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the proposed AMWA class.

Page 13 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00415-SWW Document 1 Filed 06/22/18 Page 14 of 22

74. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order

to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well

as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from

wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-

4-202.

75. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the AMWA class but believes that the class exceeds 50 persons. Therefore, the class is

so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

76. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's

counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class

concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

77. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because

Defendants are based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all

proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

78. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class

action.

79. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in

that Plaintiff worked as an hourly employee for Defendants and experienced the same

violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

Page 14 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

80. Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of

the class.

81. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other

complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the

extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate

additional counsel.

82. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed

class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to

individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards

of conduct for Defendants.

VII. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of FLSA)

83. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

84. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 207 require employers to pay employees

a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and one and one-half

(1.5) times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess

of forty (40) per week.

85. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked up

to forty (40) in one week, despite her entitlement thereto.

86. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff one and one-half (1.5) times her regular

rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week, despite her entitlement thereto.

87. Defendants' conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

Page 15 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

88. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime wages,

liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, civil penalties and costs, including

reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

89. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claim for Violation of FLSA)

90. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

91. Plaintiff asserts this claim on behalf of all hourly cashiers employed by

Defendants to recover monetary damages owed by Defendants to Plaintiff and

members of the putative collective for unpaid minimum wages for all the hours worked

up to forty (40) each week and unpaid overtime compensation for all the hours they

worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

92. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly

situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendants'

willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

93. 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 207 require employers to pay employees

a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and one and one-half

(1.5) times the employee's regular rate for all hours that the employee works in excess

of forty (40) per week.

Page 16 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

94. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated a lawful

minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week, despite their

entitlement thereto.

95. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated one and one-

half (1.5) times their regular rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours per week,

despite their entitlement thereto.

96. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed

a minimum wage and overtime for the same reasons, the proposed collective is properly

defined as follows:

All hourly-paid cashiers employed by Defendants within the past three years.

97. Defendants' conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is

willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

98. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated

seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, civil penalties

and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

99. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff

and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the

applicable legal rate.

IX. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

100. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

101. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, et seq.

102. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

103. Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-210 and 211 require employers to pay

all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to

pay one and one-half (1.5) times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40)

hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. §

213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

104. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked up

to forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite her entitlement

thereto.

105. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages for hours worked over

forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite her entitlement thereto.

106. Defendants' conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

107. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's

fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years

prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

Page 18 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

108. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

- 109. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Original Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.
- 110. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the proposed class, asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 et seq.
- 111. At all relevant times, Defendants have been an "employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-203(4).
- 112. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite her entitlement thereto.
- 113. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all overtime wages for hours worked over forty (40) in a given week as required under the AMWA, despite their entitlement thereto.
- 114. Plaintiff proposes to represent the AMWA liability class of individuals defined as follows:

All hourly-paid cashiers employed by Defendants in Arkansas within the past three years.

Page 19 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

Case 4:18-cv-00415-SWW Document 1 Filed 06/22/18 Page 20 of 22

115. Defendants' conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

116. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and

a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred

within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable

tolling.

117. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendants acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA,

Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment

interest at the applicable legal rate.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Jesyca Pittman, individually and on

behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays for declaratory relief and

damages as follows:

A. That Defendants be summoned to appear and answer herein;

B. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' practices alleged herein violate

the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29

C.F.R. § 516, et seq.

C. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' practices alleged herein violate

the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the related

regulations;

Page 20 of 22
Jesyca Pittman, et al. v. Shark Bites Inc, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:18-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

D. Judgment for damages for all unpaid regular wages and overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq.;

E. Judgment for damages for all unpaid regular wages and overtime compensation under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the related regulations;

- F. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. §201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. §516 et seq., in an amount equal to all unpaid regular wages and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and those similarly situated during the applicable statutory period;
- G. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the relating regulations;
- H. An order directing Defendants to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated prejudgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and all costs connected with this action; and
- I. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just, and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

JESYCA PITTMAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Daniel Ford

Ark. Bar No. 2014162 daniel@sanfordlawfirm.com

Chris Burks

Ark. Bar No. 2010/207 chris@samordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

The JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 4:18-cv-00415-**SYWILDCOMBR SHFHIR** 06/12/18 Page 1 04/15 - SWW

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

surpose of initiating the civil do	cket sneet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	rinisro	KM.)					
. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JESYCA PITTMAN, Individually and On Behalf of Those Similarly				SHARK BITES INC, et al.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PULASKI (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Josh Sanford, SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC, One Financial Cente 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 501-221-0088; josh@sanfordlawfirm.com				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in O	ne Box fo	or Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Ten of This State					
Defendant			Citiz	zen of Another State			□ 5		
				tizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6					6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Place on "X" in One Box On	reign country	Click l	nere for: Nature o	f Suit Code Desc	cription	ıs.		
CONTRACT		RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		RUPTCY	OTHER S		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated		☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY		LABOR	New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY		☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage ☐ Product Liability	≥ 71	10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))		□ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 79	00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	Act		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General		P1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State State	•	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)								
▼1 Original □ 2 Rea	moved from	Appellate Court	Reo	(specify,	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	- I	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 29 U.S.C. 201, et Brief description of ca	seq.		Do not cite jurisdictional state	tutes unless div	ersity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Unpaid Minimum Wage and Overtime CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes X No					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	Γ NUMBER			
DATE 06/22/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Operators of Arkansas Sharks Fish and Chicken Locations Facing Unpaid Wage Lawsuit