UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

DOROTHEA PERRY, JEAN SEME individually on their own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated former and current employees,

Plaintiffs,

-V.-

INDEX NO. 21 cv 1967

COMPLAINT

A COLLECTIVE ACTION Pursuant to 29 USC §216(b) and ACTION Pursuant to 42 USC §1981, §1983

NEW YORK STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION, KIM SUMBLER, EXEC, DIR., NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE and ANTHONY GIARDINA,

Defendants.	
>	Z

Plaintiffs Dorothea Perry and Jean Seme (collectively "Plaintiffs"), individually on their own behalf and on behalf of all other similarly situated former and current New York State Athletic Commission ("NYSAC") Inspectors and at-will employees, by and through their attorney, Susan Ghim, Law Office of Susan Ghim, complaining of NYSAC, Kim Sumbler, individually and as Executive Director of NYSAC, Anthony Giardina, individually and as former acting Executive Director of NYSAC allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs Dorothea Perry and Jean Seme ("Plaintiffs") bring this action individually on their own behalf and behalf of all other similarly situated former and current employees ("Collective Plaintiffs") pursuant to FLSA, 29 USC 216(b) and 29 U.S.C.§§ 201 et. seq. to remedy violations of the minimum wage-and-hour provisions of the FLSA by Defendants NYSAC, Kim Sumbler and Anthony Giardina (collectively, "Defendants") who enacted and enforced policies and practices of willful and wrongful withholding or non-payment of

employees' earned minimum wages for all hours worked in a forty (40) hour work week and applicable overtime wages for any hours worked past forty (40) hours in a work week.

- 2. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs Perry and Seme and Collective Plaintiffs worked at least one (1) event per week and regularly worked all weeks during any given year. One event regularly started at approximately 3pm on a given day and ended approximately at 1AM to 2:30AM the following day. None of the Inspector Plaintiffs were given any meal or restroom breaks. Restroom breaks were given only if the Inspector Plaintiff was able to find a replacement for that short break.
- 3. Defendants failed to pay NYS Labor Law spread of hours which is one hour of minimum wage pay for any workday in which an employee works more than 10 consecutive hours.
- 4. Defendants failed to timely pay Plaintiffs. Even if Plaintiffs submitted their timesheet at the end of the event, it took approximately 1 to 2 months to receive a paycheck. Under the NYS DOL's wage order, manual employees are to be paid timely on a specific day of the week, and must be paid on a weekly basis.
- 5. Defendants willfully misclassified Plaintiffs as employees exempt from the New York State Department of Labor Wage Orders and the New York State Wage Theft Act solely based on the status of government employer status of NYSAC. Based upon information and belief, NYSAC is a subdivision of New York State Department of State. New York State Labor Law ("NYLL) should apply to the Plaintiffs and collective Plaintiffs because for the purposes of applying NYS Wage Theft Act 641 et seq., NYSAC is a not a state office or subdivision. *See, Grace Co. v. Univ. Constr. Fund,* 44 NY2d 84 (NY 1978). Plaintiffs were at all relevant times at-will employees hired by NYSAC and were not afforded the benefit of membership in a public or state employee's labor union or civil service employees. NYSAC is further, not a state office

for the purposes of application of the NYLL as its purpose was commercial in nature. *See, Id.* NYSAC hosts and oversees spectator boxing, wrestling and mixed martial arts (MMA) events and gains substantial revenues from these events. These events affect interstate commerce in that these events draw large spectator crowds, vendors, boxing, wrestling, MMA and various other celebrities and various media to the state of New York. These events are also nationally broadcast on internet, cable and social media. Therefore, New York State Labor Law should apply to Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs.

- 6. Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs were at all relevant times Inspectors for spectator events hosted by NYSAC. Inspectors duties were to assist boxers, wrestlers or MMA fighters through the event process to ensure that they are escorted throughout the event from arrival to the event space through departure from the event space; and to ensure that these athletes are properly tested and examined by NYSAC medical doctors after each event. The Collective Plaintiffs also included any other at-will, non-civil service and non-union employees hired by NYSAC to work at these spectator events who were denied minimum wages and any applicable overtime wages.
- Plaintiffs Perry and Seme bring an action against all named Defendants pursuant to 42 USC § 1981 and 1983. During the relevant time period, since on or about 2017, New York State Governor Andrew Cuomo appointed Kim Sumbler ("Sumbler") as the executive director of NYSAC. Sumbler engaged in a series and pattern of acts, motivated by racial animus toward black women and men and interfered and terminated Plaintiffs from their employment based on false pretenses. Plaintiff Perry and Seme are both Black Americans. Even after Plaintiffs Perry and Seme were terminated from their employment on or about April 25, 2018 and on or about March 17, 2017 respectively, Sumbler, under color law harassed and intimidated Plaintiffs from

places of public accommodation in which Plaintiffs were lawfully present by instructing security to remove or eject them from these public premises.

- 8. As to Plaintiff Seme, Defendant Sumbler under color law, made false allegations to a New York State Trooper Charles D. Sands (Trooper Sands) who appeared at the home of Plaintiff Seme on or about March 17, 2017. On or about March 17, 2017, Plaintiff Seme opened the door of his home because he was visited by Trooper Sands and another Trooper Doe who did not identify himself. Trooper Sands stated to Plaintiff Seme, "Kim Sumbler said you were going to blow up the building." Plaintiff Sands was shocked, intimidated and terrorized with the prospect of arrest or criminal charges based on patently false allegations by Kim Sumbler. Defendant Sumbler weaponized the police against Plaintiff Seme and was fully aware of the threat of bodily harm or excessive force by police that could befall him. On two more occasions thereafter during the remainder of 2017 State Trooper Sands returned to the residence of Plaintiff Seme to intimidate, coerce and harass Plaintiff Seme to falsely implicate him for a "threat" he never made.
- 9. Defendant Sumbler arbitrarily and capriciously denied Plaintiff Seme's boxer trainer license renewal application submitted on or about April 2018. From on or about 2016 through the dates of their termination on or about April 25, 2018 for Plaintiff Perry and on or about March 2017 for Plaintiff Seme, a 2 year ban on employment with NYSAC for Inspectors to become NYS boxing judges / referees was implemented and applied only on black Inspectors, specifically Plaintiffs Perry and Seme. During Plaintiff Perry's fourteen (14) years of employment with NYSAC, there were approximately, less than 5 black inspectors employed by NYSAC at one given time period. There were fulltime white male inspectors but there were not full time black inspectors at any time during Plaintiff Perry's 14 years of employment.

- 10. Defendant Anthony Giardina who was an acting NYSAC commissioner through on or about March 2017, motivated by racial and gender animus toward Plaintiff Perry interfered with her promotions to Deputy Commissioner and repeatedly demeaned and harassed her by telling Plaintiff Perry to "sit in the back" and "sit in the back out of sight" at boxing events when he knew Plaintiff Perry as an Inspector needed to be ringside.
- 11. On or about March 17, 2017, Defendant Giardina blamed Plaintiff Seme for a trainer at an event who did not have a trainer's license. However inspectors were not charge with the duties of checking trainer licensure and did not have the means to check any trainer's licensure at an event.
- 12. After Plaintiff Seme's termination from employment, Defendant Sumbler weaponized police to show up at Plaintiff Seme's residence on a false report that Plaintiff Seme threatened to blow up a building. State Troopers repeatedly threatened, intimidated Plaintiff Seme and his mother with police action at the behest of Defendant Sumbler. Defendant Sumbler further caused Plaintiff Seme to be ejected from places of public accommodations where Plaintiff Seme was lawfully and peacefully present on approximately four occasions.
- 13. After Plaintiff Perry was terminated from her employment, at the behest of Defendant Sumbler Plaintiff Perry was ejected from a place of public accommodations where she was lawfully and peacefully present. Thereafter, Plaintiff Perry was coerced and deterred from attending any public events at public places of accommodation.
- 14. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to an employment policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiffs Perry and Seme to all other similarly situated employees.

15. Plaintiffs Perry and Seme seek compensation for Defendants' failure to pay applicable overtime wages back pay for retaliatory termination, liquidated damages, penalties, compensatory damages, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to the FLSA and NYLL. Plaintiffs Perry and Seme further seek section 45 USC 1981 and 1983 damages including but not limited to compensatory and punitive damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 16. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 USC §1331, §1337, 29 USC §216 (b), (c),§ 217; 45 USC §1981 and §1983.
- 17. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York state law claims under the 28 USC §1367(a).
- 18. Venue in this district is proper under 28 USC §1391 NYSAC is headquartered at 123 Williams Street, New York, NY and all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

The Parties and Factual Allegations

19. Plaintiffs individually on their own behalf and on behalf of the Collective Plaintiffs, repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation previously set forth as if fully set forth herein

Plaintiff Dorothea Perry

20. Plaintiff Dorothea Perry ("Perry") commenced her employment as a boxing inspector on or about 2004. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Corporation within the meaning of FLSA 29 USC §201 et seq. NYLL §§ 2 and 651

- 21. Plaintiff Perry was recommended for the inspector position by black boxers who appreciated and wanted her advocacy regarding the terms and conditions of the boxer's training at NYSAC facilities and performance event spaces that impacted their health welfare and safety.
- 22. During her fourteen (14) years of employment with NYSAC, Plaintiff Dorothea Perry was the only black female inspector at NYSAC.
- 23. During Plaintiff's employment at NYSAC, Ms. Perry was willfully denied minimum wage under FLSA and NYLL, and denied breaks and suffered repeated retaliation for making complaints to management regarding the terms and conditions of her and other inspectors' employment with NYSAC.
- 24. Despite recommendations and exemplary work performance, Ms. Perry was repeatedly denied promotions. One male, non-black inspector who was promoted over Ms. Perry lacked experience and credentials and was not required to submit a performance evaluation as Ms. Perry was required to do for consideration for the promotion.
- 25. On or about April 25, 2018, Plaintiff Perry was terminated in retaliation for making a complaint to the NYS Inspector General's office about gender and race based discrimination against her by Defendant Giardina and Sumbler.
- 26. Defendant Sumbler based Plaintiff Perry's termination on a false pretext that Plaintiff Perry violated safety protocols at an event that Sumbler had arbitrarily changed right before the subject event started without any prior notice whatsoever.
- 27. Through on or about 2017, Defendant Anthony Giardina, motivated by racial and gender animus toward Plaintiff Perry interfered with her promotions to Deputy Commissioner and repeatedly demeaned and harassed her by ordering Plaintiff Perry to "sit in the back" boxing events when he knew Plaintiff Perry as an Inspector needed to be ringside.

Plaintiff Jean Seme

- 28. Plaintiff Jean Seme, ("Seme") was an at will NYSAC Inspector employee from on or about 2015 through on or about March 17, 2017. Plaintiff Seme is a black American man. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Corporation within the meaning of FLSA 29 USC §201 et seq. NYLL §§ 2 and 651
- 29. During all relevant times of his employment, Plaintiff Seme was willfully denied minimum wage, spread of hours pay and applicable overtime wages and breaks during a work shift.
- 30. On or about March 17, 2017 Defendant Sumbler terminated Plaintiff Seme based on the false pretext that he "threatened to blow up the building." Plaintiff Seme did not at any relevant time make that statement or any other remotely similar statement. Based on Defendant Sumbler's lie about Plaintiff Seme and at the behest of Defendant Sumbler, New York State Trooper Charles D. Sands appeared at the home of Plaintiff Seme with another unidentified NYS Trooper on several occasions commencing on or about March 17, 2017.
- 31. During the first encounter, on or about March 17, 2017, Trooper Sands stated to Plaintiff Seme, "Kim Sumbler said you were going to blow up the building." Plaintiff Seme was shocked, intimidated and terrorized with the prospect of arrest or criminal charges based on patently false allegations by Kim Sumbler.
- 32. On two more occasions thereafter during the remainder of 2017 State Trooper Sands returned to the residence of Plaintiff Seme to intimidate, coerce and harass Plaintiff Seme to falsely implicate him for a "threat" he never made. These were also at the behest of Defendant Sumbler as Plaintiff Seme had made a written complaint to NYSAC about the incident. The

Troopers returned to Plaintiff Seme's home intimidating coercing and harassing Plaintiff Seme for a copy of that complaint about them and Sumbler.

33. Trooper Sands also made at least four separate phone calls to Plaintiff Seme's mother's cell phone. Only NYSAC had Plaintiff's mother's cell phone on file as an emergency contact.

Trooper Sands caused severe fright and terrorized Plaintiff Seme's mother with threats of state or police action against her innocent son Plaintiff Seme.

Collective Plaintiffs

- 34. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 203, 206, 207 and 216(b) Plaintiffs Perry and Seme bring a collective action on behalf of similarly situated former and current employees.
- 35. Collective Plaintiffs are similarly situated inspectors or at will employees of NYSAC who were not afforded the benefits of the NYS Civil Service Law (NYS CSL) or public or state employee union membership. Collective Plaintiffs were at all relevant times willfully denied minimum wage, applicable overtime and breaks under FLSA 29 USC §201 et seq.
- 36. Collective Plaintiffs of the Section 1981 action are similarly situated black inspectors or at will employees who suffered under Defendants' racist policies and/or arbitrary and capricious practices by Defendant Sumbler such as termination under false pretext
- 37. The claims of Plaintiff Perry and Seme stated herein are similar to those of the other former and current employees as they were all subjected to Defendants' illegal policies including but not limited to misclassification of Plaintiffs state employees when they were not afforded the benefits of the civil service law or union membership; and denied minimum wages, applicable spread of hours, overtime wages for actual overtime hours worked over forty(40) within a workweek.

38. The FLSA Collective would benefit from the issuance of a court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join in the present action. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable and locatable through their records. These similarly situated employees should be notified of and allowed to opt into this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) The collective Plaintiffs continue to work to date in abject fear of losing their employment, fear of retaliation and reprisals by Defendants for speaking out about the terms and conditions of their employment.

Defendant New York State Athletic Commission (NYSAC)

- 39. Based upon information and belief, NYSAC is a subdivision of the New York State
 Department of State (NYS DOS). NYSAC licenses boxers and has had a long history of racial
 discrimination against Black American Boxers that continues unabated to date. *See, e.g. Ali v.*Division of State Athletic Commission of the Department of State, 316 F.Supp. 1246 (SDNY
 1970) Specifically, Plaintiff Seme's application for renewal of his boxing license that he paid a
 fee for on or about April 2018 has remained ignored, and otherwise arbitrarily and capriciously
 denied. *See, Id.* Plaintiff Seme and Plaintiff Perry further suffered interference with their
 constitutionally protected rights as black American citizens that other white citizens enjoyed
 such as the right to attend public sporting events at places of public accommodations. Defendant
 Sumbler, as executive director of NYSAC, exceeding her authority and under color of law
 forcibly removed or had Plaintiffs ejected from sporting events where Plaintiffs were lawfully
 present.
- 40. Based upon information and belief, NYSAC's purpose is commercial in nature as it earns significant profits from tax and other fees from all of the spectator events that it hosts. NYSAC

hires at will employees, specifically to work at these events who are not afforded the protections of civil service employees under NY civil service laws and their labor union representatives.

- 41. At all relevant times, NYSAC was an employer engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a) and employed Plaintiffs within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 42. Based upon information and belief, NYSAC does business nationally NYSAC hosts and oversees spectator boxing, wrestling and mixed martial arts (MMA) events and gains substantial revenues from these events. These events affect interstate commerce in that these events draw large spectator crowds, vendors, boxing, wrestling, MMA and various other celebrities to the state of New York. These events are also nationally broadcast on internet, cable and social media which earns NYSAC global revenue such as fees from pay per view events.
- 43. Based upon information and belief, NYSAC has annual revenues far exceeding one million dollars per annum to satisfy the interstate commerce jurisdictional requirement under FLSA §201 et seq. NYSAC's annual gross volume of sales made, or business done, was not less than Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) exclusive of sperate retail excise taxes, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (s)(1)(A)(ii).
- 44. At all relevant times, NYSAC was a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d), the NYLL §190, the N.Y. Executive Law § 292(5), and the N.Y Admin. Code. § 8-102(5).
- 45. At all relevant times, Defendants maintained control, oversight, and direction over the Plaintiffs including timekeeping, payroll and other employment practices that applied to them.

Individual Defendants

Kim Sumbler, Executive Director of NYSAC

- 46. Based upon information and belief, Kim Sumbler is a Canadian citizen and resident who was allowed preferential treatment by Governor Andrew Cuomo to become a NYS employee without fulfilling a residency requirement as all other NYSAC employees. Defendant Sumbler was appointed as executive director of NYSAC on or about 2017.
- 47. At all relevant times Defendant Sumbler maintained control, oversight, and direction over the Plaintiffs including timekeeping, payroll and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 48. An internal office memorandum dated on or about May 2018 was written by Defendant Sumbler pertaining to the termination of Plaintiff Perry. This document fully displayed her racial animus and bigotry against black Americans. Sumbler stated in relevant part,

"On the card, there were three athletes (Broner, Davis & Charlo) with known gang affiliations and famous artists (rappers), who were making threats to each other over social media leading up to the event."

"Immediately prior to the event...I informed all staff that contrary to normal procedures, that NYSAC would not permit any additional guests into the locker rooms..."

"I saw three large men...in addition to Gervonta Davis's (sic) camp, and guests...I asked them to leave...There were a few tense moments where they stared me down then eventually left without incident...they didn't belong...we were on heightened security alert because of the threats that were made...this breach of locker room access placed both Ed Kunkle and me in a very dangerous situation...Had Dorothea notified Security immediately...we would not have been put in harm's way."

The three athletes were black boxers who did not in fact have gang affiliations. On or about April 2018, Defendant Sumbler repeated to sports media that these three athletes had "gang affiliations." The "three large men" were also black men" who Sumbler felt put her in a "very dangerous situation" when Sumbler stated in a previous clause that these "three large men" who "didn't belong" and "left without incident."

.

- 49. Defendant Sumbler also instituted a racist, arbitrary and capricious policy at this incident to check all Boxers' family's identification to make sure they were family members.
- 50. At no relevant time was Ms. Perry or any of the inspectors charged with security duties or to notify security when security were the ones who allowed these individuals inside the locker room. When a white boxer Connor MacGregor was accused of taking part in inciting a riot at an event on or about 2018, Defendant Sumbler was quoted in sports media as stating she would reserve comment until all the facts were in
- Defendant Sumbler's dangerous actions on the night of the subject event on or about April 2018 underscored her racism that also motivated her dangerous actions under color of law against Plaintiff Seme. Defendant Sumbler was aware of the consequences for black men when police were weaponized against them based on a false claim. Defendant Sumbler exceeding her authority and/or under color of law, knowingly and falsely told Trooper Sands on or about March 17, 2017 that Plaintiff Seme "threatened to blow up the building" in order to threaten, intimidate and cause bodily harm to Plaintiff Seme. Throughout 2017, Defendant Sumbler similarly, under color of law threatened and intimidated Plaintiff Seme's mother with threats of police action and bodily harm against her son, Plaintiff Seme.

Defendant Anthony Giardina, NYSAC Commissioner through on or about 2017

- 52. Defendant Anthony Giardina was a Commissioner at NYSAC through on or about 2017. Defendant Giardina maintained control, oversight, and direction over the Plaintiffs including timekeeping, payroll and other employment practices applied to Plaintiffs.
- 53. Defendant Giardina willfully and knowingly paid Plaintiffs less than minimum wage, applicable overtime, spread of hours pay and denied them any breaks during their work shift.

- 54. During Plaintiff Perry's employment at NYSAC Defendant Giardina repeatedly ordered Plaintiff Perry to "sit in the back" when Defendant Giardina knew Plaintiff Perry needed to sit ringside as part of her duties as an Inspector.
- 55. Defendant Giardina sexually harassed Plaintiff Perry in 2017 asking her for "a hug."
- 56. Pursuant to FLSA, NYLL and New York State Business Corporation Law §630,
 Defendants Sumbler and Giardina officers and executives who are individually and personally
 liable for unpaid minimum wages, spread of hours pay and overtime wages of Plaintiffs Perry
 and Seme and Collective Plaintiffs.
- 57. Defendants Sumbler and Giardina and are personally liable for the unpaid wages and other damages sought herein, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), NYLL § 2, N.Y. Executive Law § 296 (1)(a) and (6), and N.Y. Admin. Code § 8-107 (1) and (7)(v)
- 58. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, Individual Defendants Sumbler and Giardina and Corporate Defendant NYSAC were joint employers of Plaintiffs, acted in the interest of each other with respect to employees and had common policies and practices as to wages and hours, pursuant to 29 CFR § 791.2
- 59. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's' employment, Individual Defendants Sumbler and Giardina had the discretionary power to create and enforce personnel decisions on behalf of Defendant NYSAC, including but not limited to: hiring and terminating employees; setting and authorizing issuance of wages: maintaining employee records: setting Plaintiffs' and other employees' schedules; negotiating Plaintiffs' rate of pay; instructing, supervising and training Plaintiffs; and otherwise controlling the terms and conditions for the Plaintiffs while they were employed by Defendants.

- 60. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, Individual Defendants Sumbler and Giardina were actively involved in the day-today operations of Defendant NYSAC by and through their supervisors and deputy commissioners.
- 61. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of FLSA 29 USC 201 et seq.
- 62. Since on or about January 2015 to date, Plaintiffs' were continuously paid approximately \$9.09 per hour in wages which consistently fell below the prevailing minimum wage to date.
- 63. Pursuant to 29 CFR 778.5 and FLSA 29 USC 201 et seq., the higher state minimum wage applies in wage and hour lawsuits. Because Plaintiffs worked within New York City (NYC) at all relevant times, Plaintiffs were afforded the benefit of NYC minimum wage which were by December 31 of year: 2015 / \$10.50 per hour; 2016/ \$12.00; 2017 / \$13.50; 2018 / \$15
- 64. In violation of FLSA and NYLL, Plaintiffs were not properly or timely paid their wages. Despite submitting their timesheets after each event, Plaintiffs averaged approximately 1-2 months before they received their paycheck. At all relevant times, there was no regular pay day and Plaintiffs paystubs did not reflect hours worked.
- 65. Plaintiff Seme on or about January 2017, Plaintiff Seme complained to management regarding the long wait times to receive his paycheck. Instead of prompt payment of his paycheck, Plaintiff Seme was terminated on or about March 17, 2017.
- 66. In violation of NYLL, rules and regulations and NYS Department of Labor Wage Order Plaintiffs Perry and Seme and Collective Plaintiffs who were manual laborers were not paid on a weekly basis but instead were improperly paid on an arbitrary 1-2 month basis.

- 67. At all relevant times and throughout the course of Plaintiffs' employment, when they were require to work 4 events or more during a workweek or more than 40 hours within a workweek, Defendants willfully failed to may overtime wages and spread of hours pay.
- 68. As a result of Defendants' actions, of willfully denying overtime wages to their employees and terminating some employees to avoid paying proper regular and overtime wages, Plaintiffs have suffered great hardship and damages as Defendants deprived all Plaintiffs of minimum and overtime wages. Defendants' actions of not permitting Plaintiffs' break times endangered their health welfare and safety. The oppressive environment imposed upon its employees by Defendants caused them mental anguish, compensatory and punitive damages.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Fair Labor Standards Act – Unpaid Minimum and Overtime Wages

(Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff Perry and Seme and the Collective Action Members)

- 69. Plaintiffs Perry and Seme, individually on their own behalf and on behalf of the Collective Plaintiffs, repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation previously set forth as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. Defendants throughout the majority, if not at all relevant times of all Plaintiffs' employment period, paid all Plaintiffs including Collective Plaintiffs in amounts below the applicable statutory minimum wage for their hours worked, in violation of the FLSA 29 USC § 206.
- 71. The overtime wage provisions set forth in FLSA, 29 USC §201 *et seq.* and federal rules and regulations apply to Defendants and protect Plaintiffs and the Collective Plaintiffs.

- 72. Whenever Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs regularly worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours per work week or 4 or more events within a workweek, Defendants failed to pay the applicable overtime wages at one and one half times the NYC minimum wage rate.
- 73. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs the appropriate overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, as required by the FLSA, 29 USC §201 *et seq.* and attendant federal rules and regulations.
- Pursuant to 29 CFR 778.5 and FLSA 29 USC 201 et seq., the higher state minimum wage applies in FLSA wage and hour lawsuits. Because Plaintiffs worked within New York City (NYC) at all relevant times, Plaintiffs were afforded the benefit of NYC minimum wage which were by December 31 of year: 2015 / \$10.50 per hour; 2016/\$12.00; 2017 / \$13.50; 2018 / \$15 Instead, Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs were at all relevant times paid approximately \$9.09 per hour or less since on or about 2015.
- 75. Defendants' unlawful conduct as described in this Complaint was willful and intentional. Defendants, a subdivision of New York State Department State, with unlimited resources and regulatory power were aware or should have been aware that their practices were in violation of state and federal minimum and overtime wage laws, rules and regulations as detailed in this Complaint. Defendants knew or should have known that failing to provide breaks for Plaintiffs endangered their health and welfare. Defendants have refused to reinstate Plaintiffs Perry and Seme and refused to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA regarding compensation of minimum wages, spread of hours pay and overtime wages to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.
- 76. Because of Defendants' violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C § 255(a). As a result of Defendants' willful

violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs Perry and Seme and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs have been deprived of minimum wages, spread of hours pay and overtime compensation in amounts to be determined at the time trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts and liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, attorney's fees, costs and other compensation pursuant to 29 USC 201 et seq.

Action members have suffered damages by being denied wages at or exceeding the statutory minimum in accordance with the FLSA in amounts to be determined at trial and are thus entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of New York Labor Law – Unpaid Minimum Wage, Spread of Hours Pay and Overtime Wage

- 78. Plaintiffs Perry and Seme repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation previously set forth as if fully set forth herein.
- 79. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs Perry and Seme (collectively, "Plaintiffs") were employed by Defendants within the meaning of NYLL §651 et seq and 12 NYCRR §142-2.2 and Part 146-1.2.
- 80. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs the minimum wage lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of NYLL §663
- 81. Pursuant to New York Labor Law Article 19, §663 (3), the statute of limitations of six (6) years further applies to all causes of action beyond the FLSA two (2) or three (3) years statute of limitations period. 29 U.S.C § 255(a).

- 82. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs the overtime premium of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate of pay for all of their overtime hours worked, in violation of the NYLL, rules and regulations.
- 83. Through their knowing or intentional failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek, Defendants have willfully violated the NYLL, Article 19,§§ 650 et seq., and attendant New York State Department of Labor Rules, Regulations and wage orders.
- 84. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements

- 85. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation previously set forth as if fully set forth herein.
- 86. Defendants have failed to provide Plaintiffs with complete and accurate wage statements throughout their employment listing, inter alia, all regular hours of work, her rate of pay, and the basis of pay, in violation of NYLL § 195(3).
- 87. Defendants failed to reflect any of Plaintiffs' hours worked on their respective wage statements.
- 88. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants' statutory damages of Two Hundred and Fifty dollars (\$250) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000), pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Notice at Time of Hiring AND/OR at the time of each change in wage rate.

- 89. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation previously set forth as if fully set forth herein.
- 90. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs at the time of hiring or at any point thereafter, a notice containing the rate of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law, in violation of NYLL § 195(1).
- 91. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiffs and class Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of Fifty dollars (\$50)per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five thousand dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Plaintiff Perry and Seme's Equal Rights under the law pursuant to 42 USC §1981 and Defendants' Deprivation of rights of Plaintiffs pursuant to 42 USC §1983

- 92. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation previously set forth as if fully set forth herein.
- 93. Pursuant to 42 USC §1981 states, "(a) All persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, give evidence and to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as is enjoyed by white citizens, and shall be subject to like

punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and exactions of every kind, and to no other. (b)

Make and Enforce Contracts... includes the making, performance, modification, and termination
of contracts and the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and conditions of the contractual
relationship. (c) Protection Against Impairment The rights protected by this section are
protected against impairment by nongovernmental discrimination and impairment under color of
State law."

- 94. "Make and enforce contracts" includes wrongful termination and failure to promote based on false pretext and race based animus.
 - 95. 42 USC 1983 states in pertinent part,

"Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage of any State ...subjects or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress..."

Deprivation of Plaintiff Perry's Rights

- 96. On or about 2018 Plaintiff Perry was denied a promotion to deputy commissioner. To apply for the promotion, Plaintiff had to request that Commissioner Hoover post the job opening. After applying, with an exemplary performance record and recommendations from superiors, a non-black inspector was given the promotion. This non-black inspector was not required to submit any score card or evaluations as Plaintiff Perry was required to do.
- 97. Since on or about 2004 when Plaintiff Perry commenced employment with NYSAC to the date of her termination on or about April 25, 2018, Plaintiff Perry was the only black woman inspector.

- 98. Throughout Defendant Giardina's employment as acting Executive Director at NYSAC to on or about March 2017, Defendant ordered Plaintiff Perry to "sit in the back" at boxing events with full awareness that as an inspector, Plaintiff Perry needed to sit ringside.
- 99. On or about March 2017, Defendant Giardina requested that Plaintiff Perry give him a "hug" but Plaintiff refused.
- 100. On or about March 2017, Defendant Giardina told Plaintiff Perry at a boxing event that she had not been considered for promotion to deputy commissioner because he questioned Plaintiff Perry's attentiveness and job performance. Defendant Giardina thereafter told Plaintiff Perry to sit in the back out of sight.
- 101. Defendant Giardina created a racially hostile environment for Plaintiff Perry.
- 102. On or about April 2018, at a boxing event at Barclays Center, Brooklyn NY ("Barclay's event") Defendant Sumbler blamed Plaintiff Perry for not enforcing security measures when Plaintiff Perry was not responsible for security. And there were approximately 2 security officers outside of the locker room. Defendant Sumbler allegedly believed she was in danger when there were "three large men" in the room but never expressed concern for Plaintiff Perry's safety, only hers and commissioner Ed Kunkle's safety.
- 103. On or about April 2018 at the Barclay's event, a white male reporter obstructed Plaintiff Perry's path as she escorted a boxer to his locker room to be medically evaluated. When Plaintiff Perry stated that the boxer cannot stop for an interview and must go directly for an after fight medical exam, the white male reporter threatened to "kill" Plaintiff Perry. This reporter was instructed by Defendant Sumbler to request that interview with the boxer on his way to his medical exam, in violation of NYSAC medical policy.

- 104. At the next boxing event, after Plaintiff Perry was terminated on or about April 25 2018, a former commissioner alerted Defendant Sumbler by email that the event was understaffed and individuals overcrowded locker room areas. However, Defendant Sumbler expressed no concern regarding the dangerous conditions.
- 105. As a 30 year veteran inspector who was acquainted with most inspectors, stated to Plaintiff Perry, he had never witnessed an inspector terminated for no stated reason the way she was.
- 106. Since on or about 2014 through the date of Plaintiff Perry's termination, NYSAC enforced a 2 year ban on employment with NYSAC if an inspector sought to be a NYS licensed boxing judge. If an inspector wanted to be a boxing judge, he/she was required to quit as an inspector for 2 years. However, this 2 year ban was enforced only against black inspectors such as Plaintiffs Perry and Seme to deter them from becoming judges. White male inspectors however, were not subject to this rule and became boxing judges without quitting employment as inspectors.
- 107. On or about 2016, when NYSAC discovered Plaintiff Perry was traveling to other states to judge boxing events, the office of general counsel wrote a letter demanding that Plaintiff Perry resign. When Plaintiff Perry replied with evidence that she was not in violation of any law, rules, or regulations and that she was not in conflict of interest, the office of general counsel had no choice but to retreat from their intimidation to coerce Plaintiff Perry to resign.
- 108. After Plaintiff Perry was terminated on or about 2018, Plaintiff Perry was lawfully and peacefully present at a boxing event where Defendant Sumbler unlawfully, under color of law caused security to eject Plaintiff Perry from the subject premises.

109. But for Plaintiff Perry's race, Plaintiff Perry would have been licensed as a boxing judge; would have been promoted to deputy commissioner; would not have been terminated; and would not have been unlawfully ejected by Defendant Sumbler or at Sumbler's behest from a place of public accommodations where Plaintiff Perry was lawfully and peacefully present.

Deprivation of Rights of Plaintiff Seme

- 110. Plaintiff Seme was terrorized at his own home on or about March 17, 2017 and thereafter because Defendant Sumbler, a white woman, weaponized NYS Trooper Sands and a fellow Trooper to question Plaintiff Seme stating, "Kim Sumbler said you threatened to blow up the building."
- 111. On or about 2017, Defendant Sumbler filed a harassment claim against a white male Commissioner but did not send NYS Troopers to his home to question him about his alleged harassment of Defendant Sumbler.
- 112. On approximately three more occasions when Plaintiff Seme attended public events that were also attended by Defendant Sumbler, Defendant Sumbler unlawfully but under color of law ejected Plaintiff Seme from event spaces or public places of accommodation where he was peacefully and lawfully present with friends.
- 113. Plaintiff Seme was also deterred from becoming a NYS boxing judge as a result of the 2 year ban even though his white counter parts were not subjected to such ban.
- 114. Plaintiff Seme paid for a renewal application of his trainer license on or about April 2018 and to date, was arbitrarily denied a renewal of his trainer "seconds" license by Defendants.
- 115. On or about March 17, 2017, at a boxing event, Defendant Giardina accused Plaintiff Seme for the presence of an unlicensed trainer in a boxer's locker room. Inspectors were not

charged with the duty of checking trainer's for their license and Plaintiff Seme had no means or access to check on the trainer's license status.

- 116. Plaintiff Perry had complained to Defendant Giardina about inspectors being blamed for unfulfilled tasks that were not the duties of the inspectors but rather the duties of the deputy commissioners and commissioners. Defendant Giardina thereafter at various times during March 17, 2017 retaliated against Plaintiff Perry by denying her promotion to deputy commissioner.
- 117. But for Plaintiff Seme's race, he would not have been harassed, intimidated and terrorized by NYS police at his residence; he would have become a boxing judge; and would not have been terminated; he would not have been further ejected by Defendant Sumbler or at Sumbler's behest who caused security to eject Plaintiff Seme from places of public accommodation where he was lawfully and peacefully present.
- 118. During Plaintiff Perry's fourteen years as an inspector, there were less than five black inspectors at any given time period. Plaintiff Perry was the only black female inspector.

 Defendants systematically contrived false pretenses under which they terminated black inspectors, and failed to give them full time status or benefits. Defendants also systematically deterred or prevented black individuals from being hired as an inspector.
- 119. Inspectors generally have a passion for the professional sport of boxing. Plaintiffs Perry and Seme suffered tremendous mental anguish and emotional distress when they were maliciously and fraudulently deprived of their rights to participate in the sport they were passionate about and were even deprived of their rights to lawfully and peacefully attend boxing events at places of public accommodations as spectators.

- 120. Defendant Sumbler made life insufferable, threatened to take Plaintiff Seme's liberty and deprived the pursuit of happiness impossible for Plaintiff Seme that he had to move out of the state.
- 121. Defendant Sumbler made life insufferable and the pursuit of happiness impossible for Plaintiff Perry that she suffered mental anguish, emotional harm, heart problems and depression.
- 122. Accordingly, Defendants NYSAC, Sumbler and Giardina are liable to Plaintiffs pursuant to 42 USC 1981 and 1983 for compensatory and punitive damages and enjoined from their race based conduct that terminated then and ejected them places and ejected Plaintiffs from public places of accommodations and that deprived and continue to deprive Plaintiffs of their rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Perry and Seme, individually on their own behalf and on behalf of all other similarly situated former and current at will employees of NYSAC, prays for the following relief:

- A. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this complaint are unlawful pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., New York Labor Law, Article 19, §§ 650 et seq., and attendant New York State Department of Labor Rules and Regulations, Wage Orders
- B. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective (asserting FLSA claims and state claims) and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state

claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2 I 6(b)

- C. Damages for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pay under the FLSA, 29 USC §201 et seq. and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the supporting United States Department of Labor Regulations in amounts to be determined at the time of trial;
- D. Damages for unpaid minimum wage, spread of hours pay and overtime wages under NYLL, NYS DOL Rules, Regulations and Wage Orders, and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL §198(1-a) and § 663(1) in amounts to be determined at the time of trial;
- E. Civil penalties of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) for each of Defendants' willful and repeated violations of the FLSA 29 USC §207, 215(a)(2) pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(a);
- F. An award of statutory penalties of fifty dollars (\$50) per work day up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiffs with wage notices at the time of hiring, or at any point thereafter, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b);
- G. An award of statutory penalties of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) per workday up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiffs with accurate wage statements, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d);
- H. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to prove Plaintiffs with wage statements pursuant to NYLL §198
- I. An award of back wages, front wages, liquidated damages, emotional distress, mental anguish, and punitive damages for the Defendants' prohibited retaliation against Plaintiff Perry and Seme pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 215(3);

J. An award of front pay, lost compensation, emotional distress, punitive damages and liquidated damages up to a maximum of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00), for

Defendants' prohibited retaliation against Plaintiff pursuant to NYLL § 215(a)(2);

K. Permanently enjoin Defendants from willfully failing to apply prevailing NYS / NYC

minimum wages, spread of hours pay and overtime wages pursuant to FLSA and NYLL.

L. An award of prejudgment interest pursuant to 28. U.S.C § 1961;

M. An award of pre-judgement interest of nine per cent per annum (9%) pursuant to the New

York Civil Practice Law and Rules §§ 5001-5004

N. An award of post-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 and/or the New York

Civil Practice Law and Rules § 5003;

O. An award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b), NYLL §§ 198 and

663(1); and

P. Enjoin Defendants' racially discriminatory practices and policies and afford Plaintiffs

equal rights under the law to make and enforce contracts;

Q. Declare Defendants violated 42 USC 1983

R. Section 1981 and 1983 compensatory and punitive damages; and

S. Such other and further relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, NY

March 5, 2020

LAW OFFICE OF SUSAM GHIM

/s/ Susan Ghim By:

> Susan Ghim Attorney for Plaintiffs 244 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1434 New York, New York 10001 (917) 549-4708

SGHIMESQ@GMAIL.COM

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: New York State Athletic Commission Hit with Lawsuit Alleging Unpaid Wages, Racial Discrimination