### **ZUMPANO PATRICIOS & HELSTEN, LLC**

Bradley R. Helsten, Esq. Utah Bar No. 5878 2061 E. Murray Holladay Rd. Salt Lake City, Utah 84117 Telephone: (801) 478-5899 Facsimile: (801) 401-7228

### **ZUMPANO PATRICIOS & POPOK, PLLC**

Michael S. Popok, Esq. Mitchell G. Mandell, Esq. 417 Fifth Avenue, Suite 826 New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 381-9999

Facsimile: (212) 320-0332

Pro Hac Vice Applications in Process

## **GERAGOS & GERAGOS, PC**

Mark Geragos, Esq. Ben Meiselas, Esq. 644 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 625-3900 Facsimile: (213) 232-3255

Pro Hac Vice Applications in Process

### **GRAYLAW GROUP, INC.**

Michael E. Adler, Esq. 26500 Agoura Road, #102-127 Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 532-2833

Facsimile: (818) 532-2834

### **DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.**

Harmeet K. Dhillon, Esq. Nitoj P. Singh, Esq. 177 Post St., Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 433-1700 Facsimile: (415) 520-6593

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

PANDA GROUP, PC d/b/a PANDA ACCOUNTING, on behalf of a class of similarly situated businesses and individuals,

Civ. No. 4:20-cv-00045-DN

Plaintiff(s),

v.

BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, BANK OF AMERICA N.A., CHASE BANK USA, MOUNTAIN **AMERICA** N.A., FEDERAL **CREDIT** UNION d/b/a MOUNTAIN AMERICA CREDIT UNION, AND UNIVERSITY FIRST **FEDERAL** CREDIT UNION d/b/a UNIVERSITY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,

Defendants.

### CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Panda Group, PC d/b/a Panda Accounting ("Panda Group") brings this class action on behalf of itself and those similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiff") against Defendants Bank of America Corporation, Bank of America, N.A., Chase Bank USA, N.A., Mountain America Federal Credit Union d/b/a Mountain America Credit Union, and University First Federal Credit Union d/b/a University Federal Credit Union), for agent fees due to it from Defendants as a participant in the Federal Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP"). For its class action complaint ("Complaint"), Plaintiff alleges as follows based upon its knowledge, and upon information and belief including investigation conducted by its attorneys:

### **PARTIES**

- 1. Panda Group is a Utah professional corporation with an office located in Washington, Utah. The firm specializes in providing accounting and related consulting services to small and midsize businesses.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Bank of America Corporation ("BofA Co.") is a Delaware corporation and the parent company of Defendant Bank of America N.A. ("BofA"). It is an American multinational investment bank and financial services company. Upon information and belief, through its subsidiaries, BofA Co. conducts substantial business within this District.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant BofA is a Maryland corporation, a federally-chartered bank, and a subsidiary of Defendant BofA Co. Upon information and belief, BofA conducts substantial business within this District.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chase Bank USA, N.A. ("Chase") is a Delaware corporation and federally-chartered bank. Upon information and belief, Chase conducts substantial business within this District.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mountain America Federal Credit Union d/b/a Mountain America Credit Union ("MACU") is a Utah not-for-profit corporation. Upon information and belief, MACU conducts substantial business within this District.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant University First Federal Credit Union d/b/a University Federal Credit Union ("UFCU") is a Utah not-for-profit corporation. Upon information and belief, UFCU conducts substantial business in this District.
- 7. In this Complaint, when reference is made to any act of any Defendant, such shall be deemed to mean that officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives of the

Defendant named in this lawsuit committed or authorized such acts, or failed and omitted to adequately supervise or properly control or direct their employees while engaged in the management, direction, operation or control of the affairs of the Defendant and did so while acting within the scope of their employment or agency.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 8. This Court has original jurisdiction over this Action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because this is a class action in which: (1) at least some members of the proposed Class have different citizenship from Defendant(s); (2) the proposed class consists of more than 100 persons or entities; and (3) the claims of the proposed members of the Class exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate.
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants are residents of Utah, do business in this District, and/or a substantial number of the events giving rise to the claims alleged herein took place in Utah.
- 10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the alleged claims occurred in this District given that Plaintiff applied on behalf of its clients for PPP loans while in this District, and Defendants marketed, promoted, and took applications for PPP loans in this District.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

### **Background**

- 11. On January 21, 2020, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") confirmed the first U.S. case of a new coronavirus known as COVID-19.
- 12. On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization ("WHO") declared the COVID-19 outbreak to be a "public health emergency of international concern."

- 13. On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared that the spread of COVID-19 had become a pandemic.
- 14. On March 13, 2020, President Trump issued the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Emergency Declaration applicable to the United States that declared that the pandemic was of "sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant an emergency declaration for all states, territories and the District of Columbia."
- 15. The Administration expressly recognized that with the COVID-19 emergency "many small businesses nationwide are experiencing economic hardship as a direct result of the Federal, State and local public health measures that are being taken to minimize the public's exposure to the virus." *See Business Loan Program Temporary Changes; Paycheck Protection Program*, 13 CFR Part 120, Interim Final Rule (the "Small Business Administration ("SBA") PPP Final Rule").
- 16. On March 25, 2020, in response to the economic damage caused by the COVID-19 crisis, the United States Senate passed the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the "CARES Act" or the "Act"). The CARES Act (P.L. 116-136) was passed by the House of Representatives the following day and signed into law by President Trump on March 27, 2020. This legislation included \$377 billion in federally-funded loans to small businesses and a \$500 billion governmental lending program, administered by the United States Department of Treasury ("Treasury") and the SBA, a United States government agency that provides support to entrepreneurs and small businesses nationwide.
- 17. As part of the CARES Act, the Federal Government created the \$349 billion PPP for small businesses providing funds for loans to be originated from February 15, 2020 through June 30, 2020. The PPP was created to provide American small businesses with eight weeks of

cash-flow assistance, with a certain percentage forgivable if utilized to retain employees and fund payrolls. The loans are backed by the SBA, administered by Treasury, but funded by private lenders including banks and financial services firms ("Lenders"). Pursuant to the SBA PPP Interim Final Rule, the PPP is a limited funding program, as funds are provided on a "first come, first served basis." *See* 13 CFR Part 120, p. 13.

- 18. On March 27, 2020, Utah Governor Gary R. Herbert issued an executive "Stay Safe, Stay Home" Directive (the "Directive") for the State to prevent the spread of COVID-19. The Directive was extended until May 1, 2020.
- 19. Treasury announced on April 3, 2020 that small businesses and sole proprietors could apply and receive loans to cover their payroll and other expenses through approved SBA Lenders. Beginning on April 10, 2020, independent contractors and self-employed individuals could apply as well<sup>1</sup>.
- 20. On April 24, 2020, President Trump signed the Paycheck Protection Program and Health Care Enhancement Act ("PPPEA"). The PPPEA added an additional \$310 billion in PPP funding, bringing the total PPP funds available to lend to \$659 billion.
- 21. Treasury's PPP Information Sheet (Lenders) (the "PPP ISL"), consistent with the SBA PPP Final Rule (collectively, the "SBA Regulations"), creates a system with three (3) participants: (a) a Lender who funds the PPP loans backed by the Federal Government; (b) a small business and "main street" borrower who obtains the PPP loan for the specified purpose (the "Borrower"); and (c) an independent agent who brings the Borrower to Lenders and helps shepherd the Borrower through the PPP loan process (the "Agent"). It is through the Agent that the PPP

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/PPP--Fact-Sheet.pdf

ensures the proper and efficient allocation of finite credit under the PPP and its "first come, first serve" approach.

- 22. The SBA Regulations define Agent as an "authorized representative" which broadly includes:
  - a. An attorney;
  - b. An accountant;
  - c. A consultant;
  - d. Someone who prepares an applicant's application for financial assistance and is employed and compensated by the applicant;
  - e. Someone who assists a lender with originating, disbursing, servicing, liquidating, or litigating SBA loans;
  - f. A loan broker; or
  - g. Any other individual or entity representing an applicant by conducting business with the SBA.<sup>2</sup>
- 23. Without the valuable work performed by Plaintiff and the Class Members as Agents under the PPP, the CARES Act would not accomplish the United States Senate's expressed legislative intent. The Senate requested Treasury to "issue guidance to lenders and agents to ensure that the ... loans prioritize small business concerns and entities in underserved and rural markets, including veterans and members of the military community, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals..., women, and businesses in operation for less than 2 years." [Emphasis added].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/PPP%20Lender%20Information%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H.R. 748, CARES ACT, PL 116-136 (March 27, 2020; 134 Stat. 281)

- 24. The SBA Regulations also expressly provide how each of the PPP participants (Borrower, Lender and Agent) will benefit from (and be compensated under) the program.
- 25. For the Lenders, the SBA Regulations provide that they will be compensated based on the balance of the financing at the time of final disbursement. Specifically, the SBA will pay Lenders fees in the following amounts for processing PPP loans:
  - a. Five percent (5%) for loans of not more than \$350,000;
  - b. Three percent (3%) for loans of more than \$350,000 and less than \$2,000,000; and
  - c. One percent (1%) for loans of at least \$2,000,000<sup>4</sup>.
- 26. For the Agents, the SBA Regulations provide that "Agent fees will be paid out of lender fees. The lender will pay the agent. Agents may not collect any fees from the applicant. The total amount that an agent may collect from the lender for assistance in preparing an application for a PPP loan" is as follows:
  - a. "One percent for loans of not more than \$350,000;
  - b. 0.50 percent for loans of more than \$350,000 and less than \$2 million; and
  - c. 0.25 percent for loans of at least \$2 million" ("Agent Fee(s)") (Emphasis Added).<sup>5</sup>
- 27. The SBA Regulations also reflect that Treasury has determined that the Agent Fees set forth above are "reasonable" given the application requirements and the fees that Lenders receive for making PPP loans.
- 28. Based on information and belief, Defendants received approval from the SBA and funded loans for numerous business owners represented by Plaintiff and the proposed Class, yet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

failed to pay the required compensation to Plaintiff, the Agent that facilitated the loan process between Lenders and the Borrower as contemplated by the SBA Regulations.

29. Defendants have either failed and refused to pay, or are willing to pay only a partial percentage of the monies, owed in Agent Fees to Plaintiff and the proposed Class, thus retaining for themselves all of the statutory fees allotted by the Government for Agents as part of the PPP, despite the work performed by the Agents in working with Borrowers to secure the loans under the program, and directing them to the Defendants.

## <u>Plaintiff, in its Role as Agent, Assists its Clients With Applying for PPP Loans Under the CARES ACT</u>

- 30. On or about March 25, 2020, Plaintiff became aware that the CARES Act had been signed into law. Plaintiff, knowing that the COVID-19 crisis would seriously impact its clients' businesses, sought to obtain PPP loans through various Lenders on behalf of its clients.
- 31. Plaintiff spent considerable time familiarizing itself with the Act, and the related SBA Regulations, and, in particular, (a) Section 1102, which permits the SBA to guarantee 100% of Section 7(a) loans under the PPP, and (b) Section 1106 of the Act which provides forgiveness of up to the full principal amount of qualifying loans guaranteed under the PPP.
- 32. In or about April 2020, Plaintiff, in its role as Agent, assisted its clients as Borrowers under the PPP in the gathering and analysis of their documents, as well as the calculation and preparation of each loan application (the "Application(s)").
- 33. Based on the SBA Regulations, Plaintiff understood that it was not allowed to charge its clients as Borrowers a fee relating to the application process, and that the only compensation it would receive was from the mandated Agent Fees paid out of the lending institution's fees received from the Federal Government for originating the Government-backed loan.

- 34. To prepare the documentation, Plaintiff assisted clients in gathering the required information and preparing the Applications, including the following, where applicable or necessary:
  - a. Loan Calculator Spreadsheet;
  - b. SBA Form 2483;
  - c. Addendum A: Affiliates;
  - d. Information if the applicant received an SBA Economic Injury Disaster Loan ("EIDL");
  - e. Certificate of Beneficial Ownership Interest;
  - f. Driver's Licenses;
  - g. Articles of Incorporation or Articles of Organization;
  - h. 2019 IRS/State Payroll Forms;
  - i. 2019 Payroll Summary Report by each Employee;
  - j. 2019 Health Insurance Premium Paid, including each monthly statement or year-end summary;
  - k. 2019 Retirement Matching Plan Paid, including each monthly statement or year-end summary;
  - 1. 2020 1st QTR 941 Form;
  - m. January 2020 Payroll Summary by Employee;
  - n. February 2020 Payroll Summary by Employee;
  - o. March 2020 Payroll Summary by Employee;
  - p. Health Insurance Premium Paid January, February, and March 2020;
  - q. Retirement Matching Plan Paid January, February, and March 2020;

- r. Wiring Instruction; and
- s. Copy of the Borrower's most recent bank statement,(collectively, (a) (s) above are part of the Application).
- 35. Plaintiff believed and expected in good faith that it would receive the Agent Fees from the Lenders upon funding of each of its clients' loans under the PPP as required by the SBA Regulations.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Defendants did not comply with the SBA Regulations in distributing PPP funds and failed and refused to pay the Agent Fees.
- 37. Specifically, Defendants as Lenders under the PPP, and without any legal authority under the SBA Regulations or otherwise, refused and continue to refuse to pay Agents the required statutory Agent Fees from the fees they obtained from the Government despite demand, or repudiated the Agent's role and refused to pay the required Agent Fees as a general policy.
- 38. As a result of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff and the proposed Class has suffered financial harm by being deprived of the statutorily mandated compensation for the professional services that it provided in connection with assisting its clients in applying for and obtaining PPP loans, and by being denied by Defendants just compensation for playing the important role of Agent in the PPP process on behalf of the intended beneficiaries of the program, the small business owner.

### **Class Action Allegations**

- 39. As noted above, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated as a state and nationwide Class, defined below.
- 40. Plaintiff, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (b)(4), seeks to represent a Class composed of and defined as follows:

- a. All Agents as that term is defined by the SBA Regulations that facilitated small businesses in receiving a loan under the PPP, i.e., met the criteria for eligibility and were not otherwise ineligible, between February 15, 2020 and June 30, 2020, who timely applied for a PPP loan through various Lenders and were processed and approved for funding.
- b. An "Agent" as defined by the SBA Regulations is as follows:
  - i. an attorney,
  - ii. an accountant,
  - iii. a consultant,
  - iv. someone who prepared an applicant's application for financial assistance and is employed and compensated by applicant,
  - v. someone who assists a lender with originating, disbursing, servicing liquidating, or litigating SBA loans,
  - vi. a loan broker, or
  - vii. any other individual or entity representing an applicant by conducting business with the SBA.
- 41. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand, limit, modify, or amend this Class definition, including the addition of one or more subclasses, in connection with Plaintiff's motion for class certification, or any other time, based upon, *inter alia*, changing circumstances and/or new facts obtained during discovery.
- 42. *Numerosity:* The Class is composed of thousands of Agents (the "Class Members") whose joinder in this action would be impracticable. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The disposition of their claims through this class action will benefit all Class Members, the parties, and the courts.
- 43. *Commonality:* There is a commonality in questions of law and fact affecting the Class. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2). These questions of law and fact predominate over individual questions affecting individual Class Members, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. Did Defendants comply with all applicable SBA Regulations in processing Applications for PPP funds and in distributing PPP funds?
- b. Did Defendants comply with their legal obligations under the terms of the CARES Act as a lender of the PPP funds?
- c. Did Defendants have a policy and/or practice of failing to compensate Agents and pay them the required Agent Fees for their work in facilitating PPP loans to the detriment of the Class?
- d. Did Defendants prioritize their own origination fees over abiding by the CARES Act and PPP specifications?
- e. Did Defendants' conduct constitute intentional tortious interference with economic relations?
- f. Did Defendants' conduct constitute conversion of the Agent Fees owed to the Plaintiff and the proposed Class?
- g. Did Defendants possess exclusive knowledge of material facts, with respect to the Application process, i.e., that the Agents would not receive the required Agent Fees compensation when assisting applicants with PPP loan process?
- h. Did Defendants actively conceal a material fact or facts from the Plaintiff, i.e., that the Agent was not going to receive its earned Agent Fees when assisting with the Applications?
- i. Whether Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, was intentional and knowing?
- j. Did Defendants frustrate and tortiously interfere with Plaintiff and the Class Members reasonable expectations of receiving the Agent Fees when assisting the Borrowers for PPP loans that were funded;
- k. Whether Class Members are entitled to damages and/or restitution; and if so, what is the amount of revenues and/or profits Defendants received and/or was lost by Class Members as a result of the conduct alleged herein?
- 1. Whether Defendants are likely to continue to mislead the public and Class

- Members and continue to violate SBA Regulations regarding paying Agent their earned fees under the CARES Act; and
- m. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, pre-judgment interest, and costs of suit.
- 44. Superiority: In engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendants have acted and/or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other Class Members. Such conduct requires the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward Class Members. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of Plaintiff's and the Class Members' claims. Few, if any, Class Members could afford to seek legal redress of the wrongs complained of herein on an individual basis. Absent a class action, Class Members and the general public would not likely recover, or have the chance to recover, damages or restitution, and Defendants would be permitted to retain the fruits of their misdeeds. Any difficulties that might occur in the management of this proposed class action are insubstantial. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)(D).
- 45. *Typicality*: Plaintiff's claims are typical of, and are not antagonistic to, the claims of all Class Members. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2). Plaintiff and the Class Members have all been deceived by Defendants' unfair and unlawful PPP loan application and funding practices, as alleged herein. The factual and legal basis of Defendants' liability to Plaintiff and each Class Member as a result of Defendants' actions are described herein. Defendants' purported defenses to the claims, both legal and factual, are typical of the defenses they would try to raise to the Class claims.
- 46. Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because it is a member of the Class, and Plaintiff's interests do not conflict with the interest of the other Class Members that Plaintiff seeks to represent. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of the other Class Members. Plaintiff has retained counsel with substantial experience in litigating complex cases, including consumer fraud and class actions. Both Plaintiff and its counsel will vigorously prosecute this action on behalf of the

Class and have the financial ability to do so. Neither Plaintiff nor counsel have any interest adverse to other Class Members.

- 47. Ascertainability: Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants keep extensive computerized records of their loan Applications through, inter alia, computerized loan application systems and Federally mandated record keeping practices. Defendants have one or more databases through which all of the borrowers may be identified and ascertained, and it maintains contact information, including email and mailing addresses. From this information, the existence of the Class Members (i.e., the Agent for the borrower) can be determined, and thereafter, notice of this action can be disseminated in accordance with due processes requirements.
- 48. Neither Plaintiff nor the Class have previously litigated the claims asserted in this Complaint.

## COUNT I (DECLARATORY RELIEF)

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the forgoing allegations as if the same were fully alleged herein.
- 50. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of itself and other Class Members as Agents defined by the SBA as follows: (i) an attorney; (ii) an accountant; (iii) a consultant; (iv) someone who prepared an applicant's application for financial assistance and is employed and compensated by applicant; (v) someone who assists a lender with originating, disbursing, servicing liquidating, or litigating SBA loans; (vi) a loan broker; or (vii) any other individual or entity representing an applicant by conducting business with the SBA.
- 51. Plaintiff as an Agent under the PPP assisted its clients with submitting the Applications to obtain PPP loans under the CARES Act. Defendants failed to pay Agent Fees owed to Plaintiff as required by SBA Regulations and instead kept all of the origination and processing fees for themselves, in direct violation of the SBA Regulations.

- 52. An actual controversy has arisen between Plaintiff and Defendants as to the Agent Fees owed to Plaintiff by Defendants, and, upon information and belief, Defendants either deny that any Agent Fees are owed to Plaintiff or claim that only a percentage of the Agent Fees are owed.
- 53. Plaintiff and the Class Members have a legally protectable interest under the SBA Regulations in that they are entitled to their mandated Agent Fees in connection with the professional services rendered to their clients in preparing and submitting Applications for PPP funds.
- 54. Defendants have failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay the mandated Agent Fees to the Agents as required under the SBA Regulations.
- 55. Plaintiff and the Class Members seek a declaration in accordance with SBA Regulations that Defendants are required under the SBA Regulations to pay the Agent Fees at the statutory amount on each loan funded involving the Agent.

## COUNT II (TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH ECONOMIC RELATIONS)

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the forgoing allegations as if the same were fully alleged herein.
- 57. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of itself and the other Class Members as Agents.
- 58. Defendants have intentionally and tortiously interfered with Plaintiff's and the Class Members' economic relations by circumventing and ignoring their obligations to comply with the Agent Fee payment requirements under the SBA Regulations and improperly retaining monies owed to Agent.

- 59. Upon information and belief, Defendants improperly allege that Plaintiff and the Class Members are not entitled to the Agent Fees, or are only entitled to a portion of the Agent Fees, despite the clear and unambiguous terms in the SBA Regulations.
- 60. Defendants knowingly and intentionally engaged in lending to businesses under the PPP and thus were required to abide by the obligations unambiguously set forth in the SBA Regulations to pay Plaintiff the mandated Agent Fees.
- 61. Plaintiff, as well as all Class Members, engaged in helping clients apply for PPP loans with the expectation, consistent with the SBA Regulations, that while they were not permitted to charge their clients fees in assisting in compiling the Applications or directing them to a certain Lender, they would obtain as compensation the mandated Agent Fees from the Lenders once a loan to a Borrower represented by an Agent was closed.
- 62. Plaintiff and the Class Members also chose specific Defendants to place the Borrowers with the expectation of being paid the Agent Fees as a PPP Agent under the SBA Regulations.
- 63. Defendants are well aware or should have been aware of the mandated Agent Fees under the SBA Regulations. Notwithstanding, Defendants have improperly chosen to retain the Agent Fees owed to Plaintiff and the Class.
- 64. Defendants' acts constitute intentional and tortious interference with Plaintiff's and the Class Members' economic relations because Defendants improperly and wrongfully withheld monies owed to Plaintiff that were clearly provided for pursuant to the SBA Regulations and that Plaintiff earned.

- 65. Defendants' improper acts or practices of refusing to pay Plaintiff and the proposed Class the mandated Agent Fees, and their failure to adhere to the SBA Regulations as to the PPP loans, are the proximate cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 66. Defendants have used improper means to obtain money from the Federal Government at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class by: (a) failing to adhere to the SBA Regulations, and/or (b) wrongfully informing the Agents such as Plaintiff and the Class Members that they would not receive any compensation for the work they performed, and/or (c) letting the Agent bring the Borrower to the Bank for a PPP loan, but then telling the Agent after the fact that they would not be paid, or paid less than the mandated Agent Fee.
- 67. As a result, Defendants have engaged in intentional and tortious interference with Plaintiff's and the Class Members' economic relations.
- 68. Defendants' conduct manifests a knowing and reckless indifference towards, and a disregard of, the rights of Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 69. Plaintiff, the Class, and members of the public will be harmed and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if the relief requested herein is not granted.
- 70. Plaintiff and the Class Members request this Court to cause Defendants to disgorge the amount of the Agent Fees wrongfully misappropriated by Defendants to Plaintiff and all Class Members, enjoin Defendants from continuing the improper acts as discussed herein, and award Plaintiff and all Class Members compensatory damages, and such other damages and relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT III (UNJUST ENRICHMENT)

71. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the forgoing allegations as if the same were fully alleged herein.

- 72. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of itself and other Class Members as Agents.
- 73. Defendants have been, and continue to be, unjustly enriched, to the detriment and at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class Members as a result of Defendants' wrongful withholding of Agent Fees owed to Plaintiff and the Class.
- 74. Defendants have unjustly benefitted through the unlawful and wrongful retention of money due to the Agents as a result of the funding of the PPP loans. Specifically, Defendants retained for their benefit Agent Fees that Plaintiff and the Class were entitled to be paid for work performed and continue to benefit to the detriment and at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 75. Plaintiff, and the Class Members, chose specific Defendants to place the Borrowers and the PPP Loans, with the reasonable expectation of being paid as an Agent under the SBA Regulations.
- 76. Defendants are intentionally retaining the monies allocated by the Federal Government for Agent Fees and paid to Defendants, despite knowing that said monies are owed to Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 77. Upon information and belief, Defendants refuse to pay, or are willing to pay only a partial percentage of the monies owed to Plaintiff and the Class Members, and are choosing to retain the Agent Fees for themselves in direct violation of SBA regulations.
- 78. Defendants' conduct manifests a knowing and reckless indifference toward, and a disregard of, the rights of Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 79. Accordingly, Defendants should not be allowed to retain the benefits conferred upon them by Plaintiff and the Class Members.

80. Plaintiff and the Class Members request this Court to cause Defendants to disgorge the amount of the Agent Fees wrongfully misappropriated by Defendants to Plaintiff and all Class Members, enjoin Defendants from continuing the improper acts as discussed herein, and award Plaintiff and all Class Members such other damages and relief that this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT IV (CONVERSION)

- 81. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the forgoing allegations as if the same were fully alleged herein.
- 82. Plaintiff and the other Class Members have a right to the mandatory Agent Fees under the SBA Regulations.
- 83. The Agent Fees were paid to the Banks by the Treasury as part of the origination fees paid for each Borrower's loan, and were placed in the Defendants' custody for a "definite application" -- i.e. to be used to pay Plaintiff and the other Class Members their Agent Fees.
- 84. Defendants willfully interfered with the rights of Plaintiff and the other Class Members, without legal justification, when they misappropriated and retained the monies allocated by Treasury for the Agent Fees due upon the funding of each of Plaintiff's and the other Class Members' clients' loans.
- 85. Defendants deprived Plaintiff and the other Class Members of the possession and use of the Agent Fees and misappropriated them for their own benefit.
- 86. At the time they unlawfully retained the Agent Fees, Defendants knew or should have known that the Agent Fees were owed to Plaintiff and the other Class Members.

- 87. Defendants' improper acts or practices of refusing to pay Plaintiff and the other Class Members the mandated Agent Fees are the proximate cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 88. Defendants' conduct manifests a knowing and reckless indifference toward, and a disregard of, the rights of Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 89. Plaintiff and the Class Members request this Court to cause Defendants to disgorge the amount of the Agent Fees wrongfully misappropriated by Defendants to Plaintiff and all Class Members, enjoin Defendants from continuing the improper acts as discussed herein, and award Plaintiff and all Class Members compensatory damages, and such other damages and relief that this Court deems just and proper.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class Members, prays for the following relief:

- A. An Order certifying the Class as defined above, appointing Plaintiff as Class representative for the Class, and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as Class counsel for the Class;
- B. An Order declaring Defendants' actions to be unlawful;
- C. An Order declaring that Defendants have been unjustly enriched;
- D. An award of all recoverable compensatory, statutory, and other damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class Members, as well as equitable relief including disgorgement and enjoining Defendants from continuing the improper acts as discussed herein, and all other available relief under applicable law;

Case 4:20-cv-00045-DN Document 6 Filed 05/11/20 Page 22 of 22

E. An award of punitive damages pursuant to applicable law, including, but not limited

to, Utah Code Ann. § 78B-8-201, for conversion and tortious interference with

economic relations;

F. Reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses as permitted by applicable statutes and

law, including, but not limited to, Utah Code Ann. § 78B-5-825(1);

G. Costs related to bringing this action;

H. Pre and post-judgment interest as allowed by law; and

I. Such further relief at law or in equity that this Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable under Federal Rule of

Civil Procedure 38(a).

Dated: May 11, 2020

I hereby respectfully submit this complaint to be true and correct to the best of my

knowledge and belief.

/s/ Bradley R. Helsten Bradley R. Helsten

Attorney for Plaintiffs

## Case 4:20-cv-00045 ClVIP oct The content of 2 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de					774, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
PANDA GROUP, PC d/b/a PANDA ACCOUNTING, acting on behaclass of similarly situated businesses and individuals				a BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, et al.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Washington County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant New Castle County			
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
				Attorneys (If Known)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Zumpano Patricios & Helsten, LLC 2061 E. Murray Holladay Road Salt Lake City, Utah 84117 (Phone: 801-478-5899)				,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	Citizen of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State			
			Citizen or Subject of a				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPER   370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability   385 Property Damage Product Liability   PRISONER PETITION   463 Alien Detainee   510 Motions to Vacate Sentence   530 General   535 Death Penalty Other:   540 Mandamus & Other   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition   560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	TTY	LABOR  O Conter  Conte	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claim Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
	moved from 3 te Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you ar	e filing (I	pened Anothe (specify)  Do not cite jurisdictional stat			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		sales Pr	actices Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN	Class Action Declaratory Relief  II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  Class Action Declaratory Relief  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		<b>D</b>	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  X JURY DEMAND: X Yes □ No			
VIII. RELATED CASI		-,			JUNI DEMAND	. дтоз што	
IF ANY	(See instructions):  JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
DATE 05/11/2020	signature of attorney of record /s/ Bradley R. Helsten						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

Print Save As...

Reset

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. **Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Claims Bank of America</u>, <u>Chase</u>, <u>Credit Unions Refused to Pay Agents for Preparing PPP Applications</u>