### **EXHIBIT "A"**

#### SUM-100

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S. (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): INC., a Florida Corporation; DISNEY ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS, a California Corporation; THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY, a Delaware Corporation; Additional Parties Attachment form is attached.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: DAVID ANTHONY PADILLA, an (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): individual, and on behalf of others similarly situated

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CONFORMED GOBY
ORIGINAL PILED
Superior Court of Californie
County of Los Angeles

JAN 0 4 2018

Shorn R. Gageer, executive Utilices	/Clerk
By: M. Sulo, D	lanuëv
Moses Soto	- aputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lee la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcallifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reciamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

pagar ei gravamen de la cone	antes de que la corte pueda desecriar el c	aso.							
The name and address of th (El nombre y dirección de la Superior Court of California	corte es);		E NUMBER: ero del Caso):	BC	6	8 9	3 1	L 7	2
111 North Hill Street	, obains of southingular	<u></u>							
Los Angeles, California 900 The name, address, and tele	012 aphone number of plaintiff's attorney, o <i>número de teléfono del abogado del d</i>	or plaintiff without an attorney lemandante, o del demandar	, is:Matthe nte que no	w J. M. tiene a	later bog	n ado			: 15979
Matern Law Group, PC									
1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Su	ite 200, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266			()	310	53	1-1		
DATE: (Fecha) JAN 0 4 2018	ARREST CONTROL	Clerk, by (Secretario)		M. S	oto	<u>;</u>		-	Deputy A <i>djunto</i>
(Para prueba de entrega de (SEAL)	ummons, use Proof of Service of Sumesta citatión use el formulario Proof of NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVALLE (1. as an individual defenda 2. as the person sued unde	Service of Summons, (POS- /ED: You are served							
		funct corporation) sociation or partnership)	CCP4	16.70 (	(con	serv			son)

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE: David Padilla v. The Walt Disney Company	CASE NUMBER:
<ul> <li>INSTRUCTIONS FOR U</li> <li>→ This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does r</li> <li>→ If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or of Attachment form is attached."</li> </ul>	not permit the listing of all parties on the summons.
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each	type of party.):
Plaintiff X Defendant Cross-Complainant	Cross-Defendant
DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC, a Florida Corporation; WALT E California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS WORLDWIDE, a

age 1 of 1

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		CONFORMED COPY CHIGHNAL PILED Superior Court of Culifornia County of Los Anneles  JAN 0 4 2018 Shorri R. Carrer, executive utilicer/Clerk By: Mases Sata  HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  LOS ANGELES
13	DAVID ANTHONY PADILLA, an individual,	BC 6 8 9 1 7 2 CASE NO.:
14	and on behalf of others similarly situated	COMPLAINT
15	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION:
16	VS.	1. Failure to Provide Required Meal
17	WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S. INC., a Florida Corporation; DISNEY	Periods 2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods
18	ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS, a California Corporation; THE WALT DISNEY	<ol> <li>Failure to Pay Overtime Wages</li> <li>Failure to Pay Minimum Wages</li> <li>Failure to Pay All Wages Due to</li> </ol>
19	COMPANY, a Delaware Corporation; DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC, a Florida	Discharged and Quitting Employees 6. Failure to Maintain Required Records
20	Corporation; WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS WORLDWIDE, a California	<ol><li>Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements</li></ol>
21	Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	<ol> <li>Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in</li> </ol>
22	Defendants	Discharge of Duties 9. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices
23		
24		REPRESENTATIVE ACTION:
25		<ol> <li>Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, as</li> </ol>
26		Representative Action
27		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
28		•
28		N. A. C.

PLAINTIFF DAVID ANTHONY PADILLA ("PLAINTIFF") an individual, demanding a jury trial, on behalf of himself and other persons similarly situated, hereby alleges as follows:

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because PLAINTIFF is a resident of the State of California, and Defendants WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S. INC., a Florida Corporation; DISNEY ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS, a California Corporation; THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY, a Delaware Corporation; DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC, a Florida Corporation; WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS WORLDWIDE, a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive (collectively "DEFENDANTS"), are qualified to do business in California and regularly conduct business in California. Further, no federal question is at issue because the claims are based solely on California law.
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Los Angeles, California because PLAINTIFF, and other persons similarly situated, performed work for DEFENDANTS in the County of Los Angeles, DEFENDANTS maintain offices and facilities and transact business in the County of Los Angeles, and because DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices which are the subject of this action were applied, at least in part, to PLAINTIFF, and other persons similarly situated, in the County of Los Angeles.

#### PLAINTIFF

- 3. PLAINTIFF is a male resident of the State of California and a former employee of DEFENDANTS.
- 4. PLAINTIFF, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time during the four years preceding the filing of this action, and continuing while this action is pending, brings this class action to recover, among other things, wages and penalties from unpaid wages earned and due, including but not limited to unpaid minimum wages, unpaid and illegally calculated overtime compensation, illegal meal and rest period policies, failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees, failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures and/or losses

2.7

8

6

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

incurred in discharging their duties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to maintain required records, and interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of himself and the following similarly 5. situated class of individuals ("CLASS MEMBERS"): all current and former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS in the State of California at any time within the period beginning four (4) years prior to the filing of this action and ending at the time this action settles or proceeds to final judgment (the "CLASS PERIOD"). PLAINTIFF reserves the right to name additional class representatives.

#### **DEFENDANTS**

- 6. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S. INC. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a Florida corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S. INC. is authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S. INC. maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT 7. DISNEY ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT DISNEY ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS is authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT DISNEY ENTERTAINMENT PRODUCTIONS maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
  - PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT 8.

- 9. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a Florida corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC. is authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC. maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 10. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS WORLDWIDE is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS WORLDWIDE is authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, DEFENDANT WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS WORLDWIDE maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such DOE Defendants under fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant designated as a DOE is in some manner highly responsible for the occurrences alleged herein, and

that PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS' injuries and damages, as alleged herein, were proximately caused by the conduct of such DOE Defendants. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of the court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities of such DOE Defendants when ascertained.

- 12. At all relevant times herein, DEFENDANTS were the joint employers of PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that at all times material to this complaint DEFENDANTS were the alter egos, divisions, affiliates, integrated enterprises, joint employers, subsidiaries, parents, principals, related entities, co-conspirators, authorized agents, partners, joint venturers, and/or guarantors, actual or ostensible, of each other. Each Defendant was completely dominated by his, her or its co-Defendant, and each was the alter ego of the other.
- by DEFENDANTS under employment agreements that were partly written, partly oral, and partly implied. In perpetrating the acts and omissions alleged herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, acted pursuant to, and in furtherance of, their policies and practices of not paying PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS all wages earned and due, through methods and schemes which include, but are not limited to, failing to pay overtime premiums; failing to provide rest and meal periods; failing to properly maintain records; failing to provide accurate itemized statements for each pay period; failing to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures; and requiring, permitting or suffering the employees to work off the clock, in violation of the California Labor Code and the applicable Welfare Commission ("IWC") Orders.
- 14. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that each and every one of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all DEFENDANTS, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control of, each of the other DEFENDANTS, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and control.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful actions of DEFENDANTS, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings

in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this

II.	
2	Court.
3	CLASS ACTION DESIGNATION
4	16. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because:
5	a. The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and
6	former employees individually would be impractical.
7	b. This action involves common questions of law and fact to the potential
8	class because the action focuses on DEFENDANTS' systematic course of illegal payroll practices
9	and policies, which was applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the Labor Code, the
10	applicable IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair
1	business practices arising from such violations.
12	c. The claims of PLAINTIFF are typical of the class because DEFENDANTS
13	subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the Labor Code, the applicable
14	IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code.
15	d. PLAINTIFF is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of all
16	members of the class because it is in his best interests to prosecute the claims alleged herein to
17	obtain full compensation due to them for all services rendered and hours worked.
18	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
19	Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods
20	[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 11]
21	(Against all DEFENDANTS)
22	15. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
23	allegations in preceding paragraphs.
24	16. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies
25	and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS
26	required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than
27	the 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide the
28	required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to California Labor Code
OUP (S	CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE

§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Order No. 10-2001, § 11.

- 17. DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a meal period was not provided.
- DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all hours worked during their meal periods.
- 19. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods

## [Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512; IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 12] (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 20. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in preceding paragraphs.
- 21. At all times relevant herein, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS failed to provide rest periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS as required under California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 12.
- DEFENDANTS further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 12 by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not provided with a rest period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not provided.
  - 23. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS

1	Ν
2	e
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	!
8	a
9	
10	2
11	a
12	h
13	f
14	е
15	0
16	
17	е
18	(
19	F
20	f
21	f
22	(
23	r

MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Failure to Pay Overtime Wages

### [Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198; IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 3] (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 24. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in preceding paragraphs.
- 25. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 3, DEFENDANTS are required to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.
- employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the foregoing provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 3; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

24

25

26

27

- 27. In violation of California law, DEFENDANTS have knowingly and willfully refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel DEFENDANTS to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages in amounts according to proof at time of trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Failure to Pay Minimum Wages

### [Cal Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 4] (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 29. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in preceding paragraphs.
- 30. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked in a payroll period is unlawful.
- 31. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to

provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.

32. DEFENDANTS' conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§
1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANTS, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees [Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203]

#### (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 33. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in preceding paragraphs.
- 34. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANTS are required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.
- 35. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANTS are required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or wages at the time of quitting.
- 36. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.
  - 37. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS have willfully failed to pay accrued

wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.

- 38. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203, together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.
- 39. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 2699.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Failure to Maintain Required Records

#### [Cal. Labor Code §§ 226; IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 7]

#### (Against all DEFENDANTS)

- 40. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in preceding paragraphs.
- A1. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANTS' illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under California Labor Code §§ 226, 1174, and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 7, including but not limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends each work period; and accurate itemized statements.
- 42. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
  PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
  trial, and are entitled to all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally,
  PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including
  but not limited to civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5,

and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those 1 provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies. 2 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 3 Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements 4 [Cal. Labor Code §§ 226, 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 7] 5 (Against all DEFENDANTS) 6 PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 43. 7 allegations in preceding paragraphs. 8 During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS routinely failed to provide 44. 9 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in 10 writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, 11 net wages earned, the name and address of the legal entity or entities employing PLAINTIFF and 12 CLASS MEMBERS, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the 13 corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of California Labor Code 14 § 226 and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001, § 7. 15 During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and intentionally failed 45. 16 to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage 17 statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226(a). 18 As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, 46. 19 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at 20 trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and 21 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to 22 civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of 23 costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those provided in 24 California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies. 25 // 26 // 27 //

- 1	
1	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of
3	Duties
4	[Cal. Labor Code § 2802]
5	(Against all DEFENDANTS)
6	47. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
7	allegations in preceding paragraphs.
8	48. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee
9	for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the
10	discharge of her his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.
11	49. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANTS knowingly and willfully failed to
12	indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred
13	in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of
14	DEFENDANTS, including but not limited to expenses for uniforms, cell phone usage, and other
15	employment-related expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.
16	50. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions,
17	PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at
18	trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to
19	California Labor Code § 2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are
20	entitled to all available statutory penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable
21	attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other
22	available remedies.
23	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
24	Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices
25	[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]
26	(Against all DEFENDANTS)
27	51. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
28	allegations in preceding paragraphs.

21

22

23

24

As a result of DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices, 55. DEFENDANTS have reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense of PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANTS should be made to disgorge their ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.

25 26

DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and 56. CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including but not limited to orders that DEFENDANTS account for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and

28

	l l
1	CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them.
2	PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged
3	from DEFENDANTS in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the
4	jurisdiction of this Court.
5	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
6	Representative Action for Civil Penalties
7	[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5]
8	(Against All DEFENDANTS)
9	(Against All DEFENDANTS)
10	57. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference as though fully set forth the
11	allegations in all preceding paragraphs, with exception of the allegations in paragraph 16 and the
12	subparagraphs thereto.
13	58. PLAINTIFF is an "aggrieved employee" within the meaning of California Labor
14	Code § 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of himself and other
15	current and former employees of DEFENDANTS pursuant to the procedures specified in
16	California Labor Code § 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
17	by DEFENDANTS and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed
18	against PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.
19	59. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"),
20	Labor Code §§ 2698-2699.5, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBBERS seeks to recover civil
21	penalties, including but not limited to penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210,
22	226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANTS in a
23	representative action for the violations set forth above, including but not limited to violations of
24	California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and
25	2802. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys'
26	fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).
27	60. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice by
28	online filing on October 17, 2017 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency

("LWDA") and by certified mail to DEFENDANTS of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations. More than sixty-five (65) days have passed and the LWDA has not provided notice to PLAINTIFF that it intends to investigate the alleged violations.

61. Therefore, PLAINTIFF has complied with all of the requirements set forth in California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;
- 2. For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well as disgorged profits from DEFENDANTS' unfair and unlawful business practices;
- 3. For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage Order No. 10-2001;
  - 4. For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
- 5. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANTS from violating the relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and from engaging in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
  - 6. For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203;
- 7. For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including but not limited to all penalties authorized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and §§ 2698–2699.5;
- 8. For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for pre-judgment interest;
- 9. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 2699, 2802, California Civil Code § 1021.5, and any other applicable provisions providing for attorneys' fees and costs;

26

1	10. For declaratory relief;
2	11. For an order requiring and certifying the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth,
3	Seventh, Eighth, and Ninth Causes of Action as a class action;
4	12. For an order appointing PLAINTIFF as class representative, and PLAINTIFF's
5	counsel as class counsel; and
6	13. For such further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.
7	To 4 CT 1777 T
8	DATED: January 3, 2018  Respectfully submitted,
9	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
10	7/5/17/5/
11	By: //////
12	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam
13	Daniel J. Bass Attorneys for Plaintiff
14	DAVID ANTHONY PADILLA, individually, and on behalf of other persons
15	similarly situated
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	PLAINTIFF hereby demands a jury trial with respect to all issues triable of right by jury.
3	
4	DATED: January 3, 2018 Respectfully submitted,
5	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
6	By:
7	
8	Matthew J. Materry Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	DAVID ANTHONY PADILLA, individually, and on behalf of other persons similarly situated
11	similarly situated
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	

MATERN LAW GROUP 1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE, STE 200 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Five Walt Disney Companies Facing Wage and Hour Complaint in California