

MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP
An Association of Professional Corporations
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

1 Norberto J. Cisneros, Esq., NV Bar No. 8782
Barbara M. McDonald, Esq., NV Bar No. 11651

2 **MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP**
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
4 Telephone: (702) 366-1900
Facsimile: (702) 366-1999

5 Michael Kind, Esq.
6 Nevada Bar No.: 13903
KIND LAW
7 8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 106
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
8 Telephone: (702) 337-2322
9 Facsimile: (702) 329-5881
mk@kindlaw.com

10 George Haines, Esq.
11 Nevada Bar No. 9411
FREEDOM LAW FIRM, LLC
12 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350
Las Vegas, NV 89123
13 Telephone: (702) 880-5554
14 Facsimile: (702) 385-551
georgehaines@freedomlegalteam.com
15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Brett Padalecki*

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

18 BRETT PADALECKI, individually, on behalf of
19 himself and all other similarly situated,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC d/b/a Mr.
23 Cooper,

24 Defendants.

CASE NO.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1) Violations of Nevada Deceptive Trade Act
- 2) Violations of Electronic Funds Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693 et seq.)
- 3) Negligence
- 4) Breach of Contract
- 5) Breach Implied Contract
- 6) Unjust Enrichment

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff, an individual, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees, by and
2 through his counsel, alleges and brings claims against Defendant as follows:

3 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question
5 jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

6 2. Venue is proper in this Court because Plaintiff and the class members are Nevada
7 residents and the underlying properties at issue is located in Nevada.

8 **PARTIES**

9 3. Plaintiff Brett Padalecki (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) is a natural person who is and was a
10 resident of the State of Nevada.

11 4. Each plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined in the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693a(6), in that
12 each plaintiff is a natural person.

13 5. Each plaintiff is also a “sender” as defined in Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.30(g),
14 in that each plaintiff is a consumer who requested a remittance transfer provider to send a remittance
15 transfer to a designated recipient.

16 6. Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper (“Nationstar”) is a is a
17 Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Coppell, Texas. Mr. Cooper
18 is the current name under which Nationstar does business. Nationstar engages in mortgage servicing
19 by, among other things, processing borrower payments, administering loss mitigation processes, and
20 managing foreclosures. At all times relevant to this complaint, Nationstar has done business in this
21 District and throughout the United States. Nationstar is one of the largest mortgage servicers in the
22 United States.

23 7. Nationstar is a designated payee as used in the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(b), in that
24 Nationstar initiated and received electronic fund transfers from Plaintiff’s account that were
25 preauthorized or purportedly preauthorized.

26 8. Nationstar is also a “servicer” as that term is used in RESPA, 12 U.S.C. § 2605, in
27 that Nationstar was responsible for servicing a federally related mortgage loan.

28 9. Plaintiff is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant is in some
manner intentionally, negligently, or otherwise responsible for the acts, omissions, occurrences, and
transactions alleged herein.

MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP
An Association of Professional Corporations
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1
2 10. In or about April 2021, Mr. Cooper withdrew, without authorization, two additional
3 mortgage payments out of Plaintiff’s bank account. Plaintiff had already made his monthly mortgage
4 payment to Mr. Cooper.

5 11. Plaintiff was not signed up for Mr. Cooper’s AutoPay.

6 12. Plaintiff disputed the withdrawals with his bank.

Violations of EFTA

7 13. The purpose of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (“EFTA”), 15 USC § 1693, *et. seq.*,
8 is “to provide a basic framework establishing the rights, liabilities, and responsibilities of participants
9 in electronic fund and remittance transfer systems. The primary objective of this subchapter,
10 however, is the provision of individual consumer rights.” 15 USC § 1693(b).

11 14. “Electronic funds transfer” is defined as “any transfer of funds, other than a
12 transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an
13 electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, or computer or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or
14 authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account.” 15 USC § 1693a(7).

15 15. A “preauthorized electronic fund transfer” is defined as “an electronic fund transfer
16 authorized in advance to recur at substantially regular intervals.” *Id.*

17 16. 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(b) provides that “in the case of preauthorized transfers from a
18 consumer’s account to the same person which may vary in amount, the financial institution or
19 designated payee shall, prior to each transfer, provide reasonable advance notice to the consumer, in
20 accordance with regulations of the Bureau, of the amount to be transferred and the scheduled date
21 of the transfer.”

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

22 17. Plaintiff re-allege and incorporate by reference all the paragraphs above in the
23 Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

24 18. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all natural persons in the State of Nevada,
25 whose mortgage loan servicing rights were transferred to Defendant Nationstar and who had
26 executed an electronic funds transfer authorization with their prior servicer and from whom
27 Defendant Nationstar initiated electronic funds transfers that were inconsistent with the prior
28 existing electronic funds transfer authorization executed with the prior servicer.

19. The Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief,
there are more than 50 members of the Class.

MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP
An Association of Professional Corporations
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

1 20. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the class, which
2 common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members. The
3 predominant common questions are whether Defendant complied with the EFTA and related causes
4 of action.

5 21. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. All are based on the
6 same factual and legal theories.

7 22. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class members.
8 Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.

9 23. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute.
10 Individual cases are not economically feasible.

11 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

12 **Violations of Nevada Deceptive Trade Act**

13 24. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth
14 above, as though fully set forth here.

15 25. The Nevada Deceptive Trade Act prohibits any false representation that is knowingly
16 made in a transaction. NRS 598.0915(15).

17 26. Nationstar's false representations include, among other things, falsely representing
18 that it had permission to withdraw money from Plaintiff's account.

19 27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of law, Plaintiff and Class
20 members have lost money.

21 28. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, seek the following relief against all
22 Defendant: (a) actual damages pursuant to NRS 41.600(3)(a); costs and attorney fees pursuant to
23 NRS 61.600(3)(c).

24 29. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, seeks treble damages pursuant to
25 U.S.C. 1693f(e) for incidental and consequential damages suffered due to their inability to pay bills
26 or otherwise use their unemployment funds; and (f) an injunction barring the illegal debits of
27 unauthorized charges.

28 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

Violations of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act
(15 U.S.C. 1693, et seq.; 12 C.F.R. 1005.1, et seq.)

30. Plaintiff incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained
in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP
An Association of Professional Corporations
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

1 31. The electronic funds transfer authorization that Plaintiff entered with Defendant
2 Nationstar authorized the servicer of Plaintiff's mortgage loan to initiate electronic funds transfers to
3 pay Plaintiff's monthly mortgage payment.

4 32. 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(b) provides that, "in the case of preauthorized transfers from a
5 consumer's account to the same person which may vary in amount, the financial institution or
6 designated payee shall, prior to each transfer, provide reasonable advance notice to the consumer, in
7 accordance with regulations of the Bureau, of the amount to be transferred and the scheduled date
8 of the transfer."

9 33. Defendant Nationstar initiated electronic funds transfers on Plaintiff's bank that were
10 not consistent with the electronic funds authorization that Plaintiff entered with Defendant
11 Nationstar.

12 34. Defendant Nationstar initiated electronic funds transfers to Plaintiff's bank without
13 providing reasonable advance notice to Plaintiff of the amount to be transferred and the scheduled
14 date of the transfer.

15 35. As a result of these unauthorized transfers, Plaintiff suffered damages including but
16 not limited to the loss of the availability of the money, emotional distress from his checking account
17 being drafted without permission and having to demand Defendant correct its errors.

18 36. Defendant Nationstar violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1693e(b).

19 37. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, seek the following relief: (a) actual
20 damages; (b) restitution of all funds improperly debited by Plaintiff's and the Class Member's banks;
21 (c) statutory damages; (d) treble damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1693f(e); (e) incidental and
22 consequential damages suffered due to their inability to pay bills or otherwise use their t funds; and
23 (f) an injunction barring such unauthorized charges in the future.

24 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
25 **Negligence**

26 38. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth
27 above, as though fully set forth here.

28 39. Defendant Nationstar breached its duty to Plaintiff and Class members by, among
other things: (a) failing to transfer or store their account information in a secure manner; (b) failing
to ensure its customer service operation was capable of providing reasonably timely and effective
assistance to Plaintiff and Class members, including when they are victims of fraudulent or
unauthorized transactions; (c) failing to give reasonable and adequate notice to Plaintiff and Class

MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP
An Association of Professional Corporations
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

1 members that their accounts were and remain at risk of being vulnerable to fraudulent and
2 unauthorized transactions; (f) failing to process claims regarding fraudulent or unauthorized
3 transactions in a reasonably timely and adequate manner; and (g) failing to extend provisional credit
4 to Plaintiff and Class members when Defendant Nationstar fails to resolve their claims regarding
5 fraudulent or unauthorized transactions in a reasonably timely and adequate manner.

6 40. Defendant Nationstar's misconduct concerning its failure to safeguard customer
7 accounts is inconsistent with industry standards.

8 41. Defendant Nationstar's misconduct concerning its failure to timely and adequately
9 respond to Plaintiff and Class members' claims of fraudulent and unauthorized transactions on their
10 accounts is inconsistent with industry regulations.

11 42. Defendant Nationstar's misconduct is inconsistent with its own policies and
12 procedures for accounts.

13 43. The harm inflicted upon Plaintiff and other Class members was reasonably
14 foreseeable to Defendant Nationstar, and it knew or should have known its customer service
15 resources and/or procedures were insufficient to accommodate issues stemming from unauthorized
16 transfers, and that such an issue would foreseeably lead to an increased demand for customer service
17 by Plaintiff and Class members for all purposes, including for the purpose of reporting and
18 attempting to resolve claims of fraudulent or unauthorized transactions.

19 44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Nationstar's misconduct, Plaintiff and
20 Class members have been deprived of their money.

21 **SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
22 **Breach of Contract**

23 45. Plaintiff incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained
24 in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

25 46. Plaintiff and Defendant Nationstar executed an electronic funds transfer
26 authorization agreement or Nationstar acquired the rights to such an authorization from a prior
27 servicer.

28 47. Defendant Nationstar initiated electronic funds transfers that were not consistent
with the electronic funds authorization that Plaintiff entered with Plaintiff's bank, and other banks.

48. Defendant Nationstar initiated electronic funds transfers without providing
reasonable advance notice to Plaintiff or the class members of the amount to be transferred and the
scheduled date of the transfer.

1 49. Defendant Nationstar did not timely refund Plaintiff for the unauthorized transfer or
2 compensate Plaintiff for the consequential damages arising from that unauthorized transfer.

3 50. Obtaining authorization to initiate electronic funds transfers and properly allocating
4 payments are standard servicer's duties.

5 51. Defendant Nationstar did not honor Plaintiff's electronic funds transfer
6 authorization agreement.

7 52. Plaintiff and the class members suffered damages as a result of the breach.

8 **TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

9 **Unjust Enrichment**

10 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained
11 in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

12 54. Defendant acted unlawfully, unfairly, and deceptively.

13 55. As a result of Defendant's unlawful, unfair, and deceptive conduct, Plaintiff paid
14 unauthorized amounts, and made premature payments.

15 56. Defendant obtained a benefit as a result of its unlawful, unfair, and deceptive conduct
16 in the form of the unauthorized and premature payments.

17 57. Under principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be permitted
18 to retain revenue that it acquired by virtue of its unlawful conduct. All funds, revenue, and benefits
19 received by Defendant rightfully belong to Plaintiff and those similarly situated.

20 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

21 x. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial pursuant to the Constitution of the United States
22 of America and the Constitution of the State of Nevada.

23 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

24 Wherefore Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all Members of the Class alleged
25 herein, prays for relief as follows:

26 1. For an order certifying the action as a class action under Rule 23;

27 2. For an order appointing Plaintiff as the Representatives of the Class and his counsel
28 as Class Counsel for the Class;

4. For damages as follows:

(a) actual damages;

(b) for treble damages;

(c) statutory damages;

- 1 (d) punitive damages;
- 2 (e) injunctive relief;
- 3 (f) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit;
- 4 (g) for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- 5 (h) such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

6 DATED this 14th day of May 2021.

7 **MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP**

8 Norberto J. Cisneros, Esq., NV Bar No. 8782
9 Barbara M. McDonald, Esq., NV Bar No. 11651
10 3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

12 **KIND LAW**

13 By: /s/ Michael Kind
14 Michael Kind, Esq., NV Bar No. 13903
15 8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 106
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

17 **FREEDOM LAW FIRM, LLC**

18 George Haines, Esq., NV Bar No. 9411
19 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350
20 Las Vegas, NV 89123

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
MADDOX & CISNEROS LLP
An Association of Professional Corporations
3230 S. Buffalo Drive, Suite 108
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Nationstar Mortgage \(Mr. Cooper\) Withdrew Additional Payments Without Authorization, Class Action Claims](#)
