1 2 3 4 5 6	Chet A. Kronenberg (State Bar No. 22233 ckronenberg@stblaw.com SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT L 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 29th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 407-7500 Facsimile: (310) 407-7502 Attorney for Defendant Aptive Environmental, LLC	B5) LP
7 8 9		DISTRICT COURT
10		
11	MICHAEL NIEMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 2:22-cv-02365
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
13	V.	(Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 22STCV10880)
14	APTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each	
15	DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Notice of Removal

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Aptive Environmental, LLC ("Aptive") hereby invokes this Court's jurisdiction under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1453, and removes this action from state court to federal court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446. In support thereof, Aprive asserts as follows:

Statement of the Case I.

- On March 29, 2022, Plaintiff Michael Nieman ("Plaintiff") filed a 1. lawsuit in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, captioned Nieman v. Aptive Environmental, LLC, and designated as Case Number 22STCV10880 (the "State Court Action"). On April 1, 2022, Aptive was served with the Summons and Complaint. True and correct copies of the Summons, Complaint and all associated papers served upon Aptive are attached hereto as Exhibit A. A true and correct copy of the Service of Process Transmittal is attached hereto at Exhibit B. As of the filing of this Notice of Removal, no further proceedings have been had in the State Court Action.
- 2. Plaintiff alleges that Aptive unlawfully recorded telephone calls of California residents without their consent in violation of California Penal Code §§ 632 and 632.7. Compl. ¶¶ 2-3.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges that in or around March 2022, he "placed a call to [Aptive's] toll-free customer service number" and that, "[d]uring this communication, [Aptive] recorded the call without ever disclosing to Plaintiff that the call was being recorded." *Id.* ¶ 13. According to Plaintiff, he "was unaware that [Aptive] had been recording the call until Plaintiff specifically asked if his call was being recorded." *Id.* ¶ 14.
- Based on these allegations, Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of "[a]ll 4. persons who, residing or located in California, placed a call to [Aptive's] toll-free telephone number at any time during the period from March 9, 2021 through the

present . . . , and spoke with a representative without having been first informed or without having first consented to recordation of such call." Id. ¶ 22. Plaintiff alleges that he believes the number of class members "to be in the thousands, if not more." Id. ¶ 23.

5. Plaintiff alleges that he and other class members are entitled to, among other things, (i) "statutory damages of \$5,000 per violation or three times actual damages per violation pursuant to Penal Code § 637.2(a)"; (ii) "\$2,500 per violation of California Penal Code § 632.7"; (iii) preliminary and permanent injunctive relief; (iv) "exemplary or treble damages"; (v) "costs of suit"; (vi) "prejudgment interest at the legal rate"; and (vii) "attorney's fees and costs." *Id.* ¶¶ 59-64, 67-74.

II. Procedural Statement

- 6. Removal of this action is timely. Aptive was served with the Summons and Complaint on April 1, 2022. *See* Ex. B. Thus, the Notice of Removal was "filed within 30 days after the receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based[.]" 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1); *Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Michette Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 347-48 (1999) ("[A] named defendant's time to remove is triggered by simultaneous service of the summons and complaint, or receipt of the complaint, 'through service or otherwise,' after and apart from service of the summons, but not by mere receipt of the complaint unattended by any formal service.").
- 7. Aptive is the only defendant served. Does 1-10 have not been named or served and need not consent to this Notice of Removal. *See Soliman v. Philip Morris, Inc.*, 311 F.3d 966, 971 (9th Cir. 2002).

On Page 12 of the Complaint, there is a typographical error. Paragraph "57" should be "67."

- 8. Venue lies in the United States District Court for the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because the Complaint was filed in this District.
- 9. As stated above, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a true and correct copy of all pleadings and orders served upon Aptive in the State Court Action are attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 10. Written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal will be promptly served upon Plaintiff. Aptive will also promptly file a copy of this Notice with the Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

III. Basis for Removal Jurisdiction: Class Action Fairness Act

11. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), codified under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). CAFA provides that a putative class action is removable to federal court if: (a) any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a different state from any defendant; (b) the proposed class members number at least 100; and (c) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Each of these requirements is met in this case.

A. The Citizenship of the Parties Is Diverse

- 12. CAFA's minimal diversity requirement is satisfied when "[a]ny member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant[.]" 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2)(A).
- 13. Plaintiff is "a citizen and resident of the State of California." Compl. ¶ 6.
- 14. Under CAFA, a limited liability company's citizenship is based solely on its principal place of business. *Jack v. Ring LLC*, 553 F. Supp. 3d 711, 715 (N.D. Cal. 2021). Aptive's principal place of business is 5132 North 300 West, Suite 150, Provo, Utah, 84604-5819. As such, Aptive is a citizen of Utah.

15. Because Plaintiff is a citizen of a state different from Aptive, minimum diversity exists. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).

B. Numerosity

- 16. CAFA requires that "the number of members of all proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate" be at least 100. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).
- 17. The Complaint alleges Plaintiff "believes the number [of class members] to be in the thousands, if not more." Compl. ¶ 23. Thus, the allegations in the Complaint confirm that there are more than 100 people in the putative class.
- 18. Further, Aptive's business records indicate that there are more than 100 people in the putative class.
- 19. Accordingly, CAFA's numerosity requirement is satisfied. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).

C. Amount in Controversy

- 20. CAFA requires that "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs[.]" 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). It further provides that "to determine whether the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000," the "claims of the individual class members shall be aggregated[.]" *Id.* § 1332(d)(6).
- 21. Although Aptive denies that it has any liability to Plaintiff or the putative class, and denies that any class could be properly certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the aggregate amount of relief sought by the putative class exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 22. Plaintiff alleges that the number of class members are "in the thousands, if not more." Compl. ¶ 23. Plaintiff and the putative class seek statutory damages of \$5,000 for each alleged violation. *Id.* ¶¶ 59, 67. Accordingly, even if there were just 1,001 calls rather than the alleged "thousands" of calls, the alleged statutory damages, in the aggregate, exceed \$5,000,000 (*i.e.*, 1,001 calls x \$5,000 per call = \$5,005,000). Moreover, Plaintiff also seeks statutory damages of \$2,500

6 7

8 9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 per violation under California Penal Code § 632.7 on top of the statutory damages of \$5,000 per violation. *Id.* ¶ 68.

- 23. In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and putative class, also seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, exemplary or treble damages, costs of suit, prejudgment interest at the legal rate, and attorneys' fees and costs. *Id.* ¶¶ 60-64, 70, 72-74. Plaintiffs' demand for such further relief further elevates the amount in controversy above the threshold CAFA jurisdictional requirement.
- By way of example, "when a statute or contract provides for the recovery of attorneys' fees, prospective attorneys' fees must be included in the assessment of the amount in controversy." Arias v. Residence Inn by Marriott, 936 F.3d 920, 922 (9th Cir. 2019). "The reasonableness of attorney's fees, when such fees are unascertainable on the face of the complaint, can be calculated by looking to other attorney's fees awards in similar cases." Garcia v. ACE Cash Express, Inc., 2014 WL 2468344, at *5 (C.D. Cal. May 30, 2014) (citing Kroske v. U.S. Bank Corp., 432 F.3d 976, 980 (9th Cir. 2005)). Courts in this Circuit have awarded substantial attorneys' fees in class action settlements based on claims under the California Invasion of Privacy Act ("CIPA"). See, e.g., In re Yahoo Mail Litig., 2016 WL 4474612, at *11 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2016) (awarding "\$4 million in attorney's fees" in CIPA class action controversy).
- 25. Because this is a putative class action, in which there is minimal diversity, at least 100 punitive class members, and more than \$5,000,000 in the aggregate in controversy, this Court has original subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and this action is removable under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

IV. **Reservation of Defenses**

- 26. Nothing in this Notice of Removal shall be interpreted as a relinquishment of Aptive's right to assert any defense or affirmative matter.
- 27. Aptive reserves the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal.

1	WHEREFORE, Aptive	hereby removes the above-captioned action			
2	from the Superior Court of California	a, County of Los Angeles, to this Court, and			
3	requests that all further proceedings be conducted in this Court, as required by law.				
4					
5	Dated: April 8, 2022	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP			
6		By /s/ Chet A. Kronenberg CHET A. KRONENBERG			
7					
8		Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 407-7500			
9		1999 Avenue of the Stars, 29th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 407-7500 Facsimile: (310) 407-7502 Email: ckronenberg@stblaw.com			
10					
11		Attorney for Defendant Aptive Environmental, LLC			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22 23					
23 24					
2 4 25					
25 26					
26 27					
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$					
20					
		7			

EXHIBIT A

Electronically FILED by Seperior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 00/29/2022 09:50 PAS Sporti R. Carter, Executive Officer Clerk of Court, by J. Covarrubias, Deputy Clerk

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

APTIVE ENVIROMENTAL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

MICHAEL NIEMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

FOR COURT USE ONLY	
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

SUM-100

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gawsaltholp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clark for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may less the case by default, and your voges, maney, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court had a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. IAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta curle y hacun que se entregue una copía al demandante. Una carta o una flamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que astar en farmato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le us un formulario de exención de paga de cuatas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede pordor ol caco por incumplimiento y la corte lo podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y blenes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llama a un abagado inmodiatamento. Si no conoco e un abagado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abagados. Si no puede pagar a un abagado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cantro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California. (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abagados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso do derocho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

CASE NUMBER

22STCV10880

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 N. Hill Street,

Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Todd M. Friedman, 21031 Ventura Blvd., Suite 340 Woodland Hills, CA 91364, 323-306-4234

Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
Clerk, by , Deputy
(Fecha) (Secretario) J. Covarrubias (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
LE HOOD TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR	on behalf of (specify): Aptive Environmental, LLC, a Utah limited liability company under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Councy of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009] SUMMONS

Page 1 of 1 Codo of Civil Procedure §§ 412 20, 465 www.countride.ca.gov

> American LegalNet, Inc www.ForinsWorkflew.com

22STCV10880

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 03/29/2022 09:59 PM Sherri R, Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by J. Covarrubias, Deputy Clerk Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Kenneth Freeman

	Assigned for all purposes to, Spring Street Countri	ouse, Judicial Officer. Rethelli Freeman
•		
1	Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) Adrian R. Bacon (SBN 280332)	
2	Thomas E. Wheeler (SBN 308789) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN,	P C
3	21031 Ventura Blvd, Suite 340	
4	Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Phone: 323-306-4234	
5	Fax: 866-633-0228 tfriedman@toddflaw.com	
6	abacon@toddflaw.com	•
7	twheeler@toddflaw.com	
8	Michael M. Crosner, Esq. SBN 41299 mike@crosnerlegal.com	
9	Zachary M. Crosner, Esq., SBN 272295 zach@crosnerlegal.com	
10	Blake R. Jones, Esq., SBN 211221 blake@crosnerlegal.com	
11	CROSNER LEGAL, P.C. 9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 301 Beverly Hills, CA 90210	·
12	Tel: (310) 496-5818	
13	Fax: (310) 510-6429	aite at a d
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff, and all other similarly	situatea
15		
16		IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF LOS ANGELES
17	UNLIMITED.	JURISDICTION
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Case No. 22STCV10880
19	behalf of all others similarly situated,) CLASS ACTION
20	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
21	vs.	PURSUANT TO:
22	APTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC, a Utah	(1) CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE §
23	limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,	632 [CLASS CLAIM]; AND (2) CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 632.7 [CLASS CLAIM]
24	Defendants.)
25		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
26))
27		
28)
	CLASS ACTIO	N COMPLAINT

- 1. MICHAEL NIEMAN ("Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of APTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC ("Defendant"), its related entities, subsidiaries and agents in knowingly, and/or willfully employing and/or causing to be employed certain recording equipment in order to record telephone conversations with Plaintiff without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff, in violation of California Penal Code §§ 630 et seq., thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.
- 2. California Penal Code § 632 prohibits one party to a telephone call from intentionally recording the conversation without the knowledge or consent of the other. Penal Code § 632 is violated the moment the recording is made without the consent of all parties thereto, regardless of whether it is subsequently disclosed. The only intent required by Penal Code § 632 is that the act of recording itself be done intentionally. There is no requisite intent on behalf of the party doing the surreptitious recording to break California or any other law, or to invade the privacy right of any other person. Plaintiff alleges that despite California's two-party consent rule, Defendants continue to violate Penal Code § 632 by impermissibly recording its telephone conversations with California residents.
- 3. California Penal Code § 632.7 prohibits one party to a telephone call from intentionally recording the conversation without the knowledge or consent of the other while the person being recorded is on a cellular telephone. Penal Code § 632.7 is violated the moment the recording is made without the consent of all parties thereto, regardless of whether it is subsequently disclosed. The only intent required by Penal Code § 632 is that the act of recording itself be done intentionally. There is no requirement under California Penal Code § 632.7 that the communication be confidential. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants continue to violate Penal Code § 632.7 by impermissibly recording its telephone conversations with California residents while said residents are on cellular telephones.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant continually and systematically conducts business within the State of California, has purposely availed itself of the benefits and protections of the State of California, and/or has sufficient contact with this State such that maintenance of this action in this locale would be consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice Therefore, personal jurisdiction is present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles because the Plaintiff resides in the County of Los Angeles, a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendants occurred within the County of Los Angeles, and Defendants conduct business in the County of Los Angeles, California.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a limited liability company that provides pest control services throughout the United States, including in California. Defendant is and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and is a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39). Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of Los Angeles, and within this judicial district.
- 8. Defendant and its subsidiaries and agents, are collectively referred to as "Defendants." The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known.
 - 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each Defendant was acting as

an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the other Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein was made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was a citizen of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).
- 11. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).
- 12. At all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of Los Angeles, within this judicial district. Defendant's employees and agents are directed, trained and instructed to, and do record, the telephone conversations with the public, including California residents.
- 13. In or around March, 2022, Plaintiff placed a call to Defendant's toll-free customer service number at 1-844-573-7111 from the State of California while using his cellular phone. During this communication, Defendant recorded the call without ever disclosing to Plaintiff that the call was being recorded. At no time did Plaintiff ever provide actual or constructive consent to Defendant to record the telephone calls.
- 14. Plaintiff was unaware that Defendant had been recording the call until Plaintiff specifically asked if his call was being recorded.
- 15. The contents of the call between Defendant and Plaintiff that were recorded by Defendant were confidential in nature due to the fact that Plaintiff's first and last name as well as his residential address was discussed, for which Plaintiff had an expectation of privacy.
- 16. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that his telephone conversation with Defendant was, and would remain, private and confidential to the parties on the telephone. He did not expect that his telephone communications with Defendant were being recorded. Such recording without his consent is highly offensive to Plaintiff and would

- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants have intentionally utilized technology comprised of hardware and/or software to carry out a practice and policy of recording all the calls made to and from Defendant. The calls request the caller's address for pest control, as well as other personal information including their first and last name, credit and debit card information, address and telephone information, and other highly confidential information which is used to purchase Defendant's products and to conduct other customer service business. Defendant does not inform or warn the California residents, including Plaintiff, that the telephone calls may be or will be recorded. Plaintiff was unaware that the phone call between himself and Defendant in California were recorded prior to Plaintiff asking. There was no pre-call recorded message. The Defendants' representatives never informed Plaintiff that the calls were being recorded until after Plaintiff's inquiry.
- 18. Plaintiff did not learn that Defendants recorded the phone call between Plaintiff and Defendants until after the event occurred.
- 19. Plaintiff did not discover, and could not discover through the exercise of reasonable diligence, the fact that Defendant was recording the phone calls between Plaintiff and Class Members (as defined below) and Defendants without their knowledge or consent.
- 20. Defendants concealed from Plaintiff and Class Members that it was recording the telephone calls between itself on the one hand and Plaintiff or Class Members on the other hand.
- 21. Defendants concealed the fact that it was recording the afore-mentioned phone calls to create the false impression in the minds of Plaintiff and Class Members that they were not being recorded. At the outset of the phone calls there was no warning that the phone calls were, or even may, be recorded.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

	22. Plaintiff brings	this action p	pursuant to	o California	Code o	f Civil	Procedure §	382	on l	behalf
of h	imself and the follo	wing class	(the "Clas	s"):						

All persons who, while residing or located in California, placed a call to Defendant Aptive Environmental, LLC's toll-free telephone number at any time during the period from March 9, 2021 through the present (the "Class Period"), and spoke with a representative without having been first informed or without having first consented to recordation of such call.

- 23. Defendants and their employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of Class Members, but believes the number to be in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 24. Plaintiff and Class Members were harmed by the acts of Defendants in at least the following ways: Defendants, either directly or through its agents, illegally recording inbound and outbound cellular telephone conversations without their consent within the one year prior to the filing of the original Complaint in this action. Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged thereby.
- 25. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of Class Members, and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 26. The joinder of the Class Members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendants' records or Defendants' agents' records.
- 27. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - 1. Whether Defendants have a policy of recording incoming and/or outgoing calls;
 - 2. Whether Defendants have a policy of recording incoming and/or outgoing calls initiated to a cellular telephone;
 - 3. Whether Defendants discloses to callers and/or obtains their consent that their incoming and/or outgoing telephone conversations were being recorded;

- 4. Whether Defendants' policy of recording incoming and/or outgoing calls to cellular telephones constituted a violation of California Penal Code §§632(a), 632.7; and 637;
- 5. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violations; and
- 6. Whether Defendants should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 28. As a person whose telephone communications from Defendants were recorded without notice or consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class because every other Class Member, like Plaintiff, was exposed to virtually identical conduct and are entitled to the greater of statutory damages of \$2,500 per violation pursuant to California Penal Code § 632.7.
- 29. Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class because every other Class Member, like Plaintiff, were exposed to virtually identical conduct and are entitled to statutory damages of \$5,000 per violation pursuant to California Penal Code § 637.2(a).
- 30. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any Class Member.
- 31. Plaintiff and Class Members have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendants' unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendants will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class Member's claims, few, if any, Class Members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 32. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims to further ensure such protection.
- 33. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendants to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class Members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an

individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.

34. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

UNLAWFUL RECORDING OF CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS (VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 632)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 36. Californians have a constitutional right to privacy. Moreover, the California Supreme Court has definitively linked the constitutionally protected right to privacy within the purpose, intent and specific protections of the Privacy Act, including specifically, Penal Code § 632. "In addition, California's explicit constitutional privacy provision (Cal. Const., 1 § 1) was enacted in part specifically to protect California from overly intrusive business practices that were seen to pose a significant and increasing threat to personal privacy. (Citations omitted). Thus, Plaintiff believes that California must be viewed as having a strong and continuing interest in the full and vigorous application of the provisions of section 632 prohibiting the recording of telephone conversations without the knowledge or consent of all parties to the conversation.
- 37. California Penal Code § 632 prohibits one party to a telephone call from intentionally recording the conversation without the knowledge or consent of the other party. Penal Code § 632 is violated the moment the recording is made without the consent of all parties thereto, regardless of whether it is subsequently disclosed that the telephone call was recorded. The only intent required by Penal Code § 632 is that the act of recording itself be done intentionally. There is no requisite intent on behalf of the party doing the surreptitious recording to break California law or any other law, or to invade the privacy right of any other person.

- 38. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendants employed and/or caused to be employed certain recording equipment on the telephone lines of all employees, officers, directors, and managers of Defendants.
- 39. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that all these devises were maintained and utilized to record each and every outgoing telephone conversation over said telephone lines.
- 40. Said recording equipment was used to record the telephone conversations of Plaintiff and Class Members, all in violation of California Penal Code § 632.6(a).
- 41. At no time during which these telephone conversations were taking place between Defendants or any employee, agent, manager, officer, or director of Defendants, and any other person, did Defendants inform Plaintiff or any other Class Member that the recording of their telephone conversations were taking place and at no time did Plaintiff or any other Class Member consent to this activity.
- 42. Defendants, knowing that this conduct was unlawful and a violation of Plaintiff and Class Members' right to privacy and a violation of California Penal Code § 630, et seq., did intrude on Plaintiff and Class Members' privacy by knowingly and/or negligently and/or intentionally engaging in the aforementioned recording activities relative to the telephone conversations between Plaintiff and Class Members, on the one hand, and Defendants on the other hand, as alleged herein above.
- 43. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to, and below herein do pray for, their statutory remedies and damages, including but not limited to, those set forth in California Penal Code § 637.2.
- 44. Because this case is brought for the purposes of enforcing important rights affecting the public interest, Plaintiff and Class Members seek recovery of their attorney's fees pursuant to the private attorney general doctrine codified in Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, or any other statutory basis.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

UNLAWFUL RECORDING OF COMMUNICATIONS PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS (VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE § 632.7)

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as stated herein.
- 50. Californians have a constitutional right to privacy. Moreover, the California Supreme Court has definitively linked the constitutionally protected right to privacy within the purpose, intent and specific protections of the Privacy Act, including specifically, Penal Code § 632. "In addition, California's explicit constitutional privacy provision (Cal. Const., 1 § 1) was enacted in part specifically to protect California from overly intrusive business practices that were seen to pose a significant and increasing threat to personal privacy. (Citations omitted). Thus, Plaintiff believes that California must be viewed as having a strong and continuing interest in the full and vigorous application of the provisions of section 632 prohibiting the recording of telephone conversations without the knowledge or consent of all parties to the conversation.
- 51. California Penal Code § 632.7 prohibits in pertinent part "[e]very person who, without the consent of all parties to a communication...intentionally records, or assists in the...intentional recordation of, a communication transmitted between...a cellular radio telephone and a landline telephone." Thus, on its face, California Penal Code § 632.7 precludes the recording of all communications involving a cellular telephone.
- 52. Though similar, California Penal Code § 632 and 632.7 are not duplicative and protect separate rights. California Penal Code § 632.7 grants a wider range of protection to conversations where one participant uses a cellular phone or cordless phone. For example, the "confidential communication" requirement of California Penal Code § 632 is absent from California Penal Code § 632.7.
- 53. Defendants caused to be employed certain recording equipment on the telephone lines of all employees, officers, directors, and managers of Defendants.

- 54. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that all these devises were maintained and utilized to record each and every outgoing telephone conversation over said telephone lines.
- 55. Said recording equipment was used to record the telephone conversations of Plaintiff and Class Members utilizing cellular telephones, all in violation of California Penal Code § 632.7.
- 56. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to, and below herein do pray for, their statutory remedies and damages, including but not limited to, those set forth in California Penal Code § 632.7; and California Penal Code § 637.2.
- 57. Because this case is brought for the purposes of enforcing important rights affecting the public interest, Plaintiffs and Class Members seek recovery of their attorney's fees pursuant to the private attorney general doctrine codified in Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, or any other statutory basis.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE § 632

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and Class Members the following relief against Defendants:

- 58. That this action be certified as a class action on behalf of the Class and Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class;
- 59. For the greater of statutory damages of \$5,000 per violation or three times actual damage per violation pursuant to Penal Code § 637.2(a) for Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 60. Injunctive relief in the form of an order requiring Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains and awarding Plaintiff, Class Members full restitution of all monies wrongfully acquired by Defendants by means of such unfair and unlawful conduct;
- 61. That the Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from recording each and every oncoming and outgoing telephone conversation with California resident, including Plaintiff and Class Members, without their prior consent, as required by California Penal Code § 630, et seq., and to maintain the confidentiality of the information of Plaintiff and Class Members;

- 62. For exemplary or treble damages;
- 63. For costs of suit;
- 64. For prejudgment interest at the legal rate; and
- 65. For such further relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:

VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE § 632.7

- 66. That this action be certified as a class action on behalf of the Class and Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class;
- 57. For statutory damages of \$5,000 per violation pursuant to Penal Code § 637.2(a) for Plaintiff and Class Members;
 - 68. For \$2,500 per violation of California Penal Code § 632.7 for Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 69. Injunctive relief in the form of an order prohibiting Defendants from unilaterally recording telephone conversations, without first informing and receiving consent from the other party to the conversation.
- 70. That the Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from overhearing, recording, and listening to each and every oncoming and outgoing telephone conversation with California resident, including Plaintiff and Class Members, without their prior consent, as required by California Penal Code § 630, et seq., and to maintain the confidentiality of the information of Plaintiff and the Class.
 - 71. For general damages according to proof;
 - 72. For costs of suit;
 - 73. For prejudgment interest at the legal rate;
 - 74. For attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to Cal. Code of Civ. Proc. § 1021.5; and,
 - 75. For such further relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

2

76. Pursuant to the seventh	amendment to the Constitution of the United States of A
Plaintiff is entitled to, and dema	nds, a trial by jury.
Dated: March 29, 2022	LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN,
	BY:
	TODD M. FRIEDMAN, ESQ. ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
Dated: March 29, 2022	CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.
· · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
	BY: Michael M. Crosner, Esq.
•	Zachary M. Crosner, Esq. Blake R. Jones, Esq.
	Blake Ic. Volles, Esq.
	13

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Stale Bar number, and address): FOR COURT USE Of	#:23 arrubiaa,Deputy Cle CM-01 0
Todd M. Friedman, Esq. SBN 216752 Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman 21031 Ventura Blvd., Suite 340 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 TELEPHONE NO: 323-306-4234 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Michael Nieman	VLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012 BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse	
CASE NAME: Michael Nieman, et al. v Aptive Enviromental, LLC, et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation CASE NUMBER: 225TCV1	0880
(Amount (Amount demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).	
1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Auto Tort Contract Provisionally Complex Civil Litigative (23) Breach of contract/warranty (06) (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.4	
Adio (22)	103)
Uninsured motorist (46) Construction defect (10) Construction defect (10) Construction defect (10)	
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) Mass tort (40)	
Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Securities litigation (28)	
Product liability (24) Real Property Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse Insurance coverage claims aris	
Other PI/PD/WD (23) condemnation (14) above listed provisionally comp Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Wrongful eviction (33) types (41)	lex case
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Other real property (26) Enforcement of Judgment	
Civil rights (08) Unlawful Detainer Enforcement of judgment (20)	
Defamation (13) Commercial (31) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint	
Fraud (16) Residential (32) RICO (27)	
Intellectual property (19)	above) (42)
Professional negligence (25) Judicial Review Miscellaneous Civil Petition	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate gove	rnance (21)
Employment Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified about	ove) (43)
Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39)	
 This case	x, mark the
	o or more court
b. Statensive motion practice raising difficult or novel e. Coordination with related actions pending in one issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states, or countries, or in a fee	
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision	aciai couit
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.	punitive
 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 2 5. This case ✓ is ☐ is not a class action suit. 	
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)	
Date: March 29, 2022 Todd M. Friedman	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY	(TY)
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or caunder the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. 	file may result
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover stother parties to the action or proceeding. 	heet on all

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3 403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3,740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise.

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment) Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

Page 2 of 2

Michael Nieman, et al. v Aptive Enviromental, LLC, et al.

CASE NUMBER

225TCV 10880

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- **Step 2:** In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- **Step 3:** In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	7""			
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11		
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1, 4, 11		
Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11 1, 11		
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1, 4, 11		
Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11		
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11		

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Auto Tort

LASC CIV 109 Rev. 12/18 For Mandatory Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 1 of 4 SHORT TITLE: CASE NUMBER Michael Nieman, et al. v Aptive Enviromental, LLC, et al.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
perty Tort	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
y/Pro Death	Defamation (13)	□ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
al Injur ongful	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
žö	Other (35)	☑ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	₽2,3
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case □ A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2, 6 2, 6 2, 6
<u>.</u>	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
wful C	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
Unla	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 2 of 4 SHORT TITLE:
Michael Nieman, et al. v Aptive Enviromental, LLC, et al.

	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
e e	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
Judicial Review		☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
<u>ici</u>	Writ of Mandate (02)	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
Jud		☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
E O	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
itigati	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
ıplex L	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
у Соп	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
		☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
. .		☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2, 9
igbr adgr		☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
info of Ju		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2, 8
ш		□ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
° s	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
scellaneous il Complaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
llan omp	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
Misc		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
:		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment With Damages	2, 3, 9
suc	:	☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment With Damages	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not	☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case With Damages	2, 3, 9
icell ii Pe	Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2
Mis Ci√		☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2,7
		☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2, 9
			21.4

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 3 of 4

SHORT TITLE: Michael Niema	an, et al. v Aptive En	viromental, l	LC, et al.	CASE NUMBER	
type of action th		. Enter the	• • •	xes for the numbers shown t the basis for the filing locati	
REASON: ☑ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5.	□ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. c] 10. 🛭 11.	ADDRESS:		
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		A STATE OF THE STA	
Step 5: Certification of the Superior Cou	-	•		ed in the <u>Central</u> Proc., §392 et seq., and Loca	District of al Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)].

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

1. Original Complaint or Petition.

Dated: March 29, 2022

- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 4 of 4

LASC CIV 109 Rev. 12/18 For Mandatory Use

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angalas	
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	03/29/2022 Shem R. Carter, Executive Officer / Gleck of Count By:	
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.	CASE NUMBER: 22STCV10880	

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
✓	Kenneth R. Freeman	14				

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

on 03/30/2022

By J. Covarrubias _____, Deputy Clerk

LACIV 190 (Rev 6/18)

LASC Approved 05/06

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

EXHIBIT A Page 22

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

Case 2:22-cv-02365 Document 1-1 Filed 04/08/22 Page 24 of 44 Page ID #:31 2019-GEN-014-00 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 1 MAY 0.3 2019 2 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk 3 Rizalinda Mina 4 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 7 8 IN RE LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT) FIRST AMENDED GENERAL ORDER - MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING 9 FOR CIVIL 10 11 12 On December 3, 2018, the Los Angeles County Superior Court mandated electronic filing of all 13 documents in Limited Civil cases by litigants represented by attorneys. On January 2, 2019, the Los 14 Angeles County Superior Court mandated electronic filing of all documents filed in Non-Complex 15 Unlimited Civil cases by litigants represented by attorneys. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b).) 16 All electronically filed documents in Limited and Non-Complex Unlimited cases are subject to the 17 following: 1) DEFINITIONS 18 19 a) "Bookmark" A bookmark is a PDF document navigational tool that allows the reader to 20 quickly locate and navigate to a designated point of interest within a document. 21 b) "Efiling Portal" The official court website includes a webpage, referred to as the efiling 22 portal, that gives litigants access to the approved Electronic Filing Service Providers. 23 c) "Electronic Envelope" A transaction through the electronic service provider for submission 24 of documents to the Court for processing which may contain one or more PDF documents 25 attached. 26 d) "Electronic Filing" Electronic Filing (eFiling) is the electronic transmission to a Court of a 27 document in electronic form. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.250(b)(7).) 28

FIRST AMENDED GENERAL ORDER RE MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING FOR CIVIL

- e) "Electronic Filing Service Provider" An Electronic Filing Service Provider (EFSP) is a person or entity that receives an electronic filing from a party for retransmission to the Court. In the submission of filings, the EFSP does so on behalf of the electronic filer and not as an agent of the Court. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.250(b)(8).)
- f) "Electronic Signature" For purposes of these local rules and in conformity with Code of Civil Procedure section 17, subdivision (b)(3), section 34, and section 1010.6, subdivision (b)(2), Government Code section 68150, subdivision (g), and California Rules of Court, rule 2.257, the term "Electronic Signature" is generally defined as an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with an electronic record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the electronic record.
- g) "Hyperlink" An electronic link providing direct access from one distinctively marked place in a hypertext or hypermedia document to another in the same or different document.
- h) "Portable Document Format" A digital document format that preserves all fonts, formatting, colors and graphics of the original source document, regardless of the application platform used.

2) MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING

a) Trial Court Records

Pursuant to Government Code section 68150, trial court records may be created, maintained, and preserved in electronic format. Any document that the Court receives electronically must be clerically processed and must satisfy all legal filing requirements in order to be filed as an official court record (California Rules of Court, rules 2.100, et seq. and 2.253(b)(6)).

b) Represented Litigants

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b), represented litigants are required to electronically file documents with the Court through an approved EFSP.

c) Public Notice

The Court has issued a Public Notice with effective dates the Court required parties to electronically file documents through one or more approved EFSPs. Public Notices containing effective dates and the list of EFSPs are available on the Court's website, at www.lacourt.org.

1	
2	

4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

//

//

d) Documents in Related Cases

Documents in related cases must be electronically filed in the eFiling portal for that case type if electronic filing has been implemented in that case type, regardless of whether the case has been related to a Civil case.

3) EXEMPT LITIGANTS

- a) Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b)(2), self-represented litigants are exempt from mandatory electronic filing requirements.
- b) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, subdivision (d)(3) and California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b)(4), any party may make application to the Court requesting to be excused from filing documents electronically and be permitted to file documents by conventional means if the party shows undue hardship or significant prejudice.

4) EXEMPT FILINGS

- a) The following documents shall not be filed electronically:
 - Peremptory Challenges or Challenges for Cause of a Judicial Officer pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 170.6 or 170.3;
 - ii) Bonds/Undertaking documents;
 - iii) Trial and Evidentiary Hearing Exhibits
 - iv) Any ex parte application that is filed concurrently with a new complaint including those that will be handled by a Writs and Receivers department in the Mosk courthouse; and
 - v) Documents submitted conditionally under seal. The actual motion or application shall be electronically filed. A courtesy copy of the electronically filed motion or application to submit documents conditionally under seal must be provided with the documents submitted conditionally under seal.

b) Lodgments

Documents attached to a Notice of Lodgment shall be lodged and/or served conventionally in paper form. The actual document entitled, "Notice of Lodgment," shall be filed electronically.

	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6)	
	7		
	8		
	9)	
l	0)	
l	1		
l	2		
l.	3		
Į.	4		
Į.	5		
l	6)	
ľ	7	,	
l	8	ı	
l	9)	
2	0)	
2	1		
2	2		
2	3		
2	4	•	
2	5	1	-
2	6	,	-
2	7	•	

5) ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM WORKING PROCEDURES
--

Electronic filing service providers must obtain and manage registration information for persons and entities electronically filing with the court.

6) TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

- a) Electronic documents must be electronically filed in PDF, text searchable format when technologically feasible without impairment of the document's image.
- b) The table of contents for any filing must be bookmarked.
- c) Electronic documents, including but not limited to, declarations, proofs of service, and exhibits, must be bookmarked within the document pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1110(f)(4). Electronic bookmarks must include links to the first page of each bookmarked item (e.g. exhibits, declarations, deposition excerpts) and with bookmark titles that identify the bookedmarked item and briefly describe the item.
- d) Attachments to primary documents must be bookmarked. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - i) Depositions;
 - ii) Declarations;
 - iii) Exhibits (including exhibits to declarations);
 - iv) Transcripts (including excerpts within transcripts);
 - v) Points and Authorities;
 - vi) Citations; and
 - vii) Supporting Briefs.
- e) Use of hyperlinks within documents (including attachments and exhibits) is strongly encouraged.
- f) Accompanying Documents
 Each document acompanying a single pleading must be electronically filed as a separate digital PDF document.
- g) Multiple Documents

 Multiple documents relating to one case can be uploaded in one envelope transaction.

FIRST AMENDED GENERAL ORDER RE MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING FOR CIVIL

	1	l	
,		,	

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 h) Writs and Abstracts

Writs and Abstracts must be submitted as a separate electronic envelope.

i) Sealed Documents

If and when a judicial officer orders documents to be filed under seal, those documents must be filed electronically (unless exempted under paragraph 4); the burden of accurately designating the documents as sealed at the time of electronic submission is the submitting party's responsibility.

j) Redaction

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 1.201, it is the submitting party's responsibility to redact confidential information (such as using initials for names of minors, using the last four digits of a social security number, and using the year for date of birth) so that the information shall not be publicly displayed.

7) ELECTRONIC FILING SCHEDULE

- a) Filed Date
 - i) Any document received electronically by the court between 12:00 am and 11:59:59 pm shall be deemed to have been effectively filed on that court day if accepted for filing. Any document received electronically on a non-court day, is deemed to have been effectively filed on the next court day if accepted. (California Rules of Court, rule 2.253(b)(6); Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6(b)(3).)
 - ii) Notwithstanding any other provision of this order, if a digital document is not filed in due course because of: (1) an interruption in service; (2) a transmission error that is not the fault of the transmitter; or (3) a processing failure that occurs after receipt, the Court may order, either on its own motion or by noticed motion submitted with a declaration for Court consideration, that the document be deemed filed and/or that the document's filing date conform to the attempted transmission date.

8) EX PARTE APPLICATIONS

a) Ex parte applications and all documents in support thereof must be electronically filed no later than 10:00 a.m. the court day before the ex parte hearing.

28

b) Any written opposition to an ex parte application must be electronically filed by 8:30 a.m. the day of the ex parte hearing. A printed courtesy copy of any opposition to an ex parte application must be provided to the court the day of the ex parte hearing.

9) PRINTED COURTESY COPIES

- a) For any filing electronically filed two or fewer days before the hearing, a courtesy copy must be delivered to the courtroom by 4:30 p.m. the same business day the document is efiled. If the efiling is submitted after 4:30 p.m., the courtesy copy must be delivered to the courtroom by 10:00 a.m. the next business day.
- b) Regardless of the time of electronic filing, a printed courtesy copy (along with proof of electronic submission) is required for the following documents:
 - i) Any printed document required pursuant to a Standing or General Order;
 - ii) Pleadings and motions (including attachments such as declarations and exhibits) of 26 pages or more;
 - iii) Pleadings and motions that include points and authorities;
 - iv) Demurrers;
 - v) Anti-SLAPP filings, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16;
 - vi) Motions for Summary Judgment/Adjudication; and
 - vii) Motions to Compel Further Discovery.
- c) Nothing in this General Order precludes a Judicial Officer from requesting a courtesy copy of additional documents. Courtroom specific courtesy copy guidelines can be found at www.lacourt.org on the Civil webpage under "Courtroom Information."

10) WAIVER OF FEES AND COSTS FOR ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS

- a) Fees and costs associated with electronic filing must be waived for any litigant who has received a fee waiver. (California Rules of Court, rules 2.253(b)(), 2.258(b), Code Civ. Proc. § 1010.6(d)(2).)
- b) Fee waiver applications for waiver of court fees and costs pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, subdivision (b)(6), and California Rules of Court, rule 2.252(f), may be electronically filed in any authorized action or proceeding.

6

This First Amended General Order supersedes any previous order related to electronic filing,

Rules of Court, rule 2.257. This General Order applies to documents filed within the Civil

For purposes of this General Order, all electronic filings must be in compliance with California

and is effective immediately, and is to remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the Civil Supervising Judge and/or Presiding Judge.

DATED: May 3, 2019

11) SIGNATURES ON ELECTRONIC FILING

Division of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.



KEVIN C. BRAZILE Presiding Judge

FIRST AMENDED GENERAL ORDER RE MANDATORY ELECTRONIC FILING FOR CIVIL ,

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel





California Employment Lawyers Association

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦**
 - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association
 Labor and Employment Law Section
 - **♦**Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles**♦**
 - **♦** Southern California Defense Counsel**♦**
 - ◆Association of Business Trial Lawyers◆
 - **♦**California Employment Lawyers Association**♦**

LACIV 230 (NEW) LASC Approved 4-11 For Optional Use .

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEBUONE NO.	AV NO (Orficeally	
TELEPHONE NO.: F. E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	AX NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:	·	
STIPULATION – EARLY ORGA	NIZATIONAL MEETING	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an
 employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the
 conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or
 police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered
 "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
 - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
 - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
 - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITLE			CASE NUMBER:
SHUKE HILL	-		Chica Manuality
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute Rescomplaint;	solution (ADR) Informa	ation Package" served with the
h.	Computation of damages, including documents which such computation is based;	ments, not privileged o	r protected from disclosure, on
i.	Whether the case is suitable for the E www.lacourt.org under "Civil" and then u		
2.	The time for a defending party to respon to for the comp	d to a complaint or cro plaint, and	oss-complaint will be extended for the cross-
	complaint, which is comprised of the 30 d and the 30 days permitted by Code of 0 been found by the Civil Supervising Judg this Stipulation. A copy of the General Click on "General Information", then click	lays to respond under of the control of the control of the case man of the case man of the case man of the case the can be found at	Government Code § 68616(b), n 1054(a), good cause having nagement benefits provided by www.lacourt.org under "Civil",
3.	The parties will prepare a joint report title and Early Organizational Meeting Stipular results of their meet and confer and advefficient conduct or resolution of the case the Case Management Conference statement is due.	ation, and if desired, a rising the Court of any e. The parties shall att	a proposed order summarizing way it may assist the parties' tach the Joint Status Report to
4.	References to "days" mean calendar days any act pursuant to this stipulation falls or for performing that act shall be extended	n a Saturday, Sunday o	
The fo	llowing parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		TORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATT)	ORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATT)	ORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	•	ORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNE	Y FOR)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNE	Y FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME).	(ATTORNE	Y FOR)
	29 (Rev 02/15) proved 04/11 STIPULATION – EARLY	ORGANIZATIONAL	MEETING Page 2 of 2
Pri	·		Clear

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
		4
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	A, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION – DISCOV	ERY RESOLUTION	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- 2. At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- 3. Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - i. File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - i. Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- c. No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
 - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying *ex parte* for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
The follow	ving parties stipulate:		
Date:		>	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TIPE ON PAINT NAME)	>	(ATTONNET FOR DELENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	>	(ATTORNEY FOR)

Print	Save	Clear
	and the second s	CONTRACTOR OF TAXABLE PARTY.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO.	(Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, CO	UNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
SOUNTHOUSE ADDRESS.	·	
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		-
INFORMAL DISCOVERY CO	ONFERENCE	CASE NUMBER:
(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stip	oulation of the parties)	
This document relates to:	•	
Request for Informal Discove Answer to Request for Inform		
Deadline for Court to decide on Request the Request).		date 10 calendar days following filing of
Deadline for Court to hold Informal Disc days following filing of the Request).	covery Conference:	(insert date 20 calendar
4. For a Request for Informal Discov	very Conference, briefly d	escribe the nature of the
discovery dispute, including the fac Request for Informal Discovery Con the requested discovery, including the	ference, <u>briefly</u> describe w	why the Court should deny
		•
·		
•		
	•	
	ı	
<u> </u>		
1.001/204		
LASC Approved 04/11	ISCOVERY CONFERENCE Bry Resolution Stipulation of the	
For Optional Use (pursuant to the Discover) Print Save	ery inesolution supulation of the	Clear

EXHIBIT A Page 37

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	<u> </u>	
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	A, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIDIU ATION AND ODDED	MOTIONS IN LIMINE	CASE NUMBER:
STIPULATION AND ORDER	- MOTIONS IN CIMINE	

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

- 1. At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

The following parties stipulate: Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Date: (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Date: (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
Date: TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF) Date:	
Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Date: (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
Date: TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF) Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Date: (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Date: (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)	
Date:	
>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT	
Date:	!
>	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR	.,
Date:	
<u> </u>	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR)
>	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR)
THE COURT SO ORDERS.	
THE GOOK TOO OKBERO.	
Date:	
JUDICIAL OFFICER	
·	

Print

Save

Clear

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

MAY 1 1 2011

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK

N. NOVOM BY NANCY NAVARRO, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

General Order Re)	ORDER PURSUANT TO CCP 1054(a),
Jse of Voluntary Efficient Litigation)	EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND BY
Stipulations)	30 DAYS WHEN PARTIES AGREE
)	TO EARLY ORGANIZATIONAL
	j	MEETING STIPULATION

Whereas the Los Angeles Superior Court and the Executive Committee of the Litigation Section of the Los Angeles County Bar Association have cooperated in drafting "Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations" and in proposing the stipulations for use in general jurisdiction civil litigation in Los Angeles County;

Whereas the Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section; the Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section; the Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles; the Association of Southern California Defense Counsel; the Association of Business Trial Lawyers of Los Angeles; and the California Employment Lawyers Association all "endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation, and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases;"

-1-

ORDER PURSUANT TO CCP 1054(a)

 Whereas the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in litigation in order to achieve litigation efficiencies;

Whereas it is intended that use of the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation will promote economic case resolution and judicial efficiency;

Whereas, in order to promote a meaningful discussion of pleading issues at the Early Organizational Meeting and potentially to reduce the need for motions to challenge the pleadings, it is necessary to allow additional time to conduct the Early Organizational Meeting before the time to respond to a complaint or cross complaint has expired;

Whereas Code of Civil Procedure section 1054(a) allows a judge of the court in which an action is pending to extend for not more than 30 days the time to respond to a pleading "upon good cause shown";

Now, therefore, this Court hereby finds that there is good cause to extend for 30 days the time to respond to a complaint or to a cross complaint in any action in which the parties have entered into the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation. This finding of good cause is based on the anticipated judicial efficiency and benefits of economic case resolution that the Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation is intended to promote.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, in any case in which the parties have entered into an Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, the time for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross complaint shall be extended by the 30 days permitted

-2-

ORDER PURSUANT TO CCP 1054(a)

by Code of Civil Procedure section 1054(a) without further need of a specific court order. Carolyn B. Kuhl Supervising Judge of the Civil Departments, Los Angeles Superior Court -3-ORDER PURSUANT TO CCP 1054(a)



Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

THE PLAINTIFF MUST SERVE THIS ADR INFORMATION PACKAGE ON EACH PARTY WITH THE COMPLAINT.

CROSS-COMPLAINANTS must serve this ADR Information Package on any new parties named to the action with the cross-complaint.

What is ADR?

ADR helps people find solutions to their legal disputes without going to trial. The main types of ADR are negotiation, mediation, arbitration, and settlement conferences. When ADR is done by phone, videoconference or computer, it may be called Online Dispute Resolution (ODR). These alternatives to litigation and trial are described below.

Advantages of ADR

- Saves Time: ADR is faster than going to trial.
- Saves Money: Parties can save on court costs, attorney's fees, and witness fees.
- Keeps Control (with the parties): Parties choose their ADR process and provider for voluntary ADR.
- Reduces Stress/Protects Privacy: ADR is done outside the courtroom, in private offices, by phone or online.

Disadvantages of ADR

- Costs: If the parties do not resolve their dispute, they may have to pay for ADR, litigation, and trial.
- No Public Trial: ADR does not provide a public trial or a decision by a judge or jury.

Main Types of ADR

- 1. **Negotiation**: Parties often talk with each other in person, or by phone or online about resolving their case with a settlement agreement instead of a trial. If the parties have lawyers, they will negotiate for their clients.
- 2. **Mediation**: In mediation, a neutral mediator listens to each person's concerns, helps them evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of their case, and works with them to try to create a settlement agreement that is acceptable to all. Mediators do not decide the outcome. Parties may go to trial if they decide not to settle.

Mediation may be appropriate when the parties

- want to work out a solution but need help from a neutral person.
- have communication problems or strong emotions that interfere with resolution.

Mediation may <u>not</u> be appropriate when the parties

- want a public trial and want a judge or jury to decide the outcome.
- lack equal bargaining power or have a history of physical/emotional abuse.

LASC CIV 271 Rev. 04/21 For Mandatory Use

Page 1 of 2

How to Arrange Mediation in Los Angeles County

Mediation for civil cases is voluntary and parties may select any mediator they wish. Options include:

- a. The Civil Mediation Vendor Resource List
 - If all parties in an active civil case agree to mediation, they may contact these organizations to request a "Resource List Mediation" for mediation at reduced cost or no cost (for selected cases).
 - ADR Services, Inc. Case Manager Elizabeth Sanchez, elizabeth@adrservices.com (949) 863-9800
 - JAMS, Inc. Assistant Manager Reggie Joseph, RJoseph@jamsadr.com (310) 309-6209
 - Mediation Center of Los Angeles Program Manager info@mediationLA.org (833) 476-9145

These organizations cannot accept every case and they may decline cases at their discretion. They may offer online mediation by video conference for cases they accept. Before contacting these organizations, review important information and FAQs at www.lacourt.org/ADR.Res.List

NOTE: The Civil Mediation Vendor Resource List program does not accept family law, probate or small claims cases.

b. Los Angeles County Dispute Resolution Programs
https://hrc.lacounty.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/DRP-Fact-Sheet-23October19-Current-as-of-October-2019-1.pdf

Day of trial mediation programs have been paused until further notice.

Online Dispute Resolution (ODR). Parties in small claims and unlawful detainer (eviction) cases should carefully review the Notice and other information they may receive about (ODR) requirements for their case.

- c. Mediators and ADR and Bar organizations that provide mediation may be found on the internet.
- 3. **Arbitration**: Arbitration is less formal than trial, but like trial, the parties present evidence and arguments to the person who decides the outcome. In "binding" arbitration, the arbitrator's decision is final; there is no right to trial. In "nonbinding" arbitration, any party can request a trial after the arbitrator's decision. For more information about arbitration, visit http://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm
- 4. Mandatory Settlement Conferences (MSC): MSCs are ordered by the Court and are often held close to the trial date or on the day of trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or settlement officer who does not make a decision but who instead assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. For information about the Court's MSC programs for civil cases, visit http://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/C10047.aspx

Los Angeles Superior Court ADR website: http://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/C10109.aspx
For general information and videos about ADR, visit http://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm

LASC CIV 271 Rev. 04/21 For Mandatory Use

EXHIBIT B



Service of Process Transmittal

04/01/2022

CT Log Number 541333472

TO: Registered Agent Department

Business Filings Incorporated (Recipient Account Only)

8020 Excelsior Dr Ste 200 Madison, WI 53717-1998

RE: **Process Served in California**

FOR: Aptive Environmental, LLC (Domestic State: UT)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: MICHAEL NIEMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated vs.

APTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:

None Specified Case # 22STCV10880 COURT/AGENCY:

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: Business Filings Incorporated, Sacramento, CA

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Process Server on 04/01/2022 at 12:03

JURISDICTION SERVED: California

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: None Specified ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): None Specified

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 04/01/2022, Expected Purge Date:

04/06/2022

Image SOP

Email Notification, Registered Agent Department ctsop@bizfilings.com

REGISTERED AGENT ADDRESS: Business Filings Incorporated

555 Capitol Mall Suite 1000

Sacramento, CA 95814

844-832-8351

CTService@wolterskluwer.com

The information contained in this Transmittal is provided by CT for quick reference only. It does not constitute a legal opinion, and should not otherwise be relied on, as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any other information contained in the included documents. The recipient(s) of this form is responsible for reviewing and interpreting the included documents and taking appropriate action, including consulting with its legal and other advisors as necessary. CT disclaims all liability for the information contained in this form, including for any omissions or inaccuracies that may be contained therein.



PROCESS SERVER DELIVERY DETAILS

Date:

Server Name:

Fri, Apr 1, 2022 Jim Sands

Entity Served	APTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC
Case Number	22STCV10880
Jurisdiction	CA

-	
	Inserts
	111561 (3



ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Aptive Environmental Records Calls Without Consumers' Consent, Class Action Claims</u>