UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

NASHVILLE PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. _____

v.

CLASS ACTION

KY MEDS INC. and JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Nashville Pharmacy Services, LLC, individually and on behalf of the class defined below, alleges the following against Defendants, KY Meds Inc. ("KY Meds") and John Does 1-10:

INTRODUCTION

1. This lawsuit challenges KY Meds's practice of sending unsolicited facsimiles.

2. The Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005, codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227 (the "Act"), and the regulations promulgated thereunder, prohibit a person or entity from faxing advertisements, or having an agent fax advertisements, without the recipient's prior express invitation or permission. The Act provides a private right of action and provides for injunctive relief and statutory damages of \$500 per violation.

3. On information and belief, KY Meds has sent facsimile transmissions of unsolicited advertisements to Plaintiff and the class in violation of the Act, including but not limited to the unsolicited fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff on or about March 1, 2018, a true and correct copy

of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>. The fax in <u>Exhibit A</u> describes the commercial availability or quality of KY Meds's products, goods, and services. On information and belief, KY Meds has sent, and continues to send, unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmission in violation of the Act, including but not limited to the fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff.

4. Unsolicited faxes damage the recipients. A junk fax recipient loses the use of its fax machine, paper, and ink or toner. An unsolicited fax wastes the recipient's valuable time that would have been spent on something else. A junk fax interrupts the recipient's privacy. Unsolicited faxes prevent fax machines from receiving authorized faxes, prevent their use for authorized outgoing faxes, cause undue wear and tear on the recipients' fax machines, and require additional labor to attempt to discern the source and purpose of the unsolicited message.

5. On behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff brings this case as a class action asserting claims against KY Meds under the Act. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class of recipients of the fax sent to Plaintiff and recipients of other fax advertisements sent without prior express invitation or permission, whether sent to Plaintiff or not.

6. This action seeks relief expressly authorized by the Act: (i) injunctive relief enjoining KY Meds, its employees, agents, representatives, contractors, affiliates, and all persons and entities acting in concert with them, from sending unsolicited advertisements in violation of the Act; and (ii) an award of statutory damages in the minimum amount of \$500 for each violation of the Act, and to have such damages trebled, as provided by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff, Nashville Pharmacy Services, LLC, is a Tennessee limited liability company. Plaintiff owns and operates pharmacies in Tennessee, where it maintains telephone facsimile equipment.

8. Defendant KY Meds Inc. is a Kentucky corporation with its principal place of business at 11381 Decimal Dr, Louisville, Kentucky 40299. KY Meds may be served at the same address.

9. John Does 1-10 are persons or entities who were involved in sending faxes to Plaintiff and the class members. John Does 1-10 will be identified through discovery but are not presently known to Plaintiff.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over KY Meds because KY Meds transacts business in this judicial district, has solicited business in this judicial district, has made contacts within this judicial district, and/or has committed tortious acts within this judicial district.

12. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

FACTS

13. KY Meds transmitted by telephone facsimile machine an unsolicited facsimile to Plaintiff on March 1, 2018. A copy of the facsimile is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

14. Plaintiff's telephone facsimile equipment printed the fax when it was received, causing Plaintiff to lose paper and ink or toner.

15. On information and belief, KY Meds receives some or all of the revenues from the sale of the products, goods, and services advertised on the facsimile, and KY Meds profits and benefits from the sale of the products, goods, and services.

16. Plaintiff did not give prior express invitation or permission to KY Meds to send the facsimile.

17. The facsimile does not display a proper opt-out notice as required by 47 U.S.C.§ 227 and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200.

18. On information and belief, KY Meds faxed the same and other unsolicited facsimiles without a proper opt-out notice to Plaintiff and at least 40 other recipients or sent the same and other advertisements by fax with the required opt-out notice but without first receiving the recipients' express invitation or permission or without having an established business relationship as defined by the Act and its regulations.

19. There is no reasonable means for Plaintiff (or any other class member) to avoid receiving unauthorized faxes. Fax machines are left on and ready to receive the urgent communications their owners desire to receive.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

20. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3), Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to the Act, on behalf of the following class of persons:

All persons who (1) on or after four years prior to the filing of this action, (2) were sent telephone facsimile messages of material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services by or on behalf of KY Meds, and (3) from whom KY Meds did not obtain "prior express invitation or permission" to send fax advertisements, or (4) with whom KY Meds did not have an established business relationship, or (5) where the fax advertisements did not include an opt-out notice compliant with 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iii).

Excluded from the class are KY Meds, its employees, agents, and members of the Judiciary. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class that includes but is not limited to the fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition upon completion of class certification discovery. 21. Numerosity – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). On information and belief, the number of persons and entities of the class is numerous and joinder of all members is impracticable. On information and belief, the number of class members is at least 40.

22. Commonality – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2). Common questions of law and fact apply to the claims of all class members. Common material questions of fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Whether KY Meds sent unsolicited fax advertisements;

(b) Whether KY Meds's faxes sent to other persons, not the Plaintiff, constitute advertisements;

(c) Whether KY Meds's faxes advertised the commercial availability or quality of property, goods, or services;

(d) The manner and method KY Meds used to compile or obtain the list of fax numbers to which KY Meds sent the fax in <u>Exhibit A</u>, other unsolicited faxed advertisements, or other advertisements without the required opt-out language;

(e) Whether KY Meds faxed advertisements without first obtaining the recipient's prior invitation or permission;

(f) Whether KY Meds sent faxed advertisements knowingly;

(g) Whether KY Meds violated the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 and the regulations promulgated thereunder;

(h) Whether the faxes contain an opt-out notice that complies with the requirements of 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(C)(iii), and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and the effect of the failure to comply with such requirements;

(i) Whether KY Meds should be enjoined from faxing advertisements in the future;

(j) Whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages; and

(k) Whether the Court should award treble damages.

23. Typicality – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all class members. Plaintiff received the same or similar fax as the faxes sent by or on behalf of KY Meds advertising products, goods, and services of KY Meds during the class period. Plaintiff is making the same claims and seeking the same relief for itself and all class members based upon the same federal statute. KY Meds has acted in the same or in a similar manner with respect to the Plaintiff and all the class members by sending Plaintiff and each member of the class the same or similar faxes or faxes which did not contain a proper opt-out notice or were sent without prior express invitation or permission.

24. Adequate Representation – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class members. It is interested in this matter, has no conflicts, and has retained experienced class counsel to represent the class.

25. Need for Consistent Standards and Practical Effect of Adjudication – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1). Class certification is appropriate because the prosecution of individual actions by class members would: (a) create the risk of inconsistent adjudications that could establish incompatible standards of conduct for KY Meds, and/or (b) as a practical matter, adjudication of the Plaintiff's claims will be dispositive of the interests of class members who are not parties.

26. Common Conduct – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2). Class certification is also appropriate because KY Meds has acted in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members

thereby making injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate. Plaintiff demands such relief as authorized by 47 U.S.C. § 227.

27. Predominance and Superiority – Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (b)(3). Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy because:

(a) Proof of the claims of Plaintiff will also prove the claims of the class without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;

(b) Evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that KY Meds may assert and attempt to prove will come from KY Meds's records and will not require individualized or separate inquiries or proceedings;

(c) KY Meds has acted and is continuing to act pursuant to common policies or practices in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members;

(d) The amount likely to be recovered by individual class members does not support individual litigation. A class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based upon common proofs; and

(e) This case is inherently manageable as a class action in that:

 (i) KY Meds identified persons to receive the fax transmissions and it is believed that KY Meds's and/or KY Meds's agents' computers and business records will enable the Plaintiff to readily identify class members and establish liability and damages;

(ii) Liability and damages can be established for Plaintiff and the class with the same common proof;

(iii) Statutory damages are provided for in the statute and are the same for all class members and can be calculated in the same or a similar manner;

(iv) A class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims and will foster economics of time, effort, and expense;

(v) A class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerningKY Meds's practices; and

(vi) As a practical matter, the claims of the class are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.

<u>CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> VIOLATION OF THE JUNK FAX PREVENTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq*.

28. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

29. The Act makes it unlawful for any person to "use any telephone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send, to a telephone facsimile machine, an unsolicited advertisement \dots " 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C).

30. The Act defines "unsolicited advertisement" as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5).

31. The Act requires that the senders of fax advertisements place an opt-out notice on the facsimile transmissions. The opt-out notice, among other things, must be clear and conspicuous on the first page of the transmission, must state that the recipient is entitled to opt out of receiving future fax advertisements, and must state that the sender must honor a recipient's opt-out notice request within 30 days and that the sender's failure to do so is unlawful.

32. KY Meds sent a fax advertisement to Plaintiff on or about March 1, 2018, via a facsimile transmission from a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to the telephone line and facsimile machine of Plaintiff. The fax sent to Plaintiff constituted an advertisement under the Act. KY Meds failed to comply with the Act's opt-out requirements in connection with the fax. The fax sent to Plaintiff was transmitted without Plaintiff's prior express invitation or permission. Alternatively, KY Meds is precluded from asserting any prior express relationship with Plaintiff because of its failure to comply with the Act's opt-out requirements. KY Meds thus violated the Act and its regulations by sending the fax advertisement via facsimile transmission to Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class that includes the recipients of the fax and all other faxes sent during the four years prior to the filing of this case through the present.

33. On information and belief, during the period preceding four years of the filing of this Complaint and repeatedly thereafter, KY Meds has sent, via facsimile transmissions from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to the telephone facsimile machines of the class members, other faxes that constitute advertisements under the Act that were transmitted without the class members' prior express invitation or permission. Alternatively, KY Meds is precluded from asserting any prior express invitation or permission and is precluded from asserting that it had an established business relationship with the class members because of its failure to comply with the Act's opt-out requirements in connection with such transmissions. KY Meds thus violated the Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. On information and belief, KY Meds may be continuing to send unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmissions in violation of the Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and, absent intervention by this Court, KY

Meds will continue to do so in the future.

34. The Act provides a private right of action to bring this action on behalf of Plaintiff and the class to redress KY Meds's violations of the Act, and provides for statutory damages. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3). The Act also provides that injunctive relief is appropriate. *Id*.

35. The Act is a strict liability statute, so KY Meds is liable to Plaintiff and the other class members even if their actions were only negligent.

36. KY Meds knew or should have known that (a) Plaintiff and the other class members had not given prior express invitation or permission for KY Meds or any other person to fax advertisements about KY Meds's products, goods, or services; (b) Plaintiff and the other class members did not have an established business relationship with KY Meds; (c) KY Meds transmitted fax advertisements; (d) the fax advertisements did not contain a proper opt-out notice; and (e) KY Meds's transmission of fax advertisements that did not contain a proper opt-out notice or that were sent without prior express invitation or permission was unlawful.

37. KY Meds's actions caused damages to the Plaintiff and the other class members. Receiving KY Meds's junk faxes caused Plaintiff and the other recipients to lose paper and toner and/or ink consumed in the printing of KY Meds's faxes. Moreover, KY Meds's faxes used the Plaintiff's and the other class members' telephone lines and fax machines. KY Meds's faxes cost Plaintiff and the other class members time, as the Plaintiff and the other class members and their employees wasted their time receiving, reviewing, and routing KY Meds's unauthorized faxes. That time otherwise would have been spent on the Plaintiff's and the other class members' business activities. KY Meds's faxes unlawfully interrupted the Plaintiff's and other class members' privacy interests in being left alone. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the class members pray:

A. That the Court determine that this action may be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, certify the class defined herein, appoint Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appoint Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;

B. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the class members and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for actual monetary losses sustained or the sum of \$500 for each violation of the Act, whichever is greater, and that the Court award treble damages of \$1,500 if the violations are found to be "willful and knowing";

C. That the Court grant Plaintiff and the class members equitable relief enjoining KY Meds and its agents from additional violations of the Act; and

D. That the Court award Plaintiff and the class members pre-judgment interest, costs of this suit, and such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: July 18, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Barrett Charles Barrett (#20627) Benjamin C. Aaron (#34118) Neal & Harwell, PLC 1201 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1000 Nashville, TN 37203 Phone: (615) 244-1713 Fax: (615) 726-0573 cbarrett@nealharwell.com baaron@nealharwell.com

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	6			
NASHVILLE PHARMAC		dividually and on be	ehalf of	KY MEDS, INC. ar	nd JOHN DOES 1-10,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i> NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
Charles F. Barrett, Neal &	& Harwell, PLC, 1201 I	Demonbreun St., S	uite					
1000, Nashville, TN 3720	03 (615) 244-1713							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	ent X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		, in the second s		TF DEF 1			
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	5		Citize	Citizen of Another State 2 2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 5 of Business In Another State				
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) DRTS	FO	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
 Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 550 Civil Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Y □ 62. □ 69. I □ 71. □ 72. □ 74. □ 75. NS □ 79. □ 46.	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other Define the constraints of the const	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark ■ 840 Trademark ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 959 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n Ours Barr Ontro	Confinement						
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from \Box 3	Remanded from [Appellate Court	⊐ 4 Reins Reop	1	er District Litigation			
	47 U S C 8 227	tute under which you a	re filing (L	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of ca	^{nuse:} elephone Consume	er Protec	tion Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ★ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 07/18/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	0.10 0.0007	Decurrent 1	4 =:1-			# 10		
RECEIPT # Case	adia 8-cv-00667		T ⊢II€	ea U//18/16666	age 1 of 2 Page D			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

KY Meds

Specialized Generic Wholesaler

	Phone 877-559-5963 EXT 702	Email Orders@KYMeds	<u>com</u> 877-0	Fax 583-2065		
ltem			NDC	Expiry	Price	Order
Cafergot 100mg 100	0 tab Sandoz		00781-5405-01	11/18 EXP	\$39	
Calcipotrine Betadi	p 60gm Oint Perrigo		45802-0989-01	12mo+	\$399	
Clindamycin Benzoy	yl Perox 1-5% 50gm Pump F	Perrigo	45802-0507-04	09/18 EXP	\$65	
Diclofenac 3% 100g	m Top Gel Sandoz		00168-0844-01	10/18 EXP	\$69	
Hydrocortisone Vale	erate .2% 15gm Cream Per	rigo	45802-0455-35	12/18 EXP	\$14 <i>.</i> 99	
Naproxen Sod 550n	ng 500 tab Amneal		53746-0194-05	11/18 EXP	\$119	
Oseltamivir Phos Or	ral Suspension 6mg 60ml A	lvogen (Tamiflu)	47781-0384-26	12/19 EXP	\$ 9 9.99	
Oxiconazole Nitrate	e 1% 30gm Cream Sandoz		00168-0358-30	11/19 EXP	\$199	
Tacrolimus .03% 30	gm Oint Perrigo		45802-0390-00	12mo+	\$109.99	

****CALL FOR VOLUME DISCOUNT****

Pricing valid while supplies last. Prices subject to change. To order email orders@kymeds.com or fax simply fill in "order" and fax back to 877-683-2065. Our phone lines are very busy so email is the best way to reach us should you have any questions. \$300 Minimum order. 02/26/2018 NON-RETURNABLE. ALL SALES ARE FINAL

Pharmacy Name	_ Contact Name	Phone	Email	
---------------	----------------	-------	-------	--

If you no longer wish to receive faxes from KY Meds, you may request that we not send faxes to your telephone fax machine(s). In order for your request to be valid, the request must clearly identify the fax number(s) to which the request relates; the request must be communicated to us by calling 877-559-5963 Ext 702 or by sending a fax to 877-683-2065. Our failure to comply with a proper request within 30 days is unlawful. Fax # to be removed

Case 3:18-cv-00667 Document 1-2 Filed 07/18/18 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 14

1/1

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>KY Meds Sued Over Allegedly Unsolicited Faxes</u>