

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

<p>Shankar Naraine, <i>Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-v-</p> <p>Automobile Workforce LLC, d/b/a General Workforce,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p><b>Civ. Action #:</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Complaint</u></b> <b>(Wage Class Action)</b></p> <p><b>Jury Trial Demanded</b></p>
---	--

Plaintiff Shankar Naraine (“Plaintiff,” or “Narine”), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC, his attorney, complaining of the Defendant Automobile Workforce LLC. d/b/a General Workforce (“Automobile Workforce” or “Defendant”), respectfully alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated current and former employees who worked for the Defendant and who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216 (b), that he and they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times the regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. including 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b).
2. Plaintiff complains on behalf of himself and a class of other similarly situated current and former hourly employees who worked for the Defendant in the State of New York, pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23, that he and they are: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times the regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to costs and attorney’s fees, pursuant to the New York Minimum Wage Act (“NYMWA”), N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., (“NYLL”) including NYLL § 663, and the

regulations thereunder – 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

3. Plaintiff and the putative class members also entitled to recover compensation for not receiving notices and statements required by NYLL 195, under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law and is also entitled to maximum liquidated damages, interest, and attorneys' fees pursuant to Section 198 of the New York Labor Law.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or 29 USC § 216(b).
6. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2202, 2201.

### **THE PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff Shankar Naraine ("Plaintiff" or "Naraine") is an adult, over eighteen years old, who currently resides in Queens County in the State of New York.
8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Automobile Workforce LLC ("Automobile Workforce" or "Defendant") was a New York for-profit Limited Liability Company with a place of business located at 96-16 101st Avenue, Ozone Park, NY 11416.
9. The "present" or the "present time" as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint was signed.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

10. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant manual workers like Plaintiff to perform services at various companies under contract with Defendant. See <https://generalworkforce.com/>
11. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant operated at several locations and employed over 100 employees.
12. Plaintiff Naraine was employed by Defendant from in or around April 2018 to on or about November 19, 2018.
13. At all times relevant herein, Defendant employed Plaintiff as a manual worker performing a variety of functions including stocking, packing, etc.
14. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was paid at a regular hourly rate of \$13 an hour.
15. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked about 59 or more hours a week for Defendant; 6 days a week – plaintiff worked an additional 20-25 hours each week for Defendant transporting its employees for which Plaintiff was not paid at all.
16. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was paid at his straight regular rate for overtime hours (hours over 40 in a week) worked – and was not paid at all for about 20-25 overtime hours each week.
17. A more precise statement of the hours and wages will be made when Plaintiff Naraine obtains the wage and time records Defendant was required to keep under the FLSA and NYLL. Accurate copies of Plaintiff's wage and time records that Defendant was required to keep pursuant to 29 USC 211, 29 CFR 516 and NYLL 195, 12 NYCRR 142.2-6, are incorporated herein by reference.
18. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all overtime hours (hours over 40 in a week) worked in each week during their employment with Defendant.

19. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1).
20. At all times relevant herein, Defendant did not provide Plaintiff with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – the statements provided to Plaintiff did not contain all the hours worked by Plaintiff nor did it state Plaintiff’s overtime rate of pay, among other deficiencies.
21. At all times relevant herein, Defendant’s payment policy and practice laid out above applies to Plaintiff and the putative class members.
22. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Defendant had revenues and/or transacted business in an amount exceeding \$500,000 annually.
23. At all times applicable herein, Defendant conducted business with vendors and other businesses outside the State of New York.
24. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendant conducted business in interstate commerce involving the purchase of equipment and supplies necessary for their business.
25. Defendant as a regular part of its business, makes payment of taxes and other monies to agencies and entities outside the State of New York.
26. Defendant as a regular part of its business, engaged in credit card transactions involving banks and other institutions outside the state of New York.
27. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendant transacted business with insurance companies, banks and similar lending institutions outside the State of New York.
28. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendant utilized the instrumentalities of interstate commerce such as the United States mail, internet electronic mail and telephone systems.

29. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to display federal and state minimum wage/overtime posters.
30. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of his federal and state minimum wage and overtime rights and failed to inform Plaintiff that he could seek enforcement of such rights through the government enforcement agencies.
31. “Plaintiff” as used in this complaint refers to the named Plaintiff.
32. The “present” or the “present time” as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint was signed.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT - 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq. (Overtime)**

33. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated who opt into this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 32 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.
34. The named Plaintiff has consented to be part of this action by the filing of this action on his behalf and with his consent.
35. The FLSA cause of action is brought as a collective action on behalf of the named Plaintiff and all others who are/were similarly situated and who file consents to opt-in to the action.
36. The class of similarly situated individuals as to the FLSA cause of action is defined as current and former employees of Defendant, who 1) worked more than forty hours in a week, within at least the three-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 2) were not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.

37. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are approximately over 100 members of the class during the class period.
38. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
39. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA – 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq.
40. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly similarly-situated, were engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce and/or Defendant constituted an enterprise(s) engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a).
41. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant transacted commerce and business in excess of \$500,000.00 annually or had revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500,000.00 annually.
42. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff, and all those similarly similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207.

**Relief Demanded**

43. Due to Defendant's FLSA violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid overtime wage compensation, plus maximum liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

**AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NYLL 650 et Seq. (Unpaid Overtime)**

44. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as class members and

incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 43 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

45. Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
46. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the overtime cause of action under the NYLL is defined as current and former employees of Defendant, who: 1) were employed by Defendant within the State of New York; 2) worked more than forty hours in a week, within at least the six-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 3) were not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.
47. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
48. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.
49. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
50. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – whether the putative class was paid at least 1.5 times the applicable regular rate for all hours in excess of forty in a week.
51. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
52. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
53. The Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with

respect to the class as a whole.

54. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:

(a) Whether, Defendant failed and/or refused to pay the Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of at least one and one half (1 ½) times their regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of forty each week within the meaning of New York Minimum Wage Act and the regulations thereunder – 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

55. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate Defendant and in light of the large number of putative class members.

56. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 and the regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142.

57. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed to pay and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

### **Relief Demanded**

58. Due to Defendant's NYLL overtime violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendant, their unpaid overtime compensation, maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to NYLL § 663(1).

### **AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**



**NYLL § 190, 191, 193, 195 and 198**

59. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as class members and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 58 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

60. Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

61. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the cause of action for NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3) violations is defined as current and former employees of Defendant who: 1) were not provided with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), or 2) were not provided with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

62. The class includes but is not limited to employees who did not receive wage statements, employees who received wage statements but whose wage statements did not reflect all hours worked or all wages earned, and employees who did not receive the required wage notices setting forth the regular and overtime rate of pay among other information.

63. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.

64. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are over 100 members of the class during the class period.

65. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

66. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class – (a) whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and (b) whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).

67. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
68. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
69. The Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
70. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:
  - i. whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and whether Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).
71. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy - particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate Defendant and in light of the large number of putative class members.
72. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor law, §§ 190 et seq., including §§ 191, 193, 195 and 198.
73. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1) – Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-b), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).
74. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the

class members with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-d), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).

**Relief Demanded**

75. Due to Defendant’s New York Labor Law Article 6 violations including violation of sections 191, 193, 195 and 198, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendant, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

76. Declare Defendant to be in violation of the Plaintiff’s rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act, Article 6 of the New York Labor Law, the New York Minimum Wage Act, and the Regulations thereunder;
77. As to the **First Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated who opt-in to this action, their unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA, together with maximum liquidated damages, costs and attorney’s fees pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b);
78. As to the **Second Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, their unpaid overtime compensation due under the New York Minimum Wage Act and the Regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, together with maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs and attorney’s fees pursuant to NYLL § 663;
79. As to the **Third Cause of Action**, award of Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

80. Award Plaintiff and all others similarly situated prejudgement interest on all monies due;

81. Award Plaintiff and all others similarly situated any relief requested or stated in the preceding paragraphs but which has not been requested in the WHEREFORE clause, in addition to the relief requested in the wherefore clause;

82. Award Plaintiff and all others similarly situated such other, further and different relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**Dated: Queens Village, New York  
November 23, 2018**

Respectfully submitted,

Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC

/s/ Abdul Hassan

By: Abdul K. Hassan, Esq. (AH6510)  
215-28 Hillside Avenue, Queens Village, NY 11427  
Tel: 718-740-1000 - Fax: 718-740-2000  
E-mail: [abdul@abdulhassan.com](mailto:abdul@abdulhassan.com)  
ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Shankar Naraine,
Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Automobile Workforce LLC,
d/b/a General Workforce,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Automobile Workforce LLC, d/b/a General Workforce
96-16 101st Avenue
Ozone Park, NY 11416

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC
215-28 Hillside Avenue
Queens Village, NY 11427
Tel: 718-740-1000

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Shankar Naraine, Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC- 215-28 Hillside Avenue, Queens Village, NY 11427 Tel: 718-740-1000 Fax: 718-740-2000

DEFENDANTS

Automobile Workforce LLC, d/b/a General Workforce,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

29 USC 216 - FLSA

Brief description of cause: Unpaid overtime wages

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

11/23/2018 /s/ Abdul K. Hassan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

**CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY**

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Abdul Hassan, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Damages and fees could exceed 150K.

**DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

None

**RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

**NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)**

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
  - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No
  - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?  Yes  No
  - c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: .

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

**BAR ADMISSION**

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes  No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain)  No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Abdul Hassan

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [General Workforce Hit with Former Employee's Unpaid Overtime Suit](#)

---