### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CANDACE MOYER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No.:

Plaintiff,

-against-

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C.,

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

Defendant.	

Plaintiff, CANDACE MOYER (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a Pennsylvania resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through the undersigned attorneys, Marcus & Zelman, LLC, against Defendant PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C. (hereinafter, "Defendant") individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

#### **INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. 
§ 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws.

 [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C.

- §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a class of Pennsylvania consumers under § 1692
  et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt
  Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
- 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### **PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of Pennsylvania and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 8. Defendant Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, A.P.C. is a law firm with its principal office located at 4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, San Diego, California 92123.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, or

- facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

- 11. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 12. Some time prior to March 2, 2018, an obligation was allegedly incurred to SYNCHRONY BANK.
- 13. The SYNCHRONY BANK obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 14. The alleged SYNCHRONY BANK obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(5).
- 15. SYNCHRONY BANK is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 16. SYNCHRONY BANK contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
- 17. Defendant is a company that uses mail, telephone or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors.
- 18. On or about March 2, 2018, Defendant sent to the Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to SYNCHRONY BANK. *See* Exhibit A.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the Letter was the first communication from the Defendant

to the Plaintiff with regards to the SYNCHRONY BANK debt.

- 20. Plaintiff received the letter and read it.
- 21. The Letter states in part:

"If you wish to eliminate further collection action, please contact us at 800-832-7675 ext. 8500."

#### 22. The Letter further states:

"Unless you notify us within THIRTY (30) days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid."

- 23. Plaintiff, as would any least sophisticated consumer, read the above statements and believed that she was able to legally eliminate further collection actions over the phone.
- 24. Pursuant to the FDCPA, there are several ways for a consumer to get a debt collector to cease further collection efforts:
  - a. Notify debt collector *in writing* that consumer refuses to pay the debt or wishes the debt collector to cease further communications pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692c(c);
  - b. Notify debt collector *in writing* within the validation period that consumer disputes the debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C 1692g(b)
- 25. By falsely implying that Plaintiff had a legally effective right to have collection efforts cease by calling, Defendant overshadowed Plaintiff's validation rights and misled her as to her statutory rights.
- 26. As a result of the Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, the Plaintiff was harmed. Plaintiff was r harmed by being subjected to deceptive and misleading collection practices, from which she had a substantive right to be free, by being subject to the increased risk that she

- would fail to effectively dispute her debt or effectively request that collection efforts cease, and by being deprived of information to which she was statutorily entitled to receive.
- 27. Defendant's actions as described herein are part of a pattern and practice used to collect consumer debts.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 28. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
- 29. The Class consists of (a) all individuals with addresses in Pennsylvania (b) to whom Defendant (c) sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt owed to Synchrony Bank (d) containing language "If you wish to eliminate further collection action, please contact us at 800-832-7675" (e) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.
- 30. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendant and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect debts.
- 31. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendant and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 32. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant's written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibits A*, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.

- 33. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 34. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 35. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
  - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant's written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as *Exhibit A*, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.
  - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

    The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
  - (d) <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff

has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 36. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 37. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

#### **COUNT I**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

38. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above

- herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 39. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).
- 40. Pursuant to Section 15 U.S.C. §1692e of the FDCPA, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 41. The Defendant violated said provision by:
  - a. Using false representations and/or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10).
- 42. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq*. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

#### **COUNT II**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692g et seq.

- 43. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 44. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 45. The Defendant violated said section by:
  - Overshadowing the validation notice in violation of § 1692g(b).
- 46. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct

violated Section 1692*g et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

47. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
  - (d) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
  - (e) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: October 22, 2018

By: /s/ Ari Marcus
Ari Marcus, Esq.
MARCUS & ZELMAN, LLC
701 Cookman Avenue, Suite 300
Asbury Park, New Jersey 07712
(732) 695-3282 telephone
(732) 298-6256 facsimile
Ari@marcuszelman.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

### Case 5:18-cv-04711 CCUTYER SHEEP 10/30/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	ORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  CANDANCE MOYER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				DEFENDANTS PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C.				
(EX	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (	, and the second		
					ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, F	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
Marcus & Zelman LLC 70 Tel: 732.695.3282 Email:			J 07712					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question			PT		PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government i	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2			
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT		ORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR  ☐ 365 Personal Injury -	Y   62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	00 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))		
<ul> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	Liability  ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
<ul> <li>☐ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted</li> </ul>	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	.		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 450 Commerce☐ 460 Deportation		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product	·		New Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	DTV	LABOR	□ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability  ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER  ☐ 370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY  ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	■ 480 Consumer Credit ■ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	<b></b>	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
<ul><li>190 Other Contract</li><li>195 Contract Product Liability</li></ul>	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	□ 7 <sub>2</sub>	O Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange  390 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	□ 75	1 Family and Medical Leave Act		☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗆 79	00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act		
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	<b>1</b> 79	1 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration		
<ul><li>220 Foreclosure</li><li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li></ul>	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act	or Defendant)  ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of		
☐ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision		
<ul><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li><li>290 All Other Real Property</li></ul>	Accommodations  ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
= 250 m outer near respectly	Employment	Other:		52 Naturalization Application		State Statutes		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er □ 46	55 Other Immigration Actions				
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions				
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee -						
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)							
		Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo	, I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	r District Litigation			
VI CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 U.S.C. 1692		re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca Defendant violate							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only  JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:  : ▼ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD				
10/22/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Ari Marcus						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# Case 5:18-cv-04711-JENTEDOGUMENTIATE FÜR GRAU/30/18 Page 1 of 1 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**DESIGNATION FORM**(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

	207 Oak Street, Reading, PA 19605					
	Canyon Road, 3rd Floor, San Diego, CA	92123				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
The officered from of Transaction						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	3					
Case Number: Judg	e: Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any	of the following questions:					
Is this case related to property included in an earlier nur previously terminated action in this court?	mbered suit pending or within one year Yes No	0				
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow or pending or within one year previously terminated action	ut of the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction as a prior suit  Note that the same transaction are the same transaction as a prior suit and the same transaction are	0				
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a numbered case pending or within one year previously to		0				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social case filed by the same individual?	al security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  N	· 🗸				
l certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is /  this court except as noted above.  DATE: 10/22/2018	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff  Attorney I.D.	terminated action in # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): FDCPA	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:    Contracts					
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	CC THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE				
Ari Marcus	certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
i,, comiser	of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:						
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 10/22/2018	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. :	# (if applicable)				

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

**CIVIL ACTION** 

CANDACE MOYER, individually and on

Telephone	FAX N	umber	E-Mail Address				
732.695.3282	732.298.6	256	ari@marcuszelman.com				
Date		y-at-law	Attorney for				
October 22, 2018	Ari Marcu	s	Plaintiff, Candace Moyer				
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do	not fall into ar	y one of the other tracks.	(x)			
(e) Special Management – commonly referred to a the court. (See reverse management cases.)	s complex and	that need speci	al or intense management by	( )			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under	28 U.S.C. § 22	241 through § 2255.	( )			
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING	CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Carfiling the complaint and services of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Manageme ve a copy on all event that a d shall, with its arties, a Case N	ent Track Desig defendants. (S efendant does it first appearance Management Tra	Reduction Plan of this court, couns nation Form in all civil cases at the times \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding e, submit to the clerk of court and ser ack Designation Form specifying the ned.	me of verse said ve on			
PATENAUDE & FELIX,	A.P.C.,	: :	NO.				
behalf of all others similar v.	ly situated,	: :					

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

#### SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

Case 5:18-cv-04711-JFL Document 1-4 Filed 10/30/18 Page 1 of 1

PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C.

4545 MURPHY CANYON ROAD, 3RD FLOOR, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92123 TEL (858) 244-7600 OR (800) 832-7675 FAX (858) 836-0318

www.pandf.us

ARIZONA 3260 NORTH HAYDEN RD 6209 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 TEL: (800) 832-7675 FAY: (800) 241-2783 NEVADA 7271 W. CHARLESTON BLVD #100 LAS VEGAS, NY 89117 TEL: (702) 952-2022 (800) 867-3092 FAX: (702) 952-6226 NEW MEXICO 8500 MENAUL BLVD, NE 8A-313 ALBCQUERQUE, NM 87112 TEL: (807) 832-7675 PAX: (858) 836-3318 OREGON 1618 SW 1st AVE.. #205 FORTLAND, OR 97201 TEL: (503) 206-2676 (800) #32-7675 FAX: (503) 954-3586 PENNSY LVANIA 501 CORPO: LATE DRIVE SOUTHFOR ITE, SUTTE 205 CANONSE, RG, PA 15317 TEL. (412) 439-7673(766) 772-7575 PAN: (412) 439-7679 WASHINGTON 1940) 40th AVE. WEST, #280 LTNNWOOD, WA 92036 TEL: (425) 361-1662 (800) 832-7675 FAX: (425) 967-3508

March 02, 2018

904391/986099

CANDACE MOYER

RE: Our Client:

SYNCHRONY BANK (7502-5)

Issued as:

Sam's Club@PersonalCredit

Account Number:

XXXXXXXXXXXX4485

Our File Number:

\$9,941.65

Balance Due:

Dear Candace Moyer:

Please be advised that the above-referenced debt has been assigned to this firm to initiate collection efforts regarding your delinquent outstanding balance to our client. If you wish to eliminate further collection action, please contact us at 800-832-7675 ext. 8500.

Unless you notify this office within THIRTY (30) days of receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid.

If you notify this office in writing within THIRTY (30) days of receiving this notice that this debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, this office will obtain verification of the debt, or a copy of a judgment against you, and mail you a copy of such verification or judgment. Further, if you make a written request upon this office within THIRTY (30) days of receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name at d address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Very truly yours,

Law Office of Patenaude & Felix

DRY

M

GREGG L. MORRIS, ESQ.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR

This is an attempt to collect a debt, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

PRPF03B

P&F Pile No. 18-21008

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action: Patenaude & Felix Misrepresented Debt Dispute Requirements in Letter</u>