

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Tzvi Moskovits, individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

-v.-

GC Services Limited Partnership

Defendant.

Civil Action No: _____

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Tzvi Moskovits (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or "Moskovits"), a New York resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through his attorneys, RC Law Group, PLLC, against Defendant GC Services Limited Partnership (hereinafter "Defendant" or "GC Services Limited Partnership"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re

inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate~ *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendant jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers under § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and

6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Kings, residing at 210 Wallabout Street, 6-C, Brooklyn, NY 11206.

8. GC Services Limited Partnership is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 6330 Gulfton, Houston, TX 77081.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

10. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

11. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

13. The Class consists of:

- a. all individuals with addresses in the State of New York;
- b. to whom GC Services Limited Partnership sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
- c. without conclusively stating that interest, fees and costs are continuously accruing, as well as the basis for said interest, fees and costs;
- d. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

14. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.

15. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.

16. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibits A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.

17. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

18. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- a. **Numerosity:** The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.

- b. **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and §1692g.
- c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. **Adequacy:** The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

19. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

20. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

22. Some time prior to May 4, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to American Express.

23. The American Express obligation arose out of a transactions in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.

24. The alleged American Express obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

25. American Express is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).

26. American Express or a subsequent owner of the American Express debt contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.

27. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

Violation I – May 4, 2017 Collection Letter

28. On or about May 4, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff an initial contact notice (the “Letter”) regarding the alleged debt owed to American Express. See Exhibit A.

29. When a debt collector solicits payment from a consumer, it must, within five days of an initial communication

(1) the amount of the debt;

(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;

(3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

(4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and

(5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

30. The FDCPA further provides that "if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty day period . . . that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed . . . the

debt collector shall cease collection . . . until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt . . . and a copy of such verification is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).

31. Although a collection letter may track the statutory language, "the collector nevertheless violates the Act if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty." Russell v. EQUIFAX A.R.S., 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996) ("It is not enough for a debt collection agency to simply include the proper debt validation notice in a mailing to a consumer-- Congress intended that such notice be clearly conveyed."). Put differently, a notice containing "language that 'overshadows or contradicts' other language informing a consumer of her rights . . . violates the Act." Russell, 74 F.3d at 34.

32. The top of the letter states "BALANCE DUE: \$12,671.96"

33. The very bottom of the letter contains a note, stating:

*"*As of the date of this letter, you owe \$12,671.96 Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater."*

34. This language is confusing to Plaintiff since it is unclear as to whether or not the account was actually currently accruing interest.

35. Defendant is aware that during the collection of this debt the balance will not vary at all and stating that it may increase is merely a deceptive collection tactic.

36. The threat of a balance increase overshadows the "g-notice" language and coerces the consumer not to exert his rights under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

37. When addressing this exact issue the Second Circuit stated "We hold that Section 1692e of the FDCPA requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account

balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees. Because plaintiffs allege that defendants failed to disclose that information here, they have stated a claim under the FDCPA.” *Avila v Riexinger & Assocs., LLC* 817 F.3d 72, 74 (2d Cir 2016).

38. The May 4, 2017 Letter fails to include this safe harbor language set out by the Second Circuit.

39. The Defendant was required to include a disclosure that interest was accruing, or in the alternative, the creditor/and or Defendant has made the decision to waive the accruing interest.

40. Furthermore, the Second Circuit expounded its holding in Avila and ruled that a collection letter violates the FDCPA if it merely informs the consumer that the amount of the debt stated in the letter *may* increase over time due to interest, fees and costs.

41. Such statements violate the FDCPA as they do not offer the least sophisticated consumer any clarity as to whether new fees and costs are accruing, or as to the basis for those fees and costs.

42. “Absent fuller disclosure, an unsophisticated consumer may not understand how these fees are calculated, whether they may be disputed, or what provision of the note gives rise to them. Because the statement gives no indication as to what the unaccrued fees are or how they are calculated, she cannot deduce that information from the statement.” Carlin v Davidson Fink LLP, 852 F3d 207, 217 (2d Cir 2017).

43. Plaintiff’s May 4, 2017 Letter fails to conclusively state that interest, fees and costs are continuously accruing.

44. Plaintiff’s May 4, 2017 Letter fails to explicitly provide the basis for said interest, fees and costs.

45. Plaintiff's May 4, 2017 Letter fails to state in what amount said interest, fees and costs are charged and added on the "BALANCE DUE", or the frequency thereof.

46. A consumer could read the May 4, 2017 Letter and be easily misled into believing that they could pay the debt in full at any time by paying the listed "BALANCE DUE: \$12,671.96".

47. However, since contractual or statutory interest is automatically accruing, as well as undisclosed fees, a consumer who pays the "BALANCE DUE," will be unaware as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full.

48. Each and every aspect of this language confuses the consumer as to amount of the debt, and coerces payment from the consumer by making threats during the initial thirty-day period.

49. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. §1692e
et seq.

50. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

51. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

52. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

53. Defendant violated §1692e :

a. As the Letter it is open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate.

b. By making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).

54. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II
VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C.
§1692g et seq.

55. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

56. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.

57. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692g, a debt collector:

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing –

1. The amount of the debt;
2. The name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
3. A statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt-collector;

4. A statement that the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
5. A statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

58. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g, by failing to adequately inform the consumer as to the amount of the debt, as well as the threat of a balance increase, which overshadows the "g-notice" language and coerces the consumer not to exert its rights under the FDCPA.

59. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692g et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

60. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DEPT. HOVS 086
PO BOX 3044
LIVONIA MI 48151-3044
RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED



Please call: (800) 926-3136
Calls may be monitored or recorded.



CORRESPONDENCE AND PAYMENT MAILING ADDRESS:



P6X55100200091 - 127893459 I00362
TZVI MOSKOVITS



PO BOX 46960
SAINT LOUIS MO 63146



YOU OWE: AMERICAN EXPRESS	FILE NUMBER: [REDACTED] 0026
CLIENT ACCOUNT NUMBER: ENDING 71006	BALANCE DUE: \$12,671.96

Please detach and return upper portion of statement with payment

May 4, 2017

File Number: [REDACTED] 0721

American Express Account Number: ENDING 71006
Original Creditor: American Express

Dear Tzvi Moskovits,

We are writing to let you know that your account with American Express, with an overdue balance of \$12,671.96, has been referred to us.

We understand you may not be able to pay the entire balance in one payment. We are here to work with you to find a mutually agreeable solution. We invite you to contact us so that we can discuss your particular financial circumstances, as well as opportunities our client may have available for you. Please contact us at (800) 926-3136 to discuss *payment* options that may be available to you on your account.

However, if you are able to pay the balance due at this time, please send us your payment using the enclosed envelope. We look forward to helping you resolve your account. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Bernhagen
Account Representative

IF YOU HAVE CONCERNS REGARDING THE HANDLING OF YOUR ACCOUNT BY GC SERVICES, PLEASE CONTACT E. P. BERNHAGEN, GENERAL MANAGER, AT 800-926-3136.

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

** As of the date of this letter, you owe \$12,671.96. Because of interest, late charges, and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your check, in which event we will inform you.*

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE AND ADDITIONAL INSERT FOR IMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: BE CERTAIN YOUR ACCOUNT IS CORRECT.

HOME PHONE : _____

NEW ADDRESS : _____

EMPLOYER : _____ PHONE: _____

EMPLOYER ADDRESS : _____

GC Services Limited Partnership

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

CONSUMER INFORMATION:

UNLESS YOU, WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER YOUR RECEIPT OF GC SERVICES' INITIAL WRITTEN NOTICE TO YOU CONCERNING THIS DEBT, DISPUTE THE VALIDITY OF THE DEBT, OR ANY PORTION THEREOF, THE DEBT WILL BE ASSUMED TO BE VALID BY GC SERVICES. IF YOU NOTIFY GC SERVICES IN WRITING WITHIN THE ABOVE DESCRIBED THIRTY (30) DAY PERIOD THAT THE DEBT, OR ANY PORTION THEREOF, IS DISPUTED, GC SERVICES WILL OBTAIN VERIFICATION OF THE DEBT OR A COPY OF A JUDGMENT AGAINST YOU AND A COPY OF SUCH VERIFICATION OR JUDGMENT WILL BE MAILED TO YOU BY GC SERVICES. UPON YOUR WRITTEN REQUEST WITHIN THE ABOVE DESCRIBED THIRTY (30) DAY PERIOD, GC SERVICES WILL PROVIDE YOU WITH THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE ORIGINAL CREDITOR, IF DIFFERENT FROM THE CURRENT CREDITOR.

THE DEMANDS FOR PAYMENT IN THIS LETTER DO NOT REDUCE YOUR RIGHTS TO DISPUTE THIS DEBT, OR ANY PORTION THEREOF, AND/OR TO REQUEST VERIFICATION WITHIN THE THIRTY (30) DAY PERIOD AS SET FORTH ABOVE.

ESTE ES UN INTENTO PARA COBRAR UNA DEUDA Y CUALQUIER INFORMACION OBTENIDA SERA USADA CON ESE PROPOSITO.

INFORMACION AL CONSUMIDOR:

A MENOS QUE USTED, DENTRO DE LOS TREINTA (30) DIAS RECIBIR NOTIFICACION ESCRITA INICIAL RELATIVA A ESTA DEUDA, DISPUTE LA VALIDEZ DE LA DEUDA, O CUALQUIER PARTE DE LA MISMA, LA DEUDA SERA ASUMIDA COMO VALIDA POR GC SERVICES. SI USTED NOTIFICA A GC SERVICES POR ESCRITO DENTRO DEL ANTES MENCIONADO PERIODO DE TREINTA (30) DIAS, QUE LA DEUDA, O CUALQUIER PORCION DE LA MISMA, ES CUESTIONADA, GC SERVICES OBTENDRA VERIFICACION DE LA DEUDA O UNA COPIA DE UN DICTAMEN CONTRA USTED Y GC SERVICES LE ENVIARA POR CORRERO UNA COPIA DE DICHA VERIFICACION O DICTAMEN. SI USTED LO SOLICITA POR ESCRITO DENTRO DEL ANTES MENCIONADO PERIODO DE TREINTA (30) DIAS, GC SERVICES LE COMUNICARA EL NOMBRE Y DIRECCION DEL ACREEDOR ORIGINAL, SI FUERA DISTINTO DEL ACREEDOR ACTUAL.

LAS DEMANDAS DE PAGO DE ESTA CARTA NO REDUCEN SUS DERECHOS DE DISPUTAR ESTA DEUDA, O CUALQUIER PORCION DE LA MISMA, Y/O A SOLICITAR VERIFICACION DENTRO DEL PERIODO DE TREINTA (30) DIAS ANTES MENCIONADO.



CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Tzvi Moskovits, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated;

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) RC Law Group, PLLC 285 Passaic Street, Hackensack, NJ 07601 201-282-6500

DEFENDANTS

GC Services Limited Partnership

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Fair Debt Collection Practices Act - 1692e

Brief description of cause: Improper collection efforts regarding debt collection

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 08/31/2017 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Daniel Kohn

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE Print Save As... Reset

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, _____, counsel for _____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
 - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No
 - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? _____

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Daniel Kohn

Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-05156

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [NY Man Claims GC Services Limited Partnership Left Out Interest Info](#)
