UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SAMUEL & STEIN

David Stein (DS 2119) 38 West 32nd Street Suite 1110 New York, New York 10001 (212) 563-9884 dstein@samuelandstein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera and Emmanuel Esteban Guillen, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- vs. -

New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and Chan Soo Kim_{r}

Defendants.

DOCKET NO. 18-cv-801

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera and Emmanuel Esteban Guillen, by and through their undersigned attorneys, for their complaint against defendants New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and Chan Soo Kim, allege as follows, on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiffs Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera and Emmanuel Esteban Guillen allege on behalf of themselves and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees of defendants New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and Chan Soo Kim, who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), that they are entitled to: (i) unpaid wages from defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay as required by law, and (ii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., because defendants' violations lacked a good faith basis.
- 2. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen further complain that they are entitled to (i) back wages for overtime work for which defendants willfully failed to pay overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations; (ii) liquidated damages pursuant to New York Labor Law for these violations; and (iii) compensation for defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiffs Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen are adult individuals residing in Queens, New York.

- 4. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen consent in writing to be parties to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); their written consents are attached hereto and incorporated by reference.
- 5. Upon information and belief, defendant New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. ("New Somahang") is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 31-25 College Point Boulevard, Flushing, New York.
- 6. At relevant times, defendant New Somahang has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant New Somahang has had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, defendant New Somahang has used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and has employed at least two individuals who handled such goods and materials.
- 9. Upon information and belief, defendant Chan Soo Kim is an owner or part owner and principal of defendant

3

New Somahang, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.

- 10. Defendant Chan Soo Kim was involved in the dayto-day operations of defendant New Somahang, and played an active role in managing the business.
- 11. For example, defendant Chan Soo Kim personally hired Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen and set their schedules and pay.
- 12. Defendants constituted "employers" of Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen as that term is used in the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over plaintiffs' claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 14. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because defendants' business is located in this district.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

15. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen seek to prosecute their FLSA claims

as a collective action on behalf of a collective group of persons defined as follows:

All persons who are or were formerly employed by defendants in the United States at any time since February 1, 2015, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA, and who were not paid overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

- 16. The Collective Action Members are similarly situated to Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen in that they were employed by defendants as non-exempt laborers, and were denied premium overtime pay for hours worked beyond forty hours in a week.
- 17. They are further similarly situated in that defendants had a policy and practice of knowingly and willfully refusing to pay them overtime.
- 18. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and the Collective Action Members perform or performed the same primary duties, and were subjected to the same policies and practices by defendants.
- 19. The exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but is known by defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

FACTS

- 20. At all relevant times herein, defendants owned and operated an auto body shop in Queens.
- 21. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera was employed by defendants from approximately December 2011 through January 2018.
- 22. Mr. Guillen was employed by defendants from approximately December 2016 through December 2017.
- 23. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen were employed as auto body workers, whose job was to paint cars and fix auto bodies.
- 24. Plaintiffs' work was performed in the normal course of defendants' business and was integrated into the business of defendants, and did not involve executive or administrative responsibilities.
- 25. At all relevant times herein, plaintiffs were employees engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined in the FLSA and its implementing regulations.
- 26. Plaintiffs' regular schedule was 10 hours per day, Monday through Friday. However, defendants sometimes required plaintiffs to work six hours on Saturdays, as well; Mr. Montalvan Cabrera rarely did so, but Mr. Guillen did so several times per month.

6

- 27. As a result, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera routinely worked 50 hours per week, and occasionally more, while Mr. Guillen worked between 50 and 56 hours per week.
- 28. Until 2018, defendants did not provide a time clock, sign in sheet, or any other method for employees to track their time worked. In 2018, defendants implemented a time clock for employees to use to punch in and out.
- 29. Nevertheless, both before and after the time clock was put in place, plaintiffs were paid weekly on a salary basis.
- 30. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera started at \$750 per week and received roughly annual raises of \$25 per week, until he was making \$950 per week at the time his employment ended.
- 31. Mr. Guillen received \$600 per week throughout his employment,
- 32. These amounts did not vary based on plaintiffs' hours worked, regardless of the number of hours they worked in a week. Indeed, even if they worked Saturdays, they were still not paid anything beyond their base salaries.
- 33. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen were each paid in cash throughout their employment, with the exception of two or three instances when they were paid by

7

business checks, and they received no paystubs or wage statements with their pay.

- 34. Defendants failed to pay Mr. Montalvan Cabrera or Mr. Guillen an overtime "bonus" for hours worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.
- 35. Defendants' failure to pay Mr. Montalvan Cabrera or Mr. Guillen the proper overtime bonus for overtime hours worked was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.
- 36. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Montalvan Cabrera or Mr. Guillen with written notices providing the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act including, inter alia, defendants' contact information, their regular and overtime rates, and intended allowances claimed and failed to obtain their signatures acknowledging the same, upon their hiring or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act in effect at the time.
- 37. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Montalvan Cabrera or Mr. Guillen with weekly records of their compensation and hours worked, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

- 38. Upon information and belief, throughout the period of plaintiffs' employment, both before that time (throughout the Collective Action Period) and continuing until today, defendants have likewise employed other individuals like Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen (the Collective Action Members) in positions at defendants' auto body shop that required little skill, no capital investment, and with duties and responsibilities that did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.
- 39. Defendants applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Collective Action Members, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to the payment of overtime.
- 40. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have worked in excess of forty hours per week, yet defendants have likewise failed to pay them overtime compensation of one-and-one-half times their regular hourly rate in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.
- 41. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not provided with required wage notices or weekly wage statements as specified in New York Labor Law \$\\$ 195.1, 195.3, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

- 42. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Montalvan Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and the Collective Action members, and through all relevant time periods, defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records or provide accurate records to employees.
- 43. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Montalvan Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and the Collective Action members, and through all relevant time periods, defendants failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA or New York Labor Law.

COUNT I

(Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime)

- 44. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen, on behalf of themselves and all Collective Action Members, repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 45. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Montalvan Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 46. At all relevant times, defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay proper overtime

compensation to their employees for hours they worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

- 47. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate their employees, including Mr. Montalvan Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and the Collective Action Members, at a rate at least one-and-one-half times their regular rates of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per workweek, defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 48. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.
- 49. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II

(New York Labor Law - Overtime)

- 50. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 51. At all relevant times, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 52. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen by failing to pay them overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times their regular rates of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and its supporting regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142.
- 53. Defendants' failure to pay overtime was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.
- 54. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT III

(New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)

- 55. Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.
- 56. At all relevant times, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 57. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen by failing to provide them with the wage notices required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act when they were hired, or at any time thereafter.
- 58. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen by failing to provide them with weekly wage statements required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act at any time during their employment.
- 59. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage statements, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen are entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$100 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$250 per day from February 27, 2015 through the end of their employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

60. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage notices, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen are entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$50 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$50 per day from February 27, 2015 to the termination of their employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Montalvan Cabrera and Mr. Guillen respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

action on behalf of the Collective Action

Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant

to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated

members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them

of the pendency of this action, permitting them

to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by

filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29

U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Mr. Montalvan

Cabrera, Mr. Guillen, and their counsel to

represent the Collective Action members;

- b. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- c. An injunction against defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, and patterns set forth herein;
- d. A compensatory award of unpaid compensation, at the statutory overtime rate, due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- e. An award of liquidated damages as a result of defendants' willful failure to pay statutory overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- f. Liquidated damages for defendants' New York
 Labor Law violations;
- g. Statutory damages for defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- h. Back pay;
- i. Punitive damages;

- j. An award of prejudgment and postjudgment
 interest;
- k. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- 1. Such other, further, and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 1, 2018

David Stein
SAMUEL & STEIN
38 West 32nd Street
Suite 1110
New York, New York 10001
(212) 563-9884
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Con mi firma abajo, autorizo la presentación y tramitación de reclamaciones en mi nombre y de mi parte para impugnar el fallo de New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. y sus propietarios y afiliados a me pagan, entre otras cosas, el salario mínimo y pago de horas extras, requerida en el estado y / o la ley federal y también autorizan la presentación de este consentimiento en la demanda contra ese tipo de conducta, y el consentimiento para ser nombrado como demandante representante en esta acción para tomar decisiones en nombre de todos los demás demandantes en relación con todos aspectos de esta demanda. Se me ha proporcionado una copia de un acuerdo de retención con la firma de abogados de Samuel y Stein, y estoy de acuerdo en estar obligado por sus términos.

Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera

Marton Patricio Huntalian C

Date: January 31, 2018

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Con mi firma abajo, autorizo la presentación y tramitación de reclamaciones en mi nombre y de mi parte para impugnar el fallo de New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. y sus propietarios y afiliados a me pagan, entre otras cosas, el salario mínimo y pago de horas extras, requerida en el estado y / o la ley federal y también autorizan la presentación de este consentimiento en la demanda contra ese tipo de conducta, y el consentimiento para ser nombrado como demandante representante en esta acción para tomar decisiones en nombre de todos los demás demandantes en relación con todos aspectos de esta demanda. Se me ha proporcionado una copia de un acuerdo de retención con la firma de abogados de Samuel y Stein, y estoy de acuerdo en estar obligado por sus términos.

Emmanuel Esteban Guillen

Date: January 31, 2018

JS 44 (Rev. 01/29/2018)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera and Emmanuel Esteban Guillen, behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated			en, on	New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and Chan Soo Kim					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens County, NY				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Queens County, NY					Υ
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES)				LAINTIFF CASES O		0.0	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI Γ OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TI VOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
	Address, and Telephone Number			Attorneys (If Known)					
Samuel & Stein / 38 We NY 10001 / (212) 563-		1110 / New York,							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Not a Party)		· ·		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		or Defende PTF 4	DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citize	en of Another State				□ 5	
				zen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6				6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			l FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature o			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 367 Health Care/		Y 🗖 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrus	t	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten	t	 430 Banks at 450 Commer 	rce	g
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1			t - Abbreviated Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportat ☐ 470 Racketed		ed and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TV	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY SECURITY	Corrupt 480 Consum	Organizati er Credit	ions
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		dition/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending☐ 380 Other Personal	 72 6	Act 1 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchang	ge	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	□ 740	Relations Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (☐ 890 Other St. ☐ 891 Agricult		tions
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		I Family and Medical Leave Act	,		☐ 893 Environm ☐ 895 Freedom	nental Mat	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Other Labor Litigation		L TAX SUITS	Act		iation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		7 91	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)		☐ 896 Arbitrati ☐ 899 Adminis		ocedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment				medile Security Act		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party		iew or App	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 USC 7609		Agency I	tionality o	f
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment			IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application	-		State Statutes		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe ☐ 550 Civil Rights		Other Immigration Actions			11		
	☐ 448 Education ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement			Actions					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	and the second s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•		
X 1 Original □ 2 Ren	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop		r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 U.S.C. § 201,		e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless div	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Failure to pay ove	use:							
				EMAND \$		HECK YES only i JRY DEMAND:	f demanded in Yes	complair X No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	4		DOCKE	Г NUMBER			
DATE	T.a.	SIGNATURE OF AT	ØRNEY O	F RECORD					
02/01/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		and	month						
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE		

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, David S	
is ineligi	ible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
F	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
Ļ	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
L	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
None	;
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
to another substantia deemed "r 'Presumpt	t all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" r civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a al saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that tively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still refore the court."
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:
Suffolk (Inswer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or County? Yes No
	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.
	✓ Yes □ No
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?
	Yes (If yes, please explain No
	Tes (ii yes, piedos expiairi
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.
	Signature: La i Otteni
	AND

Last Modified: 11/27/2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera and Emmanuel Esteban Guillen, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated	
Plaintiff(s)	
V.	Civil Action No. 18-cv-801
New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and Chan Soo Kim)))
Defendant(s))
Defendant(s)	,
SUMMONS IN	NA CIVIL ACTION
_	
To: (Defendant's name and address) New Somahang Auto & E 31-25 College Point Boul Flushing, New York 1135	evard
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
David Stein, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suite New York, NY 10001	e 1110
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
D. (
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 18-cv-801

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

***********		ame of individual and title, if an	y)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)	-	<u> </u>					
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)							
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		=			
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted because	e		; or			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	(<u> </u>			
	I declare under penal	lty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.					
Date:								
		-	Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Eastern Distr	ICT OF INCW TOTK
Marlon Patricio Montalvan Cabrera and Emmanuel Esteban Guillen, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated))))
Plaintiff(s))
v.	Civil Action No. 18-cv-801
New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. and Chan Soo Kim)))
Defendant(s)))
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Chan Soo Kim % New Somahang Auto 31-25 College Point Bou Flushing, New York 1135	levard
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you icer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. nswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
David Stein, Esq. Samuel & Stein 38 West 32nd Street, Suit New York, NY 10001	e 1110
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will b You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 18-cv-801

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)				
			on (date)				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there						
	on (date)						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh					
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because			; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.				
Date:							
2			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: New Somahang Auto & Body Inc. Subject of Unpaid Overtime Collective Action