#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

MATTHEW MITCHELL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.:

**REN MEDIA GROUP USA, INC.,** 

Defendant.

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, MATTHEW MITCHELL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, ("Named Plaintiff") files this Class Action Complaint against Defendant, REN MEDIA GROUP USA, INC., ("Defendant"), state as follows:

### NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is a class action for collection of unpaid wages and benefits for 60 calendar days pursuant to the Workers Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act of 1988, ("WARN Act"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 2101-2109 *et. seq.* 

2. The Defendant is liable under the WARN Act for failure to provide the Named Plaintiff and the other similarly situated former employees at least 60 days' advance notice of their termination as required by the WARN Act.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

 This Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 29 U.S.C. § 2104(a)(5).

4. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, because the events occurred in Clearwater, FL, Pinellas County, which lies within the Middle District.

#### PARTIES

 Named Plaintiff, MATTHEW MITCHELL, is a resident of Pinellas County, Florida.

6. Defendant, REN MEDIA GROUP USA, INC. operates a home shopping television channel in Pinellas County, Florida.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

 Named Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent, or they have been waived.

Named Plaintiff has hired the undersigned attorneys and agreed to pay them a fee.

9. Named Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.

 At all times material to this Complaint, Named Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant.

11. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant was an employer subject to the requirements of the WARN Act.

#### STATEMENTS OF FACTS

Named Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from April 2014 until November
 3, 2017.

13. Named Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees' employment were terminated as part of plant shutdown as defined by the WARN Act, for which they were entitled to receive 60 days advance written notice under the WARN Act from Defendant.

14. On November 3, 2017, approximately 50 other similarly situated employees of Defendant were terminated as part of a plant shutdown at the Clearwater, Florida location.

15. Pursuant to the WARN Act, the Named Plaintiff maintains this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of each of the other similarly situated former employees.

16. Each of the other similarly situated former employees are similarly situated to the Named Plaintiff in respect to his or her rights under the WARN Act.

17. Defendant was required by the WARN Act to give the Named Plaintiff and other similarly situated former employees or their representatives at least 60 days advance written notice of their respective termination.

18. Prior to their terminations, neither the Named Plaintiff nor the other similarly situated former employees or their representatives received written notice that complied with the requirements of the WARN Act.

19. Defendant failed to pay Named Plaintiff and the other similarly situated former employees their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay vacation which would have accrued for 60 days following their respective termination without notice and failure to make 401(k) contributions and provide them with health insurance coverage and other employee benefits.

#### **RULE 23 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

20. Named Plaintiff asserts his Rule 23 class claim on behalf of the Putative Class defined as follows:

# <u>WARN ACT CLASS</u>: All employees who worked for Defendant and were laid off without cause by the Defendant as part of or as the reasonably foreseeable result of plant shutdown on November 3, 2017.

21. Named Plaintiff is and has been a member of the Putative WARN Act Class ("Putative Rule 23 Class") described herein.

22. The number of persons in the Putative Rule 23 Class herein is so numerous that joinder of all such persons would be impracticable. While the exact number and identities of all such persons are unknown to Named Plaintiff at this time and can only be obtained through appropriate discovery, Named Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Putative Rule 23 Class herein include over 50 persons.

 Disposition of Named Plaintiff's claims in a class action will benefit all parties and the Court. 24. There is a well-defined community of interest presented by the Putative Rule 23 Class herein in that, among other things, each member of the Putative Rule 23 Class has an interest in obtaining appropriate legal relief for the harm of which Named Plaintiff complains, and obtaining other adequate compensation for the common damages which Named Plaintiff and all other persons similarly situated have suffered as a result of Defendant's actions.

25. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Putative Rule 23 Class herein would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the Putative Rule 23 Class which would or may establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant and which would also create a risk of adjudications with respect to individual members of the Putative Rule 23 Class herein which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Putative Rule 23 Class not parties to the particular individual adjudications, and/or would or may substantially impede or impair the ability of those other members to protect their interests.

26. Common questions of law and fact exist in this case with respect to the Putative Rule 23 Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class and which do not vary between members thereof.

27. At some time during the Class Period, all of the individuals in the Putative Rule23 Class herein have been employed by Defendant and were laid off, as described more fully herein.

28. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy- particularly in the context of WARN Act litigation, where the Named Plaintiff and class member may lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against Defendant.

29. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members that predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:

- a. Whether the Corporate Defendants ordered the termination of employment of each of the Class Members without cause on their part and without giving them 60 days advance written notice as required by the WARN Act; and
- b. The claims of the Named Plaintiff in this case are typical of those of the other Class Members which they seek to represent, in that, among other things, Named Plaintiff and each other Class Member have sustained damages and are facing irreparable harm because of, and arising out of, a common course of conduct engaged in by Defendant as complained of herein.

30. The Named Plaintiff herein will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Putative Class which he seeks to represent. Named Plaintiff does not have any interests which are antagonistic to the interests of the Putative Class herein.

31. Counsel for Named Plaintiff is experienced, qualified and generally able to conduct complex class action legislation.

32. The relief sought in this action is necessary to restore to members of the Putative Class the money and property which the Defendant has illegally acquired through the unlawful treatment of each Class Member as described herein.

33. Named Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the Putative Class to the extent required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The names and addresses of the Putative Class members are available from Defendant's records.

#### COUNT I – WARN ACT VIOLATIONS CLASS ACTION CLAIM

34. Named Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of paragraphs 1 through33 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.

35. At all relevant times, Defendant employed 100 or more employees, exclusive of part-time employees, or employed 100 or more employees who in the aggregate worked at least 4,000 hours per week exclusive of hours of overtime within the United States as defined by the WARN Act, and employed more than 50 employees at the facilities.

36. At all relevant times, Defendant is an "employer" of the Class Members as that term is defined by the WARN Act.

37. On November 3, 2017, Defendant ordered "plant shutdown," as that term is defined by the WARN Act.

38. Defendant's actions resulted in an "employment loss" as that term is defined by the WARN Act for at least 33% of its workforce, and at least 50 of its employees, excluding (a) employees who worked less than six of the twelve months prior to the date WARN notice was required to be given and (b) employees who worked an average of less than 20 hours per week during the 90-day period prior to the date WARN notice was required to be given.

39. Defendant's termination of the Class Members' employment constituted plant shutdown as defined by the WARN Act.

40. The Named Plaintiff and each of the Class Members who were employed by the Defendant and then terminated by Defendant as a result of Defendant's executing plant shutdown at the Clearwater facility were "affected employees" as defined by the WARN Act.

41. The Named Plaintiff and each of the Class Members are "aggrieved employees" of Defendant as that term is defined by the WARN Act.

42. Pursuant to the WARN Act, Defendant was required to provide 60 days prior written notice of the termination, or notice as soon as practicable, to the affected employees, on their representative, explaining why the 60 day prior notice was not given.

43. Defendant failed to give at least 60 days prior notice of the termination in violation of the WARN Act.

44. Defendant failed to pay the Named Plaintiff and each of the Class Members their respective wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay and accrued vacation for 60 working days following their respective termination, and failed to make the pension and 401(k) contributions, provided other employee benefits under ERISA, and pay their medical expenses for 60 calendar days from and after the dates of their respective terminations.

45. As a result of Defendant's failure to pay wages, benefits and other monies as asserted, the Named Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged in an amount equal to the sum of the members' unpaid wages, accrued holiday pay, accrued vacation pay, accrued sick leave pay and benefits which would have been paid for a period of 60 calendar days after the date of their termination.

WHEREFORE, the Named Plaintiff and Class Members demand trial by jury and judgment against Defendant as follows:

- An amount equal to the sum of: unpaid wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, accrued holiday pay, accrued vacation pay and 401(k) contributions and other ERISA benefits, for 60 working days following the member employees' termination, that would have been covered and paid under the then applicable employee benefit plans had that coverage continued for that period, all determinate in accordance with the WARN Act, 29 U.S.C§ 2104(a)(1)(A);
- b) Certification that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (a) and (b) and the WARN Act, Named Plaintiff and the other similarly situated former employees constitute a single class;
- Interest as allowed by law on the amounts owed under the proceeding paragraphs;
- d) The reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements the Plaintiffs incur in prosecuting this action, as authorized by the WARN Act; and
- e) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

#### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated this day of April, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER J. SABA Florida Bar Number: 0092016 WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A. 1110 North Florida Avenue, Suite 300 Ta.npa, Florida 33602 Main Number: 813-224-0431 Direct Dial: 813-321-4086 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: csaba@wfclaw.com Email: tsoriano@wfclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
MATTHEW MITCHELL, on behalf of himself and all others similar situated,			REN MEDIA GROUP USA, INC.,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Pinellas	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			2001/2 - 2000 - 200 CC	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C		
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	9	Attorneys (If Known)			
CHRISTOPHER J. SABA North Florida Avenue, Su 813-224-0431			0			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
D 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 I Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity     (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item 111)	Citizen of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and H of Business In .		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)						
CONTRACT	a ta navisir sizeni ta si un il sa presi za skou si	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
II0 Insurance 120 Marine	DERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	Discrete Series PERSONAL INJURY - 365 Personal Injury -	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<ul> <li>422 Appeal 28 USC 158</li> <li>423 Withdrawal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> </ul>	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	G 690 Other	28 USC 157	410 Antitrust	
<ul> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	<ul> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> </ul>	
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury		□ 820 Copyrights	□ 460 Deportation	
151 Medicare Act     152 Passware of Defended	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		830 Patent	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	490 Cable/Sat TV	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud	Y 🖸 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	<ul> <li>861 HIA (1395ff)</li> <li>862 Black Lung (923)</li> </ul>	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	720 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions	
<ul> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> </ul>	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	<ul> <li>864 SSID Title XVI</li> <li>865 RSI (405(g))</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> </ul>	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	751 Family and Medical	S dos nos (ros(g))	895 Freedom of Information	
	<ul> <li>362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice</li> </ul>	Product Liability	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation		Act 896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	399 Administrative Procedure	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	Income Security Act	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of	
<ul> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>441 Voting</li> <li>442 Employment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>463 Alien Detainee</li> <li>510 Motions to Vacate</li> </ul>		or Defendant) Ø 871 IRS—Third Party	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence		26 USC 7609	State Statutes	
<ul> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	<ul> <li>530 General</li> <li>535 Death Penalty</li> </ul>	MMIGRATION			
57.5	Employment	Other:	462 Naturalization Application			
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	465 ' ther Immigration Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition	Actions -			
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	a One Box Only)					
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Another (specify)	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	29 U.S.C. 88 210	tute under which you are 1-2109 et. seq.	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state			
	Brief description of ca	use: ATIONS CLASS AC	TION CLAIM			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes D No		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	~ ~ ~	- Jane -	Ū.			
RECEIPT # AN	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>REN Media Group Accused of Failing to Provide Adequate Notice of Clearwater, FL Plant Shutdown</u>