UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NAOMI MISONZHNIK on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

1. Plaintiff, Naomi Misonzhnik, brings this action against Alltran Financial, LP for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq*. ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Houston, Texas.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Naomi Misonzhnik

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about November 14, 2016, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 11. The November 14, 2016 letter stated in part: "As of the date of this letter you owe the amount stated above. Because your account continues to accrue interest and may accrue late and other charges on all owed balances pursuant to your agreement with your creditor, the Total Balance on the date you pay may be greater. If you pay the Total Balance above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment. If so, we will contact you."
- 12. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
- 13. One such requirement is that the debt collector provide "the amount of the debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

- 14. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the amount of the debt, but to convey such clearly.
- 15. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 16. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 17. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 18. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 19. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 20. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due.
- 21. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes "accrued interest."
- 22. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes "late and other charges."
- 23. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to advise Plaintiff what portion of the amount listed is principal.
- 24. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed will increase.

- 25. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff what "late and other charges" might apply.
- 26. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "late and other charges" are applied, when such "late and other charges" will be applied.
- 27. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "late and other charges" are applied, what the amount of those "late and other charges" will be.
- 28. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff of the nature of the "late and other charges."
- 29. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," what the amount of the accrued interest will be.
- 30. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," when such interest will be applied.
- 31. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," what the interest rate is.
- 32. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per day.
- 33. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per week.
- 34. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per month.
- 35. The November 14, 2016 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accrued "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per any measurable period.
- 36. The November 14, 2016 letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the

- time of the letter.
- 37. The November 14, 2016 letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the letter.
- 38. The November 14, 2016 letter fails to provide information that would allow the Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.
- 39. The November 14, 2016 letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 40. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the debt could be satisfied by remitting the listed amount "as of the date of this letter," at any time after receipt of the letter.
- 41. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the amount listed was accurate only on the date of the November 14, 2016 letter.
- 42. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.
- 43. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate what the amount of the accrued interest will be.
- 44. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate when such interest will be applied.
- 45. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the amount of money the amount

- listed will increase at any measurable period.
- 46. If "late and other charges" are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the nature of the "late and other charges."
- 47. The Defendant's failures are purposeful.
- 48. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer whether the amount listed will increase.
- 49. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer what "late and other charges" might apply.
- 50. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer when such "late and other charges" will be applied.
- 51. Defendant failed to clearly and unambiguously state the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 52. The November 14, 2016 letter would likely make the least sophisticated consumer uncertain as to the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 53. The November 14, 2016 letter would likely make the least sophisticated consumer confused as to the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).

¹ Carlin v. Davidson Fink LLP, 852 F.3d 207 (2d Cir. 2017); Balke v. All. One Receivables Mgmt., No. 16-cv-5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94021, at *14 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017) ("[T]he Collection Letter in this case refers with vagueness to "accrued interest or other charges," without providing any information regarding the rate of interest; the nature of the "other charges"; how any such charges would be calculated; and what portion of the balance due, if any, reflects already-accrued interest and other charges. By failing to provide even the most basic level of specificity in this regard, the Court "cannot say whether those amounts are properly part of the amount of the debt," for purposes of section 1692g.Carlin, 852 F.3d at 216. Further, as set forth in Carlin, without any clarifying details, the Collection Letter states only that these unspecified assessments may be added to the balance due, which the Court finds to be insufficient to "accurately inform[] the [Plaintiff] that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time.")

- 54. Defendant's conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 55. The November 14, 2016 letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must is inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 56. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g(a)(1) and 1692e.
- 57. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 58. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 59. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 60. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 61. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 62. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 63. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his

- right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 64. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 65. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 66. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 67. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Alltran Financial, LP and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 68. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Alltran Financial, LP, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 69. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

- 70. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 71. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 72. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
 - (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.

- (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 73. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.

- 74. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 75. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 76. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 77. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy six (76) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 78. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 79. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about November 14, 2016; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g(a)(1) for the use of any false

representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, for

misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by Plaintiff and for failing to accurately

state the amount of the debt in the initial communication.

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

80. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

81. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and

the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this

Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

(a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

(b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and

(c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 15, 2017

/s/ Maxim Maximov____

Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Maxim Maximov, LLP

1701 Avenue P

Brooklyn, New York 11229

Office: (718) 395-3459 Facsimile: (718) 408-9570

E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov_

Maxim Maximov, Esq.

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do					774, is required for the t	use of the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS NAOMI MISONZHNIK				DEFENDANTS			
				ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP			
(b) County of Residence of	_	KINGS		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendan		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORI	FAX: (71	(718) 395-3459 8) 408-9570 M@MAXIMOVLAW	/ COM				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI				 TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PART	TES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question	ne Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize		1 🗖 1 Incorporated	d or Principal Place \Box 4 \Box 4 ess In This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	d and Principal Place	
W. MARVIDE OF CHIM				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	ion	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		oly) ORTS	FC	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability 	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 780 Other Personal Property Damage	□ 69 ATY □ 71 □ 72 □ 74	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 15: □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (40: □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ▼ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 5(g)) □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	1 79	1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation		□ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 60 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	46	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUT □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plainti or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reog		r District Litig	tidistrict gation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	15 U.S.C. SECT	<u>ION 1692 FÁIR D</u>		00 not cite jurisdictional stat DLLECTION PRACT		·)	
vii chest of heri	Differ description of Ca	ause: D DECEITFUL DEB	T COLL	ECTION BUSINES	S PRACTICES		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	J D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES JURY DEM A	S only if demanded in complaint: AND: ★ Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	R	
DATE 11/15/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATT					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MA	.G. JUDGE	

Case 1:17-cv-066R3TIF16cAvitiOn 10F AFRBITIR ATSION Plate 1B bt PTY ageID #: 14

exclusiv	e of intere		ons, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, tration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a			
I N/A		counsel for	do hereby certify that the above cantioned civil action is			
ineligib	ole for c	ompulsory arbitration for the following	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ng reason(s):			
		monetary damages sought are in ex	cess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,			
	☐ the complaint seeks injunctive relief,					
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for	or the following reason			
		DISCLOSURE STATEMEN	NT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1			
		Identify any parent corporation and an	y publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:			
N/A						
		RELATED CASE STATE	EMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)			
provides because same jud case: (A)	that "A c the cases ge and m involves	civil case is "related" to another civil case for arise from the same transactions or events, a nagistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same	on of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the it "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil ne parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power vil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the			
		NY-E DIVIS	ION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)			
1.)	Is the c County		rict removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk			
2.)		5 5	claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk			
	b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES					
Suffolk	County, olk Coun	or, in an interpleader action, does the claty?	ant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).			
	(1)	vote. At corporation shall be considered a				
			BAR ADMISSION			
I am cu	rently ac	dmitted in the Eastern District of New York Yes	ork and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No			
Are you	currentl	ly the subject of any disciplinary action (Yes (If yes, please explai	s) in this or any other state or federal court? n) 🔀 No			
I certify	the accu	uracy of all information provided above.				
Signatu	re: /S	/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.				

Alltran Financial, LP

PO BOX 4044 CONCORD CA 94524-4044

I ILLERA CURA ULDA CURA COM RULLA BRA CURA HACA ULDA CICLA CICLA CURA GURA ANDA CURA TAN CURA

November 14, 2016

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

թվոյիվությունիկարիրդովիայիությանունիկան

Naomi Misonzhnik 1495 E 18TH ST **BROOKLYN NY 11230-6705** Creditor: Citibank, N.A. CITI VISA

Account: XXXXXXXXXXXXXX6692

Alltran ID:

Total Balance as of November 14, 2016: \$6,341.97 Minimum Payment Due as of November 14, 2016: \$992.10

Telephone: 877-614-9420, ext 8613 Partial Account Number for Your Security

Alltran Financial, LP PO BOX 722910 HOUSTON TX 77272-2910 ւլկլոդություլնուգրություրիկորինվություցիին

Please detach at perforation and return with your payment.

Your Account has been Referred to this Office for Collection

Please remit the Minimum Payment Due, payable to Citibank, N.A., in the enclosed envelope.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within the thirty day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, this office will: obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

We want to help you resolve this account. If you wish to discuss your account, please call James Stauber at 877-614-9420 extension 8613, so we may assist you. As of the date of this letter you owe the amount stated above. Because your account continues to accrue interest and may accrue late and other charges on all owed balances pursuant to your agreement with your creditor, the Total Balance on the date you pay may be greater. If you pay the Total Balance above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment. If so, we will contact you. For further information about your balance, please call your account representative.

Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C.§ 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

(i) the use or threat of violence;

(ii) the use of obscene or profane language; and

(iii) repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

Supplemental security income, (SSI);

Social security;

3. Public assistance (welfare);

4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support;5. Unemployment benefits;

- Disability benefits; Workers' compensation benefits;
- Public or private pensions;

Veterans' benefits;

10 Federal student loans. federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and

65-65000-657195867-9111-500708RU

English text on other side!

-601962890-

AFFAIRS: 1304511, 1304544, 1304538. NÚMERO DE LICENCIA DEL DEPARTAMENTO NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER

lunes – jueves: 8 am a 9 pm • viernes: 8 am a 4 pm • sábado: 7 am a 1 l am Horas de Oficina (todas horas en Central)

	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	MI MISONZHNIK on behalf of himsel ner similarly situated consumers	f and
	Plaintiff,	
	-against-	
ALL	TRAN FINANCIAL, LP	
	Defendant.	
	SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION
TO:	ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP 5800 NORTH COURSE DRIVE HOUSTON, TEXAS 77072-1613	
and so	YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED erve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY	D and required to file with the Clerk of this Court:
	MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229	
sumn		h served upon you, with 21 days after service of this service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default wi in the complaint.
CLEF	RK	DATE
BY D	EPUTY CLERK	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Alltran Financial Sued Over Alleged Non-Disclosures in Collection Letter</u>