UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

KRYSTAL MIMS, on her own behalf and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case Number _____

v.

RANDOLPH HOTEL, INC.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Plaintiff, (on her own and on behalf of others similarly situated) was an employee of Defendant, a foreign for profit corporation, and brings this action for unpaid wages, retaliation, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201-216 (the "FLSA"). Plaintiff(s) worked as an hourly worker for Defendant and performed related activities for Defendant in Pinellas County, Florida. Plaintiff was employed in a labor position by Defendant from approximately February 2015 through October of 2016.

2. Defendant is a Florida for profit corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Pinellas

County, Florida, and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of the Court. Defendant is an EMPLOYER as defined by the FLSA and Defendant conducts interstate commerce, using telephones, highways and byways and products and supplies (that are used in connection with services provided to Defendant's customers) which do not originate from Florida. Upon information and belief, Defendant grosses far in excess of \$500,000.00 in annual revenue at all times material to this case, including the 24 months prior to September 2016.

3. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant, unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This action is intended to include each and every hourly employee who worked for the Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years.

4. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff(s) claims as all material events transpired in Pinellas County, including those brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and the FLSA.

5. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s).

6. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff(s) in her capacity as an employee(s) were individually covered by the FLSA. Plaintiff used telephones, computers and also obtained supplies for the use of Defendant's guests, including guests from out of state as well as guest who reside in Florida.

7. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiff(s) performed services for Defendant for which no provisions were made by Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff(s) for all hours worked during their employment. "Catherine Skillman" was introduced as Defendant's property manager and/or general manager, who directed most aspects of Plaintiff's employment. Upon her termination, Manager Skillman acknowledged that Plaintiff had worked "above and beyond" what could have been reasonably expected of Plaintiff in regards to the sheer number of hours that Plaintiff worked, but was not compensated by Defendant.

8. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, were not paid for all time worked during one or more work weeks. Specifically, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated to them for work performed off the clock, including but not limited to requiring Plaintiff(s)

to work without compensation. In fact, in many instances, Defendant did not keep accurate track of the hours worked by Plaintiff and simply paid Plaintiff an hourly rate that would have equated to far less than the minimum wage. For example, on some weeks, of her employment, Defendant would only pay Plaintiff for up to twenty-three hours of work per week, even when Plaintiff had worked between thirty-five or more hours per week. And during those some weeks, Defendant would require Plaintiff to work time in excess of forty hours a week and Defendant would make no provision to pay Plaintiff for the overtime wages and, likewise, Defendant would make no attempt to even record those hours that Plaintiff worked over twenty three hours in a given week throughout the entirety of Plaintiff's employment. For example, in an week in which Plaintiff worked thirty-five hours a week, Plaintiff should have been paid at least \$281.75 for the hours that she worked that given week. However, Defendant always paid less than Plaintiff less than the minimum wage as defined by law when the totality of all the hours worked by Plaintiff are properly calculated. Whereas Defendant would only record Plaintiff's work from 4pm to 7pm five days a week and from 8am to 4pm on Saturdays, Plaintiff would routinely work from 2pm to 7pm or later, five days a week with the full

knowledge of Defendant, who allowed Plaintiff to suffer and toil for the express benefit of Defendant without lawful pay.

Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to keep 9. accurate time records pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) of Plaintiff(s), and others similarly situated to them, true hours of work. Yet, Defendant did not turn over any documentation that may have assisted Plaintiff(s) in providing a more specific demand. The extent to which other similarly situated workers may be owed wages under the FLSA has yet to be determined. To the extent that relevant documents exist, such are believed to be in the exclusive possession of Defendant; however Defendant has not produced any documentation relating to this claim. At no point has Defendant ever suggested, informed or advised Plaintiff that Plaintiff was exempt or that Plaintiff did not work the time that Plaintiff has claimed to have worked, but not been paid for, and Defendant has never denied owing Plaintiff wages as sought by Plaintiff.

RECOVERY OF MINUMUM WAGE COMPENSATION

10. Plaintiff(s) reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-9, above.

11. Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, are/were entitled to be paid their regular rate of

pay for each hour worked per work week. Likewise, Plaintiff(s) and those similarly situated to them are/were entitled to be paid the lawful rate of pay for each hour worked during each week of their employment. During their employment with Defendants, Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, regularly worked hours for each week and were not paid even minimum wages for said time. Plaintiff was not a managerial employee, but was a laborer for Defendant. Plaintiff did not exercise discretion in the performance of her job duties for Defendant. Plaintiff routinely performed a variety of low level, blue collar tasks for Defendant, including cleaning rooms, doing laundry, answering phones, taking room payments, using computers, using Defendant's internet access, sending emails related to the other company employees or agents such as ordering clerks, and the processing of guest payments.

12. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to them, their correct rate of pay for each hour worked work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to them, have suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

13. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA and Article X, Section 24 of the Florida Constitution, Plaintiff(s), and those similarly situated to them, are entitled to payment of the unpaid wages under Florida law, as well as minimum wages liquidated damages under the FLSA.

14. Plaintiff(s) demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s), and all other similarly situated employees, demand judgment against Defendant, for the payment of all hours at the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by them for which Defendant did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

I HEREBY AFFIRM THAT THE FACTUAL STATEMENTS MADE ABOVE ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY.

Untotallin

Krystal Mims

DATED this 24h day of February 2017.

Esq. Jøh Gadd,

Fl Bar/Number 463061 Bank of America Building

Case 8:17-cv-00352-JDW-TGW Document 1 Filed 02/13/17 Page 8 of 8 PageID 8

2727 Ulmerton Rd. Ste.

Clearwater, FL 33762 Tel - (727)524-6300 Email - wjg@mazgadd.com

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
KRYSTAL MIMS, on her own behalf and others similarly situated,				RANDOLPH HOTEL, INC.,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Pinellas (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Pinellas (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF					
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE T	HE LOCATION (OF	
W. Shattenetton Road, Suite 250				Attorneys (If Known) UNKNOWN			23		
Clearwater, FL 33762						0			
				TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box fo		laintifj
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			en of This State 🕉	[F DEF [] [] [] []	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		PTF DE 34 Ø	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	of Business In a		350	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 0 3	Forcign Nation		060	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Bax Only)			FC	FORFEITURE/PENALTY			OTHER	STATUTES	
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJ 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injur 315 Airplane Product Product Liabi Liability 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Personal Injur 330 Federal Employers' Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injur		CT 65	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS, 2017 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 		430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Centract Product Liability 196 Franchise	 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	07 07 07	LABOR Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 30 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY Social Security Social Security		 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 		
REAL PROPERTY. 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		PRISONER PETITION Ilabeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty	<u>VS.</u> († 79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION	 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS- 	tAL TAX SUTTS es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) —Third Party USC 7609			
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		52 Naturalization Application 65 Other Inumigration Actions					
	moved from 🛛 3 ne Court	Appellate Court	Rco	(r District	6 Multidist Litigation			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 USC 201-216	tute under which you a	re filing ((specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tut es unless di	iversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of ca								_
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	DEMAND S		CHECK YES only		complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		h	DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE 02/09/2017		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNER	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	JDGE		
		U		,					

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Randolph Hotel Hit with Unpaid Overtime, Retaliation Lawsuit</u>