UNITED STATES DISTRICT C	OURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW	YORK

AVRAHAM MILLER on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

Case No.

-against-

KIRSCHENBAUM & PHILLIPS, PC

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

Plaintiff, Avraham Miller, brings this action against Kirschenbaum & Phillips, PC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq*. ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 2. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Farmingdale, New York.
- 4. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 5. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 6. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Avraham Miller

- 8. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 9. On or about March 29th, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 10. The March 29th, 2017 letter stated in part: "The amount reflected above is the amount you owe as of the date of this letter. This amount may vary from day to day, due to interest and other charges added to your account after the date of this letter. Hence if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment, in which even we will inform you before depositing the payment for collection."
- 11. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the amount of the debt, but to convey such clearly.
- 12. 15. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 13. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 14. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 15. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.

- 16. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonable susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 17. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes "interest."
- 18. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes "other charges."
- 19. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to advise Plaintiff what portion of the amount listed is principal.
- 20. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff what "other charges" might apply.
- 21. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "other charges" are applied, when such "other charges" will be applied.
- 22. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "other charges" are applied, what the amount of those "other charges" will be.
- 23. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff of the nature of the "other charges.
- 24. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," what the amount of the accrued interest will be.
- 25. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," when such interest will be applied.
- 26. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," what the interest rate is.
- 27. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per day.
- 28. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per week.

- 29. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per month.
- 30. The March 29th, 2017 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per any measurable period.
- 31. The March 29th, 2017 letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 32. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the amount listed was accurate only on the date of the March 29th, 2017 letter.
- 33. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.
- 34. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate what the amount of the accrued interest will be.
- 35. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate when such interest will be applied.
- 36. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the amount of money the amount listed will increase at any measurable period.
- 37. If "other charges" are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the nature of the "other charges."

¹ Carlin v. Davidson Fink LLP, 852 F.3d 207 (2d Cir. 2017), Balke v. All. One Receivables Mgmt., No. 16-cv-5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94021, at *14 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017) ("[T]he Collection Letter in this case refers with vagueness to "accrued interest or other charges," without providing any information regarding the rate of interest; the nature of the "other charges"; how any such charges would be calculated; and what portion of the balance due, if any, reflects already-accrued interest and other charges. By failing to provide even the most basic level of specificity in this regard, the Court "cannot say whether those amounts are properly part of the amount of the debt," for purposes of section 1692g.Carlin, 852 F.3d at 216. Further, as set forth in Carlin, without any clarifying details, the Collection Letter states only that these unspecified assessments may be added to the balance due, which the Court finds to be insufficient to "accurately inform[] the [Plaintiff] that the

- 38. The Defendant's failures are purposeful.
- 39. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer what "other charges" might apply.
- 40. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer when such "other charges" will be applied.
- 41. Defendant failed to clearly and unambiguously state the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 42. Defendant's conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 43. The March 29th, 2017 letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must is inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 44. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e.
- 45. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 46. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 47. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 48. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.

amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time.")

- 49. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 50. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 52. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 53. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 54. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 55. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Kirschenbaum & Phillips, PC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.

- 56. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Kirschenbaum & Phillips, PC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 57. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 58. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 59. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 60. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as

the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices

Act.

- (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.

- 61. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 62. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 63. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 64. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 65. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through sixty-four (64) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 66. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 67. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about March 29th, 2017; and (a) the collection letter

was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter

was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the

letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e for the use of any false representation or

deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, for misrepresenting the amount

of the debt owed by Plaintiff.

Violations of The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

68. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

69. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and

the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this

Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

(a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

(b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and

(c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York July 30th, 2017

/s/ Igor Litvak

Igor Litvak, Esq.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC

1701 Avenue P

Brooklyn, New York 11229

Office: (718) 989-2908

Facsimile: (718) 989-2908

E-mail: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Igor Litvak

Igor Litvak, Esq.

-10-

Filed 07/30/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 11 Case 1:17-cv-04475 Document 1-1

Attorneys at Law 40 Daniel Street - Suite 7 P.O. Box 9000

Farmingdale, NY 11735-9000

Tel: (516) 746-1144 Fax: (516) 742-2735 Toll Free: (866) 746-1144

March 29, 2017

AVRAHAM MILLER

Re:

Our File Number:

Judgment Caption: DISCOVER BANK

VS.

Avraham Miller

Account Number: ********2146

Creditor: DISCOVER BANK Current Balance:\$5,683.04

Dear AVRAHAM MILLER:

The amount reflected above is the amount you owe as of the date of this letter. This amount may vary from day to day, due to interest or other charges added to your account after the date of this letter. Hence if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your payment, in which event we will inform you before depositing the payment for collection. For an exact amount owed or for further information, please contact our office at (866) 746-1144 or (516) 780-7388. You may also contact our office to setup a repayment plan on the full balance.

We are authorized to extend to you the opportunity to settle this debt by paying 50% of the balance \$2,841.52 in six (6) monthly payments of \$473.59 each. First payment of \$473.59 due on or before April 26, 2017 followed by five (5) consecutive payments of \$473.59 due on the same day each successive month. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Please forward the payment payable to KIRSCHENBAUM & PHILLIPS, P.C., to P.O. Box 9000, Farmingdale, NY 11735-9000. Please be sure to include our file number DC13713 on the payment to ensure prompt crediting.

Settling a debt for less than the balance owed may have tax consequences and Discover may file a 1099C form. We cannot provide you with tax advice. If you have any questions Discover encourages you to consult a tax adviser of your choosing.

Very truly yours,

A-TAX2

KIRSCHENBAUM & PHILLIPS, P.C.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

	FED STATES DISTRICT COURT FERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	AHAM MILLER on behalf of hims all other similarly situated consumer	
	Plaintiff,	
	-against-	Case No.
KIRS	SCHENBAUM & PHILLIPS, PC	
	Defendant	
	SUMMO	IS IN A CIVIL ACTION
TO:	KIRSCHENBAUM & PHILLIPS 40 DANIEL STREET, SUITE 7 FARMINGDALE, NY 11732-90	
and s	YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMO erve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORN	NED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court EY:
	IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ. THE LITVAK LAW FIRM, PLL 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 112	
sumn	<u> </u>	with served upon you, with 21 days after service of this of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default wil ded in the complaint.
CLE	RK	DATE
ВУГ	DEPUTY CLERK	•

Case 1:17-cv-04475 Document 2-3 VFiled 07/30/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

purpose of initiating the civil d I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (BEE hystroc.	HONS ON NEAT THEE OF T	DEFENDANTS		
AVRAHAM MILLER			KIRSCHENBAUM	& PHILLIPS, PC	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff NASSAU COUNTY (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CASES, USE TO LAND INVOLVED.	· ·
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ. 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YOR	THÉ LITVAK PHONE / FA	LAW FIRM, PLLC X: (718) 989-2908	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig
□ 1 U.S. Government		Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				_	
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 ate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. SECT Brief description of ca UNLAWFUL ANI	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi ION 1692 FAIR DEI tuse: D DECEITFUL DEBT	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transf Reopened 5 Transf Anothe (specify illing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta BT COLLECTION PRAC COLLECTION BUSINES DEMAND \$	er District Litigation Transfer (utes unless diversity): FICES ACT (FDCPA) S PRACTICES	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAIND \$	JURY DEMAND:	• • •
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 07/30/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ.			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A1	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Print Save As...

Reset

Case 1:17-cv-04475 Document 1-3 Filed 07/30/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 14

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I. N/A		. counsel for	do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is		
ineligi	ble for o	compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):	do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is		
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,0	00, exclusive of interest and costs,		
	☐ the complaint seeks injunctive relief,				
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following	g reason		
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERA	AL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
N/A		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held	corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:		
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section 2)	ion VIII on the Front of this Form)		
provide because same ju case: (A	s that "A of the cases dge and not involve."	A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this ges arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case slees identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule	ale 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or g of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the hall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the		
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSIN	NESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No				
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No				
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes				
Suffolk	County olk Cour	y, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majunty?	ty of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau		
	(1	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the	County in which it has the most significant contacts).		
		BAR ADMI	<u>SSION</u>		
I am cu	irrently a	admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently Yes	y a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No		
Are yo	u current	ntly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any Yes (If yes, please explain)	other state or federal court? No		
I certify	y the acc	ecuracy of all information provided above.			

Signature: /S/ IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: NY Consumer Sues Kirschenbaum and Phillips Over 'Illegal' Collection Letter