IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND

DENISE MILLER
DONALD MILLER
9504 PRYDE DRIVE
CLINTON, MD 20735
(Prince George's County, Maryland)

on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

v.

C & F FINANCE COMPANY 4660 SOUTH LABURNUM AVENUE RICHMOND, VA 23231

> Serve on: The Corporation Trust, Inc. 2405 York Road, Suite 201 Timonium, MD 21093

> > Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Clork of the Chrouit Court

Case No. <u>CAL18-13432</u>

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs Denise and Donald Miller ("Named Plaintiffs" or "Miller"), through their attorney Cory L. Zajdel, Esq. and Z LAW, LLC, hereby submits this Class Action Complaint against Defendant C & F Finance Company ("C & F Finance") and for support states as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Miller institutes this case against C & F FINANCE on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated for violating statutory and contractual obligations and seeks to recover statutory damages, liquidated damages, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest against C & F FINANCE for multiple violations of *Maryland's Credit Grantor Closed End Credit Provisions*, MD. CODE ANN., COMM. LAW §§ 12-1001 et seq. ("CLEC").

- 2. C & F FINANCE extends or is assigned secured financing for personal property to more than fifty (50) borrowers in Maryland each year.
- 3. C & F FINANCE extends or is assigned secured financing to more than fifty (50) borrowers in Maryland through credit contracts electing CLEC as the governing law each year.
- 4. C & F FINANCE charges a fee to its CLEC customers for collecting a payment by phone through a live representative or through an automated system and through the internet ("convenience fee").
- 5. C & F FINANCE charged and collected convenience fees to more than fifty (50) CLEC customers.
- 6. By charging and collecting its CLEC customers convenience fees, C & F FINANCE deprived its CLEC customers of valuable rights mandated by Maryland law.
- 7. C & F FINANCE violated Maryland law by charging and collecting convenience fees to its CLEC customers.
- 8. C & F FINANCE's repeated charges for convenience fees makes this case particularly suitable for resolution through a class action lawsuit.

II. JURISDICTION

- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this case under MD. CODE ANN., CTS. & JUD. PROC. § 1-501.
- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant C & F FINANCE pursuant to MD. CODE ANN., CTS. & JUD. PROC. § 6-103(1)-(3), as C & F FINANCE systematically and continually transacts business in Maryland, the case arises out of a transaction that took place within Maryland, C & F FINANCE contracts to supply goods or services in Maryland, repossesses vehicles in Maryland and files lawsuits in Maryland's State Court System.

III. PARTIES

- 11. Named Plaintiffs are natural persons currently residing at 9504 Pryde Drive, Clinton, MD 20735 (Prince George's County, Maryland).
- 12. Defendant C & F FINANCE is a Virginia Corporation doing business within this state and with its principle place of business located at 4660 South Laburnum Avenue, Richmond, VA 23231.

IV. <u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 13. On or about August 31, 2013, Named Plaintiffs purchased a 2008 Ford Edge from Waldorf Ford, Inc.
- 14. Named Plaintiffs obtained financing for the purchase of the 2008 Ford Edge through the dealership that sold the vehicle, Waldorf Ford, Inc., which was memorialized in a Retail Installment Sale Contract ("RISC").
- 15. The RISC affirmatively elects to be governed under Subtitle 10 of Title 12 of the Commercial Law Article (i.e. CLEC).
- 16. Named Plaintiffs purchased the 2008 Ford Edge primarily for personal, family and household purposes.
- 17. The RISC by which Named Plaintiffs financed the purchase was assigned to C & F FINANCE.
 - 18. The total amount financed in the RISC was \$22,940.88.
- 19. The total amount of finance charges scheduled to be paid over the lifetime of the RISC was \$12,281.37.
 - 20. C & F FINANCE accepted the assignment of the credit contract.

- 21. Throughout the life of the CLEC credit account, Named Plaintiffs made numerous payments to C & F FINANCE.
- 22. C & F FINANCE received payments on Named Plaintiffs' account totaling less than the amount financed.
 - 23. C & F FINANCE charged Named Plaintiff one or more convenience fees.
 - 24. C & F FINANCE collected one or more convenience fees from Named Plaintiffs.
- 25. C & F FINANCE filed a lawsuit against Named Plaintiffs in the District Court of Maryland for Prince George's County attempting to collect any amounts allegedly due and owing on Named Plaintiffs' CLEC credit account.
 - 26. Named Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Intention to Defend and hired counsel.
- 27. C & F FINANCE submitted documentary evidence and a sworn affidavit as evidence of its entitlement to the alleged outstanding deficiency balance on Named Plaintiffs' CLEC credit account.
 - 28. C & F Finance propounded discovery on Named Plaintiffs.
 - 29. Named Plaintiffs provided responses to C & F Finance's discovery.
- 30. A contested trial was scheduled for April 13, 2018 in the District Court of Maryland for Prince George's County.
 - 31. C & F Finance dismissed the case with prejudice on January 16, 2018.
- 32. The dismissal with prejudice was entered on the docket by the clerk of the court on January 16, 2018.

V. <u>CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS</u>

33. Named Plaintiffs brings this action on behalf of a Class which consists of:

All persons who entered into a credit contract governed by CLEC that paid a convenience fee to C & F FINANCE where C & F FINANCE collected less than the principal amount of the credit contract.

Excluded from the Classes are those individuals: (a) who now are or have ever been executives of the Defendant and the spouses, parents, siblings and children of all such individuals; (b) whose credit accounts were discharged in a bankruptcy; (c) whose credit account resulted in a judgment in favor of C & F FINANCE prior to the date of the filing of this action; and (d) those individuals whose credit account was satisfied more than six (6) months prior to the date of the filing of this action.

- 34. The Class, as defined above, is identifiable. The Named Plaintiffs are members of the Class.
- 35. The Class consist, at a minimum, of fifty (50) total borrowers and is thus so numerous that joinder of all members is clearly impracticable.
- 36. There are questions of law and fact which are not only common to the Class but which predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.
 - 37. The common and predominating questions include, but are not limited to:
 - (a) Whether C & F FINANCE charged convenience fees to its CLEC customers;
 - (b) Whether it is a violation of CLEC to charge a convenience fee in connection with a CLEC loan account;
 - (c) Whether C & F FINANCE collected convenience fees from its CLEC customers; and

- (d) Whether it is a violation of CLEC to collect a convenience fee in connection with a CLEC loan account.
- 38. Claims of Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the respective members of the Class and are based on and arise out of similar facts constituting the wrongful conduct of C & F FINANCE.
 - 39. Named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
 - 40. Named Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter.
- 41. Further, Named Plaintiffs have secured counsel experienced in handling consumer class actions and complex consumer litigation.
- 42. Neither Named Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this claim.
- 43. Common questions of law and fact enumerated above predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the Class. MD. RULE 2-231(b)(3).
- 44. A class action is the superior method for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Mp. Rule 2-231(b)(3).
- 45. The likelihood that individual members of the Class will prosecute separate actions is remote due to the time and expense necessary to conduct such litigation.
- 46. The likelihood that individual members of the Class will prosecute separate actions is remote also because each individual claim involves a small amount.
- 47. Counsel for Named Plaintiffs and the Class is experienced in class actions and foresees little difficulty in the management of this case as a class action.

VI. <u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

COUNT ONE (MARYLAND CREDIT GRANTOR CLOSED END CREDIT PROVISIONS)

- 48. Named Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth herein, and further alleges:
- 49. CLEC restricts credit grantors from charging or collecting any fees, charges or interest not specifically enumerated in CLEC.
- 50. In violation of CLEC, C & F FINANCE charged Named Plaintiffs and the Class convenience fees.
- 51. In violation of CLEC, C & F FINANCE collected convenience fees from Named Plaintiffs and the Class.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs respectfully prays that this Court:

- A. assume jurisdiction of this case;
- B. enter an order certifying the Class under MD. RULE 2-231(b)(3);
- C. enter an order that C & F FINANCE pay to Named Plaintiffs and the Class the statutory penalties imposed by CLEC § 12-1018(a)(2);¹
- enter an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all sums awarded to
 Named Plaintiffs and the Class; and
- E. award such other relief as the court deems appropriate.

¹ Pursuant to MD. RULE 2-305, Named Plaintiffs state that their claims total less than \$75,000.00.

Respectfully submitted,

Z LAW, LLC

Dated: April 20, 2018

By:

2345 York Road Suite #B-13 Timonium, Maryland 21093

(443) 213-1977 clz@zlawmaryland.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

JURY TRIAL

Named Plaintiffs demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR Prince George's County

CIVIL - NON-DOMESTIC CASE INFORMATION REPORT									
DIRECTIONS Plaintiff: This Information Report must be completed and attached to the complaint filed with the Clerk of Court unless your case is exempted from the requirement by the Chief Judge of the Court of									
Appeals pursuant to Rule	2-111(a).		<u> </u>						
Defendant: You must file an Information Report as required by Rule 2-323(h).									
THIS INFORMATION REPORT CANNOT BE ACCEPTED AS A PLEADING									
FORM FILED BY: ØPL	AINTIFF DEFENDA		(Clerk to insert)						
CASE NAME: Denise N	Ailler, et al.	vs. C&F Finance	Company						
1	Plaintiff		Detendant						
PARTY'S NAME: Denis	3 Miller and Donald Miller	PHO	NE:						
PARTY'S ADDRESS: 95	304 Pryde Drive, Clinton, IV	10 20733							
PARTY'S E-MAIL:									
If represented by an atte PARTY'S ATTORNEY'S	NIAME: Cory L. Zajdel	РНО	NE: 443-213-1977						
DADTVIC ATTORNIEVIC	ADDRESS Z Law, LLC,	, 2345 York Road, #B-13, T	imonium, MD 21093						
PARTY'S ATTORNEY'S	E-MAIL: CLZ@ZLAWI	MARYLAND.COM							
JURY DEMAND? WY	s 🗆 No		일 골 밝						
		s, Case #(s), if known:							
ANTICIPATED LENGT		ours <u>5</u> days	그 길 때						
	PLEADI	NG TYPE							
New Case: Origina	I 🔲 Administrati	ive Appeal 🗖 Appeal	- Ourt						
Existing Case: Post-Ju	dgment DAmendment		"						
		egory section - go to Relief sec	tion.						
IF NEW CA	SE: CASE CATEGORY	//SUBCATEGORY (Checi	<u> </u>						
TORTS Asbestos Assault and Battery	Government Insurance	PUBLIC LAW Attorney Grievance	Constructive Trust Contempt						
Assault and Battery Business and Commercial	Product Liability	☐Bond Forfeiture Remission	Deposition Notice						
Conspiracy	I MOI DIVI I	Civil Rights	Dist Ct Mtn Appeal						
Conversion	☐ Adverse Possession ☐ Breach of Lease	County/Mncpl Code/Ord Election Law	Grand Jury/Petit Jury						
Defamation	□ Dotima	Eminent Domain/Condemn	. Miscellaneous						
☐ False Arrest/Imprisonmen ☐ Fraud	Distress/Distrain Ejectment	Environment	Perpetuate Testimony/Evidence						
Lead Paint - DOB of	☐ Forcible Entry/Detainer	☐ Error Coram Nobis ☐ Habeas Corpus	Prod. of Documents Req.						
Youngest Plt:	☐ Foreclosure☐ Commercial	Mandamus	☐ Sentence Transfer						
☐ Loss of Consortium ☐ Malicious Prosecution	Commercial Residential	Prisoner Rights	Set Aside Deed Special Adm Atty						
Malpractice-Medical	Currency or Vehicle	Public Info. Act Records	□ Subpoena Issue/Quash						
Malpractice-Professional	Deed of Trust	☐ Quarantine/Isolation ☐ Writ of Certiorari	☐ Trust Established						
Misrepresentation Motor Tort	☐ Land Installments ☐ Lien		☐ Trustee Substitution/Removal ☐ Witness Appearance-Compel						
☐ Motor Tort ☐ Negligence ☐ Nuisance	☐ Mortgage	EMPLOYMENT	PEACE ORDER						
Nuisance	Right of Redemption Statement Condo	☐ ADA ☐ Conspiracy	☐ Peace Order						
Premises Liability Product Liability	Forfeiture of Property /	□ EEO/HR	EQUITY						
Specific Performance	_ Personal Item	□FLSA	☐ Declaratory Judgment ☐ Equitable Relief						
Specific Performance Toxic Tort Trespass	☐ Fraudulent Conveyance ☐ Landlord-Tenant	OFMLA	☐ Injunctive Relief						
Wrongful Death	Lis Pendens	☐ Workers' Compensation ☐ Wrongful Termination	Mandamus						
CONTRACT	☐ Mechanic's Lien	INDEPENDENT	<u>O</u> THER						
☐ Asbestos	Ownership Partition/Sale in Lieu	PROCEEDINGS	☐ Accounting ☐ Friendly Suit						
Breach Business and Commercial Confessed Judgment	Ouiet Title	☐ Assumption of Jurisdiction	Grantor in Possession						
	Rent Escrow Return of Seized Property	"□ Authorized Sale	Maryland Insurance Administration						
(Cont'd) Construction	☐ Right of Redemption	Attorney Appointment	Miscellaneous						
J Debt	☐ Tenant Holding Over	☐ Body Attachment Issuance ☐ Commission Issuance	Specific Transaction Structured Settlements						
3 Fraud		— Commission assumed	- AMMANMA DANIMINATIO						

IF NEW OR EXISTING CASE: RELIEF (Check All that Apply)								
☐ Abatement ☐ Administrative Action ☐ Appointment of Receiver ☐ Arbitration ☐ Asset Determination ☐ Attachment b/f Judgment ☐ Cease & Desist Order ☐ Condemn Bldg ☐ Contempt ☐ Court Costs/Fees ☐ Damages-Compensatory ☐ Damages-Punitive	☐ Earnings Withholding ☐ Enrollment ☐ Expungement ☐ Findings of Fact ☐ Foreclosure ☐ Injunction ☐ Judgment-Affidavit ☐ Judgment-Confessed ☐ Judgment-Consent ☐ Judgment-Declaratory ☐ Judgment-Default	Judgment-Interest Judgment-Summary Liability Oral Examination Order Ownership of Property Partition of Property Peace Order Possession Production of Records Quarantine/Isolation Or	Return of Property Sale of Property Secific Performance Writ-Error Coram Nobi Writ-Execution Writ-Garnish Property Writ-Garnish Wages Writ-Habeas Corpus Writ-Mandamus Writ-Possession der					
If you indicated Liability above, mark one of the following. This information is <u>not</u> an admission and may not be used for any purpose other than Track Assignment.								
□Liability is conceded. □Liab	ility is not conceded, but is n	ot seriously in dispute. ALiab	ility is seriously in dispute.					
MONETARY DAM	AGES (Do not include A	ttorney's Fees, Interest, o	r Court Costs)					
☐ Under \$10,000 ☐\$	10,000 - \$30,000	\$30,000 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000					
☐ Medical Bills \$	D Wage Loss \$	Property I	Damages \$					
ALTER	NATIVE DISPUTE RES	OLUTION INFORMATI	ON					
Is this case appropriate for r A. Mediation	eferral to an ADR process ØNo ØNo	under Md. Rule 17-101? (C. Settlement Confere D. Neutral Evaluation	nce DYes ZNo					
SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS								
☐ If a Spoken Language Into	erpreter is needed, check h	ere and attach form CC-I	OC-041					
☐ If you require an accommodation for a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, check here and attach form CC-DC-049								
	ESTIMATED LENG							
With the exception of Baltim TRIAL.			imated LENGTH OF					
·	(Case will be tracked	_						
	f trial or less	☐ 3 days of trial time						
☐ 1 day of t		More than 3 days of tria	ıl time					
☐ 2 days of	trial time		Í					
BUSINESS AN	ND TECHNOLOGY CAS	SE MANAGEMENT PRO	GRAM					
For all jurisdictions, if Business and Technology track designation under Md. Rule 16-308 is requested, attach a duplicate copy of complaint and check one of the tracks below.								
	al within 7 months of ant's response	☐ Standard - Trial within Defendant's re-						
	EMERGENCY RELIEF REQUESTED							

COMPLEX SCIENCE AND/OR TECHNOLOGICAL CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (ASTAR)							
FOR PURPOSES OF POSSIBLE SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT TO ASTAR RESOURCES JUDGES under Md. Rule 16-302, attach a duplicate copy of complaint and check whether assignment to an ASTAR is requested.							
Defendant's response Defendant's response Defendant's response							
IF YOU ARE FILING YOUR COMPLAINT IN BALTIMORE CITY, OR BALTIMORE COUNTY, PLEASE FILL OUT THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW.							
	CIRCUIT (COURT	FOR BAL	TIMOR	E CI	TY (CHECK ONLY ONE)
	Expedited	1	rial 60 to 12	0 days fr	om no	tice. N	von-jury matters.
	Civil-Short	7	Trial 210 days from first answer.				
	Civil-Standard	1	Trial 360 days from first answer.				
X	Custom	S	cheduling or	der enter	ed by	indivi	dual judge.
	Asbestos	S	Special scheduling order.				
	Lead Paint	Fill in: Birth Date of youngest plaintiff			ntiff		
	Tax Sale Foreclosu	reclosures Special scheduling order.					
	Mortgage Foreclos	ures N	lo scheduling	g order.			
CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY							
O _{(T}	Expedited rial Date-90 days)	Attachment Before Judgment, Declaratory Judgment (Simple), Administrative Appeals, District Court Appeals and Jury Trial Prayers, Guardianship, Injunction, Mandamus.					
CTr	Standard ial Date-240 days)	Condemnation, Confessed Judgments (Vacated), Contract, Employment Related Cases, Fraud and Misrepresentation, International Tort, Motor Tort, Other Personal Injury, Workers' Compensation Cases.					
_	Asbestos, Lender Liability, Professional Malpractice, Serious Motor Tort or Personal Injury Cases (medical expenses and wage loss of \$100,000, expert and out-of-state witnesses (parties), and trial of five or more days), State Insolvency.						
(Tr	Complex ial Date-450 days)		Actions, Design				Sajor Construction Contracts, Major s.
	April 20,	2018				<i>\</i>	3
Z	Date Law, LLC, 2345 Yo Addres		I, #B-13				Signature of Comsel / Party Cory L Zajdel
imor		MD	21093				Printed Name
	City	State	Zip Code	•			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>C & F Finance Company Facing Lawsuit Over Alleged Collection of Convenience Fees</u>