FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS -5 PH 12: 29 FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

NERLINE MICHEL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC., DBA SHERATON'S VISTANA RESORT, a Florida for Profit Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: 6:17-CV-2085-ORL-ZZ DCI

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, NERLINE MICHEL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned attorney, sues the Defendant, VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC. DBA SHERATON'S VISTANA RESORT, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action by the Plaintiff against his former employer for overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the "FLSA"), and any other relief available.
- 2. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

PARTIES

- 3. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, she served as a "housekeeper" and performed related activities at Defendant's place of business located at 8800 Vistana Drive, Orlando, Florida 32821.
- 4. Defendant is a Florida Corporation which operates and conducts business in the Orlando (Central Florida), Orange County, Florida, and is therefore within the jurisdiction of this Court.

JURISDICTION

5. This action arises under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §210, et. seq. The Court has jurisdiction over the FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VENUE

6. The venue of this Court over this controversy is proper based upon the claim arising in Orlando (Central Florida), Orange County, Florida.

FACTS

- 7. Defendant employed Plaintiff at their business within the relevant time period (2016-2017).
- 8. Plaintiff worked for Defendant without being paid the proper overtime pay, premium rate of time and one-half her regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.

¹ All references to material times relevant to this action shall mean to encompass from 2014 through 2017.

- 9. Specifically, Defendant paid a regular rate of pay for regular hours worked as well as for overtime hours worked and would classify this "straight overtime pay" as "Non Working" pay on its paystubs.
- 10. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other housekeepers a "non working" pay for overtime hours worked.
- 11. If Plaintiff worked more than forty (40) hours in a week, Defendant only paid "straight pay".
- 12. If Plaintiff and other housekeepers worked overtime, Defendant would pay them their regular rate of pay.
- 13. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other housekeepers in this manner to purposefully avoid paying the premium overtime rate of pay.
 - 14. Defendant controlled and/or was responsible for the work of Plaintiff.
- 15. Plaintiff worked as a "housekeeper" for Defendant from February 16, 2016 through July 1, 2017, and performed related activities in Orlando (Central Florida), Orange County, Florida.
 - 16. In this capacity, Plaintiff was responsible for cleaning hotel rooms.
 - 17. Plaintiff regular rate of pay was \$10.11 per hour.
- 18. Plaintiff was not paid proper overtime wages for all hours worked on a weekly basis throughout her period of employment.
- 19. Despite working more than forty (40) hours per week, Plaintiff was not paid all compensation for hours worked over forty (40) hours within a work week during several weeks of employment.

- 20. Defendant was aware of the overtime hours worked.
- 21. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendant.

COVERAGE

- 22. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203 (s).
- 23. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant made gross earnings of at least \$500,000 annually.
- 24. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant accepted payments from customers based on credit cards issued by out-of-state banks, nationwide.
- 25. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant routinely ordered materials, merchandise, products, and supplies from out-of-state vendors and/or entities (i.e., towels, bedroom sheets, curtains, furniture, televisions, cleaning products and supplies, etc.).
- 26. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant had two (2) or more employees engaged in commerce, handling or otherwise working on materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce (i.e., towels, bedroom sheets, curtains, furniture, televisions, cleaning products and supplies, etc.).
- 27. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was individually engaged in commerce during her employment with Defendant, by working with a wide array of cleaning products, supplies and goods.

COLLECTIVE/CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 28. Plaintiff and the class members performed the same or similar job duties as one another for Defendant in that they provided laboring and cleaning services.
- 29. Further, Plaintiff and the class members were subjected to the same pay provisions in that they were not compensated at time and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- 30. Thus, the class members are owed overtime compensation for the same reasons as Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendant's failure to compensate employees for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek as required by the FLSA results from a policy or practice of failure to assure that housekeepers were paid for all overtime hours worked based on the Defendant's failure to credit the housekeepers with all hours worked and paying a regular rate of pay for overtime work performed.
 - 32. This policy or practice was applicable to Plaintiff and the class members.
- 33. Application of this policy or practice does not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit, rather the same policies or practices which resulted in the non-payment of overtime to Plaintiff also apply to all class members.
 - 34. Accordingly, the class members are properly defined as:

All hourly paid employees whom worked for Defendant, VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC. DBA SHERATON'S VISTANA RESORT, within the state of Florida within the last three (3) years and whom were not compensated at time and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

- 35. The precise size and identity of the class should be ascertainable from the business records, tax records, and/or employee or personnel records of Defendant.
- 36. The exact number of members of each class can be determined by reviewing Defendant's records. Plaintiff, under information and belief, is informed there are numerous of eligible individuals in the defined class.
- 37. Defendant failed to keep accurate time and pay records for Plaintiff and all class members pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) and 29 C.F.R. Part 516.
- 38. Defendant was aware of the requirements of the FLSA, yet it acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiff and the class members in accordance with the law.
- 39. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned law firm to represent her in this matter and is obligated to pay them reasonable attorneys' fees and costs if they prevail.
- 40. The claims under the FLSA may be pursued by others who opt-in to this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 41. A collective action suit, such as the underlying, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit. The damages suffered by individual members of the class may be relatively small when compared to the expense and burden of litigation, making it virtually impossible for members of the class to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION AGAINST VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC.

- 42. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within paragraphs 1 through 41 above.
- 43. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week.
- 44. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC., Plaintiff worked overtime hours but was not paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay for the same during several weeks.
- 45. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 46. Defendant neither maintained nor kept accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 47. Also, Defendant failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by law.
- 48. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, NERLINE MICHEL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated demands judgment against Defendant, VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC. DBA SHERATON'S VISTANA RESORT, for the payment of all unpaid wages, overtime hours at time and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by them for which Defendant did not properly compensate them, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Dated this ____ day of December, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carlos V. Leach

Carlos V. Leach, Esq. Fla. Bar No.: 540021 The Leach Firm, P.A. 1950 Lee Road, Suite 213

Winter Park, Florida 32789

Direct: (321) 287-6021 Facsimile: (407) 960-4789

E-mail: cleach@theleachfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

SEF INSTRICTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	OF THIS FO	PRM.)	-		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS NERLINE MICHEL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated,				DEFENDANTS VISTANA MANAGEMENT, INC., DBA SHERATON'S VISTANA RESORT, a Florida for Profit Corporation,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				Courte of Paristance	- CEILLE I I.A. I D. C I.	Orongo	
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) The Leach Firm, P.A. 1950 Lee Road, Suite 213 Winter Park, Florida 32789				Attorneys (If Known)			
				(321) 287-6021	•		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PART	TIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		l	(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	en of This State		d or Principal Place	
	·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				ess In This State	
D 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Cisi-	en of Another State	2 2 2 1	t unitable	
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item [11]	Ciuz	en of Another State	2	d and Principal Place	
				en or Subject of a	3	tion 🗍 6 🗍 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[/Dlass on "V" /- O D O	-L.1	<u>ro</u>	reign Country	<u> </u>		
CONTRACT		orts	l Re	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Scizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 15		
120 Marine	O 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	375 Paise Claims Act	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability		0 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/				☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHT: 820 Copyrights	S 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			830 Patent	450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	a		840 Trademark	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine	Injury Product				☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI	RTV X7	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	O 371 Truth in Lending	O 72	0 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (40:		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	10.74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	0 79	1 Family and Medical	L 803 K31 (403(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act		 893 Environmental Matters 	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		O Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement	TONODAL MAY CUE	395 Freedom of Information	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	148 10	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUE 870 Taxes (U.S. Plainti		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		***************************************	or Defendant)	899 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	e		1 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General	ŀ		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	/ 3	IMMIGRATION	1	State Statutes	
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth550 Civil Rights	ier	5 Other Immigration Actions			
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions			
		560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement	1				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Bou Out a	Constitution					
		Damandad Co.					
		Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Rein Reo			ltidistrict gation	
. <u></u>	Cite the U.S. Civil Str	itutę undęr which you a	re filing ((specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state "FLSA")			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca		O(D) (TRE	FLSA")	-		
	Action for overtime	ie compensation					
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	N D	EMAND S	CHECK YES	only if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.			JURY DEM	AND: Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)						
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK ET NITIKADET	D.	
DATE			TOPLIES	NE DECORP	DOCKET NUMBER	<u> </u>	
12/04/2017		SIGNATURE OF AT	IUKNEY (AL KECOKD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				-			
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MA	.G. JUDGE	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Housekeeper's Lawsuit Demands Vistana Resort Clean Up its Pay Practices