27

28

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC 1 Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203) 2 ak@kazlg.com Jason A. Ibey, Esq. (SBN: 284607) 3 jason@kazlg.com 4 245 Fischer Avenue, Suite D1 Costa Mesa. California 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 6 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523 7 [Additional Attorneys on Signature Page] 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 $Case\ No.:$ '17 CV2492 GPC KSC STEVE MEZA, Individually and on 12 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated. 13 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 14 PURSUANT TO THE TELEPHONE Plaintiff, 15 CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, v. 47 U.S.C. § 227, ET SEQ. 16 AMERICAN EXPRESS 17 COMPANY, **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 18 19 Defendant. 20 21 Introduction 22 1. 23 24 25

Steve Meza ("Mr. Meza," or "Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of American Express Company ("AMEX" or "Defendant"), in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff for advertising purposes, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

knowledge as to his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction because this case arises out of violation of federal law. 47 U.S.C. §227(b).
- 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against AMEX occurred within the State of California and the County of San Diego, within this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that AMEX is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation whose primary corporate address is in New York, New York.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that AMEX is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).
- 7. AMEX provides various consumer credit products and advertises those products through the use of telephone calls.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. At all times relevant, AMEX conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego, within this judicial district.
- 9. At no time did Plaintiff provide his current cellular telephone number to Defendant.

- 10. On or about, November 3, 2017, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on his respective cellular telephone ending in "4402".
- 11. At the time of the call, Plaintiff did not have a business relationship with Defendant.
- 12. AMEX called Mr. Meza's cellular telephone using an artificial or prerecorded voice message stating that it had an offer for all AMEX card holders, for marketing or solicitation purposes in an effort to obtain Plaintiff's business.
- 13. After a few seconds of hearing this robotic voice, Plaintiff became upset and frustrated that AMEX had somehow obtained Plaintiff's cellular telephone number and was now being contacted. Due to this frustration, Plaintiff decided to terminated the call.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the calls were placed via an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1), using an "artificial or prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 15. Upon information and belief, this telephone dialing equipment used by AMEX has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 16. Upon information and belief, this telephone dialing equipment also has the capacity to dial telephone numbers stored in a database or as a list without human intervention.
- 17. Through Defendant's aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff suffered an invasion of a legally protected interest in privacy, which is specifically addressed and protected by the TCPA.
- 18. Defendant's call forced Plaintiff and other similarly situated class members to live without the utility of their cellular telephones by occupying their cellular telephone with one or more unwanted calls, causing a nuisance and lost time.

- 19. The telephone number AMEX called was assigned to a paid cellular telephone service pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 20. The call to Plaintiff was not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 21. Defendant's call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number was unsolicited by Plaintiff and were placed without Plaintiff's prior express written consent or permission.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 22. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of and Class Members of the proposed Class pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) and/or (b)(2).
- 23. Plaintiff's propose to represent the following Class consisting of and defined as follows:

All persons within the United States who received any telephone call(s) from Defendant or its agent(s) and/or employee(s), not for an emergency purpose, on said person's cellular telephone, made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or artificial or prerecorded voice from four years prior to the date of the filing of this Complaint.

- 24. AMEX and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the several thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 25. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of AMEX in at least the following ways: AMEX, either directly or through its agents, illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones for marketing purposes by using artificial or prerecorded voice

- messages, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 26. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 27. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through AMEX's records or AMEX's agents' records.
- 28. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact affecting the represented party. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
 - i. Whether, from four years prior to the filing of this Complaint to present, AMEX or its agent(s) placed any marketing and artificial or prerecorded voice messages to the Class (other than a message made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express written consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;
 - ii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and

- iii. Whether AMEX and its agents should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 29. As a person that received at least one marketing call via an ATDS or an artificial or prerecorded voice message to their cell phones without Plaintiff's prior express written consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- 30. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the AMEX's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and AMEX will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 31. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 32. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce AMEX to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against AMEX is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.

33. AMEX has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 35. AMEX's call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without any prior express consent constitutes a negligent violation of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 36. As a result of AMEX's, and AMEX's agents', negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 37. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 *ET SEQ*.

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 39. Upon information and belief, AMEX made the unsolicited telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without a current business relationship for the economic benefit of AMEX.

- 40. AMEX's actions constitute a knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including, but not limited to, each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 41. As a result of AMEX's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiff and each of the Class members are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 42. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

43. Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to grant Plaintiff and the Class members the following relief against AMEX:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET Seq.

- As a result of AMEX's and AMEX's agents' negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

As a result of AMEX's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C.
 § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

violation,	pursuant	to	47	U.S.C.	§	227(b)(3)(B)	and	47	U.S.C.	§
227(b)(3)((C).									

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

TRIAL BY JURY

44. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Date: December 12, 2017 Kazerouni Law Group, APC

> By: /s Abbas Kazerounian ak@kazlg.com Abbas Kazerounian Attorneys for Plaintiff

Additional Plaintiff's Counsel:

HYDE & SWIGART

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101

San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

9 OF 9

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS STEVE MEZA, Individua On Behalf of All Others	lly and			DEFENDANTS AMEDICAN EXPRESS COMPANY						
On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego, California				AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY						
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	San Diego, California uses)	<u> </u>	County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO	New York, New York ONLY) THE LOCATION OF					
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name. KAZEROUNI LAW GRO Costa Mesa, California 9	UP, APC, 245 Fischer	Avenue, Suite D1		Attorneys (If Known)	<u> 17</u>	'CV2492 GPC KSC				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	Ine Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State	FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or P of Business In					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 of Business In Another State						
IV. NATURE OF CUIT				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	aly)	Sakali sira	MEDITORIA MENTALISMA	Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	′ 🗇 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))				
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	□ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability		o onici	28 USC 137 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and				
 □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 5355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 560 Other Personal Injury 52 Personal Injury - Medical Mahractice	PERSONAL PROPERT □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	0 71 0 72 0 74 0 75	0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	Corrupt Organizations Corrupt Organizations				
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 79 □ 46	O Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement Income Security Act Actions National Security Act National Secur	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes				
	noved from 3 3	Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened Another (specify)	rred from					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	<u>ımer Protection Act,</u>	47 U.S	-	utes unless diversity):					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:				
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO		F RECORD	-					
12/12/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/ Abbas Kazero	ounian							
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE				

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

 PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>American Express Named in Robocall Class Action Lawsuit in California</u>