

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

**CIVIL NO. 1:21-cv-2142**

**MARY MERRITT and LAUREN JONES,  
On Behalf of Themselves and All Others  
Similarly Situated,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**V.**

**MAC ACQUISITION, LLC,**

**Defendant.**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

---

**PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COLLECTIVE ACTION  
COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

---

1. The case implicates Defendant Mac Acquisition, LLC’s (“Defendant”) violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act’s (“FLSA”) tip credit and subsequent underpayment of its employees at the federally mandated minimum wage rate. Plaintiff brings this case as a collective action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

2. Defendant pays its tipped employees, including servers and bartenders, below the minimum wage rate by taking advantage of the tip-credit provisions of the FLSA. Under the tip-credit provisions, an employer of tipped employees may, under certain circumstances, pay those employees less than the minimum wage rate by taking a “tip credit” against the employer’s minimum wage obligations from the tips received from customers.

3. However, there are strict requirements for an employer to utilize the “tip credit.” *See* 29 U.S.C. 203(m). An employer must advise an employee in advance of its use of the tip credit pursuant to the provisions of section 3(m) of the FLSA. *See id.* stating (the tip credit provision “shall not apply with respect to any tipped employee unless such employee has been informed by the employer of the provisions of this subsection.”). That is, the employer must inform the employee: (1) the amount of the cash wage that is to be paid to the tipped employee; (2) the amount by which the wages of the tipped employee are increased on account of the tip credit; (3) that all tips received by the employee must be retained by the employee except for tips contributed to a valid tip pool; and (4) that the tip credit shall not apply to any employee who does not receive the notice.

4. Further, it is illegal for employers to require tipped employees to give up a portion of their tips to their employer or to ineligible employees, such as management staff. *See Myers v. Copper Cellar Corp.*, 192 F.3d 546, 551 (6th Cir. 1999)(for “the work shifts in which salad mixers were included within the tip pool, the pooling scheme was illegal...”); *Portales v. MBA Inv. Co., LLC*, No. 3:13CV00001, 2014 WL 5795206, at \*3 (N.D. Ohio Oct. 16, 2014)(“When an employer includes a non-customarily tipped employee or another employer in a mandatory tip pool, the pool is invalid under FLSA.” (citing 29 U.S.C. § 203)); *Bernal v. Vankar Enter., Inc.*, 579 F. Supp. 2d 804, 810 (W.D. Tex. 2008) (employer not permitted to take the FLSA tip credit when it required waiters to pay for shortages and unpaid tabs).

5. Additionally, an employer must pay the minimum statutory hourly rate. *See* 29 U.S.C. 203(m).

6. Moreover, an employer cannot pay below the minimum wage to tipped employees and require those tipped employees to perform non-tipped work that is unrelated to the tipped

occupation. *See Osman v. Grube, Inc.*, No. 16-CV-802, 2017 WL 2908864, at \*4 (N.D. Ohio July 7, 2017)(employer may not take a tip credit for the time that a tipped employee spends on work that is not related to the tipped occupation); *Driver v. AppleIllinois, LLC*, 739 F.3d 1073, 1075 (7th Cir. 2014) (explaining that when tipped employees perform “non-tipped duties” that “are unrelated to their tipped duties...such as, in the case of restaurant servers, washing dishes, preparing food, mopping the floor, or cleaning bathrooms, they are entitled to the full minimum wage for the time they spend at that work.”).

7. Finally, an employer cannot require its tipped employees to perform non-tipped work that is related to the employees’ tipped occupation but exceeds 20 percent of the employees’ time worked during a workweek. *See Harrison v. Rockne's Inc.*, 274 F. Supp. 3d 706, 713 (N.D. Ohio 2017)(Plaintiffs sufficiently alleged a claim when “they alleged that they were required to perform non-tipped related duties more than 20% of their regular work.”); *Fast v. Applebee’s Int’l, Inc.*, 638 F.3d 872, 880 (8th Cir. 2011) (“employees who spend ‘substantial time’ (defined as more than 20 percent) performing related but nontipped duties should be paid at the full minimum wage for that time.”).

8. Defendant violated the FLSA in the following respects:

- a. **Violation for failure to inform:** Defendant failed to correctly inform Plaintiffs of the desire to rely on the tip credit to meet its minimum wage obligations. In fact, Defendant failed to inform Plaintiffs of the following: (1) the amount of the cash wage that is to be paid to the tipped employee; (2) the amount by which the wages of the tipped employee are increased on account of the tip credit; (3) that all tips received by the employee must be retained by the employee except for tips contributed to a valid tip pool; and (4) that the tip credit shall not apply to any employee who does not receive the notice.
- b. **Violation for making illegal deductions that reduced the direct wage of Plaintiff below the minimum required hourly wage for tipped employees:** Plaintiffs were required to purchase certain clothing to work for Defendant, which reduced their wages below the minimum hourly wage required for tipped employees.

- c. **Violation for performing work unrelated to tipped occupation:** Plaintiffs were required to perform improper types, and excessive amounts, of non-tipped work, including, but not limited to, washing dishes, warming bread, mopping floors, polishing wine glasses, scraping gum from underneath tables, cut cheese, make salads, cut fruit and lemons, and other tasks.
- d. **Violation for performing non-tipped side work in excess of 20% of the time spent working in the week:** Plaintiff was required to perform greater than 20% of his time in performing non-tip producing side work, including, but not limited to filling condiments, rolling silverware, brewing tea and coffee, filling ice, cleaning tables, cleaning the wait stations, and other tasks.

9. As a result of these violations, Defendant has lost the ability to use the tip credit and therefore must compensate Plaintiffs and all similarly situated workers at the full minimum wage rate, unencumbered by the tip credit, and for all hours worked. In other words, Defendant must account for the difference between the wages paid to Plaintiffs and all similarly situated workers and the minimum wage rate.

#### **SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. This court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this case is brought under the laws of the United States, specifically the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), *et. seq.*

11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this district, including many of the wrongs herein alleged. Namely, Defendant's headquarters is in the District and the decision to compensate the Plaintiffs and Class Members in the illegal manner described in this Complaint was enacted in this District.

#### **PARTIES AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION**

12. Plaintiff Mary "Ginger" Merritt is an individual residing in South Carolina. Her written consent to this action is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

13. Plaintiff Lauren Jones is an individual residing in Kentucky. Her written consent to this action is attached hereto as Exhibit “B.”

14. The Class Members are all current and former tipped employees who worked for Defendant for at least one week during the three year period prior to the filing of this action to the present.

15. Defendant Mac Acquisition, LLC is a foreign limited liability company doing business in Colorado. Said Defendant may be served process by serving its registered agent Corporation Service Company at 1900 W. Littleton Boulevard, Littleton, CO 80120.

16. Defendant at all times relevant to this action has had sufficient minimum contacts with the State of Colorado to confer personal jurisdiction. Defendant conducts business throughout Colorado and maintains its headquarters in Colorado. Furthermore, Defendant contracted with and employed Colorado residents, has Colorado customers, markets to residents of Colorado, and owns property in Colorado.

### **COVERAGE**

17. At all material times, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

18. At all material times, Defendant has been an enterprise in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).

19. At all material times, Defendant has enjoyed yearly gross revenue in excess of \$500,000.

20. At all material times, Plaintiffs were employees engaged in the commerce or the production of goods for commerce.

## **FACTS**

21. Defendant operates a nationwide chain of restaurants under the trade name “Romano’s Macaroni Grill” throughout the U.S. Defendant operates in Alabama, Colorado, Florida, Kentucky, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas, and other states.

22. The Romano’s Macaroni Grill restaurants are full-service restaurants that employ waiters and bartenders to provide services to customers.

23. A waiter gathers orders from customers and delivers food and drinks to the customers.

24. A bartender makes various alcoholic beverages to customers.

25. Defendant pays its waiters and bartenders less than the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour.

26. Defendant attempted to utilize the tip credit to meet its minimum wage obligation to its waiters and bartenders, including the Plaintiffs and Class Members.

27. Plaintiff Merritt worked for Defendant at the Romano’s Macaroni Grill located in Greenville, South Carolina. She worked as a server, bartender and caterer and was paid less than the minimum wage. She worked for Defendant from approximately February 2018 to March 2020.

28. Plaintiff Jones worked for Defendant at the Romano’s Macaroni Grill located in Louisville, Kentucky. She worked as a server and bartender and was paid less than the minimum wage. She worked for Defendant from approximately June 2016 to May 2019.

29. The tip credit has a harmful effect on workers that threatens the health of the economy. Adasina Social Capital, a company representing investors with more than \$538 billion in assets, has issued a letter large corporations operating restaurants advising of the ills of using the tip credit. (See <https://adasina.com/investor-statement-in-support-of-ending-the-subminimum-wage/>, last visited January 11, 2021). The letter states as follows:

Tipped workers are the largest group paid a subminimum wage and represent approximately six million people in the United States. The restaurant industry by far employs the largest number of tipped workers, representing 13.6 million people.

**Frozen at \$2.13 per hour, a tipped subminimum wage worker can be paid as little as \$4,430 per year for full-time work.** As a result, in the 42 states that allow payment of a subminimum wage, tipped workers are more than twice as likely to live in poverty, and the rates are even higher for women and people of color. The subminimum wage for tipped workers has risen little since it was enacted following the emancipation of slavery, a time when employer trade associations pushed to recoup the costs of free, exploited labor.

(*Id.*) (emphasis in original)

30. Given the harmful effects of the tip credit, there are strict requirements that must be met by an employer how seeks to utilize the trip credit to meet their minimum wage obligations.

31. In this case, Defendant did not satisfy the strict requirements to use the tip credit. Defendant maintained a policy and practice whereby it failed to provide the Plaintiffs and the Class Members with the statutorily required notice regarding (1) the amount of the cash wage that is to be paid to the tipped employee, (2) the amount by which the wages of the tipped employee are increased on account of the tip credit, (3) that all tips received by the employee must be retained by the employee except for tips contributed to a valid tip pool, and (4) that the tip credit shall not apply to any employee who does not receive the notice.

32. Defendant also maintained a policy and practice whereby tipped employees were required to perform non-tip producing side work unrelated to the employees' tipped occupation. As a result, Plaintiffs and the Class Members were engaged in dual occupations while being compensated at the tip credit rate. While performing these non-tip generating duties, they did not interact with customers and could not earn tips.

33. These duties include but are not limited to the following: washing dishes, warming bread, mopping floors, polishing wine glasses, scraping gum from underneath tables, cutting cheese, making salads, cutting fruit and lemons, amongst other activities that were not related to their tipped duties.

34. Defendant also maintained a policy and practice whereby tipped employees were required to spend a substantial amount of time, in excess of 20 percent, performing non-tip producing side work related to the employees' tipped occupation.

35. Specifically, Defendant maintained a policy and practice whereby tipped employees, were required to spend a substantial amount of time performing non-tip producing side work, including, but not limited to filling condiments, rolling silverware, brewing tea and coffee, filling ice, cleaning tables, and cleaning the wait station.

36. Further, Defendant required Plaintiffs and the Class Members to perform non-tipped producing work prior to the opening of the restaurant and after the restaurant closed. Indeed, Defendant required the Plaintiffs and Class Members to arrive prior to the restaurants opening for business when there were no customers and no opportunity to earn tips, to perform manual labor cleaning and setup duties. Likewise, Defendant required the Plaintiffs and Class Members to remain at the restaurant after it had closed for business and there was no opportunity to earn tips, to perform manual labor cleaning duties. At times, they spent 30 minutes to two hours performing work before the restaurant was open and the same amount of time after the restaurant was closed performing non-tipped producing work.

37. However, Defendant did not pay its tipped employees the full minimum wage rate for this work. The duties that Defendant required Plaintiffs and the Class Members to perform

were duties that are customarily assigned to “back-of-the-house” employees in other establishments, who typically receive at least the full minimum wage rate.

38. Similarly, Defendant has a catering position. Caterers gather food and deliver it to customers. That is, caterers load food into vehicles and drive that food to customers. Despite the fact that caterers deliver food and have limited interaction with customers, Defendant did not pay the full minimum wage for this time.

39. Moreover, Defendant’s restaurants have private rooms for events. Servers are required to arrive approximately 45 minutes to 1 hour prior to the start of the event to perform set up work. This work includes setting up displays, getting salads prepared, setting up balloons and decorations, moving tables and chairs, placing water on tables, gathering dishes, putting paper and table cloth on the tables, setting up silverware, and other tasks. After the event is over, servers are required to stay 45 minutes to 1.5 hours to clean the private room. This includes taking down decorations, cleaning tables, sweeping, rearranging tables and chairs, and other tasks. Defendant did not pay the full minimum wage for this work.

40. During Plaintiffs’ and the Class Members’ employment, checklists were posted in Defendant’s restaurants with numerous non-tipped duties that tipped employees were required to perform, in addition to serving customers.

41. When the tipped employees performed these non-tipped duties, they usually did not interact with customers and did not have an opportunity to earn tips.

42. Indeed, Defendant did not have a policy prohibiting tipped employees from performing certain types, or excessive amounts, of non-tipped work.

43. Defendant did not track or record the amount of time their tipped employees spent performing non-tipped work, even though Defendant were capable of doing so. Defendant’s

timekeeping system was capable of tracking multiple job codes for different work assignments but Defendant failed to track to the specific tasks for Plaintiffs.

44. Defendant uses a point-of-sale system to record hours worked by its tipped employees. Defendant then analyzes the information collected by this system, including the labor costs at each of the restaurants. Defendant's timekeeping system was capable of tracking multiple job codes for different work assignments, but Defendant failed to track to the specific tasks for Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

45. In the point-of-sale system, Defendant can create different "clock in" codes that would allow tipped employees to record their time at the full minimum wage when performing non-tipped work.

46. However, Defendant did not allow the waiters and bartenders to clock-in at the full minimum wage rate when performing non-tipped work described in this Complaint.

47. Defendant's managers at the restaurants were eligible to receive bonuses, in part, based on meeting or exceeding certain labor cost targets, which created an incentive to keep the amount paid to tipped employees low.

48. Moreover, Defendant violated the FLSA by not even paying the minimum "tipped" hourly rate. Defendant required its tipped employees to pay for items for the uniform, including shirts, pants, belts, and shoes. These clothing items were required to perform work for Defendant and were primarily for the benefit and convenience of Defendant. The costs for these items were not reimbursed by Defendant. Plaintiffs spent approximately \$300-\$400 toward their uniforms and additional costs to maintain the uniforms for Defendant.

49. Because Defendant paid its tipped employees at the minimum of \$2.13 per hour (or the state's respective tipped wage), any week in which a tipped employee was required to pay for

work related expenses for Defendant's business, his/her compensation fell below the minimum wage rate, thereby negating Defendant's entitlement to claim the tip credit.

50. In other words, by requiring Plaintiffs and the Class Members to pay for these work related expenses, their hourly rates of pay were reduced by the amount of these uniform costs. As a result, they were not even paid the minimum hourly rate necessary for Defendant to claim the tip credit.

51. Because Defendant violated the requirements to claim the tip credit, Defendant lost the right to take a credit toward the minimum wage obligation to Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

52. As such, Plaintiffs and the Class Members were not compensated at the mandated minimum wage.

53. Defendant knew or should have known that its policies and practices violate the law, and Defendant has not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA. Rather, Defendant knowingly, willfully, and/or with reckless disregard of the law carried and continues to carry out its illegal pattern and practice regarding their tipped employees. Defendant's method of paying the Plaintiffs was not based on a good faith and reasonable belief that its conduct complied with the law.

#### **REVISED FIELD OPERATIONS HANDBOOK AND NEW DUAL JOBS REGULATION**

54. On November 8, 2018, the Department of Labor issued opinion letter FLSA2018-27 which provided a standard for interpreting the dual jobs regulation that was different than the "80/20" rule that had existed at the time. However, nearly every court to have considered this opinion letter held that the opinion letter was not entitled to any deference. *See, e.g., Callaway v. DenOne, LLC*, No. Civ. A. 1:18-cv-1981, 2019 WL 1090346 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 8, 2019); *Cope v. Let's Eat Out, Inc.*, 354 F. Supp. 3d 976 (W.D. Miss. 2019).

55. Therefore, the Department of Labor announced its intention to revise the dual jobs regulation found in 29 C.F.R. § 531.56(e) and issued a notice of proposed rule-making on October 8, 2019. (See <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/10/08/2019-20868/tip-regulations-under-the-fair-labor-standards-act-flsa>). After soliciting comments, the Department of Labor published its final rule on December 30, 2020, which had an effective date of March 1, 2021. (<https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/12/30/2020-28555/tip-regulations-under-the-fair-labor-standards-act-flsa>).

56. After delaying the effective date of the Final Rule. (<https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/flsa/tips>), on June 21, 2021, the Department of Labor announced “a notice of proposed rulemaking to limit the amount of non-tip producing work that a tipped employee can perform when an employer is taking a tip credit.” (<https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/06/23/2021-13262/tip-regulations-under-the-fair-labor-standards-act-flsa-partial-withdrawal>).

57. Under the proposed rule, if an employee performs non-tip work **that exceeds 30 continuous minutes**, that worker is no longer performing work that is part of the tipped occupation. (*Id*) (emphasis added).

58. Here, Defendant illegally required Plaintiffs and the Class Members to perform non-tip producing work for an excessive period of time. That is because Defendant required Plaintiffs and the Class Members to perform non-tipped work more than 30 minutes and up to two hours before the restaurants were open for business or after they were closed, when the restaurants did not have customers and there was no opportunity to earn tips. A similar violation occurred each instance that there was a private event. During this time, Defendant paid below the minimum

wage rate and forced the Plaintiffs and Class Members to perform non-tip producing duties, as noted above.

59. Given that Defendant failed to comply with the requirements to take the tip credit, Defendant has lost the ability to claim the tip credit and owes Plaintiffs and the Class Members pay at the full minimum wage rate per hour for all hours they worked for Defendant.

### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

60. Plaintiffs bring this action as an FLSA collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of all current and former tipped employees who worked for Defendant for at least one week during the three year period prior to the filing of this action to the present.

61. Plaintiffs have actual knowledge, through conversations with their co-workers that a class of similarly situated workers exists who have been subjected to the same policies of Defendant with respect to the payment of the minimum wage.

62. The Class Members are similarly situated to Plaintiffs in that they share the same duties and were subject to the same violations of the FLSA.

63. Like Plaintiffs, the Class Members were not given proper notice of the tip credit provisions, were subject to the same expense practices, and performed substantial work that was unrelated to their tip producing duties.

64. Additionally, the Class Members were, like Plaintiffs, required to perform non-tip producing work prior to the restaurants opening and after the restaurants closed for the day for more than 30 consecutive minutes.

65. Further, the Class Members were, like Plaintiffs, not properly informed of Defendant's intent to utilize the tip credit.

66. Moreover, the Class Members were also subject to expenses that dropped their compensation below the minimum wage.

67. Plaintiffs and the Class Members all labored under the same corporate structure, the same corporate policies, the same corporate chain of command, and pursuant to the rules in the same company handbook.

68. The names and address of the Class Members of the collective action are available from Defendant's records. To the extent required by law, notice will be provided to these individuals by first class mail, email, text message, or by the use of techniques and a form of notice similar to those customarily used in representative actions.

69. Although the exact amount of damages may vary among the Class Members in proportion to the number of hours they worked, damages for each individual can be easily calculated using a simple formula.

70. As such, the class of similarly situated Class Members is properly defined as follows:

**All current and former tipped employees who worked for Defendant for at least one week during the three-year period prior to the filing of this action to the present.**

### **CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS**

##### **FAILURE TO PAY THE MINIMUM WAGE**

71. Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs by reference.

72. This count arises from Defendant's violation of the FLSA in connection with its failure to pay the minimum wages. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 206.

73. Plaintiffs and the Class Members were paid hourly rates less than the minimum wage while working for Defendant.

74. Plaintiffs and the Class Members were not exempt from the minimum wage requirements of the FLSA.

75. Defendant's failure to pay the minimum wage to Plaintiffs and the Class Members, in violation of the FLSA was willful and not based on a good faith belief that its conduct did not violate the FLSA. To foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation within the meaning of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

### **WAGE DAMAGES SOUGHT**

76. Plaintiffs and the Class Members are entitled to receive the difference between the federal minimum wage and the tip credit adjusted minimum wage for each hour they worked.

77. Plaintiffs and the Class Members are entitled to reimbursement for all work related expenses they paid.

78. Plaintiffs and the Class Members are entitled to liquidated damages.

79. Plaintiffs and the Class Members are also entitled to recover their attorney's fees and costs, as required by the FLSA.

### **JURY DEMAND**

80. Pursuant to their rights under the Constitution of the United States, U.S. CONST. amend VII, and FED R. CIV. P. 38(a), Plaintiffs hereby demand trial by jury.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

81. For these reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be entered in their favor awarding them and the Class Members:

- a. Minimum wage compensation unadulterated by the tip credit;
- b. Liquidated damages;
- c. All misappropriated funds including all tips, expenses, and wages;

- d. An order requiring Defendant to correct its pay practices going forward;
- e. Reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses of this action;
- f. Pre and post judgment interest; and
- g. Such other and further relief to which Plaintiffs and the Class Members may be entitled, both in law and in equity.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Don J. Foty  
Don J. Foty  
HODGES & FOTY, L.L.P.  
Texas Bar No. 24050022  
4409 Montrose Blvd., Suite 200  
Houston, TX 77006  
Telephone: (713) 523-0001  
Facsimile: (713) 523-1116  
[dfoty@hftrialfirm.com](mailto:dfoty@hftrialfirm.com)

And

Anthony J. Lazzaro  
Ohio Bar No. 0077962  
Alanna Klein Fischer  
Ohio Bar No. 0090986  
Lori M. Griffin  
Ohio Bar No. 0085241  
The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC  
The Heritage Building, Suite 250  
34555 Chagrin Boulevard  
Moreland Hills, Ohio 44022  
Phone: 216-696-5000  
Facsimile: 216-696-7005  
[anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com](mailto:anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com)  
[alanna@lazzarolawfirm.com](mailto:alanna@lazzarolawfirm.com)  
[lori@lazzarolawfirm.com](mailto:lori@lazzarolawfirm.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Class Members

**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

MARY MERRITT and LAUREN JONES, On Behalf of  
Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Don J. Foty, Hodges & Foty, LLP; 4409 Montrose Blvd.,  
Suite 200; Houston, TX 77006; 713-523-0001

**DEFENDANTS**

MAC ACQUISITION, LLC

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant \_\_\_\_\_

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF  
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☐ U.S. Government  
Plaintiff

☒ Federal Question  
(U.S. Government Not a Party)

☐ U.S. Government  
Defendant

☐ Diversity  
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 0 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 22 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 23 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 20 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 30 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 35 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 40 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 80 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 61 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 62 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 64 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 65 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 71 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 75 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 76 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 00 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 10 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 30 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 50 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 60 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 70 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 80 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 85 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 90 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 50 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 90 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 91 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 93 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 95 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 96 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 99 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 50 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 0 All Other Real Property	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 8 Education	<b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b> <b>Habeas Corpus:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 0 General <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Death Penalty <b>Other:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<b>LABOR</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Other Immigration Actions	

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☒ Original Proceeding  
 ☐ Removed from State Court  
 ☐ Remanded from Appellate Court  
 ☐ Reinstated or Reopened  
 ☐ Transferred from Another District (specify) \_\_\_\_\_  
 ☐ Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer  
 ☐ Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (Fair Labor Standards Act, 1938)

☐ AP Docket

Brief description of cause:  
Failure to pay minimum wage.

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions):

JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE

Aug 9, 2021

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Don J. Foty

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

**CONSENT FORM**

1. I consent and agree to pursue my claims for unpaid overtime and/or minimum wages through the lawsuit filed against Romano's Macaroni Grill.
2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act and/or state wage and hour laws. I hereby consent, agree and opt-in to become a plaintiff herein and be bound by any judgment by the Court or any settlement of this action.
3. I intend to pursue my claim individually, unless and until the court or parties certify this case as a collective or class action. If someone else serves as the class representative(s), then I designate the class representative(s) as my agent(s) to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting the litigation, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiff's counsel concerning fees and costs, the entering into a settlement agreement with my employer, and all other matters pertaining to this action.
4. In the event the case is certified and then decertified, I authorize Plaintiff's counsel to use this Consent Form to re-file my claims in a separate or related action against my employer.

Signature:

  
Ginger Merritt (Jul 14, 2021 14:53 EDT)

Date: Jul 14, 2021

**EXHIBIT "A"**

**CONSENT FORM**

1. I consent and agree to pursue my claims for unpaid overtime and/or minimum wages through the lawsuit filed against Romano's Macaroni Grill.
2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act and/or state wage and hour laws. I hereby consent, agree and opt-in to become a plaintiff herein and be bound by any judgment by the Court or any settlement of this action.
3. I intend to pursue my claim individually, unless and until the court or parties certify this case as a collective or class action. If someone else serves as the class representative(s), then I designate the class representative(s) as my agent(s) to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting the litigation, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiff's counsel concerning fees and costs, the entering into a settlement agreement with my employer, and all other matters pertaining to this action.
4. In the event the case is certified and then decertified, I authorize Plaintiff's counsel to use this Consent Form to re-file my claims in a separate or related action against my employer.

Signature:

  
Lauren Jones (Jul 19, 2021 23:42 EDT)

Date: Jul 19, 2021

**EXHIBIT "B"**

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

---



---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the

District of Colorado

MARY MERRITT and LAUREN JONES, On Behalf of  
Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated

---

*Plaintiff(s)*

v.

MAC ACQUISITION, LLC

---

*Defendant(s)*

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-2142

**SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Mac Acquisition, LLC  
Corporation Service Company  
1900 W. Littleton Boulevard  
Littleton, CO 80120

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Don Foty  
Hodges & Foty, LLP  
4409 Montrose Blvd., Suite 200  
Houston, TX 77006

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

*CLERK OF COURT*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-2142

**PROOF OF SERVICE***(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ .

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
 on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

**Print**

**Save As...**

**Reset**

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Lawsuit Claims Tipped Employees at Romano's Macaroni Grill Owed Unpaid Wages](#)

---