UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Vicente Mena,
Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-v
Disano Construction Co., Inc., and
Pietro Oppedisano,

Defendants.

Civ. Action #:

Complaint

(Collective and Class Action)

Date Filed:

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff Vicente Mena ("Plaintiff" or "Mena"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC, his attorneys, complaining of the Defendants Disano Construction Co., Inc., and Pietro Oppedisano (collectively "Defendants"), respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated current and former employees who worked for the Defendants, individually, and/or jointly and who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216 (b), that he and they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendants for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times the regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. including 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b).
- 2. Plaintiff complains on behalf of himself and a class of other similarly situated current and former hourly employees who worked for the Defendants, pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23, that he and they are: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendants for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times the regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week, and (ii) entitled to costs and attorney's fees, pursuant to the New York Minimum Wage Act ("NYMWA"), N.Y. Lab.

Law §§ 650 et seq., ("NYLL") including NYLL § 663, and the regulations thereunder – 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

3. Plaintiff and the class members are also entitled to recover compensation for not receiving notices and statements required by NYLL 195, under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law, and attorneys' fees pursuant to Section 198 of the New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
- 5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
- 6. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 2202.

THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Vicente Mena ("Plaintiff" or "Mena") is an adult, over eighteen years old, who currently resides in Queens County in the State of New York.
- 8. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Disano Construction Co., Inc. ("DCC" or "Defendant"), was a New York for-profit corporation.
- 9. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, the corporate Defendant was owned/controlled/managed by Defendant Pietro Oppedisano ("Pietro"), who was in charge of the operations and management of DCC.
- 10. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, the corporate Defendant DCC was owned/controlled/managed by Defendant Pietro and was his alter ego, and it was

Defendant Pietro who controlled the employment of Plaintiff and was responsible for hiring, firing, scheduling, controlling, managing, supervising, and record-keeping as to Plaintiff's employment, among other employment functions.

- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendants DCC and Pietro shared a place of business in Queens County, New York, at 3107 Farrington Street, Flushing, NY 11354, where plaintiff was employed.
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed individually and/or jointly by Defendants.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 13. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants were engaged in the business of providing construction services and repairs.
- 14. At all times relevant herein, Defendants operate at several locations and employed approximately 60 to 100 employees at any given time and over 200 employees during the class period.
- 15. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants from on or about June 16, 2003 until on or about August 5, 2016.
- 16. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a construction laborer performing all duties within this capacity.
- 17. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was paid at a regular rate of \$17.50 an hour and Plaintiff was paid at his straight regular rate for all hours worked including overtime hours worked (hours over 40 in a week) the overtime hours were paid separately at the straight regular rate of pay.
- 18. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked approximately 60-62.5 hours a week and likely more, 5-6 days a week.

- 19. Plaintiff's hours worked and wages paid will be refined after Defendants produce employment, time and wage records it was required to keep under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 20. Plaintiff incorporates herein, <u>accurate</u> records of her time, wages and employment that Defendants were required to keep pursuant to the FLSA and NYLL.
- 21. At all times relevant herein and for the time Plaintiff was employed by Defendants,

 Defendants failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of one and one half
 times his regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week
 for each week in which such overtime was worked.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all overtime hours worked (hours over 40 in a week).
- 23. At all times relevant herein, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1).
- 24. At all times relevant herein, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and the putative class members with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) the wage statements provided to Plaintiff did not state all hours worked nor all wages earned by Plaintiff, among other deficiencies.
- 25. The violations set forth herein as to Plaintiff, also apply to the members of the putative class.
- 26. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendants had annual revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500, 000. Plaintiff references and incorporates herein, accurate copies of records of Defendants' business volume and revenues as well as business operations and commerce that Defendants were required to keep and maintain under the FLSA including under 29 CFR 516.
- 27. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendants conducted business with companies outside the State of New York.

- 28. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Defendants and Plaintiff conducted business with insurance companies outside the State of New York.
- 29. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendants utilized the goods, materials, and services through interstate commerce such as construction equipment, materials and supplies.
- 30. At all times applicable herein, Defendants conducted business with vendors and other businesses outside the State of New York.
- 31. Defendants as a regular part of their business, makes payment of taxes and other monies to agencies and entities outside the State of New York.
- 32. Defendants as a regular part of their business, engaged in credit card transactions involving banks and other institutions outside the State of New York.
- 33. At all times applicable herein and upon information and belief, Defendants utilized the instrumentalities of interstate commerce such as the United States mail, electronic mail, the internet and telephone systems.
- 34. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants failed to display federal and state minimum wage/overtime posters.
- 35. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendants failed to notify Plaintiff of his federal and state minimum wage and overtime rights and failed to inform Plaintiff that he could seek enforcement of such rights through the government enforcement agencies.
- 36. The termination of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants is under review and investigation. Plaintiff may assert wrongful termination claims at a later time in a separate action.
- 37. The "present" or the "present time" as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint

was signed.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT - 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq. (Overtime)

- 38. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated who opt into this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 37 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.
- 39. The named Plaintiff has consented to be part of this action by the filing of this action on his behalf and with his consent.
- 40. The FLSA cause of action is brought as a collective action on behalf of the named Plaintiff and all others who are/were similarly situated and who file consents to opt-in to the action.
- 41. The class of similarly situated individuals as to the FLSA cause of action is defined as current and former employees of Defendants, who 1) worked more than forty hours in a week, within at least the three-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 2) were not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.
- 42. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendant, upon information and belief, there are approximately over 200 members of the class during the class period.
- 43. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
- 44. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated, were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq.

- 45. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly similarly-situated, were engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce and/or Defendants constituted an enterprise(s) engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a).
- 46. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendants transacted commerce and business in excess of \$500,000.00 annually or had revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500,000.00 annually.
- 47. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff, and all those similarly similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207.

Relief Demanded

48. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid overtime wage compensation, plus maximum liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION NYLL 650 et Seq. (Unpaid Overtime)

49. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as class members, and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 48 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 50. Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 51. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the overtime cause of action under the NYLL is defined as current and former employees of Defendants, who: 1) were employed by Defendants within the State of New York; 2) worked more than forty hours in a week, within

at least the six-year period, preceding the filing of this complaint; and 4) not paid at an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times their regular rate for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a week as also explained above.

- 52. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
- 53. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 200 members of the class during the class period.
- 54. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 55. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class whether the putative class was paid at least 1.5 times the applicable regular rate for all hours in excess of forty in a week.
- 56. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
- 57. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
- 58. The Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
- 59. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:
 - (a) Whether, Defendants failed and/or refused to pay the Plaintiff and the putative class members at a rate of at least one and one half (1 ½) times their regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of forty each week within the meaning of New York Minimum Wage Act and the regulations thereunder 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

- 60. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate Defendants and in light of the large number of putative class members.
- 61. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 and the regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142.
- 62. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed to pay and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a work week, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

Relief Demanded

63. Due to Defendants' NYLL overtime violations, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid overtime compensation, maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to NYLL § 663(1).

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION NYLL § 190, 191, 193, 195 and 198

64. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated as class members, and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 63 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 65. Plaintiff sues on her own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rule 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 66. The class of similarly-situated individuals as to the cause of action for NYLL 195(1) and

- NYLL 195(3) violations is defined as current and former employees of Defendants who: 1) were not provided with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), or 2) were not provided with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).
- 67. The class includes but is not limited to employees who did not receive wage statements, employees who received wage statements but whose wage statements did not reflect all hours worked or all wages earned, and employees who did not receive the required wage notices setting forth the regular and overtime rate of pay among other information.
- 68. The class definition will be refined as is necessary, including after discovery if necessary.
- 69. Although the precise number of putative class members is unknown, and facts on which the calculation of that number is based are presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 200 members of the class during the class period.
- 70. Upon information and belief, the putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 71. Upon information and belief, there are questions of law or fact common to the class (a) whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and (b) whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).
- 72. Upon information and belief, the claims of the representative party are typical of the claims of the class.
- 73. The representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
- 74. The Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
- 75. There are questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the class, including:

- (a) whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1), and whether Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the putative class with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3).
- 76. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate Defendant and in light of the large number of putative class members.
- 77. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all those similarly-situated as class members, were employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor law, §§ 190 et seq., including §§ 191, 193, 195 and 198.
- 78. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1) Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-b), as well as an injunction directing Defendants to comply with NYLL 195(1).
- 79. At all times relevant herein, Defendants failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff and the class members with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) Plaintiff and the class are therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-d), as well as an injunction directing Defendants to comply with NYLL 195(1).

Relief Demanded

80. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law Article 6 violations including violation of sections 191, 193, 195 and 198, Plaintiff, and all those similarly-situated, are entitled to recover from Defendant, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- 81. Declare Defendants (including its overtime wage payment policy and practice) to be in violation of the rights of Plaintiff and those similarly-situated, under the FLSA and New York Labor Law 12 NYCRR § 142, and enjoin Defendants from engaging in such violations.
- 82. As to the **First Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated who opt-in to this action, their unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA, together with maximum liquidated damages, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b);
- 83. As to the **Second Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, their unpaid overtime compensation due under the New York Minimum Wage Act and the Regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2, together with maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to NYLL § 663;
- 84. As to the **Third Cause of Action**, award of Plaintiff and those similarly situated as class members, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL 195(1) and NYLL 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.
- 85. Award Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated where applicable, any relief requested or stated in the preceding paragraphs but which has not been requested in the WHEREFORE clause or "PRAYER FOR RELIEF", in addition to the relief requested in the wherefore clause/prayer for relief;
- 86. Award Plaintiff and all those similarly situated such other, further and different relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Queens Village, New York August 15, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC

/s/ Abdul Hassan

Abdul K. Hassan, Esq. (AH6510) 215-28 Hillside Avenue, Queens Village, NY 11427 Tel: 718-740-1000 - Fax: 718-355-9668

Email: abdul@abdulhassan.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

Case 1:17-cv-04777 Document 2-1-Filed 08/15/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	HIS FORM.)	, 1	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Vicente Mena, Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,			Disano Construction Co., Inc., and Pietro Oppedisano,		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff C	ueens	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Abdul Hassan Law Grou Village, NY 11427 Tel: 7	p, PLLC- 215-28 Hillsid	de Avenue, Queens	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In □	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFFITHRE/PENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	322 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 424 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 Withdrawal 28 USC 167 425 Withdrawal 28	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3 Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 USC 216 - FI 5	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi SA	Reinstated or S Transfer Anothe (specify, ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state)	er District Litigation Transfer	
	Unpaid overtime	use:			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Image: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Image:					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 08/15/2017		signature of attor /s/ Abdul K. Hassa			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A!	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE _	MAG. JUI	DGE

Case 1:17-cv-04777 Document 1-1 Filed 08/15/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 15

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Abdu	Hassan	, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):		
meng	ible for c	ompulsory arothation for the following reason(s).		
	X	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,		
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,			
	X	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Damages and fees could exceed 150K		
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:		
		None		
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)		
provide because same ju case: (A	es that "A of the cases adge and m A) involves	es that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil is identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power runine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the		
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)		
1.)	Is the c	ivil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk		
2.)		answered "no" above: the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ? NO		
	b) Did Distric	the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern to YES		
Suffoll	k County, folk Coun	o question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau ty?		
		BAR ADMISSION		
I am cı	urrently a	dmitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No		
Are yo	ou current	ly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No		

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Abdul Hassan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York				
Vicente Mena, Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) V. Disano Construction Co., Inc., and Pietro Oppedisano, Defendant(s))))) (Civil Action No.))))))			
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Disano Construction Co C/O NYS Secretary of S 41 State Street, Albany	State			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. t.			
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York				
Vicente Mena, Individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) V. Disano Construction Co., Inc., and Pietro Oppedisano, Defendant(s))))) Civil Action No.)))			
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Pietro Oppedisano 3107 Farrington Street Flushing, NY 11354				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the court	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. t.			
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Ex-Employee Claims Disano Construction Co. Owes Unpaid Overtime