Cas	se 2:21-cv-08715-FMO-E Document 1	Filed 11/04/21	Page 1 of 7	Page ID #:1
1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Andrew P. Frederick (SBN 284832)			
2	Aleksandr Markelov (SBN 319235) 1400 Page Mill Road			
3	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: +1.650.843.4000			
4	Fax: +1.650.843.4001 Email: andrew.frederick@morganlewis.co	om		
5	Email: aleksandr.markelov@morganlewis			
6	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian D. Fahy (SBN 266750)			
7	300 South Grand Avenue			
8	Twenty-Second Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132			
9	Tel: +1.213.612.2500 Fax: +1.213.612.2501			
10	Email: brian.fahy@morganlewis.com			
11	(Additional counsel on the following page)			
12	Attorneys for Defendants AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM	SERVICES,		
13	LLC (formerly known and erroneously sue AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC.); and A			
14	LOGISTICS, INC.			
15	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT	COURT	
16		STRICT OF CAL		
17		TERN DIVISION		
18	W LS		N Contraction of the second seco	
10	NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,	Case No.		
20	Plaintiff,	DEFEN	DANTS AMA	ZON.COM, INC.,
21	v.	AMAZO	ON LOGISTIC	VICES, LLC, AND CS, INC.'S NOTICE
22	AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM	OF REN	MOVAL TO F	EDERAL COURT
23	SERVICES, INC.; AMAZON LOGISTICS INC.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,	S, [28 U.S.	C. §§ 1331, 130	67, 1441, and 1446]
24				
25	Defendants.			
26				
27				
28				
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Silicon Valley			AMAZON'S	S NOTICE OF REMOVAL Case No

Case 2:21-cv-08715-FMO-E Document 1 Filed 11/04/21 Page 2 of 7 Page ID #:2 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Michael E. Kenneally (*pro hac vice* app forthcoming) 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-2541 Tel: +1.202.739.3000 Fax: +1.202.739.3001 Email: michael.kenneally@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS &

1	TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT
2	OF CALIFORNIA, AND TO PLAINTIFF AND HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
3	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441, and 1446,
4	Defendants Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon.com Services, LLC (formerly known and erroneously
5	sued as Amazon.com Services, Inc.), and Amazon Logistics, Inc. (collectively, "Amazon")
6	hereby remove the above-entitled action from the Superior Court of the State of California,
7	County of Los Angeles, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.
8	This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction in the form of federal question jurisdiction
9	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the Complaint asserts claims arising under the laws of the
10	United States.
11	Amazon states the following in support of this removal:
12	I. <u>SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT</u>
13	1. On September 15, 2021, Plaintiff Narek Mike Melikyan ("Plaintiff") filed an
14	unverified class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los
15	Angeles, entitled Narek Mike Melikyan v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al., Case No. 21STCV34067 (the
16	"Complaint"). The Complaint alleges five causes of action: (1) violation of 15 U.S.C. §
17	1681b(b)(2)(A) (Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA")); (2) violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)(1)
18	and 1681g(c) (FCRA); (3) violation of California Civil Code §§ 1786 et seq. (Investigative
19	Consumer Reporting Agencies Act); (4) violation of California Civil Code §§ 1785 et seq.
20	(Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act); and (5) violation of Business & Professions Code §§
21	17200 et seq. (California's Unfair Competition Law).
22	2. On September 15, 2021, the Superior Court issued a Notice of Case Assignment
23	assigning the case to Judge Kenneth R. Freeman. On September 22, 2021, the Superior Court
24	issued an Order of Recusal and temporarily transferred the case to Judge David S. Cunningham
25	for reassignment purposes because Judge Freeman recused himself. On September 30, 2021, the
26	Superior Court issued an Order of Case Reassignment and reassigned the case to Judge Amy D.
27	Hogue.
28	3. On October 6, 2021, Plaintiff caused the Complaint, Summons, Civil Case Cover
/IS & "P aw Y	1 AMAZON'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL Case No.

1	Sheet, Notice of Case Assignment, Order of Recusal, and Order of Case Reassignment to be
2	served on Amazon.com Services, Inc. and Amazon Logistics, Inc., respectively. True and correct
3	copies of the documents served on Amazon.com Services, Inc. and Amazon Logistics, Inc.,
4	respectively, are attached as Exhibits 1 and 2 and incorporated by reference. On October 8,
5	2021, Plaintiff caused the Complaint, Summons, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Notice of Case
6	Assignment, Order of Recusal, and Order of Case Reassignment to be served on Amazon.com,
7	Inc. True and correct copies of the documents served on Amazon.com, Inc. are attached as
8	Exhibit 3 and incorporated by reference.
9	4. On October 6, 2021, the Superior Court issued a minute order setting an Initial
10	Status Conference for December 10, 2021 and a minute order regarding a newly assigned case.
11	True and correct copies of these documents are attached as Exhibit 4 and incorporated by
12	reference.
13	5. On October 19, 2021, Plaintiff filed three Proofs of Personal Service as to the three
14	Amazon defendants. True and correct copies of these documents are attached as Exhibit 5 and
15	incorporated by reference.
16	6. On November 3, 2021, Amazon filed and served its Answer to the Complaint. A
17	true and correct copy of Amazon's Answer is attached as Exhibit 6 and incorporated by
18	reference.
19	7. The Complaint, Summons, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Notice of Case Assignment,
20	Order of Recusal, Order of Reassignment, Proofs of Personal Service, and the Answer (attached
21	hereto as Exhibits 1-6 , respectively) constitute all process, pleadings and orders that have been
22	filed and served in this action.
23	II. <u>THE REMOVAL IS TIMELY</u>
24	8. This Notice of Removal is filed within thirty (30) days after service of the
25	Summons and Complaint on Amazon on October 6, 2021. Accordingly, this Notice of Removal
26	is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
27	III. FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION EXISTS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1331
28	9. This is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Silicon Valley	2 AMAZON'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL Case No

1	U.S.C. § 1331 and is one which may be removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
2	10. Federal question jurisdiction exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 where a complaint
3	asserts a claim "arising under the Constitution, laws, or treatises of the United States." See 28
4	U.S.C. § 1331; Ethridge v. Harbor House Rest., 861 F.2d 1389, 1393-94 (9th Cir. 1988) ("When
5	a plaintiff's complaint relies on federal law as the source of recovery, it is obvious that the case
6	'arises under' federal law and therefore may be removed to federal court."). The presence or
7	absence of federal question jurisdiction is governed by the "well-pleaded" complaint rule, which
8	provides that federal jurisdiction exists "when a federal question is presented on the face of the
9	plaintiff's properly pleaded complaint." Id. at 1394.
10	11. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331
11	because Plaintiff has alleged two claims under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq., which is a
12	federal statute and presents a federal question.
13	IV. <u>THE COURT HAS SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION OVER PLAINTIFF'S</u> STATE LAW CLAIMS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
14	12. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under California
15	law pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) on the grounds that such claims are "so related to the claims
16 17	in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy
17	under Article III of the United States Constitution."
18 19	13. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges a total of five claims, the first two of which arise
20	under the FCRA. The remaining three state law claims arise out of the same case or controversy
20	as the federal claims over which this Court has original jurisdiction because all such claims relate
21	to an alleged background check conducted in connection with Plaintiff becoming an Amazon Flex
22	delivery driver. See Compl., ¶¶ 64-100. Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), this Court
23	may properly exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims. Further,
25	Plaintiff's state law claims do not involve any novel or complex issue of state law, do not
26	substantially predominate over Plaintiff's FCRA claims, and no exceptional or compelling
27	circumstances exist for this Court to decline jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
28	
/IS & .P AW	3 AMAZON'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL Case No
Y	

Cas	e 2:21-cv-08715-FMO-E	Document 1	Filed 11/04/21	Page 6 of 7	Page ID #:6

1	T 7		
1	V .		<u>UE IS PROPER.</u>
2		14.	Plaintiff originally filed this action in the Superior Court of the State of California,
3	Count	y of Lo	s Angeles. Thus, venue for removal properly lies in the United States District Court
4	for the	Centra	al District of California (28 U.S.C. § 84(a), 1446) and this action may be removed to
5	this Co	ourt pui	rsuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because this district embraces the place in which the
6	remov	ed state	e action is and has been pending.
7	VI.	THE	OTHER PRE-REQUISITES FOR REMOVAL HAVE BEEN SATISFIED.
8		15.	As set forth above, this Notice of Removal is filed within thirty (30) days of
9	service	e of pro	cess on Amazon and all process, pleadings, and orders filed in this action are
10	attache	ed here	to in <u>Exhibits 1–6</u> .
11		16.	No previous Notice of Removal has been filed or made with this Court for the
12	relief s	sought.	
13		17.	As required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Amazon will promptly serve Plaintiff with
14	this No	otice of	Removal and will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Superior
15	Court	of the S	State of California, County of Los Angeles.
16		18.	Amazon is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that none of the
17	fictitio	usly-na	amed defendants have been identified by Plaintiff or served with the Summons and
18	Comp	laint. I	n addition, Doe defendants need not be joined in a notice of removal. See Fristoe v.
19	Reyno	lds Met	als Co., 615 F.2d 1209, 213 (9th Cir. 1980).
20		19.	If any question arises as to the propriety of the removal of this action, Amazon
21	reques	ts the o	pportunity to present a brief and oral argument in support of its position that this
22	case is	remov	able.
23		20.	By this Notice of Removal and the exhibits attached hereto and the documents
24	filed c	oncurre	ently herewith, Amazon does not intend to make any admissions of fact, law or
25	liabilit	y relati	ng to the claims in the Complaint, and it expressly reserves the right to make any
26	and all	defens	ses and motions necessary in its defense against Plaintiff's allegations.
27		WHE	REFORE , Amazon respectfully requests that this action be removed from the
28	Superi	or Cou	rt of the State of California, County of Los Angeles to the United States District
YIS & P AW Y			4 AMAZON'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL Case No

Case 2:21-cv-08715-FMO-E Document 1 Filed 11/04/21 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:7

1	Court for the Central District of California.	
2	Dated: November 4, 2021	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
3	Dated. Wovember 4, 2021	
4		By <u>/s/ Andrew P. Frederick</u> Andrew P. Frederick
5		Aleksandr Markelov
6		Attorneys for Defendants AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM SERVICES, LLC (formerly known and erroneously sued as AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC.); and AMAZON LOGISTICS,
7		SERVICES, LLC (formerly known and erroneously sued as AMAZON.COM
8		SERVICES, INC.); and AMAZON LOGISTICS, INC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Silicon Valley		5 AMAZON'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL Case No

Case 2:21-cv-08715 Document 1-1 Filed 11/04/21 Page 1 of 43 Page ID #:8

EXHIBIT 1

e e e	
	SUM-100
SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)	CONFORMED COPY Sobridging Copy Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC.; AMAZON LOGISTICS; INC.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive	SEP 15 2021
	Sherri R. Caner, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated	By: Krietina Vergas, Deputy
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respondent. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written re- served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more Court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, a be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney root and the california Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Legal Services or county bar association. NOTE: The court costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's tien must be paid (AVISO) Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escu- contany settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's tien must be paid (AVISO) Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escu- continuación. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para prese corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de Ca biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que la quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de pres- le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el ca quitar su sueldo, dinero	sponse at this court and have a copy al form if you want the court to hear your re information at the California Courts ou. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the nd your wages, money, and property may orney, you may want to call an attorney agai services program. You can locale courts Online Self-Help Center I has a statutory lien for waived fees and before the court will dismiss the case. char su versión. Lea la información a entar una respuesta por escrito en esta Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar usted pueda usar para su respuesta. lifornia (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la tentación, pida al secretario de la corte que taso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de ter servicios legales gratuitos de un web de California Legal Services, iéndose en contacto con la corte o el tos por imponer un gravamen sobre je en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que
The name and address of the court is: Stanley Mosk Courthouse CASE (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 111 N. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012	NUMBER: (Número del Caso):
Los Angeles, CA 90012 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, i de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776); Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483); Kevin Joseph Farnan (SBN 327524); T: 3 Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP; 8889 W, Olympic Bivd., Suite 200; Beverly Hills, CA 90211 DATE: QEP 1 c 2021 Clerk, by	10-432-0000; F: 310-432-0001
(Fecha) SHERRI R. CARTER (Secretario) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,
Istantial of the person sector of the per	ify):
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use SUMMONS Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]	Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 485 www.counts.ca.gov
For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear. This Form button after you have printed the form. Print this form Save this form	Clearthis form

Case 2:21-cv-08715 Document 1-1 Filed 11/04/21 Page 2 of 43 Page ID #:9

•

.

•

	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California Superior Court of California
Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776) Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483) Anwar D. Burton, Esq. (SBN 252504)	Superior Counter Angeles
Anwar D. Burton, Esq. (SBN 252504) Kevin Joseph Farnan (SBN 327524) LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP	Shant R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Co
8889 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200 Beverly Hills, California 90211	By: Kristina Vargas, Deputy
Telephone: (310) 432-0000 Facsimile: (310) 432-0001 Email: whteam@lelawfirm.com	
Attorneys for Plaintiff NAREK MIKE MELIK on behalf of himself and others similarly situate	YAN, d
SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY FA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,	Case No.: 21STCV 34067
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
VS.	PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT
AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM	DAMAGES AND RESITUTION FOR:
SERVICES, INC.; AMAZON LOGISTICS, INC.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,	1. FAILURE TO PROVIDE DISCLOSURES OR, IN THE
Defendants.	ALTERNATIVE, PROPER DISCLOSURES IN VIOLATION
	OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A) (FAJ CREDIT REPORTING ACT)
· · ·	2. FAILURE TO PROVIDE SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IN
	VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)(1) AND 1681g(c) (FAIR
	CREDIT REPORTING ACT)
	3. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1786, ET SEQ.
	(INVESTIGATIVE CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES ACT)
	4. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA
	CIVIL CODE § 1785, ET SEQ. (CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT)
	5. VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, ET
	SEQ. (UNFAIR COMPETITION)
	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW Plaintiff NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN ("Plaintiff"), individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated, who alleges and complain against Defendants AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC.; AMAZON LOGISTICS, INC.; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants") as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND NATURE OF THE ACTION

This class action arises from the acquisition and use of consumer, investigative, 1. and/or credit reports (collectively referred to as "background reports") by Defendants, all of whom are Delaware corporations, to conduct background checks on Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective, current, and former employees who drove for Defendants as "Amazon Flex" delivery drivers.

Defendants routinely obtain and use information from background reports in 2. connection with their hiring and employment practices without complying with state and federal mandates for doing so. As part of this practice, Defendants failed to provide a requisite disclosure 14 form or, in the alternative, provide a disclosure form to Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon 15 Flex delivery drivers, as part of their hiring process that was noncompliant with state and federal 16 statutes. 17

Plaintiff, on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated Amazon Flex 3. 18 delivery drivers, seek compensatory and punitive damages due to Defendants' willful or grossly 19 negligent conduct and its systematic and willful violations of, inter alia, the Fair Credit Reporting 20 Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq.; the Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act 21 ("ICRAA"), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1786 et seq.; the Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act 22 ("CCRAA"), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1785 et seq.; and California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), 23 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq. 24

Defendants have violated the requirements under these statutes by failing to 4. 25 provide required disclosures and/or failing to provide legally compliant disclosures. The 26 procurement of background reports for employment purposes is subject to strict disclosure 27 requirements under federal law pursuant to the FCRA and under California law pursuant to the

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

ICRAA and CCRAA. Among other things, Defendants may not procure background reports unless a "clear and conspicuous" disclosure is made in a stand-alone document that "consists solely of the disclosure" informing the applicant that a report may be obtained for employment purposes. This required disclosure document is sometimes referred to as a "pre-authorization form" or a "disclosure form."

5. The reason for requiring that the disclosure be in a stand-alone document, according to the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), is to prevent consumers from being distracted by other information that is side-by-side within the disclosure. The FCRA seeks to protect important privacy rights and to ensure that consumers receive adequate disclosure and provide adequate authorization for background checks. A stand-alone disclosure form is critical to achieving that goal.

Defendants failed to provide the required stand-alone disclosure form or, in the 12 6. alternative, provided a disclosure form that contained extraneous and irrelevant information, 13 which violates the requirement that the disclosure be made in a document that consists solely of 14 the disclosure. Additionally, because Defendants failed to provide a disclosure form, Defendants 15 failed to provide a clear and conspicuous disclosure form that may be understood by a reasonable 16 reader or, in the alterative, Defendants' disclosure form failed to be clear and conspicuous because 17 it contained language that would confuse a reasonable reader. For these reasons, among others, 18 Defendants' failure to provide a disclosure form or, alternatively, the disclosure form provided by 19 20 Defendants violated the law.

7. As further alleged herein, Defendants' violations occurred because Defendants
 willfully failed to provide required disclosures or, alternatively, willfully failed to properly apprise
 him of the statutory mandates before seeking, acquiring, and utilizing background reports for
 employment purposes; violated the express and unambiguous provisions of the relevant statutes;
 and/or failed to implement reasonable procedures to assure compliance with statutory mandates.

8. As a result of Defendants' omissions and/or wrongful acts, Plaintiff and similarly
 situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers have been injured, including, without limitation, having
 their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA.

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

9. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and on behalf of similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, statutory, seeks actual and/or compensatory damages, punitive damages, and equitable relief, including costs and expenses of litigation including attorney's fees, and appropriate injunctive relief requiring Defendants to comply with their legal obligations, as well as additional and further relief that may be appropriate. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to add additional relief as permitted under applicable law.

7

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to hear this case because the monetary
 damages and restitution sought by Plaintiff exceeds the minimal jurisdiction limits of the Superior
 Court of the State of California and will be established according to proof at trial.

This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution,
 Article VI, Section 10, which grants the superior court "original jurisdiction in all other causes"
 except those given by statute to other courts. The statutes under which this action is brought do not
 specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

3. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because, upon information and belief,
Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avails itself
of the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by California courts
consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

Venue is proper in this Court because, upon information and belief, Defendants
 regularly transact business in the State of California, including Los Angeles County. The majority
 of acts and omissions alleged herein relating to Plaintiff and putative class members took place in
 the State of California, including Los Angeles County.

5.

23

24

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the
State of California.

27 7. Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC., at all relevant times mentioned herein, was a
 28 corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and is and was doing business in

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

.

the State of California.

8. Defendant AMAZON.COM SERVICES. INC., at all relevant times mentioned
 herein, was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and is and was doing
 business in the State of California

5

6

7

1

9. Defendant AMAZON LOGISTICS, INC. is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and is and was doing business in the State of California.

8 10. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names, capacities, relationships, and extent of 9 participation in the conduct alleged herein, of the Defendants sued as DOES 1 through 100, 10 inclusive, but is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the defendants are legally 11 responsible for the wrongful conduct alleged herein and therefore sues these Defendants by such 12 fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to alleges the true names and capacities of 13 the DOE defendants when ascertained.

14 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times 15 herein, all Defendants were the agents, employees and/or servants, masters or employers of the 16 remaining defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course 17 and scope of such agency or employment, and with the approval and ratification of each of the 18 other Defendants.

19 12. Plaintiff alleges that each and every one of the acts and omissions alleged herein 20 were performed by, and/or attributable to, all Defendants, each acting as agents and/or employees, 21 and/or under the direction and control of each of the other defendants, and that said acts and 22 failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and 23 control.

24

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

In or around October 2019, Defendants hired Plaintiff NAREK MIKE
MELIKYAN as an Amazon Flex delivery driver.

Plaintiff alleges in that evaluating him for his position as an Amazon Flex delivery
 driver, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared a background report (i.e., a consumer report
 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

and/or investigative consumer report, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(I)(B) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(e), a consumer credit report, as defined by Cal. Civ. Code Section 1785.3(c), and/or an investigative consumer report, as defined by Cal. Civ. Code Section 1786.2(c)).

On or about August 29, 2020, Plaintiff discovered Defendants' violations of the 4 15. FCRA, ICRAA, and/or CCRAA he obtained a copy of his background report and related 5 documents from Defendants' thirty-party background report provide, Accurate Background, Inc., 6 and further discovered that Defendants had procured and/or caused to be procured a background 7 report regarding him without a require disclosure form or, in the alternative, based on a non-8 complaint disclosure form. 9

Plaintiff alleges that in connection with Defendants' evaluation of them for 10 16. employment Amazon Flex delivery drivers, Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery 11 drivers completed Defendants' standard application materials, which, on information and belief, 12 were used regularly by Defendants to evaluate Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex 13 delivery drivers for employment during the relevant time period and included procuring and/or 14 causing to be procured background reports on Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex 15 16 delivery drivers.

1

2

3

When Plaintiff received of a copy of his background report and documents related 17 17. thereto from Defendants' third-party background report provider, Accurate Background, Inc., no 18 disclosure form signed by Plaintiff was included. Plaintiff is further informed, believe, and thereon 19 alleges that Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery 20 drivers Amazon Flex delivery drivers with a document constituting a disclosure form or, in the 21 alternative, failed to provide a legally-compliant document constituting a disclosure form that was 22 clear and conspicuous, written, and stand-alone as required by 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A), Cal. 23 Civ. Code §§ 1786.16(a)(2), and Cal Civ. Code §§ 1785.20.5(a), prior to procuring or causing to 24 be procured background reports on Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective, current, and former 25 employees of Defendants. 26

In the alternative, if Defendants did provide a disclosure to Plaintiff and similarly 27 18. situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, then Plaintiff alleges that Defendants failed to provide 28 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

them with a legally compliant disclosure form based on the following:

1

The disclosure form did not consist solely of the disclosure because it 2 (a) contained extraneous information and/or was unclear and not reasonably understandable to 3 Plaintiff and similarly situated drivers Amazon Flex delivery drivers because it, among other 4 5 things, for example:

Provided that the scope of disclosure and authorization was alli. 6 encompassing, allowing Defendants to obtain consumer reports and investigative consumer 7 reports now and throughout the course of employment to the extent permitted by law, unless 8 otherwise revoked by providing written notification to Defendants. 9

The disclosure did not explain how the disclosure and A 10 authorization was all-encompassing or how an all-encompassing nature would affect Plaintiff's 11 and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers' rights. 12

The section of the provision regarding "to the extent В 13 permitted by law" suggests that there may be some limits on the all-encompassing nature of the 14 authorization, but the disclosure does not identify what those limits are or may be. 15

The provision does not explain what the contents of any С 16 notice to the Defendants should contain to effectively revoke consent, if so desired. 17

Provided that Minnesota and Oklahoma applicants or employees ii. 18 only could check an appropriate box below if they wanted to receive a copy of their consumer 19 reports free of charge. 20

This provision may have confused Plaintiff and similarly А 21 situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers and caused them to believe that only those who have 22 applied or are working in either Minnesota or Oklahoma are entitled to copies of their consumer 23 reports free of charge. 24

Provided that New York applicants have the right to inspect and iii. 25 receive a copy of any investigative consumer report requested by Defendants by contacting the 26 consumer reporting agency or contacting Defendants to request the name, address, and telephone 27 number of the nearest unit of the consumer reporting agency designated to handle inquiries, which 28 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

the Defendants shall provide within 5 days. The disclosure further provided that, upon requires, New York applicants will be informed whether or not a consumer report was requested by Defendants, and if such report was requested, informed of the name and address of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the report.

This provision may have confused Plaintiff and similarly 5 A situated drivers Amazon Flex delivery drivers to believe that only those who applied or are 6 working in New York the right to inspect and receive a copy of any investigative consumer report 7 requested by Company by contacting the consumer reporting agency or contacting the Company 8 to request the name, address and telephone number of the nearest unit of the consumer reporting 9 agency designated to handle inquiries, which the Company shall provide within 5 days or that only 10 those who applied or are employed in New York will be informed whether or not a consumer 11 report was requested by Company, and if such report was requested, informed of the name and 12 address of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the report. 13

ii. Provided that Oregon applicants may obtain information describing
your rights under federal and Oregon law regarding consumer identity theft protection, the storage
and disposal of your credit information, and remedies available should you suspect or find that the
Company has not maintained secured records is available to you upon request.

A This provision may have confused Plaintiff and similarly situated drivers Amazon Flex delivery drivers to believe that only those who applied or are working in Oregon are entitled to information describing their rights regarding consumer identity theft protection, the storage and disposal of your credit information, and remedies available should Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers suspect or find that the Company has not maintained secured records available upon request.

24 iii. Provided that Washington State applicants have the right to request
25 from the consumer reporting agency a written summary of your rights and remedies under the
26 Washington Fair Credit Reporting Act

A This provision may have confused Plaintiff and similarly
 situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers to believe that only those who applied or are working in
 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

1

2

24

Washington have the right to request from the consumer reporting agency a written summary of your rights and remedies.

Plaintiff is further alleges that if a disclosure form provided by Defendants 3 (b) and Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, said disclosure form violated 4 Cal. Civ. Code section 1786.16(a)(2) because it contained an "evergreen consent" provision in 5 defiance of the requirement that Defendants provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex 6 delivery drivers with written disclosures and obtain written authorization each time an 7 investigative consumer report is sought. Plaintiff alleges that not only did disclosure form present ' 8 information in a manner that was confusing to a reasonable reader, but was directly contravened 9 by the requirement set forth in Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.16(a)(2) that an investigative consumer 10 report be procured only for "a permissible purpose." This cannot be the case if Defendants 11 compelled Plaintiff and similarly situated drivers Amazon Flex delivery drivers to sign off on an 12 open-ended, perpetual authorization (or "evergreen consent"), in violation of Cal. Civ. Code 13 section 1786.16(a)(2) (requiring that a written disclosure be provided "at any time" an 14 investigative consumer report is sought, subject to narrow exceptions), which allows Defendants 15 to obtain a background report without providing the requisite disclosure(s) or obtaining the 16 17 necessary authorization.

(c) Plaintiff further alleges that if a disclosure form provided by Defendants
and Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, said disclosure form violated
Civil Code section 1785.20.5(a) because it:

i. failed to identify the specific basis under Labor Code §1024.5(a) for
use of the report;
ii. failed to inform Plaintiff and similarly situated drivers Amazon Flex

25 iii. did not contain a check box that Plaintiff and similarly situated
26 Amazon Flex delivery drivers could check off to receive a copy of their credit report.

delivery drivers of the source of the report; and

Additionally, Plaintiff alleges, Defendants maintain a policy, practice, and/or
 procedure whereby, within three days of requesting investigative consumer reports regarding
 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, they fail to:

1

2

3

4

(a) inform Plaintiff and similarly situated drivers and Amazon Flex delivery drivers, in writing, of their right to request additional disclosures as provided in 15 U.S.C.
 §1681d(b); and

(b) provide a written summary of the rights of Plaintiff and similarly situated
Amazon Flex delivery drivers pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§1681g(c).

7 20. Therefore, Plaintiff alleges, Defendants violated Section 1681d(a)(1) of the FCRA
8 by failing to provide to Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers within three
9 days of requesting investigative consumer reports regarding them a disclosure, in writing,
10 informing them of their right to request the additional disclosures provided for under Section
11 1681d(b) of the FCRA.

12 21. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendants further violated of Section 1681d(a)(1) of 13 the FCRA by failing to provide to Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers 14 within three days of requesting investigative consumer reports a written disclosure, including a 15 written summary of their rights pursuant to Section 1681g(c) of the FCRA.

16 22. By failing to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers
17 with a document that constituted a written disclosure form, Plaintiff alleges Defendants violated
18 the FCRA as follows:

(a) Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex
 delivery drivers a document that constituted an FCRA disclosure in a separate document that
 consisted solely of the disclosure before running background reports on them;

(b) Defendants failed, within three days of requesting investigative consumer
reports regarding Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective, current, and former drivers and
Amazon Flex delivery drivers, to inform them, in writing, of their right to request additional
disclosures as provided in 15 U.S.C. §1681d(b);

(c) Defendants failed, within three days of requesting investigative consumer
 reports regarding Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, to provide them
 with a written summary of the rights of Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective, current, and
 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

former drivers and Amazon Flex delivery drivers pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§1681g(c); 1 Defendants failed, within three days of requesting investigative consumer 2 (d) reports regarding Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, to provide them a 3 disclosure, in writing, informing them of their right to request the additional disclosures provided 4 5 for under Section 1681d(b) of the FCRA; and Defendants failed, within three days of requesting investigative consumer 6 (e) reports regarding Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers, to provide them a 7 written disclosure, including a written summary of their rights pursuant to Section 1681g(c) of the 8 9 FCRA. 23. By failing to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers 10 with a document that constituted a written disclosure form, Plaintiff alleges Defendants violated 11 12 the ICRAA as follows: Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective, 13 (a) current, and former drivers and Amazon Flex delivery drivers a clear and conspicuous disclosure 14 in writing, in a document consisting solely of the disclosure, at any time before a background 15 report was procured or caused to be procured on them that: 16 i. an investigative report may be obtained; 17 identified the permissible purpose of the report; ii. 18 the disclosure may have included information on Plaintiff's and 19 iii.similarly situated prospective, current, and former employees' character, general reputation, 20 personal characteristics, and mode of living; 21 identified the name, address, and telephone number of the 22 iv. investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation; 23 notified Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery 24 v. drivers, in writing, of the nature and scope of the investigation requested, including a summary of 25 the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.22; and 26 notified Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery 27 vi. drivers of the Internet Web site address of the investigative consumer reporting agency or, if the 28 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT 11

agency had no Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency, where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether Plaintiff's and similarly situated prospective, current, and former drivers' and Amazon Flex delivery drivers' personal information will be sent outside the United States or its territories.

5

6

1

2

3

4

(b) Defendants failed to obtain written authorization of Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers for the procurement of the report;

7 (c) Defendants failed to certify to the investigative consumer reporting agency
8 that they had made the applicable disclosures to Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex
9 delivery drivers as required;

(d) Defendants failed to agree to provide copies of the reports to Plaintiff
 similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers;

(e) Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex
delivery drivers a means by which they could indicate on a written form, by means of a box to
check, that they wished to received a copy of any report that is prepared; and

(f) Defendants further failed to send Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon
Flex delivery drivers a copy within three business days of the day the reports were provided to
Defendants if Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective, current, and former drivers and Amazon
Flex delivery drivers which to received a copy of the report.

24. By failing to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers
with a document that constituted a written disclosure form, Plaintiff alleges Defendants violated
the CCRAA as follows:

(a) Defendants failed to identify the specific basis under Labor Code
§1024.5(a) for use of the report;

(b) Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff and similarly situated prospective,
Amazon Flex delivery drivers of Defendants of the source of the report; and

26 (c) Defendants failed to include a check box that Plaintiff and similarly situated
27 Amazon Flex delivery drivers of Defendants could check off to receive a copy of their credit
28 report.

.

· ·

•			
1	25.	In the	alternative, Plaintiff alleges, if Defendants did provide Plaintiff and similarly
2	situated Amaz	on Fle	x delivery drivers with a document that constituted a written disclosure form,
3	the written dis	closure	e form provided was not compliant with the FCRA based on the following:
4		(a)	It was not a stand-alone document;
5		(b)	It was not a document that consisted solely of the disclosure; and
6		(c)	It included extraneous information.
7	26.	In the	alternative, Plaintiff alleges, if Defendants did provide Plaintiff and similarly
8	situated Amaz	on Fle	x delivery drivers with a document that constituted a written disclosure form,
9	the written dis	closure	e form provided was not compliant with the ICRAA based on the following:
10		(a)	It was not provided at any time a background report was sought for
11	employment p	ourpose	s;
12		(b)	It was not clear and conspicuous;
13		(c)	It was not a stand-alone document;
14		(d)	It was not a document that consisted solely of the disclosure;
15		(e)	It included extraneous information;
16		(f)	It failed to state that an investigate consumer report may be obtained;
17		(g)	It failed to identify the permissible purpose of the report;
18		(h)	It failed to provide that the disclosure may include information regarding
19	Plaintiff's and	d simil	arly situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers' character, general reputation,
20	personal chara	acterist	ics, and mode of living;
21		(i)	It failed to identify the name, address, and telephone number of the
22	investigative	consum	her reporting agency conducting the investigation;
23		(j)	It failed to notify Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery
24	drivers, in wr	iting, o	f the nature and scope of the investigation requested, including a summary of
25	the provision	of Cal.	Civ. Code §1786.22; and
26		(k)	It failed to notify Plaintiff and similarly situated Amazon Flex delivery
27	drivers of the internet web site address of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting		
28	the investigat	ion.	
			PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT 13

In the alternative, Plaintiff alleges, if Defendants did provide Plaintiff and similarly 27. 1 situated Amazon Flex delivery drivers with a document that constituted a written disclosure form, 2 the written disclosure form provided was not compliant with the CCRAA based on the following: 3 It failed to identify the specific basis under Labor Code §1024.5(a) for use 4 (a) 5 of the report; It failed to identify the source of the report; and 6 (b) It failed to include a check box that and similarly situated prospective, 7 (c) current, and former employees could check off to receive a copy of their credit report. 8 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS 9 Plaintiff brings this action on their own behalf and on behalf of all other members 28. 10 of the general public similarly situated, and, thus, seeks class certification pursuant to Code of 11 Civil Procedure section 382 because there is a well-defined community of interest among many 12 persons who comprise the classes defined below: 13 Class Definitions: The classes are defined as follows: 29. 14 FCRA Class: All current, former, and prospective Amazon Flex delivery 15 (a) drivers of Defendants in the United States at any time during the period for which a background 16 check was performed beginning five (5) years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the 17 date that final judgment is entered in this action. 18 ICRAA Class: All current, former, and prospective Amazon Flex delivery (b) 19 drivers of Defendants in California at any time during the period for which a background check 20 was performed beginning five (5) years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that 21 final judgment is entered in this action. 22 CCRAA Class: All current, former, and prospective Amazon Flex delivery 23 (c) drivers of Defendants in California at any time during the period for which a background check 24 was performed beginning seven (7) years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date 25 that final judgment is entered in this action 26 Revisions to Proposed Class Definitions: Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or 27 30. modify the class definitions by further division into subclasses and/or by limitation to particular 28 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

issues and/or to exclude improper constituents as may subsequently prove necessary.

1

31. <u>Numerosity</u>: The class members are so numerous that the individual joinder of each
individual class member is impractical. While Plaintiff does not currently know the exact number
of class members, Plaintiff is informed and believes that the actual number exceeds the minimum
required for numerosity under the law.

6 32. <u>Commonality and Predominance</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all
7 class members and predominate over any questions which affect only individual class members.
8 These questions include, but are not limited to:

9 (a) Whether it is Defendants' standard procedure to provide a stand-alone 10 written disclosure that is clear and conspicuous to class members before obtaining a consumer 11 report, investigative consumer report, and/or credit report in compliance with the statutory 12 mandates;

(b) Whether it is Defendants' standard procedure to provide class members
reasonable opportunity to obtain copies of their consumer reports, investigative consumer reports,
and/or credit reports in compliance with the statutory mandates;

(c) Whether it is Defendants' standard procedure to provide class members with
 copies of their consumer report, investigative consumer report, and/or credit report in a timely
 matter in compliance with the statutory mandates;

(d) Whether it is Defendants' standard procedure to class members with a copy
of the report, or summary of their rights under the FCRA;

(e) Whether it is Defendants' standard procedure to identify a specific basis for
 requesting a consumer credit report in compliance with the statutory mandates;

(f) Whether Defendants' failures to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA, or
CCRAA were willful or grossly negligent;

25 (g) Whether Defendants' conduct described herein constitutes a violation of the
26 UCL; and

(h) The appropriate amount of statutory damages, attorneys' fees, and costs
 resulting from Defendants' violations of federal and California law.

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

33. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other class members' claims. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have a policy, practice, and/or procedures, or a lack of a policy, practice, and/or procedure, which resulted in Defendants failing to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA, and/or CCRAA as alleged herein.

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

4

34. <u>Adequacy of Class Representative</u>: Plaintiff is adequate class representative in that they have no interest that is adverse to, or otherwise in conflict with, the interests of absent class members. Plaintiff is dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of class members. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of class members.

- 9 35. <u>Adequacy of Class Counsel</u>: Plaintiff's counsel are adequate class counsel in that 10 they have no known conflicts of interest with Plaintiff or absent class members, are experienced in 11 class action litigation and are dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of Plaintiff 12 and absent class members.
- Superiority: A class action is vastly superior to other available means for fair and 13 36. efficient adjudication of class members' claims and would be beneficial to the parties and the 14 Court. Class action treatment will allow a number of similarly situated persons to simultaneously 15 and efficiently prosecute their common claims in a single forum without the unnecessary 16 duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would entail. In addition, the 17 monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small and 18 would thus make it difficult, if not impossible, for individual class members to both seek and 19 obtain relief. Moreover, a class action will serve an important public interest by permitting class 20 members to effectively pursue the recovery of monies owed to them. Further, a class action will 21 prevent the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments inherent in individual litigation. 22
- 23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE DISCLOSURE/PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A) (FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT)(Against All Defendants and DOE Defendants by Plaintiff and the FCRA Class)

37. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

38. Defendants are "persons" as defined by section 1681a(b) of the FCRA.

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

12

39. Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members are consumers within the meaning section 1681a(c) of the FCRA, because they are "individuals."

40. Defendants violated section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA by failing to provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members with a clear and conspicuous written disclosure, before a report is procured or caused to be procured, that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes, in a document that consists solely of the disclosure.

Based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable 7 41. opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges Defendants have a policy, 8 practice, and/or procedure of failing to provide any disclosure whatsoever or, in the alternative, 9 failing to provide an adequate written disclosure to Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members before 10 procuring consumer reports or causing consumer reports to be procured. Pursuant to that policy, 11 practice, and/or procedure, Defendants procured consumer reports or caused consumer reports to 12 be procured for Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members without first providing a written disclosure or, 13 in the alternative, a written disclosure in compliance with section 168lb(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA. 14

42. Defendants' conduct in violation of section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA was and is
willful. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of
Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other
things, the following:

(a) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice through their
 own general counsel's office and outside counsel;

(b) The third-party background check provider in this case, Accurate
 Backgrounds, Inc., is an established background check provider; and

(c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates a written
 disclosure is required and that including extraneous and unclear information in a disclosure
 violates disclosure requirements.

43. Accordingly, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the FCRA
including, but not limited to section 168lb(b)(2)(A). Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by,
among other things, the facts set forth above.

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

r.

• • •

1	44. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of consumer reports by way of the	nei
2	failure to provide a disclosure or, in that alternative, utilizing an inadequate disclosure, as set fo	ort
3	above, Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members have been injured including, but not limited to, hav	'n
4	their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the FCRA.	
5	45. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FCRA Class Members, seek all availa	ıb
6	remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n including statutory damages and/or actual damage	ge
7	punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.	
8	46. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plain	nti
9	alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under 15 U.S	3.0
10	§ 1681o.	
11	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
12	FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROPER SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF	
13	15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)(1) AND 1681g(c)	
14	(FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT)	
15	(Against All Defendants and DOE Defendants by Plaintiff and the FCRA Class)	
16	47. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.	
17	48. 15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a) states:	
18	(a) Disclosure of fact of preparation - A person may not procure or cause to be	
19	prepared an investigative consumer report on any consumer unless -	
20	investigative consumer report including information as to his	
21	character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living, which are applicable, may be made, and such disclosure	
22	(A) is made in a writing mailed, or otherwise delivered, to the consumer, not later than three days after the date on which	
23	the report was first requested, and	
24	(B) includes a statement informing the consumer of his right to request the additional disclosures provided for under	
25	subsection (b) of this section and the written summaly of the rights of the consumer prepared pursuant to section 168lg(c)	
26	of this title	
27	49. 15 U.S.C. § 1681d(b) states:	
28		
	PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT 18	

. . ,

.

.

. .

1 2 3 4 5 6	(b)	Disclosure on request of nature and scope of investigation Any person who procures or causes to be prepared an investigative consumer report on any consumer shall, upon written request made by the consumer within a reasonable period of time after the receipt by him of the disclosure required by subsection (a)(1), make a complete and accurate disclosure of the nature and scope of the investigation requested. This disclosure shall be made in a writing mailed, or after the date on which the request for such disclosure was received from the consumer or such report was first requested, whichever is the later.		
	50.	15 U.S.C. § 1681g(c) further provides for summary of rights to obtain and dispute		
7	information i	n consumer reports and to obtain credit scores:		
8				
9	(c)	Summary of rights to obtain and dispute information in consumer reports and to obtain credit scores		
10		(1) Commission summary of rights required		
11		(A) In general The Commission shall prepare a model summary of the rights of consumers under this subchapter.		
12		(B) Content of summary		
13		The summary of rights prepared under subparagraph (A) shall include a description of-		
14		(i) the right of a consumer to obtain a copy of a consumer report		
15		under subsection (a) from each consumer reporting agency;(ii) the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is		
16		(ii) the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report without charge under section 1681i of this title;		
17		(iii) the right of a consumer to dispute information in the file of the consumer under section 1681i of this title;		
18		(iv) the right of a consumer to obtain a credit score from a		
19		consumer reporting agency, and a description of how to obtain a credit score;		
20		(v) the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a		
21		consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without charge, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau		
22		prescribed under section 211(c) of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003; and		
23		(vi) the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a		
24		consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described in section 1681 a(w) of this title, as provided in the		
25		regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 1681j(a)(l)(C) of this title.		
26	51.	As alleged herein, Defendants failed to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a) because		
27	Defendants	failed provide any disclosure or, in the alternative, failed to clearly and accurately		
28				
		PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT		
		19		

.

1

2

3

disclose to Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members that an investigative consumer report including information as to their character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living may be made.

52. Defendants further failed to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681d(b) because Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class members a written disclosure, within three days of requesting an investigative consumer report regarding them, including a statement informing Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members of their right to request the additional disclosures provided for under Section 1681d(b) of the FCRA.

9 53. In further violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a), because Defendants failed to provide
Plaintiff and FCRA Class members a written disclosure, within three days of requesting an
investigative consumer report regarding them, including a written summary of the rights of
Plaintiff and FCRA Class members prepared pursuant to Section 1681g(c) of the FCRA.

54. Additionally, Defendants did not comply with Section 1681g(c)(B)(1) because they
failed to provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members a summary of rights stating the right of a
consumer to obtain a copy of a consumer report from each consumer reporting agency.

16 55. Defendants did not comply with Section 1681g(c)(B)(2) because they failed to
 17 provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members a summary of rights stating the frequency and
 18 circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report without charge.

19 56. Defendants did not comply with Section 1681g(c)(B)(3) because they failed to
20 provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members a summary of rights stating the right of a consumer to
21 dispute information in the file of the consumer.

57. Defendants did not comply with Section 168lg(c)(B)(4) because they failed to provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members a summary of rights stating the right of a consumer to obtain a credit score from a consumer reporting agency and a description of how to obtain a credit score.

26 58. Defendants did not comply with Section 1681g(c)(B)(5) because they failed to
 27 provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members a summary of rights stating the method by which a
 28 consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without
 28 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

charge.

1

2 59. Defendants did not comply with Section 1681g(c)(B)(6) because they failed to 3 provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members a summary of rights stating the method by which a 4 consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described 5 in Section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under 6 section 168lj(a)(1)(C) of this title.

60. Defendants conduct in violation of Sections 1681d(a)-(b) and 1681g(c) of the
FCRA was and is willful. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations
and the rights of Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by,
among other things, the following facts:

(a) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice through their
 own general counsel's office and outside counsel;

(b) The third-party background check provider in this case, Accurate
Backgrounds, Inc., is an established background check provider; and

(c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that Defendants may not procure or cause to be prepared an investigative consumer report on Plaintiff and/or FCRA Class Members unless it is clearly and accurately disclosed to them that an investigative consumer report including information as to their character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living is made in a writing mailed, or otherwise delivered, to the consumer, not later than three days after the date on which the report was first requested, and includes a statement informing them of their right to request the additional disclosures.

22 61. Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the FCRA including, but not
23 limited to, Sections 1681d(a)-(b) and 1681g(c). Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among
24 other things, the facts set forth above.

62. By willfully failing to provide Plaintiff and FCRA Class Members with the abovedescribed information informing them of their right to request additional disclosures under the
FCRA and further failing to provide them with a written summary of their rights under the FRCA,
Defendants deprived Plaintiff and Class Members of their legal right to this information.

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all FCRA Class members, seeks 63. 1 remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, including statutory damages, and attorneys' fees and 2 costs for Defendants' violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)-(b) and 1681g(c). 3 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 4 VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §§ 1786, ET SEQ. 5 (INVESTIGATIVE CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES ACT) 6 (Against All Defendants and DOE Defendants by Plaintiff and the ICRAA Class) 7 Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein. 64. 8 Defendants are "persons" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.2(a). 65. 9 Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members are "consumers" within the meaning Cal. Civ. 66. 10 Code § 1786.2(b), because they are natural individuals who have made application to a person for 11 12 employment purposes. Section 1786.2(c) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer report" as "a 67. 13 consumer report in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personal 14 characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through any means." Thus, a background check 15 qualifies as an investigative consumer report under the ICRAA. 16 Section 1786.2(d) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer reporting agency" 17 68. as "any person who, for monetary fees or dues, engages in whole or in part in the practice of 18 collecting, assembling, evaluating, compiling, reporting, transmitting, transferring, or 19 communicating information concerning consumers for the purposes of furnishing investigative 20 consumer reports to third parties, but does not include any governmental agency whose records are 21 maintained primarily for traffic safety, law enforcement, or licensing purposes, or any licensed 22 insurance agent, insurance broker, or solicitor, insurer, or life insurance agent." 23 Section 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA provides, in relevant part: 69. 24 25 If, at any time, an investigative consumer report is sought for employment purposes...the person seeking the investigative consumer report may procure the 26 report, or cause the report to be made, only if all of the following apply: The person procuring or causing the report to be made has a permissible 27 (A) purpose, as defined in Section 1786.12. 28 PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

-22

(B) The person procuring or causing the report to be made provides a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure, that:

- (i) An investigative consumer report may be obtained.
- (ii) The permissible purpose of the report is identified.
- (iii) The disclosure may include information on the consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living.
- (iv) Identifies the name, address, and telephone number of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
- (v) Notifies the consumer in writing of the nature and scope of the investigation requested, including the provisions of Section 1786.22.
- (vi) Notifies the consumer of the Internet Web site address of the investigative consumer reporting agency identified in clause (iv), or, if the agency has no Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency, where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether the consumer's personal information will be sent outside the United States or its territories and information that complies with subdivision (d) of Section 1786.20. This clause shall become operative on January 1, 2012.

(C) The consumer has authorized in writing the procurement of the report.

70. On information and belief, and based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Defendants had and have a policy, practice, and/or procedure of procuring investigative consumer reports or causing investigative consumer reports to be procured for Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members without providing them any disclosures whatsoever or, in the alternative, without providing them with stand-alone disclosures and obtaining authorization every time, as required by law.

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

71. Pursuant to their policies, practices, and/or procedures, Defendants violated section 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA by failing to provide Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members with any written disclosure prior to procuring a background report or, in the alternative, by failing to provide Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing that consisted solely of the disclosure, which adequately notified the consumer of the nature and scope of the investigation, and failing to obtain written authorization each time an investigative

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

consumer report is sought and procured with a permissible purpose as required by law.

Defendants further violated section 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(iv) by failing to identify each 72. investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.

Defendants further violated section 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(vi) by failing to notify the 4 73. Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members of the Internet Web site address of the investigative 5 consumer reporting agency identified in section 1786.16(a)(2)(B)(iv), or, if the agency has no 6 Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency, where the consumer may find 7 information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether the 8 consumer's personal information will be sent outside the United States or its territories and 9 information that complies with subdivision (d) of Section 1786.20. 10

11

1

2

3

On information and belief and based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary 74. support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Defendants had and 12 have a policy and practice of procuring investigative consumer reports or causing investigative 13 consumer reports to be procured for Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members without: 14

providing Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members with clear and conspicuous 15 (a) stand-alone disclosures each time a background report is requested, as required by law; 16

17

obtaining authorization every time a background report is requested; (b)

Pursuant to Defendants' policies, practices, and/or procedures, Defendants procured 75. 18 investigative consumer reports or caused investigative consumer reports to be procured for 19 Plaintiff and ICRAA Class Members without complying with the requirements set forth in 20 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA. 21

Accordingly, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the ICRAA 22 76. including, but not limited to § 1786.16(a)(2), Defendants' willful or grossly negligent conduct is 23 24 reflected by, among other things, the facts set forth above.

As a result of Defendants' willful or grossly negligent failure to provide the 25 77. required form as set forth above, Plaintiff and Class Members have been injured including, but not 26 limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA, among 27 28 other injuries.

.

·

.

.

1	78. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the ICRAA Class Members, seeks all available
2	remedies pursuant to § 1786.50 including actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and
3	equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.
4	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
5	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §§ 1785, ET SEQ.
6	(CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT)
7	(Against All Defendants and DOE Defendants by Plaintiff and the CCRAA Class)
8	79. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
9	80. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.30.
10	81. Plaintiff and CCRAA Class Members are consumers within the meaning of Civ.
11	Code § 1785.3(b), because they are "natural individuals."
12	82. Section 1785.3(c) of the CCRAA defines "consumer credit report" as any written,
13	oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer credit reporting agency bearing on
14	a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, or credit capacity, which is used or is expected to
15	be used, or collected in whole or in part, for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the
16	consumer's eligibility for employment purposes.
17	83. Section 1785.3(d) of the CCRAA defines "consumer credit reporting agency" as:
18	"any person who, for monetary fees, dues, or on a cooperative nonprofit basis, regularly engages
19	in whole or in part in the business of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or
20	other information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer credit reports to third
21	parties, but does not include any governmental agency whose records are maintained primarily for
22	traffic safety, law enforcement, or licensing purposes."
23	84. Section 1785.3(f) of the CCRAA defines "employment purposes," when used in
24	connection with a consumer credit report, as "a report used for the purpose of evaluating a
25	consumer for employment, promotion, reassignment, or retention as an employee."
26	85. Section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA requires that prior to requesting a consumer
27	credit report for employment purposes, the user of the report shall provide written notice that: (a)
28	identifies the specific basis under Section 1024.5(a) of the Labor Code for use of the report; (b)
	PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT 25
	H I

informs the person of the source of the report; and (c) contains a box that the person may check off
 to receive a copy of the credit report. The employer must provide the report to the applicant or
 employee contemporaneously and at no charge.

86. Defendants willfully violated section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA as to Plaintiff
and CCRAA Class Members, because they failed to provide written notice to Plaintiff and
CCRAA Class Members that references a specific basis for the report under Cal. Lab. Code §
1024.

8 87. Defendants willfully violated section 1785.20.5(b) of the CCRAA as to Plaintiff
9 and CCRAA Class Members, because they failed to provide written notice to Plaintiff and
10 CCRAA Class Members that informed them of the source of the report.

11 88. Defendants willfully violated section 1785.20.5(c) of the CCRAA as to Plaintiff 12 and CCRAA Class Members, because they failed to provide written notice to Plaintiff and 13 CCRAA Class Members that contained a box that they may check off to receive a copy of the 14 credit report.

15 89. On information and belief and based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary 16 support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Defendants had a 17 policy, practice, and/or procedure of (a) failing to identify the specific basis under Labor Code 18 §1024.5(a) for use of the report; (b) failing to identify the source of the report; (c) failed to contain 19 a box that the they may check off to receive a copy of the credit report.

90. Pursuant to that policy, practice, and/or procedure Defendants willfully violated
section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA as to Plaintiff and CCRAA Class Members.

91. Accordingly, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the CCRAA
including, but not limited to, section 1785.20.5(a) and have violated the privacy rights of Plaintiff
and CCRAA Class Members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the
facts set forth above.

92. As a result of Defendants' willful conduct as set forth above, Plaintiff and CCRAA
Class Members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory
rights invaded in violation of the CCRAA, among other injuries.

PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

1 93. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the CCRAA Class Members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Civ. Code § 1785.31 including statutory damages and/or actual damages, 2 3 punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief, and attorneys' fees and costs. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff 94. 4 alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under Civ. 5 6 Code § 1785.31. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 7 VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, ET SEQ. 8 (UNFAIR COMPETITION) 9 (Against All Defendants and DOE Defendants by Plaintiff and the FCRA, ICRAA, and 10 CCRAA Classes) 11 12 95. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein. 96. California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), California Business & Professions 13 Code § 17200 et seq., protects both consumers and competitors by promoting fair competition in 14 commercial markets for goods and services. The UCL prohibits any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent 15 business act or practice. A business practice need only meet one of the three criteria to be 16 considered unfair competition. An unlawful business practice is anything that can properly be 17 called a business practice and that at the same time is forbidden by law. 18 As described above, Defendants have violated the "unlawful" prong of the UCL in 97. 19 that Defendants' conduct violated numerous provisions of the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA. 20 98. Defendants have violated the "unfair" prong of the UCL in that they gained an 21 unfair business advantage by failing to comply with state and federal mandates in conducting 22 background checks and otherwise take the necessary steps to adhere to the FCRA, ICRAA, and 23 CCRAA. Further, any utility for Defendants' conduct is outweighed by the gravity of the 24 consequences to Plaintiff and the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA Class Members and because the 25 conduct offends public policy. 26 As a result of Defendants' conduct described herein and its willful violations of 99. 27 28 Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff and the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA Class Members have PLAINTIFF NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN'S COMPLAINT

1 suffered harm as described herein.

100. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff seek an order enjoining
Defendants from continuing to engage in the unfair and unlawful conduct described herein.
Plaintiff seek an order (a) requiring Defendants to cease the unfair and unlawful practices
described herein; and (b) awarding reasonable costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to California
Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

8 WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF ON THEIR OWN BEHALF AND ON BEHALF OF
9 THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED, PRAY FOR RELIEF AND JUDGMENT AGAINST
10 DEFENDANTS AS FOLLOWS:

An order certifying the proposed Classes, designating Plaintiff as named
 representatives of the Classes, and designating the undersigned as Class Counsel;

13 2. A Declaration that Defendants' practices violate the FCRA, ICRAA, CCRAA, and
14 UCL;

3. An award of statutory, compensatory, special, general, and punitive damages
according to proof against Defendants;

An award of appropriate equitable relief, including but not limited to an injunction
 forbidding Defendants from engaging in further unlawful conduct in violation of the FCRA,
 ICRAA, CCRAA, and UCL;

20

21

7

5. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law;

6. Leave to amend the Complaint to conform to the evidence produced at trial;

7. An award of attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law, including an award of
attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, 15 U.S.C. 1681o, Cal. Civ. Code §§
1786.50 and 1785.31(a), Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1021.5; and

Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper or as authorized by statute.

25

8.

26 27

28

.,

1 +

1	Dated: September 15, 2021 Respectfully submitted,
2	LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
3	By: Kim Farmer
4	Joseph Lavi, Esq. Vincent C. Granberry, Esq.
5	Anwar D. Burton, Esq. Kevin Joseph Farnan, Esq.
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN
7	on behalf of himself and others similarly situated
8	
9	
10	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
11	Plaintiff NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN demand a trial by jury for himself, and on behalf
12	other members of the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA Classes, on all claims so triable.
13	
14	Dated: September 15, 2021 Respectfully submitted, LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
15	Unin France
16	By: Joseph Lavi, Esq.
17	Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. Anwar D. Burton, Esq.
18	Kevin Joseph Farnan, Esq.
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN on behalf of himself and others similarly situated
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Sendy His, CA 59211 Tussees: 310-432-000 FX ND, Optimier 310-432-0001 ATTOBER VICE, MICE, MIC	_		СМ-010
Sendy His, CA 95211 TUBENCE: 310-432-000 FXX ND, Optimized 310-432-0001 Sendy His, CA 95211 ATTOREY POR MARK MICK MICK MICK MALKY ALL dot them a diridly shulled Sendy His, CA 95211 Sendy His, CA 95214 STREET ADDRESS, CA SCHEET Complex Case Designation Sendy His, CA 95214 Sendy His, CA 95214 CVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation Complex Case Designation Complex Case Designation Cover Cover Case Cover Sheet Cover Sheet Cover Sheet Dander MILINARY AVA ADAZON.COW, INC, et al. Cover Sheet Dander Dander Cover Cover Sheet Cover Sheet Dander Dander Cover Sheet Cover Sheet Cover Sheet Dande	Kevin Joseph Farnan (SBN 327524); LAVI & EBRAH		FOR COURT USE ONLY
Turney nore, is: 310-332-000 PX 400, (pterms) 310-432-0001 A Tordey nore, Numely: NAREK MKK CHELKIYKAN, and othera samilarly situated Supering: Control & Angeles SUPERING COURT OF CALIFORMA, COUNTOP LOS ANGELES SEP 1.5 2021 Seven: Nume: State Market Maximum Counts: State Market Maximum Counts: State Market CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation (Amount demanded genanded is 325,000) Jonate (Call, Rules of Court, rule 3.4002) Intermed Addition and the state of Counter of Counter to State of Court, rule 3.4002 Jonate (Call, Rules of Court, rule 3.4002) Intermed Counter to	8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200		
A Total rate with the second of the second o		FAX NO, (Optional): 310-432-0001	COPY
SUPERION COURT OF CALIFORMIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Superior County of Los Angeles Steres Accessed: 11 h. HILL STREET SEP 1.5 2021 Answers Answers: Stanley Mosk Courthouse Sent R. Catler, Executive Official Carl, Cault CMIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) Divertion of the case type that best describes this case: 25,000 In Intel (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) Total Affects of Count Intel & Alonge (Amount demanded) Divertion of the case type that best describes this case: 25,000 Intel Action of the case type that best describes this case: Auto Total Contract Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Call Rules of Count, rules 3.400, 201) Intel Action of the case type that best describes this case: Conferentiation (the) Differentiation (the) Other rule 3.400 (201) Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Call Rules of Count, rules 3.400, 201) Define analyzation (the) Differentiation (the) Production of the case type that best describes this case: Conferentiation (the) Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Call Rules of Count, rules 3.400, 201) Define rule (the) Differentiation (the) Differentiation (the) Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Call Rules of Count, rules 3.400, 201) Define the above block of the case type that best describes the case: Conferentiation (the) Differentiation (the) Differentiation (the) Define the above block of	ATTORNEY FOR (Name): NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN, a		Official of California
Street Accesses 111 N. HILL STREET MUNE accesses Street Accesses MANUE ACCESSES Street Accesses Amount Accesses Street Accesses CASE NAME: Street Accesses CASE NAME: Complex Case Designation (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) CASE NAME: Complex Case Designation (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) CASE NAME: Complex Case Designation (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) Items 1-6 allow must be completed (see instructions on page 2). Accesses 1. Check one box below for the case by the that bescene of contract (SI) (Debr PII/DOW) (Parsonal HuryProperty) Provisionally Complex CMI Lingation (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.400-3.403) Dubre PII/DOW (Dersonal HuryProperty) Debre oblections (09) (Debr PII/DOW) (Parsonal HuryProperty) Provisionally Complex CMI Lingation (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.400-3.403) Dubre PII/DOW (Cas) Contract (SI) (Debr PII/DOW) (Parsonal HuryProperty) Provisionally Complex CMI Lingation (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.400-3.403) Dubre PII/DOW (Cas) Contract (SI) (Debr PII/DOW) (Debre Of Court) Provisionally Complex Case (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.400-3.403) Dubre PII/DOW (Cas) Contract (SI) (Debre Complex Case Provisionally Complex Case (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.400-3.403) Dubre PII/DOW (Cas) Contract (SI) (Debromplaint Ru	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY O	F LOS ANGELES	Superior Court of County of Los Angeles
Description State (See Designation) CASE MARE: By Kristina Vargas, Dobu CASE MARE: By Kristina Vargas, Dobu CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation Xi Unifinitiation Limited (Anount Conner damanded Jointer Xi Unifinitiation Limited (Call, Rules of Court, 108, 3.400-3.403)	STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL STREET		
	MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL STREET		SEP 1 5 2021
CASE MAE: MELIKYAN V. AMAZON.COM, INC.; et al. CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation Character describes in the complex Case Designation Contract describes in the complex Case Designation Case Mathematical describes in the complex Case Designation Case Mathematical describes in the complex Case Designation (Case Nuels of Court, rule 3, 40, 60, 70, 70, 70, 70, 70, 70, 70, 70, 70, 7	•		1. D. D. L. Turgersting Officer/Clerk of Court
CVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation Conter Joinder Monunt Generated is Joinder Joinder Joinder Autor Status Status Joinder Joinder Immarked genanded is Status Joinder Joinder Joinder Immarked genanded is Status Joinder			
CVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation Conter Joinder Monunt Generated is Joinder Joinder Joinder Autor Status Status Joinder Joinder Immarked genanded is Status Joinder Joinder Joinder Immarked genanded is Status Joinder			By: Kristina Vargas, Deputy
Winnied (Amount demanded (Amount demanded) Imited (Amount demanded) Imited (Amount demanded) Imited (Amount demanded) Imited (Amount demanded) Imited (Call, Rules of Court, rule 3.402, rules 3.402,	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
Windown demanded exceeds \$26,000 Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402) Deer: Imms 7–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). Imms 7–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Auto Tot Provisionally Complex CMI Litigation (Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.400–3.403) 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Auto Tot Provisionally Complex CMI Litigation (Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.400–3.403) 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Auto Tot Provisionally Complex CMI Litigation (Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.400–3.403) Cher PIPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property DamageWrongful Death) Tort Business (Ch) Construction defect (10) Merical matrixet (45) Eminent domain/Inverse condemantion (14) Eminent domain/Inverse condemantion (14) Mass tori (40) Non-PIPD/WD (Cher) Tort Wongful exclusion (20) Interverse Court (21) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Defer PIPD/WD (Cher) Tort Wongful exclusion (21) Real fortibure (23) Other completin (not specified above) (42) Defer property (18) Justical Review Enforcement of Judgment (20) Defer property (19) Justical Review Enforcement of Judgment (20) Defer property (18) Justical Revi	X Unlimited Limited		21 SICV34067
demanded exceeds \$25,000 Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.462 per:: Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Auto Tert Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Gal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402.) Auto [22) Enscah of contract/ Wang Mitter (Sa) Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Gal, Rules of Court, rule 3.406.) Duninsum motorist (45) Rule 3.40 collections (09) Construction detect (10) Absets (64) Other collections (09) Construction detect (10) Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation (Gal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402.) Securities Illigation (23) Product Librity (24) Rel Property Dother contract (37) Provisionally complex Civil Liftgation (Gal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402.) Securities Illigation (26) Differ PuPD/NO (Orleg) Tort Winagula evidion (Gal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402.) Instructional property (Bal) Doffer admation (13) Commercial (31) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Differ admation (13) Commercial (32) Pattership and corporate governance (21) Differ admation (36) Uvit of mandate (02) Other complex (able above) (42) Miscalaneous Civil Petition Pattership and corporate governance (21) Differ admater (1	•	Filed with first appearance by defendant	
Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case. Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation Auto Tot Contract Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation Auto (22) Breach of contract/warranty (05) Contract Other PUPD/WD (Preporty Other collections (09) Contract (10) Anabesto (04) Other collections (09) Contract (10) Product liability (24) Real Property Bass tort (00) Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse coverage (18) Construction detect (10) Business tortunfair business practice (17) Environment of Judgment (28) Environment of Judgment (20) Defamation (13) Environment of Judgment (20) Environment of Judgment (20) Defamation (13) Residential (32) Other complexit (not specified above) (42) Miccila movery (16) Drugs (28) Miccila mover (21) Differ furthy former (20) Juddial Roview (39) Other public (not specified above) (42) Wondput emination (36) Wit if mandete (02) Other public (not specified above) (42) Miccila mover (15) Data above leaves of actions, fine and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)			
1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation Auto (22) Contract Provisionally Complex Civil Liftgation Other PiPD/M00 (personal Injury/Propery Dive 3/A0 collections (09) Antitus/Prate regulation (03) Other PiPD/M00 (personal Injury/Propery Diver 3/A0 collections (09) Construction delect Product lability (24) Real Property Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Medical mapractice (44) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage (18) Non-PIPD/M00 (Other) Tort Other retriev(24) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Business torfunder business procise (07) Other retriev(24) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Product lability (19) Conternetal (31) Rcice (27) Professional negligence (26) Judicial Review Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other encipyement (15) Other inverder (25) Patienership and corporate governance (21) Virtical termines of the cases file above (26) Judicial Review Patientership and corporate governance (21) Windry Iterminesting acceptional (36) Pution re: arbitration award (11) Other conplex (164 above) (42) Windry Iterminesting acceptional (36) Wuthenared to (26) Miteriable above)		l low must be completed (see instructions o	
Auto Tort Contract Provisionally Complex CMI Litigation Auto (22) Breach of contract/maranty (06) Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46) Rule 3,740 collections (09) Contract/maranty (06) Auto (22) Breach of contract/maranty (06) Auto (22) Damage/Wrong/III Death) Tort Breach of contract (37) Bescher (40) Auto (22) Breach of contract (37) Bescher (40) Medical malpractice (45) Berninent domain/inverse coverage (18) Bescher (40) Non-PIPE/DWD (Other) Tort Bescher (40) Bescher (40) Bescher (40) Defamalion (13) Bescher (41) Bescher (41) Bescher (41) Defamalion (13) Bescher (41) Bescher (42) Bescher (42) Intellectual property (19) Drug (38) Miscelianeous CIVI Complaint Bescher (42) Defamalion (13) Pertoreship and corporate governance (21) Miscelianeous CIVI Complaint Bescher (42) Difference (42) Judicial Review Bescher (43) Miscelianeous CIVI Complaint Bescher (43) Defamalion (13) Bescher (43) Asset forfeture (5) Bescher (43) Miscelianeous CIVI Petition Proporent Judi			
Uninsummediation Discussion Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Other PUPD/MD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09) Construction defect (10) Damage/Wrong/ub Death) Tort Insurance coverage (13) Mass tort (40) Product lability (24) Product lability (24) Real Property Environmental/Toxic tart (30) Medical mapractice (45) Emvironmental/Toxic tart (37) Environmental/Toxic tart (30) Insurance coverage (13) Insurance coverage (14) Insurance coverage (14) </td <td></td> <td>Contract</td> <td></td>		Contract	
Other Pil/DWD (Personal Injury/Property DamageWrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) Mass of the Construction defect (10) Adsbesto (04) Other contract (37) Securities Iligation (28) Product liability (24) Other contract (37) Securities Iligation (28) Medical mapractice (45) Eminent domain/twerse condermation (14) Securities Iligation (28) Other PUPDWD (20) Eminent domain/twerse condermation (14) Insurance coverage (18) Mass of the Case of the C	Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	·
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Instructions (us) Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Product liability (24) Other contract (37) Medical malpractice (45) Embert domain/inverse condemnation (14) Other PIPD/WD (23) Embert domain/inverse condemnation (13) Dom-PIPD/WD (24) Other replice (26) Other replice (45) Embert domain/inverse condemnation (13) Defamation (13) Condemstation (14) Defamation (13) Condemstation (13) Defamation (13) Condemstation (13) Praud (16) Other replice (26) Intellectual property (19) Utilize (14) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Asset forfelture (05) Other employment (15) Other rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicidal management: a. Large number of separately represented parties, d. Large number of virinesses b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel is show in the factors requiring exceptional judicidal management: Substantial postjudgment judicidal supervision 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. monetary b. Summonetary (declaratory or injunctive relief c. punuitive view (36)			
Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Securities ittigation (28) Product fability (24) Real Property Eminent contain/Inverse Other PIPD/MD (23) Wrongful evidon (33) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Non-PIPD/MD (54) Wrongful evidon (33) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Diff rights (03) Commercial (31) RICO (27) Fraud (16) Commercial (31) RICO (27) Protectual reports (19) Drugs (38) Microtement of Judgment (20) Unlawful Detainer Microtement of Specified above) (42) Microtement of Specified above) (42) Intellictual property (19) Drugs (38) Microtement of Specified above) (42) Other employment Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandale (02) Other public more specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandale (02) Other petition is subset for follow role (39) 2. This case is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a. a. Large number of separately represented parties duot d. X. Large number of witnesses			
Contract (37) Contract (37) Real Property Contract (37) Contrac			
Medical malpractice (45) Imment domain/inverse condemnation (14) Imment domain/inverse condemnation (14) Imment domain/inverse condemnation (14) Imment domain/inverse condemnation (14) Non-PI/PD/NVD (28) Winorgful evidion (33) Enforcement of Judgment (20) CNII rights (08) Unhawful Detainer Miscollancous Civil Complaint Defamilion (13) Commercial (31) Residential (32) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Intellectual property (19) Drugs (39) Miscollancous Civil Pottition Miscollancous Civil Pottition Other one-PI/PD/NVD tort (35) Asset forfelure (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Wrongful termination (36) Other replayment (15) Other replayment (12) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Other replayment (12) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Miscollancous Civil Pottition X arge number of separately represented partias issues that will be time-consuming to resolve d. Large number of witnesses d. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court A. Wurber of causes of action (specify): Five (5) Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision Miscollancous Civil postplutices A. Wurber of causes of action (spocif		Language and the second s	
Other PH/PDAVD (23) Condemnation (14) Wongful eviction (33) Nn-PH/PDAVD (21) Wrongful eviction (33) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Civil rights (08) Unlawful Detainer Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Defamation (13) Commercial (31) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Fraud (16) Residential (32) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Protessional negligence (25) Judicial Review Wrongful termination (36) Petiton re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) With of mandale (02) With of mandale (02) Wrongful termination (36) Other real protein (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) With of mandale (02) Wrongful termination (36) Other rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional Judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties d. Large number of witnesses b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve court c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment Judicial supervision			Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Non-PVPDWD (Other) Tort Wrongful evidion (33) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Other real property (28) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Citi (rights (08) Unlawful Detainer Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Defamation (13) Commercial (31) RICO (27) Fraud (16) Other real property (29) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Intellectual property (19) Judicial Review Miscellaneous Civil Petition Other non-PV/PDWD tort (36) Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Wongful termination (36) Wit of mandate (02) Other eptition (not specified above) (43) Wongful termination (36) Wit of mandate (02) Other eptition (not specified above) (43) Employment is is not complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: A. Large number of separately represented parties, it is that will be time-consuming to resolve courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court C. Substantial amount of documentary evidence Coordination with related actions pending in one or more court S. Remedies sought (<i>check all that apply</i>): a. C monetary b. C nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive for herobate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and insti	Other PI/PD/WD (23)	have a second se	
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Other real property (26) Enforcement of Judgment (20) Civit rights (08) Unlaw/tu Detainer Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Defamation (13) Commercial (31) RICO (27) Fraud (16) Other romplaint (not specified above) (42) Intellectual property (19) Drugs (38) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Miscellaneous Civil Petition Other non-Pi/PDDWO tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) With of mandate (02) X Other employment (15) Other under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties d. b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel e. c. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. X monetary b. X nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c			
Contributing (bot) Commercial (31) Imstediamedus CVM Completing Defamation (13) Commercial (31) Imstediamedus CVM Completing Fraud (16) Residential (32) Other completing (not specified above) (42) Intellectual property (19) Drugs (38) Miscellaneous CVM Completing Other non-PUPDWD tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other non-PUPDWD tort (35) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02) Other indicial review (39) 2. This case: Is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties; d. Large number of witnesses b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel exceptional judicial management: c. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court is substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial poslydgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. M monetary b. f. Substantial poslydgment judicial supervision 5. This case: is no	Business tort/unfair business practice (07		
Delamation (15) Fraud (16) Fraud (16) Check all discrete complexitient (not specified above) (42) Intellectual property (19) Judicial Review Professional negligence (26) Judicial Review Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wringful termination (36) Wrin of mandate (02) X Other employment (15) Other under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision fermanetary known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Kevin Joseph Farnan	Civil rights (08)		
Inductors	Defamation (13)		RICO (27)
Interfection Interfection <td< td=""><td>Fraud (16)</td><td></td><td>Other complaint (not specified above) (42)</td></td<>	Fraud (16)		Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Protessional negligence (20) Other non-PVPDWD tort (35) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Wit of mandate (02) Coher employment (15) Other judicial review (39) Other judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. X Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. X Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision S. Remedies sought (<i>check all that apply</i>): a. K monetary Nometary b. X nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive f. X Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision S. This case X is is is not a class action suit. S. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Kevin Joseph Farnan			Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Conternation (as)			Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36) With of mandate (02) Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39) 2. This case X is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. X Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (<i>check all that apply</i>): a. X monetary b. X nonmonetary: declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive 4. Number of causes of action (<i>specify</i>): Five (5) 5. This case X is is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: September 15, 2021 Kevin Joseph Farnan Imper Probate Code, Farnily Code, or Welfare and institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. 7 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. 7 Farm Adapted for Mandatory Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Case Addition Court, rules 2.40, 3220, 3400-3400, 370			Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Conter employment (15) Other judicial review (39) Chill CASE COVER SHEET Conter employment (15) Other judicial review (39) Other isother counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court is other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court (5) This case [X] is [] is not a class action suit. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Kevin Joseph Farnan (SionAture OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) NOTICE Notice Substantial file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code,			
2. This case X is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (<i>check all that apply</i>): a. X monetary b. X nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive 4. Number of causes of action (<i>specify</i>): Five (5) 5. This case X is is not a class action suit. 6. Hithere are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Revin Joseph Farnan Civil Case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq, of the California Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. Form Adepled for Mandatory Use Civil CASE COVER SHEET Call Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3.400, 3.470			
factors requiring exceptional judiclal management: a,			les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
 a. Large number of separately represented parties b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve c. X. Substantial amount of documentary evidence c. X. Substantial amount of documentary evidence d. X. Large number of witnesses e. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court f. X. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision g. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. X. monetary b. X. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive f. X. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision f. K. Substantial postjudgment			
C. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence C. X Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision C. X Substantial	ment i de setemente		er of witnesses
 c. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence a. K monetary b. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (<i>check all that apply</i>): a. X monetary b. X nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive 4. Number of causes of action (<i>specify</i>): Five (5) 5. This case X is is is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (<i>You may use form CM-015.</i>) Date: September 15, 2021 Kevin Joseph Farnan (SIGNATURE OF PRINT NAME) Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Uniess this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Cell Rules of Court, rules 2.400.3220.3400-3403.37. 			
c. X Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. X Substantial postjudgment judiclal supervision 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. X monetary b. X nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive 4. Number of causes of action (specify): Five (5) 5. This case X is is is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: September 15, 2021 Kevin Joseph Farnan (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) NOTICE • Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. • If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3220, 3400-3403, 37. Page 1 of 2 (Rules of Court, rules 2.3		onid	er counties, states, or countries; or in a federal
 Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. x monetary b. x nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive 4. Number of causes of action (specify): Five (5) This case x is is is not a class action suit. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Kevin Joseph Farnan (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 	c. X Substantial amount of document	HIV EVIDENCE	ostiudament iudicial supervision
 4. Number of causes of action (specify): Five (5) 5. This case X is is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: September 15, 2021 Kevin Joseph Farnan (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) NOTICE • Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. • If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Case Cover Sheet in addition to complex case, and a cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2	3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	. x nonetary b. x nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
 5. This case X is is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: September 15, 2021 Kevin Joseph Farnan (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) NOTICE Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use 	4. Number of causes of action (specify): Fin	/e (5)	
 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: September 15, 2021 Kevin Joseph Farnan (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) NOTICE Isignature of PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use 	5. This case X is is not a c	lass action suit.	
Kevin Joseph Farnan Farnan Farnan (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) NOTICE • Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. • If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use	6. If there are any known related cases, file	and serve a notice of related case. (You m	ay use form CM-015.)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) NOTICE SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) NOTICE Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Celt: Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.400, at 0.3	Date: September 15, 2021		
 NOTICE Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use 		<u>P</u>	
 under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 3.740 or a complex case. Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use 			
 in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3,740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory, Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Case Rules of Court, rules 2,30, 3,220, 3,400-3,403, 3,740 	Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceeding	(except small claims cases or cases filed
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3,740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use CiVIL CASE COVER SHEET 		Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules	of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
 If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3,740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET 		er sheet required by local court rule.	
other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2 Form Adopted for Mandatory. Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Case Cover Sheet	 If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et 	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you n	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Form Adopted for Mandatory, Use CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Call: Rules of Court, rules 2,30, 3,220, 3,400–3,403, 3,72	other parties to the action or proceeding.		
GIVIL LASE CUVER SHEET	 Unless this is a collections case under rule 	3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee	t will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2
	Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Ca); Rules of Courl, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.74 Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

ć

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort. Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (If the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpraclice Other Professional Matpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

4

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute **Real Property** Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wronaful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet tille) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeilure (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court **Case Matter** Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrus)/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Torl (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondömestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petilion/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint **RICO (27)** Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) **Miscellaneous Civil Petition** Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) **Civil Harassment** Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

ŧ

.

	MELIKYAN V. AMAZON,CO	l, INC.; et al.	CASE NUMBER 21STCV3	4067	
		IL CASE COVER SHEE STATEMENT OF ROUNDS FOR ASSIGN	ET ADDENDUM AND	sy f	
	This form is required pursu	nt to Local Rule 2.3 in all new	civil case filings in the Los Angeles Super	rior Court.	
Step	· –		Council form CM-010), find the exact ca d in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.	ase type in	
Step	2: In Column B, check the	box for the type of action tha	at best describes the nature of the case.		
Step	3: In Column C, circle the chosen.	number which explains the re	eason for the court filing location you ha	ave	
	Applic	ble Reasons for Choosing Co	urt Filing Location (Column C)]	
		ale Courdbourge, Cooleal District	7. Location where petitioner resides.	-	
	ins must be filed in the Stanley M I filing in central district.	sk Counnouse, Central District.	8. Location wherein defendant/respondent.functio	ns wholly.	
	-		9. Location where one or more of the parties resid		
anoatory	personal injury filing in North Dis		10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.	r r 1 , i h 1	
ocation w	personal injury filing in North Dis here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged	ndant resides.	10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office. 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju		
ocation w	here performance required or def	ndant resides.	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw	ury).	
ocation w	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet	ndant resides. vehicle.	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action	ury). Applicable Reason	
ocation w	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	ndant resides. vehicle.	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action Check only one)	ury). C Applicable Reason See Step 3 Above	
ocation w	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Câtegory No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46)	ndant resides. vehicle.	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action Check only one) nal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death rty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	ury). Applicable Reason See Step 3 Above 1, 4, 11	
pcation w pcation of	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22)	ndant resides. vehicle. A7100 Motor Vehicle - Persor A7110 Personal Injury/Proper	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action Check only one) nal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death rty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	ury). Applicable Reason: See Step 3 Above 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11	
pcation w pcation of	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Câtegory No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46)	ndant resides. vehicle. A7100 Motor Vehicle - Persor A7110 Personal Injury/Proper A8070 Asbestos Property Dar	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action Check only one) nal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death rty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist mage njury/Wrongful Death	ury). Applicable Reason: See Step 3 Above 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 11	
pocation of pocation of to to to to	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04) Product Liability (24)	 ndant resides. vehicle. A7100 Motor Vehicle - Persor A7110 Personal Injury/Proper A6070 Asbestos Property Date A7221 Asbestos - Personal In A7260 Product Liability (not a 	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action Check only one) nal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death rty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist mage njury/Wrongful Death	ury). Applicable Reason See Step 3 Above 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 11	
pcation w pcation of	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civit Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04)	ndant resides. vehicle. A7100 Motor Vehicle - Persor A7110 Personal Injury/Proper A6070 Asbestos Propeity Dar A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju- B Type of Action Check only one) hal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death ty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist mage njury/Wrongful Death isbestos of toxic/environmental) Physicians & Surgeons	ury). Applicable Reason See Step 3 Abov 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 11 1, 4, 11	
to to to to to to to to	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Categony No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04) Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice (45)	ndant resides. vehicle. A7100 Motor Vehicle - Persor A7110 Personal Injury/Proper A6070 Asbestos Property Dar A7221 Asbestos - Personal Ir A7260 Product Liability (not a A7210 Medical Malpractice - I	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju B Type of Action Check only one) hal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death ty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist mage njury/Wrongful Death isbestos of toxic/environmental) Physicians & Surgeons haith Care Malpractice	ury). Applicable Reason: See Step 3 Above 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11	
ocation w	here performance required or def f property or permanently garaged Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04) Product Liability (24)	 ndant resides. vehicle. A7100 Motor Vehicle - Persor A7110 Personal Injury/Proper A700 Asbestos Property Dat A7221 Asbestos - Personal Ir A7260 Product Liability (not a A7210 Medical Malpractice - I A7240 Other Professional He A7250 Premises Liability (e.g.) 	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlaw non-collection, limited collection, or personal inju- B Type of Action Check only one) hal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death ty Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist mage njury/Wrongful Death isbestos of toxic/environmental) Physicians & Surgeons eaith Care Malpractice ., slip and fall) y/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g.,	ury). Applicable Reason See Step 3 Abov 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11	

Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)

<u>7</u>21-

A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

C A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death

1, 4, 11

• •

BORT TITLE	MELIKYAN V. AMAZON, COM	, INC.; et a).	CASE NUMBER			
	A Civil Case Gover Sheet Calegory No.	B Type of A (Check onl		C. Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above		
	Business Tort (07)	A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tor	1, 2, 3			
Tort	Civil Rights (08)	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination		1, 2, 3		
y/ Prol Death	Defamation (13)	A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)		1, 2, 3		
il Injur Dngful	Fraud (16)	A6013 Fraud (no contract)	13 Fraud (no contract)			
Nort-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3		
Nor Dar	Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Prope	ertý Damage tort	1, 2, 3		
ant	Wrongful Termination (36)	D A6037 Wrongful Termination		1, 2, 3		
Employment	Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Ca A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case			
	Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contrac eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Selle A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty 	er Plainliff (no fraud/negligence) arranly (no fraud)	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5		
Contract	Collections (09)	 A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collection A6034 Collections Case-Purchased De Purchased on or after January 	bt (Charged Off Consumer Debt	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11		
	Insurance Coverage (18)	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complete	6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)			
	Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not brea	A6031 Tortious Interference			
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation	Number of parcels	2, 6		
perty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case		2, 6		
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminer	• -			
<u>ب</u>	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11		
Unlawful Detainer	Uniawful Detainer-Residential (32)	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (r	not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11		
wful D	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclo	sure	2, 6, 11		
Unla	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs		2, 6, 11		

CIVIL CASE GOVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 2 of 4

•

Ĩ.

¢

.

MELIKYAN V. AMAZON,COM	, INC.; et al.	CASE NUMBER	
A Cluti Case Cover Sheet Calegory No	B Type of Acti (Check only c	on ne)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step Above
Asset Forfeiture (05)	CI A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case		2, 3, 6
Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate	Arbitration	2, 5
Writ of Mandate (02)			2,8 2 2
Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	aal () - L () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	2, 8
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation		1, 2, 8
Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction Defect		1, 2, 3
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort		1, 2, 8
Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case		1, 2, 8
Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental		1, 2, 3, 8
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-dom A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment 	unpaid laxes) gment on Unpaid Tax	2, 5, 11 2, 6 2, 9 2, 8 2, 8 2, 8, 9
RICO (27)	A6033 Rackeleering (RICO) Case		1, 2, 8
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Cas	e (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8 2, 8 1, 2, 8 1, 2, 8 1, 2, 8
Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Goven	nance Case	2, 8
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	 A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Cas A6190 Election Contest A6110 Petition for Change of Name/Change 	se With Damages nge of Gender	2, 3, 9 2, 3, 9 2, 3, 9 2, 3, 9 2 2, 7 2, 3, 8
	A Cluit Case Cover Sheet Category No Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Toxic Tort Environmental (30) Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) Enforcement of Judgment (20) RICO (27) (Not Specified Above) (42) Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	ClviiCase Cover Sheet Type of Acti Category No: Asset Forfeiture (05) A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case Petilion re Arbitration (11) A6115 Petilion to Compet/Confirm/Vacate Writ of Mandate (02) A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus Writ of Mandate (02) A6152 Writ - Administrative Mandamus Writ of Mandate (02) A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Re Other Judicial Review (39) A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation Construction Defect (10) A6007 Construction Defect Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort Securities Litigation (28) A6035 Securities Litigation Case Toxic Tort Environmental (30) A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental Misurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (A6114 Matigment (20) A6163 Racketeering (RICO) Case A6110 A6111 A6113 Partnership Agency Award (not A6114 A6110 Complaint Cas Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) A6033 Racketee	A B Obtrices Sheet Type of Action (Type of Action) (Cleak only Yoke) Assel Forfeiture (05) A Act08 Assel Forfeiture Case Petition re Arbitration (11) A Act08 Assel Forfeiture Case Witt of Mandate (02) A Act08 Assel Forfeiture Case Action Witt of Mandate (02) A Act08 Assel Forfeiture Case Action Witt of Mandate (02) A Act08 Assel Forfeiture Case Action Other Judicial Review (39) A Act08 Anthrus/Trade Regulation Claims Involving Mass Tort A Act007 Construction Defect Claims Involving Mass Tort A Act007 Construction Defect Claims Involving Mass Tort A Act007 Construction Defect Securities Litigation (28) A Act007 Construction Defect Insurance Coverage Claims Act004 Insurance Coverage/Subrogetion (complex case only) Marument Coverage Claims Act14 Ister State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act14 Ister State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act14 Ister State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act14 Ister State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act14 I Stater State Judgment Act12 Other Enforce

•1

SHORT TITLE: MELIKYAN V. AMAZON, COM, INC.; et al.	CASE NUMBER

Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON: ☑ 1. ☑ 2. ☑ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6	8.07.08.09.0	10. 🗆 11.	ADDRESS:
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the <u>Central</u> District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)].

Dated: September 15, 2021

Kevin Joseph	Digliaily Ilgned by Kevin Jošeph * Farnan Dik cn=Kevin Joseph Farnan, o=Lavi
r .	and Ehrahimian LLP, ou, fmall=kfaman@lefavdims.com, s=US Date: 2021.09.15 11:34:35 -07'00'

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 09/15/2021	
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	U9/10/2021 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Code of Court By: <u>K. Vargas</u> Deputy	
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated belo	case number: w. 21STCV34067	

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
~	Kenneth R. Freeman	14	ROOM			

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

on 09/15/2021 (Date) By K. Vargas

LACIV 190 (Rev 6/18) LASC Approved 05/06 NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Crosscomplaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

Class Actions

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 14

21STCV34067 NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN vs AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.

September 22, 2021 10:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman Judicial Assistant: B. Guerrero Courtroom Assistant: None CSR: None ERM: None Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Court Order Re: Recusal Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii);

The Court recuses itself on this case. Recusal of Judge Kenneth R. Freeman.

The case is ordered transferred to Judge David S. Cunningham in Department 11 at the Spring Street Courthouse for reassignment purposes only.

Counsel for Plaintiff is to give notice.

Certificate of Mailing is attached.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 09/22/2021
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Narek Mike Melikyan	Stietri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Cascial Court By: B. Guarrero Deputy
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Amazon.com, Inc. et al	· .
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: 21STCV34067

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Minute Order (Court Order Re: Recusal Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure S...) of 09/22/2021 upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Joseph Lavi LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP 8889 W Olympic BIvd Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: <u>B. Guerrero</u> Deputy Clerk

Dated: 09/22/2021

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 11

21STCV34067 NAREK MIKE MELIKYAN vs AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.

September 30, 2021 2:32 PM

Judge: Honorable David S. Cunningham Judicial Assistant: Patricia Flores Courtroom Assistant: None CSR: None ERM: None Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Court Order Re: Case Reassignment

This case was ordered transferred to the Assistant Supervising Judge of Complex Civil Litigation, Judge David S. Cunningham, for reassignment purposes only.

The case is reassigned for the following reason: Recusal of Judge Kenneth R. Freeman.

Good cause appearing and on order of the Court, the above matter is reassigned at the direction of the Supervising Judge to Judge Amy D. Hogue in Department 7 at the Spring Street Courthouse for all further proceedings.

Plaintiff is ordered to forthwith serve a copy of this minute order on all parties and file a proof of service within seven (7) days of service.

Certificate of Mailing is attached.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 09/30/2021
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Narek Mike Melikyan	Sheri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Cerk of Court By:P. FloresDeputy
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Amazon.com, Inc. et al	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: 21STCV34067

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Minute Order (Court Order Re: Case Reassignment) of 09/30/2021 upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Joseph Lavi LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP 8889 W Olympic Blvd Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: P. Flores Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims Amazon Flex Drivers</u> <u>Deprived of Mandatory Disclosures Prior to Background Checks</u>