Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508) 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

GUSTAVO MEJIA and JUAN OSORIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, and DEAN JANKELOWITZ and MAYA JANKELOWITZ, as individuals,

Defendants.

 Plaintiffs, GUSTAVO MEJIA and JUAN OSORIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2. Plaintiffs, GUSTAVO MEJIA and JUAN OSORIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, and DEAN JANKELOWITZ and MAYA JANKELOWITZ, as individuals, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, located at 224 Lafayette Street, New York, New York 10012.
- 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- Venue is proper in the SOUTHERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA residing at 130-13 Hillside Avenue, Jamaica, New York 11418, was employed by Defendants from in or around November 2015 until in or around June 2018.
- Plaintiff JUAN OSORIO residing at 2386 Davidson Avenue, Bronx, New York 10468, was employed by Defendants from in or around February 2017 until in or around June 2018.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office 224 Lafayette Street, New York, New York 10012.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ owns and/or operates MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ is the Chairman of the Board of MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA

- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ is the Chief Executive Officer of MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ is an agent of MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ has power over personnel decisions at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ has power over payroll decisions at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 18. Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ has the power to hire and fire employees at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintain their employment records.
- 19. During all relevant times herein, Defendant MAYA JANKELOWITZ was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ owns and/or operates MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ is the Chairman of the Board of MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ is the Chief Executive Officer of MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ is an agent of MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ has power over personnel decisions at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ has power over payroll decisions at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA
- 26. Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ has the power to hire and fire employees at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintain their employment records.
- 27. During all relevant times herein, Defendant DEAN JANKELOWITZ was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

28. On information and belief, MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA was employed by Defendants from in or around November 2015 until in or around June 2018.
- 30. During Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA'S employment by Defendants, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a dishwasher, cleaner, kitchen worker, and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around November 2015 until in or around June 2018.
- 31. Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA was paid by Defendants approximately \$400.00 per week from in or around November 2015 until in or around December 2016, approximately \$440.00 per week in or around 2017, and approximately \$520.00 per week in or around January 2018 until in or around June 2018.
- 32. Although Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA worked approximately fifty-one (51) hours or more per week from in or around November 2015 until in or around June 2018, during his employment with Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 33. Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA was not compensated at all for his hours worked in excess of forty hours each week.
- 34. Plaintiff GUSTAVO MEJIA was not compensated at all for his first and last weeks of employment by Defendants.
- 35. Plaintiff JUAN OSORIO was employed by Defendants from in or around February 2017 until in or around June 2018.
- 36. During Plaintiff JUAN OSORIO'S employment by Defendants at MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a cook and

food preparer, and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around February 2017 until in or around June 2018.

- 37. Plaintiff JUAN OSORIO was paid by Defendants approximately \$670.00 per week from in or around February 2017 until in or around December 2017 and approximately \$710.00 per week from in or around January 2018 until in or around June 2018.
- 38. Although Plaintiff JUAN OSORIO worked approximately sixty (60) hours or more per week from in or around February 2017 until in or around June 2018, during his employment with Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 39. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 41. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 42. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 43. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as dishwashers, cleaners, kitchen workers, cooks, food preparers, or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices,

policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wage compensation.

- 44. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 25 and 35 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 46. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 48. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 49. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiffs and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 50. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 51. The claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 52. Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 53. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

54. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

- 55. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 56. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 57. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 58. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 59. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiffs.
- 60. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 61. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 62. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 63. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.

64. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 65. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 66. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), of their rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 67. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 68. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 69. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 70. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);

- d. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- f. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

auch

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This $\underline{\beta}^{f_1}$ day of October 2018.

Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591 Fax: 718-263-9598

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GUSTAVO MEJIA and JUAN OSORIO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA, and DEAN JANKELOWITZ and MAYA JANKELOWITZ, as individuals,

Defendants.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Phone (718) 263-9591 Fax (718) 263-9598

TO:

MOLLY PICON LLC D/B/A JACK'S WIFE FREDA 224 LAFAYETTE STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012

DEAN JANKELOWITZ 224 LAFAYETTE STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012

MAYA JANKELOWITZ 224 LAFAYETTE STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>NYC Bistro Jack's Wife Freda Sued Over Allegedly Unpaid Wages</u>