IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Logan McIntrye, Lucas Wisniakowski, William P Topping II, Brice McNamara, Randall Coaxum, Andrew Welch, Timothy Mitchum, Dakota Schmaltz, Ryan Jeffords, Robert Mullen, Simmons Martin, Christopher Frazier, Harry Griffin, Matt Lundell, Dawson Wilkins, Roland Ziegler, Paul Cobb, Larry David Gordan, Austin Ruddy, Macon Cooper Davis, Joseph Heuer, Brandon Shuey and Randall Smith, On Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,

Civil Action No.: 2:18-cv-02150-DCN

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Requested)

Plaintiffs,

v.

SSA Cooper, LLC,

Defendant.

Plaintiffs Logan McIntrye, Lucas Wisniakowski, William P Topping II, Brice McNamara, Randall Coaxum, Andrew Welch, Timothy Mitchum, Dakota Schmaltz, Ryan Jeffords, Robert Mullen, Simmons Martin, Christopher Frazier, Harry Griffin, Matt Lundell, Dawson Wilkins, Roland Ziegler, Paul Cobb, Larry David Gordan, Austin Ruddy, Macon Cooper Davis, Joseph Heuer, Brandon Shuey and Randall Smith individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated Stevedores, in the above-captioned matter, allege and show unto this Honorable Court the following:

NATURE OF CLAIM

1. This is an action for violations of unpaid overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq. (FLSA).

- 2. Plaintiffs bring this action as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), individually and on behalf of other similarly situated Stevedores employed by the Defendant in the United States who suffered damages because of Defendant's violations of the FLSA.
- 3. Plaintiffs also brings individual and class claims for unpaid wages under the South Carolina Payment of Wages Act, S.C. Code Ann § 41-10-10, et seq. (SCPWA)

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Plaintiff Logan McIntrye is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
 - 5. Plaintiff Lucas Wisniakowski is a citizen and a resident of Crosby, Texas.
- 6. Plaintiff William P Topping II is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 7. Plaintiff Brice McNamara is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 8. Plaintiff Randall Coaxum is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 9. Plaintiff Andrew Welch is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 10. Plaintiff Timothy Mitchum is a citizen and a resident of Berkeley County, South Carolina.
- 11. Plaintiff Dakota Schmaltz is a citizen and a resident of Berkeley County, South Carolina.

- 12. Plaintiff Ryan Jeffords is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 13. Plaintiff Robert Mullen is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 14. Plaintiff Simmons Martin is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 15. Plaintiff Christopher Frazier is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 16. Plaintiff Harry Griffin is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 17. Plaintiff Matt Lundell is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 18. Plaintiff Dawson Wilkins is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 19. Plaintiff Roland (Trey) Zeigler is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 20. Plaintiff Paul Cobb is a citizen and a resident of Berkeley County, South Carolina.
- Plaintiff Larry David Gordan is a citizen and a resident of Berkeley County,
 South Carolina.
- 22. Plaintiff Austin Ruddy is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County, South Carolina.

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 4 of 18

23. Plaintiff Macon Cooper Davis is a citizen and a resident of Charleston

County, South Carolina.

24. Plaintiff Joseph Heuer is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County,

South Carolina.

25. Plaintiff Brandon Shuey is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County,

South Carolina.

26. Plaintiff Randall Smith is a citizen and a resident of Charleston County,

South Carolina.

27. Defendant, SSA Cooper LLC, is a for-profit Delaware corporation,

registered to do business with the South Carolina Secretary of State.

28. Venue is proper in this District because the Defendant has conducted

substantial, continuous and systematic commercial activities in Charleston. Additionally,

the unlawful labor practices and policies giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims were committed

in the Charleston Division of this Court.

29. Plaintiffs bring this action, individually and as an opt-in collective action

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of a class of similarly situated Stevedores who

worked more than forty (40) hours during certain workweeks without receiving overtime

compensation during the last three years.

30. This Court has jurisdiction of the Plaintiffs' claims brought under the FLSA

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).

31. In addition, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1367 over Plaintiffs' pendent claims, which are brought pursuant to the statutory and

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 5 of 18

common law of the State of South Carolina, because those claims arise out of the same

transaction or occurrence as the federal claims alleged herein.

32. Plaintiffs also bring this action individually and as an opt-out class action

under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of a class of all Stevedores

employed by Defendants in the United States at any time within the three years prior to the

commencement of this lawsuit who were not paid all their Paid Time Off (PTO) as required

by state law.

33. Upon information and belief, this action satisfies the requirements of Rule

23(a), Fed. R. Civ. P., as alleged:

a. The proposed Plaintiffs' class is so numerous that joinder of all individual

members in this action is impracticable;

b. There are questions of law and/or fact common to the members of the

proposed Plaintiffs' class;

c. The claims of Plaintiffs, the representative of the proposed Plaintiffs'

class, are typical of the claims of the proposed Plaintiffs class; and

d. Plaintiffs, the representative of the proposed Plaintiffs' class, will fairly and

adequately protect the interests of the class.

34. In addition, upon information and belief, this action satisfies one or more of

the requirements of Rule 23(b), Fed. R. Civ. P., because the questions of law and/or fact

common to the members of the proposed Plaintiffs class predominate over any questions

affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods

for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

35. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 6 of 18

over Plaintiffs' pendent claims, which are brought pursuant to the law of the State of South

Carolina, because those claims arise out of the same transaction or occurrence as the federal

claims alleged herein.

FACTS

36. Defendant, SSA Cooper, LLC is the world's largest operator of marine and

rail cargo terminals. The Defendant provides full-service Stevedores for loading and

unloading cargo on steamships. The Stevedores handle containers, bulk commodities,

paper products, lumber, steel, stuffing and stripping containers on terminals. The

Defendant is paid by the steamship lines.

37. Plaintiff Logan McIntyre was employed by the Defendant from

approximately March of 2017 until approximately March 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

38. Plaintiff Lucas Wisniakowski was employed by the Defendant from

approximately October of 1975 until approximately October of 2017 as a Stevedore in

Houston and Port Arthur, Texas.

39. Plaintiff William P Topping II was employed by the Defendant from

approximately October of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

40. Plaintiff Brice McNamara was employed by the Defendant from

approximately July of 2016 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston

County, South Carolina.

Complaint McIntyre v. SSA Cooper LLC., Page 6 of 18 2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 7 of 18

41. Plaintiff Randall Coaxum was employed by the Defendant from

approximately December of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

42. Plaintiff Andrew Welch was employed by the Defendant from

approximately October of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

43. Plaintiff Timothy Mitchum was employed by the Defendant from

approximately December of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

44. Plaintiff Dakota Schmaltz was employed by the Defendant from

approximately November of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

45. Plaintiff Ryan Jeffords was employed by the Defendant from approximately

June of 2016 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South

Carolina.

46. Plaintiff Robert Mullen was employed by the Defendant from

approximately October of 2015 until approximately October of 2017 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

47. Plaintiff Simmons Martin was employed by the Defendant from

approximately February of 2017 until approximately June of 2017 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 8 of 18

48. Plaintiff Christopher Frazier was employed by the Defendant from

approximately August of 2015 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

49. Plaintiff Harry Griffin was employed by the Defendant from approximately

October of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County,

South Carolina.

50. Plaintiff Matt Lundell was employed by the Defendant from approximately

April of 2016 until approximately December of 2017 as a Stevedore in Charleston County,

South Carolina.

51. Plaintiff Dawson Wilkins was employed by the Defendant from

approximately November of 2017 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in

Charleston County, South Carolina.

52. Plaintiff Trey Zeigler was employed by the Defendant from approximately

December of 2017 until the present as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South Carolina.

53. Plaintiff Paul Cobb was employed by the Defendant from approximately

October of 1999 until approximately June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County,

South Carolina.

54. Plaintiff Larry David Gordan was employed by the Defendant from

approximately April of 2011 until the June 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County,

South Carolina.

55. Plaintiff Austin Ruddy was employed by the Defendant from approximately

June of 2012 until the June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South Carolina.

- 56. Plaintiff Macon Cooper Davis was employed by the Defendant from approximately March of 2016 until the June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 57. Plaintiff Joseph Heuer was employed by the Defendant from approximately August of 2015 until the June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 58. Plaintiff Brandon Shuey was employed by the Defendant from approximately May of 2015 until the June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South Carolina.
- 59. Plaintiff Randal Smith was employed by the Defendant from approximately August of 2011 until the June of 2018 as a Stevedore in Charleston County, South Carolina.
 - 60. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores are paid a salary.
- 61. Plaintiffs are not paid additional wages when they work over forty hours (40) in a work week.
- 62. Defendant misclassified Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated Stevedores, as exempt from the overtime compensation requirements of the FLSA.
- 63. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores are not employed in any bona fide executive, administrative, or professional capacity.
- 64. Plaintiffs' primary duties consisted of working with employees of the International Longshoremen's Association (ILA) to load and unload cargo on steamships at the ports in Charleston, South Carolina and Port Arthur, Texas and Houston, Texas.
- 65. The Defendant hires individual ILA supervisors who are called "Headers". The Header then hires, ILA members from the ILA Union Hall that he will supervise on

the ship. The ILA employees the Header selects to work, is referred to as the "Gang". In

Texas, the Headers are referred to as the "Gang Forman".

66. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, may direct the work of ILA

gangs by giving them instructions such as: the order to load, or unload the containers, where

to place the containers, or where the trucks are required to line up, so the crane can load

and unload cargo per the stowage plan. However; the Header, or Gang Foreman; not the

Stevedore, has authority over the ILA members that are in the Gang.

67. Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated Stevedores DO NOT interview or

hire the ILA Gangs they work with.

68. Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated Stevedores DO NOT train the ILA

Gangs they work with.

69. Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated Stevedores DO NOT set the ILA

Gangs' rate of pay.

70. Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated Stevedores DO NOT determine the

number of hours the ILA Gangs will work.

71. The Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Stevedores DO NOT have the

authority to fire or discipline any of ILA employees they work with.

72. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Stevedores are bound by the grievance

procedure for disciplining union members as set forth in the ILA's collective bargaining

agreement.

73. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Stevedores, suggestions and

recommendations as to firing, and disciplining the ILA employees, is not given significant

weight or consideration by the Defendant.

Complaint McIntyre v. SSA Cooper LLC., Page 10 of 18 74. It is a rare occurrence for Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Stevedores

to recommend that an ILA employee be disciplined and it is rarer still that their

recommendation is followed.

75. The Defendant pays the ILA employees, one and one-half times their

regular rate of pay for all hours worked when they work in excess of forty (40) hours in a

work week.

76. The Defendant DOES NOT pay, Plaintiffs and similarly situated

Stevedores, one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked, when

they work in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week.

77. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores regularly work more than forty

(40) hours in a work week.

78. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores are required to be available to

work, (7) seven days a week, (24) hours a day.

79. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores do not have a set schedule.

80. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores receive a daily email between

5:00 pm and 6:30 pm, that lists the Stevedore who are scheduled to work the following

day. The email contains the start time, which varies depending upon the steamships arrival.

81. The email also informs Plaintiffs and similarly Stevedores what their work

assignments will be.

82. Plaintiffs and similarly Stevedores do not manage a customarily recognized

department or subdivision. Their work assignments vary each shift.

83. The daily email informs Plaintiffs and similarly Stevedores, which

department or subdivision of the enterprise they will be assigned to work.

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 12 of 18

84. For instance, they are told whether they will be working a containership, a

breakbulk ship, if they be working on the deck of the ship, the dock, in the hull of the ship,

preparing stow plans, stuffing cargo or working in the warehouse.

85. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, are required to continue to work

until the ship is completely loaded or unloaded. As a result, they regularly work between

ten (10) and eighteen (18) hours at a time.

86. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores regularly work between fifteen

(15) to (25) hours of overtime in a work week.

87. Defendants know that Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores worked

significant overtime without being compensated.

88. The position of a Stevedore involves grueling hours, working in extreme

heat, cold, rain and wind. The job involves working around heavy equipment, cargo and

in high locations.

89. Upon information and belief, Defendants deprive Plaintiffs and similarly

situated Stevedores of the overtime pay they are entitled to under the FLSA, to save on

labor costs and make higher profits.

90. Defendant does not employ the Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores

as seaman.

91. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores do not render aid in the

operation of the vessels as a means of transportation nor do they aid the vessels in

navigation.

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 13 of 18

At all times, relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiffs and similarly situated

Stevedores were non-exempt employees for purposes of overtime compensation provisions

of the FLSA.

92.

93. The Defendants have previously been sued for misclassifying Stevedores as

exempt employees: 2:15-cv-01076-DCN Chaplin v. SSA Cooper LLC and 2:17-cv-02368-

DCN Hurst v. SSA Cooper LLC.

94. Despite settling those lawsuits (ECF 79), Defendants have continued to

misclassify Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Stevedores.

95. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, had an employment agreement

with Defendants; that allowed them to accrue Paid Time Off (PTO) based upon the time

they worked. This is a benefit the Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores earned.

96. Upon information and belief, when Plaintiffs and similarly situated

Stevedores, worked forty (40) hours in a work week, they accrue a designated amount of

PTO.

97. Upon information and belief, Defendant deducted time and did not allow

Plaintiffs' and similarly situated Stevedores, to earned PTO, for the time worked in excess

of forty (40) hours in a work week. This violated the parties' employment agreement.

98. Upon information and belief, the Defendants regularly did not compensate,

Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, for their earned PTO. For example, if Plaintiffs

and similarly situated Stevedores, used one or two days of their earned PTO and work forty

(40) or more hours in the remaining work week; the Defendants deducted time from their

PTO.

99. The Defendants owe Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, compensation for their PTO, per, their employment agreement.

100. On June 22, 2018, Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores were offered the opportunity to join the union.

101. Defendants provided, Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, a Severance Agreement and General Release ("Agreement") which offered health insurance coverage through September 30th, 2018 in exchange for waiving certain claims against Defendants.

102. The release contained in the Agreement did not waive claims arising under the FLSA.

103. FLSA claims may not be waived without approval from a court or the U.S.
Department of Labor.

104. SCPWA claims may not be waiver pursuant to a private agreement.

105. The terms of the Agreement make clear; the parties did not intend for the Agreement to waive claims under the FLSA

106. The terms of the Agreement make clear; the parties did not intend for the Agreement to waive claims under the SCPWA.

- 107. The Agreement is a contract of adhesion.
- 108. The Agreement was written by Defendants and its attorneys.
- 109. The Agreement contains language stating, that Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores, agree they are not owed wages.
 - 110. The above quoted language is not a promise by Defendants.

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 15 of 18

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fair Labor Standards Act–Unpaid Overtime Compensation)

(Individual and Collective Action)

111. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated Stevedores,

reallege and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth

herein verbatim.

112. At all times, pertinent to this Complaint, Defendant was an "enterprise

engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce" as that term is defined

by 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).

113. At all times, pertinent to this Complaint, Plaintiffs and similarly situated

Stevedores were "engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce" as

that term is defined within 29 U.S.C. §207.

114. At all times, relevant herein, Defendant was the "employer" of Plaintiffs

and similarly situated Stevedores, as that term is defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) of the Fair

Labor Standards Act.

115. Defendant employed Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores for

workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating Plaintiffs and similarly

situated Stevedores at a rate of one and on-half times their regular rate of pay as required

by 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

116. Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores are entitled to unpaid overtime

compensation at the rate of one-and-a-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours

worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek, liquidated damages in an equal

amount, and their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in bringing this action

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Complaint McIntyre v. SSA Cooper LLC., Page 15 of 18 117. Defendant's violations of the FLSA were willful.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(South Carolina Payment of Wages Act) (Individual and Class Action)

- 118. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated Stevedores, reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth herein verbatim.
 - 119. Defendant is an "employer" as defined by S.C. Code Ann. § 41-10-10(1).
- 120. Defendant employed Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs' class within the State of South Carolina.
- 121. According to § 41-10-80(C), when an employer separates an employee from the payroll for any reason, the employer shall pay all wages due to the employee within forty-eight hours of the time of separation or the next regular pay day which may not exceed thirty days.
- 122. S.C. Code Ann § 41-10-10(2) defines wages to means "all amounts at which labor rendered is recompensed . . . and includes vacation, holiday, and sick leave payments which are due to an employee under any employer policy or employment contract."
- 123. Defendant owes Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs' class "wages" as defined in Section 41-10-10(2) of the Act, to compensate them for labor rendered to Defendants, as promised to Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs' class and as required by law.
- 124. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs' class all wages due, as required by Sections 41-10-40 and -50 of the Act.

2:18-cv-02150-DCN Date Filed 08/03/18 Entry Number 1 Page 17 of 18

125. The Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and members of Plaintiffs' class for

the accrued PTO as per their employment agreement.

126. In addition, Defendant deducted amounts from the paychecks of Plaintiffs

for PTO during work weeks when Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores worked forty

(40) hours or more without providing proper written notice as required by Section 41-10-

30(A) of the SCPWA.

127. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs' class

all wages due is willful, without justification, and in violation of the duty of good faith and

fair dealing.

128. Pursuant to Section 41-10-80(C) of SCPWA, Plaintiffs and the members of

the Plaintiffs' class are entitled to recover an amount equal to three times the full amount

of their unpaid wages, or their wrongfully deducted wages, plus costs and reasonable

attorney's fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Logan McIntrye, Lucas Wisniakowski, William P

Topping II, Brice McNamara, Randall Coaxum, Andrew Welch, Timothy Mitchum,

Dakota Schmaltz, Ryan Jeffords, Robert Mullen, Simmons Martin, Christopher Frazier,

Harry Griffin, Matt Lundell, Dawson Wilkins, Roland Ziegler, Paul Cobb, Larry David

Gordan, Austin Ruddy, Macon Cooper Davis, Joseph Heuer, Brandon Shuey and Randall

Smith on behalf of themselves and similarly situated Stevedores, seek judgment against

the Defendant as follows:

a. That this Court certify this action as a collective action pursuant to

29U.S.C.§216(b);

- b. An award of compensatory damages in an amount equal to the unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and similarly situated Stevedores pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- c. An award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the award of compensatory damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- d. An order certifying a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure to remedy the class-wide violations of the South Carolina Payment of
 Wages Act;
- e. An award of compensatory damages in the amount of the unpaid PTO owed to Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores;
- f. An award of treble damages pursuant to the South Carolina Payment of Wages Act;
- g. An award of the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs and similarly situated Stevedores in bringing this action; and
- h. All such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

JURY DEMANDED

Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated Stevedores hereby demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Marybeth Mullaney
Marybeth Mullaney (Fed. ID No. 11162)
Mullaney Law
1037-D Chuck Dawley Blvd, Suite 104
Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464
Phone (843) 588-5587
marybeth@mullaneylaw.net
Attorney for Plaintiffs

August 4, 2018 Mount Pleasant, South Carolina.

Signed Consent to Join

- 1. Logan McIntrye
- 2. Lucas Wisniakowski,
- 3. William P Topping II
- 4. Brice McNamara
- 5. Randall Coaxum
- 6. Andrew Welch
- 7. Timothy Mitchum
- 8. Dakota Schmaltz
- 9. Ryan Jeffords
- 10. Robert Mullen
- 11. Simmons Martin
- 12. Christopher Frazier
- 13. Harry Griffin
- 14. Matt Lundell
- 15. Dawson Wilkins
- 16. Roland Ziegler
- 17. Paul Cobb
- 18. Larry David Gordan
- 19. Austin Ruddy
- 20. Macon Cooper Davis
- 21. Joseph Heuer
- 22. Brandon Shuey
- 23. Randall Smith

- 1. I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against: **SSA Cooper** and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
- 2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff (as substituted or amended) to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.
- 3. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.
- 4. I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

Plaintiff

5-63 11

Date

- 1. I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against SSA Cooper and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
- 2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.
- 3. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.
- 4. I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

Client

w W. Michael 06-12-2018

DocuSign Envelope ID: D682B7F7-B142-4B64-960C-2531F136B4D4

1.	I hereby consent to become SSA COOPER	arty plaintiff and make a claim against l related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.		
3.	I also consent to join any secompanies or persons.	ate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	ny adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is
C	PocuSigned by:	
9	47F929EE2C5464	6/14/2018 10:58:39 AM PDT
Cli	ent	Date

1.	I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff ar Brice and related entitie	nd make a claim against s or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2.	I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to repr name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bou	decisions on my behalf concerning the
3.	I also consent to join any separate or subseque companies or persons.	nt action to assert my claim against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication favorable or unfavorable.	n of my claim by the court, whether it is
	DocuSigned by:	
1	3 rug Red r	5/25/2018 7:40:41 AM PDT
Cli	ent	Date

DocuSign Envelope ID: 801F6F24-F605-40BC-B3A3-9199AFA5BBF5

1.	I hereby consent to become SSA Cooper	party plaintiff and make a claim against and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as r	NEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.
3.	I also consent to join any secompanies or persons.	arate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	y any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is
	200cuSigned by: 200cuSigned by: 200cuSigned by: 200cuSigned by:	5/11/2018 2:03:01 PM PDT
Cli	ent	Date

1.	I hereby consent to become ssa	e a party plaintiff and make a and related entities or person	a claim against ons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as r		e in bringing my claim and the lead s on my behalf concerning the ch decisions accordingly.
3.	I also consent to join any secompanies or persons.	eparate or subsequent action	to assert my claim against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	by any adjudication of my c	laim by the court, whether it is
(a	-Docusigned by: Indrew weldt -E61B29AB705D433		5/10/2018 6:35:50 PM PDT
Cli	ient	····-	Date

DocuSign Envelope ID: 7CC7EE49-3A03-48EE-8B07-C70DFE89515B

1.	I hereby consent to become a party p Timothy Mitchum and relat	laintiff and make a claim against ed entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.	
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as my agent a	W to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead nd make decisions on my behalf concerning the to be bound by such decisions accordingly.	
3.	3. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.		
4.	I hereby agree to be bound by any adfavorable or unfavorable.	judication of my claim by the court, whether it is	
Tin	Docusigned by:	5/7/2018 5:27:15 PM PDT	
Cl	ient	Date	

DocuSign Envelope ID: 12CECD77-4304-480C-B55B-6A9DE6DB8537

1.	SSA LOODEr	party plaintiff and make a claim against nd related entities or persons for overtime	and unpaid wages.
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as my	EY LAW to represent me in bringing my agent and make decisions on my behalf c I agree to be bound by such decisions acc	oncerning the
3.	3. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.		
4.	I hereby agree to be bound by favorable or unfavorable.	any adjudication of my claim by the cour	rt, whether it is
1	DocuSigned by: DOCUS SIGNED B	5/7/2018 2:08:	:31 PM PDT
Cli	ent	Date	

1. I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against

-	SSA cooper	and related entities or pers	sons for overtime and unpaid wages.	
;	name Plaintiff to serve as a	-	ne in bringing my claim and the lead as on my behalf concerning the such decisions accordingly.	
	I also consent to join any s companies or persons.	separate or subsequent action	n to assert my claim against and related	
	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	by any adjudication of my	claim by the court, whether it is	
Do	acusigned by:		5/6/2018 1:20:46 PM PDT	
Clie	ent		Date	

DocuSign Envelope ID: 0BC196DF-0314-4E3F-8F49-F961B48BE68D

1.	I hereby consent to become SSA Cooper	a party plaintiff and make a claim against and related entities or persons for overtim	
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as m	NEY LAW to represent me in bringing my agent and make decisions on my behalf d I agree to be bound by such decisions ac	concerning the
3.	I also consent to join any se companies or persons.	parate or subsequent action to assert my c	laim against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	by any adjudication of my claim by the co	urt, whether it is
	−DocuSigned by: R · M	4/25/2018	
Cl	ient	Date	

1.	I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against SSA Cooper and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2.	I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.
3.	I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.
4.	I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.
	DocuSigned by:
()	Marty 4/25/2018
Cli	ent Date

1.	I hereby consent to become Ssa cooper	a party plaintiff and make a claim against and related entities or persons for overtime a	and unpaid wages.
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as n	NEY LAW to represent me in bringing my only agent and make decisions on my behalf cond I agree to be bound by such decisions according	ncerning the
3.	I also consent to join any secompanies or persons.	eparate or subsequent action to assert my clain	m against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	by any adjudication of my claim by the court	, whether it is
	DocuSigned by: My 3515579D3A4C4C8	4/25/2018	
Cli	ent	Date	

1. I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against

	SSA Cooper	and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2.	name Plaintiff to serve as a	NEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead y agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.
3.	I also consent to join any s companies or persons.	parate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related
4.	I hereby agree to be bound favorable or unfavorable.	y any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is
X	DocuSigned by:	4/25/2018
Cl	ient	Date

DocuSign Envelope ID: 17C5092D-B0C3-4085-8BCA-A261899B4D84

1.	I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make Ssa Cooper and related entities or pers	a claim against ons for overtime and unpaid wages.
2.	2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent mename Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decision litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by su	s on my behalf concerning the
3.	 I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons. 	
4.	4. I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my a favorable or unfavorable.	claim by the court, whether it is
,	——DocuSigned by:	
1	M J J M — F3ED32A997A44AD	4/24/2018
Cli	Client	Date

DocuSign Envelope ID: 78216079-7B19-4C6A-8E66-9178FBA46274

1.	SSA Cooner	y plaintiff and make a claim against elated entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.	
2.	2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.		
3.	3. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.		
4.	1. I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.		
[DocuSigned by:		
Ke	163DB550EA7740D	4/22/2018	
Cl	ient	Date	

1.	I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against SSA COOPER and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.		
2.	2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.		
3.	3. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.		
4.	4. I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.		
	DocuSigned by: bland Eight 2C8840D78FCC49C		
\cdot C1	ient Date		

1.	I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff and make a claim against Shacoper and related entities or persons for overtime and unpaid wages.	
2.	2. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in bringing my claim and the lead name Plaintiff to serve as my agent and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement, and I agree to be bound by such decisions accordingly.	
3.	. I also consent to join any separate or subsequent action to assert my claim against and related companies or persons.	
4.	4. I hereby agree to be bound by any adjudication of my claim by the court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.	
	Auf M 7-16-18	
Cli	ient Date	

- 1. I hereby agree to pursue my claims against **SSA COOPER**, and any related companies, arising out of federal and/or state wage-and-hour laws in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- 2. I understand and acknowledge that this lawsuit is being brought under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to secure unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other relief arising out of my employment with **SSA Cooper**, and/or any related companies. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become a party plaintiff and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the court.
- 3. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in the proposed suit.

Day Lary Sprelon

Date

- 1. I hereby agree to pursue my claims against **SSA COOPER**, and any related companies, arising out of federal and/or state wage-and-hour laws in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- 2. I understand and acknowledge that this lawsuit is being brought under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to secure unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other relief arising out of my employment with **SSA Cooper**, and/or any related companies. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become a party plaintiff and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the court.
- 3. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in the proposed suit.

Quetalude	8/1/2018 3:57:59 PM PDT
Client	——————————————————————————————————————

- 1. I hereby agree to pursue my claims against **SSA COOPER**, and any related companies, arising out of federal and/or state wage-and-hour laws in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- 2. I understand and acknowledge that this lawsuit is being brought under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to secure unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other relief arising out of my employment with **SSA Cooper**, and/or any related companies. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become a party plaintiff and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the court.
- 3. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in the proposed suit.

DocuSigned by:	
Man Dan	7/29/2018 12:37:47 PM PDT
Client	Date

- 1. I hereby agree to pursue my claims against **SSA COOPER**, and any related companies, arising out of federal and/or state wage-and-hour laws in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- 2. I understand and acknowledge that this lawsuit is being brought under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to secure unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other relief arising out of my employment with **SSA Cooper**, and/or any related companies. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become a party plaintiff and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the court.
- 3. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in the proposed suit.

DocuSigned by:	
744	8/2/2018 3:42:09 PM PDT
971E2A786CCE4C2	
Client	Date

- 1. I hereby agree to pursue my claims against **SSA COOPER**, and any related companies, arising out of federal and/or state wage-and-hour laws in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- 2. I understand and acknowledge that this lawsuit is being brought under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to secure unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other relief arising out of my employment with **SSA Cooper**, and/or any related companies. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become a party plaintiff and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the court.
- 3. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in the proposed suit.

| Solution | Solution

- 1. I hereby agree to pursue my claims against **SSA COOPER**, and any related companies, arising out of federal and/or state wage-and-hour laws in the above-captioned lawsuit.
- 2. I understand and acknowledge that this lawsuit is being brought under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to secure unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other relief arising out of my employment with **SSA Cooper**, and/or any related companies. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become a party plaintiff and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the court.
- 3. I hereby designate MULLANEY LAW to represent me in the proposed suit.

DocuSigned by:	
RSnith	7/29/2018 1:30:45 PM PDT
58DC81D2F7054F9	<u> </u>
Client	Date

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>SSA Cooper Misclassified Stevedores as Exempt from OT Pay, Lawsuit Claims</u>